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RIG-I-like receptors: cytoplasmic sensors for non-self RNA

Summary: Viral infection results in the generation of non-self RNA species in the cells, which is recognized by retinoic acid inducible gene-I-like receptors (RLRs), and initiates innate antiviral responses, including the production of proinflammatory cytokines and type I interferon. In this review, we summarize reports on virus-specificity of RLRs, structures of non-self RNA patterns, structural biology of RLRs, and the signaling adapter molecules involved in antiviral innate immunity.
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RIG-I-like receptors (RLRs)

Pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) are essential in innate immunity. Major PRRs are Toll-like receptors (TLRs), retinoic acid inducible gene-I (RIG-I)-like receptors (RLRs), and nucleotide oligomerization domain (Nod)-like receptors (NLRs) (1–3). TLR3, TLR7, TLR8, and TLR9 detect viral nucleic acids in endosome (4–8). These receptors essentially sense extracellular molecules incorporated into endosome by endocytosis. These sensors detect viral nucleic acids, released from virus-infected cells, and activate subsequent immune reactions. RLRs detect replicating viruses in cytoplasm, particularly at early phase of viral infection. Some of the NLRs sense viral infection to initiate inflammatory responses. Activation of innate immune responses lead to the induction of type I and III interferon (IFN) and inflammatory cytokines, whose antiviral activity blocks viral replication and facilitate the activation of antigen-presenting cells to activate antigen-specific immunity to eradicate the viral pathogens.

RLRs include RIG-I, MDA5, and LGP2, all of which contain a DExD/H box helicase domain (9, 10). The helicase domain retains catalytic activity to unwind double stranded RNA (dsRNA) in an adenosine triphosphate (ATP) hydrolysis-
dependent manner (11); however, unwinding per se may not be inducing antiviral activity. RIG-I and MDA5 contain tandem caspase recruitment and activation domain (CARD) at their amino-termini. Forced over expression of the CARD alone is sufficient to drive antiviral signaling resulting in IFN production, showing that this domain is responsible for signaling. Indeed, the CARD of RIG-I interacts with another CARD-containing molecule, interferon-β promoter stimulator 1 (IPS-1) (also termed as MAVS, VISA, and Cardif) (12–15). Over expression of full-length RIG-I exhibits low basal activity. Furthermore, although IFN treatment strongly induces RIG-I protein, IFN treatment alone does not activate IFN genes. Thus, an autorepression model has been proposed. It was demonstrated that a region of RIG-I acts as repression domain, which functions in cis as well as trans. LGP2 also retains repression domain; however, the corresponding region of MDA5 does not exhibit repression function. The C-terminus of RLRs consists of a domain [C-terminal domain (CTD)] and is responsible for binding with dsRNA. It has been hypothesized that binding of viral RNA with RIG-I induces conformational change in the presence of ATP to unmask CARD, but no report has experimentally demonstrated the conformational change so far.

Recognition of RNA viruses by RLRs

Based on the gene-targeting studies, it has been revealed that RLRs are essential for the production of type I IFNs and proinflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-6 (IL-6), in response to viral infection. Double knockout cells for RIG-I and MDA5 produce no significant IFN upon viral infection, showing that these receptors are essential for sensing cytoplasmic viral RNA (16).

Cells lacking RIG-I are defective in producing type I IFNs and inflammatory cytokines in response to various RNA viruses including Newcastle disease virus (NDV) (Paramyxoviridae), Sendai virus (SeV) (Paramyxoviridae), vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) (Rhabdoviridae), influenza A virus (Orthomyxoviridae), or Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV) (Flaviviridae), while MDA5-deficient cells respond normally to these viruses (16, 17). In contrast, the production of IFN in response to several picornaviruses, including encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV), Mongo virus, and Theiler's virus, is abrogated in MDA5-deficient cells but not in cells lacking RIG-I (17, 18). Murine norovirus-1 (Caliciviridae) and murine hepatitis virus (Coronaviridae) are recognized by MDA5 (19, 20). In addition to JEV, another flavivirus, hepatitis C virus (HCV) is also recognized by RIG-I, while both RIG-I and MDA5 likely recognize Dengue virus and West Nile virus (Flavivirus), redundantly (21–23). A vaccine strain of measles virus (Paramyxoviridae) activates IFN through the activation of both RIG-I and MDA5, whereas the wildtype measles virus fails to induce type I IFN production (24). Reovirus, whose genome consists of segmented dsRNA, induces IFN production mainly through MDA5 with minor contribution from RIG-I (25).

