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Difference in Visual Motion Representation between Cortical Areas MT and MST during Ocular Following Responses

Kenichiro Miura, Naoko Inaba, Yuki Aoki, and Kenji Kawano

The middle temporal (MT) and medial superior temporal (MST) areas are successive stations of the visual motion-processing stream and project in parallel to the pontine nucleus, which is closely associated with rapid stabilization of gaze. We recorded the neural activities of MT and MST neurons of monkeys during short-latency ocular following responses (OFRs) elicited by large-field sinusoidal gratings with different spatial frequencies drifting at different temporal frequencies, and examined the dependence on spatiotemporal frequency. The results indicate that most MT/MST neurons were tuned almost separately for spatial and temporal frequencies of motion stimuli. The difference between MT and MST neurons was particularly striking for the optimal spatial frequency (higher for MT and lower for MST). The spatiotemporal frequency dependence of the OFRs could be reproduced by a weighted sum of the population activities of the MT and MST neurons. We conclude that MT and MST neurons work as spatiotemporal frequency sensors that extract motions of finer and coarser visual features and that both areas contribute to generation of OFRs.
of the skull. The recording chambers were stereotaxically placed to allow for a dorsal approach to the parietal cortex in a vertical orientation (stereotaxic coordinates: anteroposterior, −2 to −4 mm; mediolateral, ±16–18 mm). All protocols were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Kyoto University.

**Recording technique and histology.** Initial mapping penetrations of the cortex in the dorsal part of the superior temporal sulcus (STS) were made with hand-made glass-coated tungsten electrodes. MRI was used to confirm the location of the STS. Within the STS, neurons were identified as MT or MST neurons based on published reports of their location relative to the STS and their receptive field characteristics (Gattass and Gross, 1981; Komatsu and Wurtz, 1988a). Single units were recorded with tungsten microelectrodes (Microprobe, FHC, or Nano Biosensors). Vertical microelectrode penetrations were made via transdural guide tubes inserted in the grid hole using a guide-tube grid system (Crist Instruments).

Histological sections through the STS of two monkeys (S and K) were obtained as previously described (Kawano et al., 1994; Inaba et al., 2011). Histological verification of the recording sites indicated that these neurons were located in area MT or MST (Maunsell and Van Essen, 1983a; Komatsu and Wurtz, 1988a; Kawano et al., 1994; see also Kawano et al., 1994, their Fig. 1, and Inaba et al., 2011, their supplemental Fig. 1). In the other animal (monkey T), histological verification of the recording sites has not yet been performed because recordings are still underway.

**Behavioral paradigms and visual stimuli.** The animal was seated in a primate chair in a dark room with its head fixed by a head holder and facing a 19-inch cathode ray tube monitor (FlexScan T766, Nanao), which was located 30 cm in front of the eyes. Visual stimuli were presented on the monitor [resolution, 1280 × 1024 pixels (59° × 48°); vertical refresh rate, 100 Hz]. RGB signals from the video card were converted to black and white images through an attenuator (Pelli and Zhang, 1991). The converted signal was fed into three channels of the display. A luminance look-up table with 32 equally spaced luminance levels ranging from 0.0 to 76.2 cd/m² was created from direct luminance measurements (LS-100 photometer, [Konica-Minolta]) under software control. This table was then expanded to 2048 equally spaced levels by interpolation (Sheliga et al., 2005; Miura et al., 2006). The visual stimuli used in the study were created and presented using Matlab PsychoToolbox (Brainard, 1997; Pelli, 1997).

After isolating a single unit, we observed the responses to motion of a large-field random-dot pattern (45 × 45°) while the animal fixedated on a stationary target at the center of the monitor screen. To determine the preferred direction of motion of the neuron for the random-dot pattern, the stimulus was moved at a constant velocity (40°/s) in eight directions spaced at 45° intervals (horizontal, vertical, and diagonal). If the neuron responded to motion of the large-field random-dot pattern with directional preference, experiments were performed on the neuron. For measuring and mapping the visual receptive field, a target (0.3°) and a random-dot pattern (1 × 1°, 5 × 5°, or 15 × 15°) were displayed. Visual receptive-field mapping was conducted while the animal looked at the central fixation target as the random-dot pattern moved at a constant velocity (40°/s) in the preferred direction.

The visual stimuli were one-dimensional vertical sine-wave gratings moving in the preferred direction of the neuron. The orientation of the visual image was orthogonal to this preferred direction. The contrast of the gratings was 32% at the center of the Gaussian window (the center of the monitor) and was gradually reduced with a Gaussian envelope (σ = 8.5°). In 50 neurons (20 MT and 30 MST) obtained from monkeys S and K, 25 visual motion stimuli were used to examine the spatiotemporal frequency dependence (five spatial frequency entries of 0.04–0.62 cycles/° and five temporal frequency entries of 3, 12–25 Hz). In 185 neurons (112 MT and 73 MST) obtained from monkeys K and T, 42 stimuli were used (seven spatial frequency entries of 0.04–2.48 cycles/° and six temporal frequency entries of 1.56–25 Hz; Fig. 1D). In any given trial, one of the spatiotemporal frequencies was selected randomly from the look-up table.

At the beginning of each trial, a grating pattern appeared together with a central target spot (diameter, 0.4°; Fig. 1A). After the monkey’s eye had been positioned within 2° of the fixation target for a randomized period of 300 to 500 ms, the fixation target disappeared and the stimulus motion began. If the eye went outside the window during this period or any saccade was detected in the last 250 ms of the period, the screen became uniform gray and the same trial was repeated. The stimulus motion lasted for 200 ms, at which point the screen became a uniform gray of the mean luminance. The animal was then rewarded with a drop of juice, signaling the end of the trial. After an intertrial interval of ~1 s, a new grating pattern appeared together with a fixation point, to start a new trial. For each spatiotemporal frequency, data were collected over several sessions.
Data collection and analysis. Stimulus presentation and data collection were controlled by a personal computer (PC) using the REX system (Hayes et al., 1982). Eye movements were measured with the electromagnetic search-coil technique (Fuchs and Robinson, 1966). Voltage signals encoding the horizontal and vertical components of the eye position were low-pass filtered with resistor–capacitor circuitry (−3 dB at 170 Hz) and digitized to a resolution of 12 bits at 1 kHz. All data were transferred to a PC for analysis using an interactive computer program based on Matlab (Mathworks). Eye-position data were smoothed with a four-pole digital Butterworth filter (−3 dB at 25 Hz) and eye-velocity traces were derived from the two-point backward difference. Eye-acceleration profiles were derived from the two-point backward difference of the eye-velocity traces and were used to detect small saccades that went undetected during the experiment. Only data free of saccades were analyzed. The initial OFRs were quantified by measuring the changes in eye position over a 60 ms period starting 60 ms after the onset of motion stimuli. The latency of onset was ~60 ms so that these measurements were made for initial open-loop responses restricted to the period before the closure of the visual feedback loop (i.e., twice the reaction time).

A time–amplitude window discriminator was used to identify spikes with a time resolution of 1 ms. Spike-density histograms were calculated by convolving the spike trains with a Gaussian curve (σ = 10 ms; Richmond et al., 1987). Neuronal responses to motion of stimuli were measured as the average spike density from 40 to 100 ms after onset of the stimulus motion. This temporal window was selected so that the responses were restricted to those caused by visual motion presented while the eyes were stationary. To characterize the properties of the spatial-temporal frequency tuning, neuronal responses to moving grating stimuli were fitted to a two-dimensional Gaussian function given by the following equations 1 and 2:

\[ y(s, t) = A \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{(\log(s) - \log(s_0))^2}{\sigma_s^2} \right) \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{(t - t_0)^2}{\sigma_t^2} \right) + b \]

\[ t_0 = 2^\alpha \cdot \frac{\log(s) - \log(s_0) + \log(t_0)}{\sigma_s} \]

where \( A, b, s_0, t_0, \sigma_s, \) and \( \sigma_t \) are the maximal activity, spontaneous activity, optimal spatial frequency, optimal temporal frequency, SD of spatial frequency tuning, and SD of temporal frequency tuning, respectively. The value of \( Q \) in Equation 2 shows the dependence of the temporal frequency tuning on spatial frequencies (Priebe et al., 2003, 2006). When \( Q \) equals 1, the optimal speed is constant over the spatial frequency. In this case, the neuron is tuned for the stimulus speed independent of the spatial frequency of the motion stimulus. When \( Q \) is 0, the optimal temporal frequency is constant over the spatial frequency, and thus the optimal speed changes with the stimulus spatial frequency. These constants were optimized for each neuron.