Over expression of LGP2, which lacks CARD, resulted in diminished IFN production by virus (10). However, LGP2 knockout cells exhibited attenuated IFN production upon infection of VSV or EMCV (26), suggesting that LGP2 cooperates with either RIG-I or MDA5 to sense viral RNA under physiological conditions. Influenza A virus-induced IFN production was normal in LGP2-deficient cells, suggesting that LGP2 specifically senses particular RNA patterns.

A DNA virus, Epstein–Barr virus (EBV), is reported to produce small RNAs that induce RIG-I-mediated IFN responses (27). Another DNA virus, Herpes simplex virus (HSV), is also reported to activate both RIG-I- and MDA5-dependent IFN responses via dsRNA produced during its replication (28).

RNA ligands of RLRs

Polyriboinosinic-polyriboctydilic acid

Polyriboinosinic-polyriboctydilic acid (polyI:C), a synthetic dsRNA, which was selected as a potent non-viral IFN inducer, acts as a ligand for TLR3 and RLRs (4, 25). Although the mechanism underlying is not known, poly I:C exhibits highest activity among the possible combinations of RNA homopolymers. Poly I:C is generated by annealing poly I and poly C, which are synthesized by polynucleotide phosphorylase. This enzyme catalyzes the polymerization of nucleotide diphosphate, thus poly I:C harbors a 5'-diphosphate (29).

Two groups demonstrated that MDA5 is essential for poly I:C-mediated IFN production by gene targeting (16, 18). The specificity originates from the length of dsRNA rather than base composition (25). Commercial poly I:C runs as dsRNA of 4–8 kbp in gel electrophoresis. Partial digestion of the poly I:C with a dsRNA specific endonuclease, RNaseIII, led to the generation of trimmed poly I:C of about 300 bp. This short poly I:C activates RIG-I. Interestingly, short poly I:C fails to activate MDA5, and long poly I:C is incapable of activating RIG-I, although both of these bind to long and short poly I:C. Therefore, both RIG-I and MDA5 are necessary to sense various length of dsRNA. The precise mechanism of size discrimination by RIG-I and MDA5 is not known.
5’ tri-phosphate RNAs

RNA transcribed from DNA template by T7 RNA polymerase is a strong inducer of type I IFN (30). Further studies revealed that 5’-triphasphate containing RNA, such as genomes of most RNA viruses, and in vitro transcripts are selectively recognized by RIG-I (31, 32). Since the 5’-triphasphate moieties of most host transcripts are removed by adding 7-methylguanosine cap (in the case of mRNA) or by processing (tRNA and rRNA), these self-RNAs are refractory to detection by RIG-I. However more recently, it was revealed that 5’-triphasphate per se is not sufficient for RIG-I activation. This is consistent with observations that dsRNA without 5’-triphasphate can be recognized by RIG-I particularly for long dsRNA. Therefore, dsRNA structure is prerequisite for RIG-I activation and 5’-triphasphate facilitates the recognition of short dsRNA. In addition, removal of 5’-triphasphate is a viral strategy to avoid recognition by RIG-I. A viral peptide, VPg, is covalently attached to the 5’ end of Picornaviridae RNA, thus lacking 5’-triphasphate (33). Hantaan virus (HTNV), Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus (CCHFV) (Bunyaviridae), and Borna disease virus (BDV) (Bornaviridae) do not trigger RIG-I-mediated IFN responses, because the 5’-triphasphate structure of these viral genomic RNA is removed by processing (34).