The size and eccentricity of the receptive field of each neuron was estimated by fitting with a 2D Gaussian function of the following standard form, Equation 3:

\[ R(x, y) = r \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2(1 - \rho)^2} \left( \frac{(x - x_0)^2}{\sigma_x^2} - 2\rho \frac{(x - x_0)(y - y_0)}{\sigma_x \sigma_y} + \frac{(y - y_0)^2}{\sigma_y^2} \right) \right) + c, \]

where \( r, c, x_0, y_0, \sigma_x, \sigma_y, \) and \( \rho \) were optimized for each neuron. The size was defined by the sum of \( \sqrt{2} \log^2 \) times the SD along the long and short axes, each of which gives a half-width at half maximum along each axis of the 2D Gaussian function. Most of the MST neurons had receptive fields whose borders were outside of the monitor screen. Therefore, this analysis was applied for the MT neurons and MST neurons whose receptive field borders could be determined.

Also, using two analyses, we examined whether the population activities of areas MT and MST can explain the spatiotemporal tuning of the initial OFRs. A linear relationship between the population activities of MT and MST neurons and the initial OFRs was assumed, as in the following expression, Equation 4:

\[ R_{\text{OFR}}(s, t) = a_1 R_{\text{MT}}(s, t) + a_2 R_{\text{MST}}(s, t) + a_3, \]

where \( R_{\text{OFR}}(s, t), R_{\text{MT}}(s, t), R_{\text{MST}}(s, t) \) are the initial OFRs and the population activities of MT and MST neurons, and \( a_1, a_2, \) and \( a_3 \) are coefficients. Regression analyses were carried out using this equation. In the first analysis, the population activity of area \( X \) \( (R_X) \) was defined as follows in Equation 5:

\[ R_X(s, t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i r_i(s, t) A_i, \]

where \( r_i(s, t), A_i, \) and \( n \) are the activity of neuron \( i \) in area \( X, \) the \( A \) value for the best-fit Gaussian, and the number of neurons, respectively; that is, the average of normalized activities over the neurons in each area. In the second analysis, population activities were defined simply as the average activity of all neurons in each area. The 95% confidence intervals of the coefficients and the coefficient of determination \( (R^2) \) were calculated.

Results

We recorded the activities of 235 neurons (101 in the MST area and 132 in the MT area) in the STS during OFRs in three hemispheres of three monkeys. All of these neurons responded to visual motion and most showed clear directional selectivity, with average firing rates in the preferred directions that were ̸ 1.5 times those in the opposite direction.

Spatiotemporal frequency tuning of MT and MST neurons

Spatiotemporal frequency tuning reveals the information carried by neuronal activities. We first examined the dependence of the neurons on the spatiotemporal frequency when the monkeys were exposed to large-field sinusoidal grating stimuli with different spatial frequencies moving at different temporal frequencies. Figure 1 shows an example of the responses of a neuron to brief motion of the sinusoidal grating in the preferred direction (in this case, an MST neuron with a preferred down-rightward direction; Fig. 1A). Motion of such a large-field pattern elicits ocular responses with short latency in the direction of stimulus motion. In this case, the OFR was induced in a down-rightward direction with a latency of ~60 ms (Fig. 1B; 0.16 cycle/°, 25 Hz, 32%). The neuron increased its firing rate in response to motion of the grating with a latency of ~40 ms (Fig. 1C); that is, preceding the onset of eye movement responses for ~20 ms. Mean firing rates in the 60 ms interval starting from 40 ms after onset of stimulus motion (shaded area) were quantified as the activities underlying the initial OFRs.

The responses of the MST neuron depended on the spatiotemporal frequency of the sinusoidal gratings (Fig. 2; the same MST neuron as in Fig. 1). The mean firing rate of the initial activities of this MST neuron showed the largest responses at 0.16 cycles/° (spatial frequency) and 18.75 Hz (temporal frequency). To estimate the optimal spatiotemporal frequency, a 2D Gaussian function (Eqs. 1, 2) was fitted to the mean firing rate data. For this MST neuron, the optimal spatial frequency \((s_f)\) and optimal temporal frequency \((t_f)\) were 0.13 cycles/° and 19.6 Hz, respectively \((R^2 = 0.87)\). Activities of other MST neurons also depended on the spatiotemporal frequency and 78 of these neurons were successfully characterized by the 2D Gaussian function. The initial activities of many MT neurons also tuned for the spatiotemporal frequency of the drifting sinusoidal gratings and these tunings were also characterized by the 2D Gaussian function \((N = 88)\). Figure 3 shows the response field of an MT neuron in Fourier space. The response field was well characterized by the 2D Gaussian function; and the optimal spatial \((s_f)\) and temporal frequency \((t_f)\) were estimated to be 0.56 cycles/° and 21.4 Hz, respectively \((R^2 = 0.91)\).
The optimal spatiotemporal frequencies estimated from the best-fit Gaussian functions distributed widely in Fourier space and showed a difference between the two areas (Fig. 4A, B). Compared with the MT neurons (Fig. 4B; N = 88), the $t_f o$ of the MST neurons (Fig. 4A; N = 78) was distributed in a lower frequency range and the difference in distributions was significant (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, $p < 0.05$). The $t_f o$ of the MST neurons was distributed in a slightly higher frequency range compared with the MT neurons, but this difference was not significant ($p > 0.05$).

Our data suggest a speed preference mechanism in areas MT and MST. The speeds of the optimal stimuli of the MT neurons showed a difference between the two areas (Komatsu and Wurtz, 1988a) and MST. The speeds of the optimal stimuli of the MT neurons tended to be faster (Tanaka and Saito, 1990). Consistent with these previous findings, the MT neurons examined in this study had limited receptive field sizes that were highly correlated with eccentricities. In contrast, the receptive fields of MT neurons often extended to >25° and involved the ipsilateral hemifield, and the edges of their receptive fields could not be determined.

The significant correlation (Spearman rank correlation, $r = −0.51, p < 0.05$) between the size of receptive fields and the optimal spatial frequency of the MT neurons (blue circles, N = 75) is shown in Figure 5A. The logarithm of optimal spatial frequencies was almost linearly related to the size of the receptive field (Fig. 5A, blue line). In contrast, MST neurons with receptive field sizes <25° (N = 11, red circles) showed no significant correlation ($r = −0.25, p > 0.05$). The optimal spatial frequencies of the remaining MST neurons (N = 67) were distributed across almost the entire range of the optimal spatial frequencies of the MT neurons (Fig. 5A, red circles at right edge), although the MST neurons tended to be tuned for a lower spatial frequency, as described earlier. The optimal spatial frequencies of the MT neurons were also correlated with the eccentricity of the center location of the receptive field (Fig. 5B, blue circles; $r = −0.48, p < 0.05$), whereas MST neurons with receptive field sizes of <25° (N = 11, red circles) showed no correlation ($r = 0.12, p > 0.05$). These results indicate that, at least in area MT, the spatial frequency tuning of the neurons is related to the size and eccentricity of the receptive field.

The optimal temporal frequency was related to receptive field properties in the MT neurons, although it was weaker compared with spatial frequency (Spearman rank correlation, $r = −0.28$, mone and Ungerleider, 1986; Tanaka et al., 1986; Albright and Desimone, 1987; Komatsu and Wurtz, 1988a; Tanaka and Saito, 1989).
values were distributed between 0 and 1 with a bias toward 0 for both MT and MST neurons, but the distributions differed significantly between the MT and MST neurons (Fig. 6A; Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, \(p < 0.05\)). The median values for the MT and MST neurons were 0.25 and 0.07, respectively, which were also significantly different (rank-sum test, \(p < 0.05\)). That is, compared with the MT neurons, the MST neurons were tuned for the temporal frequency, rather than the speed of visual motion. We also repeated the same analysis using the dataset resampled from a tilted stimulus matrix (Fig. 1D, the dataset in the hexagon, similar to Simoncini et al., 2012, their Fig. 6b), to examine whether stimulus sampling influence of the MST neurons, but found no significant effect of stimulus sampling in \(Q\) values (Wilcoxon rank-sum test, \(p > 0.25\)).