Transfection of AT-rich dsDNA (typically a synthetic poly-dAdT:poly-dAdT) results in the type I IFN induction(35). It was revealed that poly-dAdT:poly-dAdT is transcribed by host DNA-dependent RNA polymerase III and that the resultant 5’-triphasphate dsRNA is detected by RIG-I (36, 37). The polymerase III-mediated mechanism may explain IFN induction by some DNA viruses or intracellular bacteria.

Nallagatla et al. suggested that the 5’ tri-phosphate signature is sensed by protein kinase R (PKR) in addition to dsRNA (38). Further studies are required to elucidate the mechanism by which the 5’ tri-phosphate signature activates both RIG-I and PKR and to understand its biological significance.

Viral RNA ligand for RLR

Garcin’s group (39) nicely showed this dsRNA-dependent activation of RIG-I, using a SeV infection. They generated SeV expressing GFP mRNA or antisense GFP mRNA and performed co-infection of two types of SeV. They found that the resulting capped dsRNA of GFP sequences induced an IFN response that was dependent on RIG-I. In addition to engineered SeV, natural VSV, a ssRNA virus recognized by RIG-I, was shown to produce dsRNA in infected cells (25). Induction of IFNs by RNAs from VSV-infected cells was impaired by disrupting dsRNA, suggesting the presence of dsRNA in VSV-infected cells is important for RIG-I mediated IFN responses. The fragments produced by VSV were about 2-2.5 kbp much shorter than the length of the 11 kb VSV genomic RNA, suggesting that these dsRNA were not derived from replication intermediates of VSV. Rather, the dsRNA maybe be derived from defective interfering (DI) particles, whose snap-back dsRNAs are reported to be about 2.2 kbp, generated in VSV-infected cells, although the viral dsRNA signature recognized by RIG-I remains to be further characterized. Recently, panhandle structure of the influenza virus genome is also reported as RIG-I ligand (40). It is of interest to clarify which ligand is the major source of RIG-I-dependent IFN production in individual viral infection.

IFN production induced by long segments purified from the reovirus genome (about 3.9 kbp) is impaired in MDA5-deficient cells (25). Further, the very large RNA species (stuck at the top of the gel during electrophoresis) generated by EMCV or vaccinia virus infection is a specific ligand for MDA5 (41). Although the precise structure of the RNA (termed RNA web), is not known, a highly ordered structure is critical for this activity, as heat treatment inactivated the complex.

RNaseL-cleaved RNA

RNaseL is an endonuclease thought to cleave viral RNA. Silverman’s group (42) showed that RNaseL-deficient cells showed attenuated induction of IFN in response to poly I:C or Sendai virus. In addition, in the infection with EMCV or Sendai virus, RNaseL-deficient mice showed impaired IFN production in sera. The RNaseL cleavage products activate RIG-I and MDA5, leading to production of type I IFNs. This mechanism potentially generates RLR ligands from self-RNA as well as viral RNA.

Poly-U/UC rich RNA

Infection with HCV is known to be regulated by hepatic immune defense triggered by RIG-I. Mapping of the HCV genome for RIG-I activation revealed that 5’-triphasphate signature was necessary but not sufficient for the activation of RIG-I and that a polyuridine motif (poly-U/UC-rich region) at the 3’-non-translated region is critical for efficient activation of RIG-I (43). They extended their finding and found that 5’-triphasphate genomic poly-U/A-rich RNA motifs within the rabies virus leader sequence, Ebola virus 3’ region, and measles virus leader sequence were also important for RIG-I...
activation (43). However, GC-rich RNA motifs failed to induce the signaling (43). Thus, A/U composition and poly-U motifs are possible determinants of viral RNA recognition by antiviral innate immunity.