The \(Q\) values were correlated with the optimal spatial frequency in the MT neurons (Fig. 6B, blue circles; Spearman rank correlation, \(r = 0.49, p < 0.05\)). When the optimal spatial frequency of the neuron was lower, the \(Q\) values tended to be closer to 0. The distribution of \(Q\) values tended to be intermediate between 0 and 1 as the optimal spatial frequency increased. Most of the MST neurons were tuned for a lower spatial frequency and had \(Q\) values close to 0. The \(Q\) values of the MST neurons showed no significant correlation with the optimal spatial frequency (Fig. 6B, red circles; \(r = 0.10, p > 0.05\)). Note that, for both MT and MST neurons, the \(Q\) values were correlated negatively with the optimal temporal frequency [Fig. 6C, blue (MT) and red (MST) circles; MT: \(r = -0.23, p < 0.05\); MST: \(r = -0.23, p < 0.05\)]. The \(Q\) values were also correlated with the size and center eccentricity of the receptive field in the MT neurons (size: \(r = -0.29, p < 0.05\); eccentricity: \(r = -0.23, p < 0.05\)). For the 11 MST neurons with receptive fields <25°, the correlations between \(Q\) values and the size and eccentricity of the receptive field were not significant (size: \(r = -0.33, p > 0.05\); center: \(r = -0.41, p > 0.05\)).

**Population activities of MT and MST neurons and properties of the OFRs**

The optimal spatiotemporal frequencies of the MT and MST neurons (Fig. 4A,B) were distributed in a spatial frequency range over which the OFRs are operative. The optimal temporal frequencies were in general high and distributed in a narrow range, which is consistent with the temporal frequency tuning of the initial OFR. Here, the population activities of the MT and MST neurons were compared with the properties of the OFRs of monkeys (Fig. 7A). The initial integrative measures of OFRs showed a bandpass tuning for the sinusoidal grating stimuli, and that was well characterized by a 2D Gaussian function (\(R^2 > 0.9\)) with optimal spatiotemporal frequencies at 0.37 cycles/° and 19.38 Hz (\(Q = 0.00\)). Similar patterns of spatiotemporal frequency tunings were observed for population activities in both areas (Fig. 7B,C). The population activities were defined as the average over the responses after dividing by the amplitude for the best-fit Gaussian for individual neurons (Eq. 5). The response field of the population activities showed that the MT population was tuned for relatively higher spatial frequency (0.48 cycles/° and 14.97 Hz, \(Q = 0.12\)), whereas that of the MST population tuned for lower spatial frequency (0.18 cycles/° and 18.79 Hz, \(Q = 0.00\)). The optimal spatial frequency of the initial OFRs lies intermediate between those of the MT and MST population activities.

Regression analysis was performed to examine how strongly the activities of the MT and MST populations are related to the initial OFRs. A regression analysis with the population activities of the MT and MST neurons gave a good reconstruction of the initial OFRs with a coefficient of determination (\(R^2\)) of 0.87 and

---

**Figure 4.** Spatiotemporal response tuning of individual neurons in areas MT and MST. **A, B,** Distributions of optimal spatiotemporal frequencies in MT (A) and MST (B). **C,** Distribution of speeds of the optimal gratings. Blue and red bars indicate the distributions of MT neurons and MST neurons, respectively. Note the logarithmic axis.