**Structure of RLRs**

Two groups independently identified the RNA recognition domain that is located to the C-terminus to the helicase domain CTD. Takahasi et al. (11) showed that RIG-I CTD specifically binds dsRNA and 5'-triphosphate RNA and solved its solution structure. Furthermore, these studies revealed that large positive charged surface that locates the center of the structure is the RNA binding site by NMR titration (11). Cui et al. also identified the domain and solved the crystal structure (44). The structures of MDA5 and LGP2 CTDs were also solved and their structural features are very similar to RIG-I CTD (45). Recent studies have solved the crystal structures of RIG-I CTD bound to both blunt ended double-strand RNA and dsRNA with 5'-triphosphate (46–48). Both CTD structures showed that the same basic surface revealed in previous studies was essential for the RNA recognition. However, two different ended RNAs bound RIG-I CTD in different orientations (46–48). The complex structure of LGP2 bound to the blunt end of dsRNA was also solved by x-ray crystallography, but the orientation of the RNA in the complex was also different from the RNAs bound to the RIG-I CTD (49). The biological significance of these co-crystals requires further investigation because the biological activity of the RNA used for crystal is not well investigated, particularly the presence or absence of 5'-triphosphate. These observations imply that RIG-I specifically recognizes the end structure of viral RNA, especially blunt end dsRNA or 5'-triphosphate structure. However, it has been controversial if end structure affects recognition by RIG-I (11, 45, 46). In addition, AFM analysis suggests that RIG-I aggregates around the short poly I:C, excluding the possibility of end-specific binding (25). For certain, better understanding of the activation of the RIG-I/RNA complex awaits further structural elucidation of inactive (free RIG-I in closed structure) and activated (RIG-I/RNA complex in the presence of ATP) complexes.

**RLR signaling and its regulation**

Upon binding to viral RNA in the presence of ATP, RIG-I changes its conformation to expose the CARD. The activated RIG-I forms oligomer, which allows the CARDs of RIG-I to interact with a CARD-containing adapter, IPS-1, which is localized on the outer membrane of the mitochondrion. MDA5 also transduces signaling via IPS-1. IPS-1 subsequently activates two IκB kinase (IKK)-related kinases, IKK-λ, and TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1) via tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor-associated factor 3 (TRAF3) (50–52). These kinases phosphorylate IRF3 and IRF7, resulting in their trans-
location from the cytoplasm into the nucleus, which activates transcription of genes encoding type I IFNs and IFN-inducible genes (53, 54). In addition, IPS-1 activates NF-κB via a FADD and caspase-8/10 pathway to regulate the expression of proinflammatory cytokine genes (55).

Many molecules have been reported to modulate the RLR-mediated signaling pathway (described above) through direct interaction with RLRs or with signaling molecules. For instance, Src homology 2 (SH2) domain-containing phosphatase-1 (SHP-1) is reported to activate RIG-I-mediated signaling by binding directly to RIG-I (56). Dihydroacetone kinase (DAK) was identified as a MDA5-interacting protein and was shown to negatively regulate MDA5 (57). NLRX1 (also known as NOD9) is localized to the mitochondrial outer membranes and is suggested to function as a negative regulator of IPS-1 via a direct interaction (58). Suppressor of IKKε (SIKE) forms a complex with TBK1/IKKε and inhibits the interaction of RIG-I or IRFs with TBK1/IKKε in the basal condition, while viral infection leads to dissociation of SIKE from TBK/IKKε (59). Molecules involved in the autophagic machinery, Atg5-atg12, are reported to inhibit the interaction between RIG-I and IPS-1 by association with the CARD of RIG-I and with IPS-1 (60). Recent studies from two independent groups (61, 62) identified the same signal-modulator molecule, designated as stimulator of IFN genes (STING), also called mediator of IRF-3 activation (MITA). STING is reported to localize to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane, and STING interacts with RIG-I (but not MDA5), and SSRI/TPRβ complex, required for protein translocation across the ER membrane. The other group reported that STING is expressed on the outer membrane of mitochondrion, where it interacts directly with IPS-1 and IRF-3 and positively regulates the virus-dependent recruitment of TBK1 to the IPS-1 complex on mitochondrion.