---

Separable spatial and temporal frequency tuning in MT and MST neurons

The response field in Fourier space reveals information carried by neuronal activities. The \(Q\) value of the 2D Gaussian function (Eq. 2) quantifies the degree to which temporal frequency tuning depends on the spatial frequency of the stimulus. If \(Q = 0\), the spatial and temporal frequency tunings are separable; if \(Q = 1\), the neuron is tuned for a particular speed independent of the spatial frequency. The \(Q\) values of the MT and MST neurons in Figures 3 and 2, respectively, were 0.23 and 0.01, respectively. \(Q\)
Spatiotemporal frequency tuning of MT neurons in awake macaque monkeys and suggested that most MT neurons were tuned for stimulus speed independent of spatial frequency. In contrast, Priebe et al. (2003) examined MT neurons in anesthetized, paralyzed macaque monkeys and showed that only a minority of the MT neurons (25%) were tuned for speed independent of spatial frequency, and that other neurons were tuned for temporal frequency independent of spatial frequency or showed intermediate properties. Lui et al. (2007) examined MT neurons in anesthetized common marmosets and found that the majority of these neurons had band-pass spatial and temporal frequency tuning, that the selectivity for these parameters was largely separable, and that only 10% of the neurons showed a spatial frequency-invariant representation of speed. However, these data come from different laboratories using different experimental conditions (e.g., awake or anesthetized) and species (macaques or marmosets), and these differences may account for the different conclusions.

In this study, we recorded the neural activities of awake macaques and used a similar method to characterize spatiotemporal frequency tuning to those of Priebe et al. (2003) and Lui et al. (2007). We found that the MT population had a similar distribution of Q values to those found by Priebe et al. (2003) and Lui et al. (2007). We therefore suggest that only a minority of MT neurons code for speed independent of spatial frequency, even in an awake condition. Closer observation revealed that Q values were correlated with the optimal spatial and temporal frequencies in the MT neurons, suggesting a functional difference among neurons in MT, depending on their optimal spatiotemporal frequencies. The Q value was closer to 1 as the optimal spatial frequency of the neuron increased and as the optimal temporal frequency decreased. Thus, representation of motion might differ in MT depending on the properties of visual stimuli: finer and slower visual stimuli may tend to be coded by speed, whereas coarser and faster visual stimuli by spatiotemporal frequency.

We also examined the properties of the MST neurons and found that a large proportion showed separable tuning for spatial and temporal frequency. Surprisingly, the distribution of Q values was shifted toward 0 compared with the MT neurons. The median Q value (0.07) was similar to the value for simple cells in the primary visual cortex (0.08) reported by Priebe et al. (2006). These results suggest that most MST neurons rather selectively receive signals from MT neurons with separable tuning for spatial and temporal frequencies. We thus conclude that the MST neurons represent the spatiotemporal frequency of the motion stimuli at least in their initial responses. It is possible that speed tuning might appear after the open-loop period, but that should be tested in a future study.

Discussion

In this study, we found (1) that most MT/MST neurons have nearly separable spatiotemporal frequency tuning, (2) that MT neurons were tuned for a lower spatial frequency compared with MT neurons, and (3) that the spatiotemporal frequency tuning of OFRs could be reproduced by a weighted sum of the population activities of the MT and MST neurons. Below, we will discuss the representations of visual motion by the MT/MST neurons and their contributions to behavior.

Neurons code for spatiotemporal frequency

There is uncertainty regarding the visual motion information encoded by neuronal responses (Perrone and Thiele, 2001; Priebe et al., 2003, 2006; Lui et al., 2007).
Mechanism of speed dependence
The activities of MT/MST neurons are known to depend on stimulus speed (Maunsell and Van Essen, 1983a; Kawano et al., 1994). Using a large-field random-dot pattern, Inaba and Kawano (2010) found that the optimal speeds of MT neurons tend to be slower than those of MST neurons (means of 49.0 and 93.0°/s for MT and MST, respectively). In the present study, by using sinusoidal gratings, we obtained a consistent finding that speeds estimated from optimal spatiotemporal frequencies of MT neurons were slower than those of MST neurons (medians of 34.2 and 131.5°/s for MT and MST, respectively). Our data also provide an insight into the mechanism of the difference in their speed tunings. The speed of a sinusoidal grating (degrees per second) is given by its temporal frequency (hertz) divided by the spatial frequency (cycles per degree). The temporal frequency tunings of the MT and MST neurons were similar, but the spatial frequency tunings were different, with the median optimal spatial frequency of the MST neurons being ~3 times that of the MT neurons. The results suggest that the difference in speed tuning is due to the difference in spatial frequency tuning between the two areas.