Ubiquitination of RLRs is reported to control their signals both positively and negatively. The CARDs of RIG-I undergo Lys63-linked ubiquitination by tripartite motif 25 (TRIM 25), and this ubiquitination is necessary for efficient activation of RIG-I mediated signaling (63). Interestingly, MDA5 does not undergo ubiquitination by TRIM25, suggesting that CARDs of RIG-I and MDA5 are differentially regulated. A tumor suppressor, CYLD (cylindomatosis), is reported to negatively regulate
the RIG-I-mediated signaling by deubiquitinating the TRIM25-mediated ubiquitination of RIG-I (64). Another E3 ubiquitin ligase RNF135/Riplet is shown to promote Lys63-linked ubiquitination of the C-terminal region of RIG-I, which results in activation of IFN promoter (65). RIG-I also undergoes Lys48-linked polyubiquitination by the E3 ubiquitin ligase RNF125, leading to its proteasomal degradation, which inhibits aberrant activation of RIG-I mediated signaling. Further, RNF125 conjugates ubiquitin to MDA5 as well as IPS-1, which results in suppressing the functions of these proteins (66). It was also recently shown that REUL is an E3 ubiquitin ligase of RIG-I and specifically stimulates RIG-I mediated IFN signaling (67). The Lys 154, 164, and 172 residues of the RIG-I CARD domain are critical for efficient REUL-mediated ubiquitination, as well as the ability of RIG-I to induce activation of the IFN-β promoter. ISGylation also modulates RLR-sensing. RIG-I is ISGylated by the ubiquitin-like protein, ISG15, which regulates the activity of RNF125 together with UbcH8, an E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (68). UbcH8 suppresses ubiquitination of RIG-I by RNF125, and the suppression by UbcH8 is relieved by ISG15.

Artificial aggregation of IPS-1 in the cytoplasm is sufficient for activation of antiviral signaling (69), suggesting that the activity of IPS-1 is regulated by its oligomerization induced by physical interaction of CARD of RIG-I or MDA5. Interestingly, IPS-1 aggregation is observed in cells stably expressing Flag-tagged IPS-1 upon infection by various viruses or 5′-triphosphate RNA. Normally IPS-1 is localized on the outer membrane of the mitochondrion, which may restrict its movement. The IPS-1 aggregation requires mitofusin 1, a mitochondrial regulator for its fusion (70). Mitofusin 1 is also reported to be critical for mitochondrial elongation induced by certain strains of Sendai virus. These results suggest that the activity of IPS-1 requires dynamic fission and fusion of mitochondrion to facilitate efficient signaling by its oligomerization. However, there are contradictory reports on the function of mitofusin and mitofusins (71).

In addition to host regulatory factors mentioned above, viruses acquire means to evade antiviral immunity of the host. Modification of viral RNA and assembly of nucleocapsid are major strategies to escape from detection by RLRs. Viral non-structural (NS) proteins often participate in the counteraction of antiviral cascades. A large number of viral inhibitory proteins have been identified that block RLR signaling at various levels (reviewed in 72, 73).

Conclusions and perspectives
Cumulative studies based on RLR knockout mice/cells and various viruses revealed the mechanism by which RLRs sense viral invasion as well as viral strategies to evade antiviral responses mediated by RLRs. As described in this review, it is clear that RIG-I and MDA5 are essential sensors for RNA viruses and that these helicases discriminate self and non-self RNAs by precise recognition of virus-specific RNA signatures. However, elucidation of many signaling regulators has made the picture more complicated than previously appreciated. Certainly, many interesting topics remain to be clarified, including identification of the precise RNA ligands of RLRs during viral infection, the reason why IPS-1 localizes to the mitochondrion, and elucidation of the molecular structure of inactive and active RIG-I.
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