Representations of visual motion in areas MT and MST
Previous studies have shown that the size of the receptive field of MT neurons is correlated with eccentricity, with a larger size with increased eccentricity (Desimone and Ungerleider, 1986; Tanaka et al., 1986; Albright and Desimone, 1987; Komatsu and Wurtz, 1988a; Tanaka and Saito, 1989). We confirmed this relationship and also found that both the size and eccentricity were significantly correlated with the optimal spatial frequency. These results suggest that neurons with different receptive-field eccentricities (and also size) represent different aspects of visual motion stimuli, with larger (smaller) eccentricity representing motion of lower (higher) spatial frequency components of the visual stimuli.

We demonstrated that the optimal spatial frequencies of the MST neurons were distributed such that they covered almost the entire range of the optimal spatial frequencies of the MT neurons, suggesting that visual response properties of MST neurons are formed by inputs from a population of MT neurons, which is consistent with the anatomical evidence (Maunsell and Van Essen, 1983a; Ungerleider and Desimone, 1986). We further suggest that the majority of the neurons receive dominant signals from MT populations that are tuned for lower spatial frequencies and whose receptive field centers tend to be located in the peripheral visual field. MST neurons with smaller receptive fields (<25°) do not show a significant correlation of the optimal spatial frequency with the receptive field size or eccentricity, in contrast to MT neurons. This might be due to spatial integration of inputs from MT neurons with a range of eccentricity and size of receptive fields. We also note the diversity of the optimal spatial frequency of the MST neurons, which suggests that each MST neuron integrates signals from a different population of MT neurons.

Roles of MT and MST neurons in OFRs
Area MST is thought to be the central cortical area for OFRs (Kawano et al., 1994; Kawano, 1999; Takemura et al., 2007). Area MT is also thought to be important as the major source of visual
information for MST neurons because area MST receives strong projections from area MT (Maunsell and Van Essen, 1983a; Ungeleride and Desimone, 1986). However, areas MT and MST project to the dorsolateral pontine nuclei (DLPN) (Brodal, 1978; Glickstein et al., 1980, 1985; Maunsell and van Essen, 1983b; Ungeleride et al., 1984; May and Andersen, 1986; Tusa and Ungeleride, 1988; Boussaoud et al., 1992). Thus, these two areas constitute a dual pathway system with a detour through area MST.

These anatomical findings suggest that areas MT and MST both contribute to generate OFRs. Our data support this suggestion and add new insights into the mechanisms. In the present study, we demonstrated that the optimal spatiotemporal frequency of the initial OFR was intermediate between the population activities of the MT and MST neurons. Regression analyses revealed that a weighted sum of the population activities of the MT and MST neurons gave a good reconstruction of the spatiotemporal frequency dependence of the OFRs, which is consistent with the idea that both areas mediate visual motion information. Furthermore, OFRs depend on the spatial frequency of the motion stimuli (Miles et al., 1986; Gellman et al., 1990; Sheliga et al., 2005; Miura et al., 2006, 2009), and the optimal spatial frequency shifts lower as the size or eccentricity of the visual stimulus increases (Aoki et al., 2012; Quaia et al., 2012). These properties may be explained by increased incorporation of MT neurons that have receptive fields at the peripheral visual field because they are tuned for lower spatial frequencies.

We conclude that in rapid extraction of visual motion, both MT and MST neurons work as spatiotemporal frequency sensors that extract motion of finer and coarser visual features and contribute to generation of OFRs. It seems practically that the existence of the projection from area MT to the DLPN is sufficient to generate the OFRs. However, there is a benefit of adding a secondary MST-DLPN pathway because MST neurons are tuned for lower spatial frequency and the secondary pathway boosts the secondary MST-DLPN pathway because MST neurons are tuned for lower spatial frequency and the secondary pathway boosts the signals from coarse visual features. Thus, MST neurons may regulate the operating range of the OFR system, allowing the system to work more robustly in everyday situations. Takemura et al. (2007) found that lesions of the STS affected OFRs. However, these lesions also spread into areas MT and MST. Thus, future studies with lesions exclusive to area MST are needed to test our hypothesis.
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