

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Title

3D dynamic pituitary MR imaging with CAIPIRINHA: initial experience and
comparison with 2D dynamic MR imaging

1
2
3 **ABSTRACT**
4
5

6 **Objectives:** To evaluate the validity of 3D dynamic pituitary MR imaging with
7
8 controlled aliasing in parallel imaging results in higher acceleration (CAIPIRINHA),
9
10 with special emphasis on demarcation of pituitary posterior lobe and stalk.
11
12
13

14
15 **Methods:** Participants comprised 32 patients who underwent dynamic pituitary MR
16
17 imaging due to pituitary or parasellar lesions. 3D dynamic MR with CAIPIRINHA was
18
19 performed at 3T with 20-s-interval, precontrast, 1st to 5th dynamic images. Normalized
20
21 values and enhanced ratios (dynamic postcontrast image values divided by precontrast
22
23 ones) were compared between 3D and 2D dynamic MR imaging for patients with visual
24
25 identification of posterior lobe and stalk.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33
34 **Results:** In 3D, stalk was identified in 29 patients and unidentified in 3, and posterior
35
36 lobe was identified in 28 and unidentified in 4. In 2D, stalk was identified in 26 patients
37
38 and unidentified in 6 patients, and posterior lobe was identified in 15 and unidentified in
39
40 17. Normalized values of pituitary posterior lobe and stalk were higher in 3D than 2D
41
42 (P<0.001). No significant difference in enhancement ratio was seen between 3D and
43
44 2D.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52
53 **Conclusions:** 3D dynamic pituitary MR provided better identification and higher
54
55 normalized values of pituitary posterior lobe and stalk than 2D.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 **TEXT**
4
5

6 **INTRODUCTION**
7
8

9
10 Dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging of pituitary adenomas has been
11
12 widely used in clinical practice ¹⁻³. The normal pituitary typically enhances within the
13
14 first 1-2 min after contrast injection and shows an enhancement pattern consistent with
15
16 the vascular architecture of the pituitary, with the posterior lobe enhancing earlier than
17
18 the anterior lobe. Dynamic MR imaging has provided beneficial information for various
19
20 situations by demarcating normal pituitary gland: microadenomas ^{1,4}, Cushing disease ⁵,
21
22 ⁶, ectopic pituitary gland ⁷, idiopathic growth hormone deficiency ⁸, Tolosa-Hunt
23
24 syndrome ⁹, and adjacent tissues ¹⁰. Asymmetrical pituitary enhancement on dynamic
25
26 MR imaging is also observed due to localized venous congestion caused by cavernous
27
28 sinus arteriovenous fistula ¹¹.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Dynamic pituitary MR imaging has usually been performed in coronal planes
42
43 rather than sagittal planes ¹. Small lesions between slices, or lesions located at the far
44
45 anterior or far posterior aspect of the pituitary glands, might be overlooked on MR
46
47 imaging using only coronal planes. The use of consecutive coronal and sagittal dynamic
48
49 images to acquire superior diagnostic accuracy rate for pituitary microadenomas in
50
51 comparison to imaging using only coronal planes has been reported ¹², but required a
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 double or triple volume of contrast medium for the second injection to overcome the
4
5
6 contrast induced by the first injection of contrast medium.
7
8

9
10 Sagittal dynamic MR enables evaluation of the posterior lobe, which
11
12 demonstrates a lack of early enhancement in lymphocytic hypophysitis probably due to
13
14 secondary inflammatory changes in some pediatric patients with central diabetes
15
16 insipidus ¹³. Central diabetes insipidus has been associated with embolization of the
17
18 meningo-hypophyseal trunk of the internal carotid artery ¹⁴, systemic fat embolism and
19
20 transient ischemic attack ¹⁵, suggesting the importance of recognizing posterior lobe
21
22 enhancement.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31
32 Pre- and post-contrast 3D gradient-echo imaging techniques provide better
33
34 spatial resolution of pituitary lesions and adjacent structures with thin slices that can be
35
36 reformatted in orthogonal directions for the detection of pituitary microadenomas ¹⁶.
37
38 Accurate volumetric changes in pituitary adenoma have been assessed using
39
40 longitudinal MR image registration of 3D images ¹⁷. However, 3D dynamic imaging
41
42 suffers from a tradeoff between temporal and spatial resolutions.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51
52 Phase and partition encoding directions can be applied for parallel encoding in
53
54 3D imaging by utilizing sensitivity variations in both encoding directions of multiple
55
56 arrays of the head coil. The controlled aliasing in parallel imaging results in higher
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 acceleration (CAIPIRINHA) method has recently been introduced ¹⁸, and modifies the
4
5
6 appearance of aliasing artifacts in parallel imaging using these modified phase encoding
7
8
9 patterns under generalized autocalibrating partially parallel acquisitions (GRAPPA) ¹⁹.
10
11
12 Reordering of phase and partition encoding is conducted in CAIPIRINHA by shifting
13
14
15 sampling positions from the normal positions in undersampling, which leads to shift
16
17
18 aliasing so that sensitivity variations based on the underlying receiver array coil can be
19
20
21 exploited efficiently ¹⁸. The CAIPIRINHA method results in further improvement in
22
23
24 parallel imaging reconstruction conditions and decreases to both noise and artifacts.
25
26
27

28
29 In this study, a 3D volumetric interpolated breath-hold examination (VIBE)
30
31
32 sequence with CAIPIRINHA (3D dynamic MR) was applied for high
33
34
35 temporal-resolution 3D dynamic pituitary studies. To evaluate the validity of 3D
36
37
38 dynamic MR, (i) a phantom study for 3D dynamic MR was conducted along with (ii) a
39
40
41 comparison study between 3D dynamic MR and conventional spin echo 2D dynamic
42
43
44 MR, with special emphasis on demarcation of the pituitary posterior lobe and stalk.
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

52 **Phantom study**

53
54
55
56
57 MR imaging for a 2% agar phantom was performed using a 3-T MR unit
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 (Magnetom Skyra; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with 32-channel head coil. Thirty
4
5
6 measurements of 3D VIBE with CAIPIRINHA were conducted. For constructing the
7
8
9 sample pattern of CAIPIRINHA in this study, a 3D GRAPPA pattern with acceleration
10
11
12 of “phase encoding direction = 2”, and “partition encoding direction = 2” was adopted
13
14
15 as the sampling pattern for CAIPIRINHA (net reduction factor of 4). The partition
16
17
18 encoding direction was sheared by “Delta Shift PAR” per line in partition, as described
19
20
21 in previous reports ¹⁸: “Delta Shift PAR = 1”, where every second phase encoding table
22
23
24 in the phase encoding direction is shifted by 1 in the partition encoding direction ¹⁸.
25
26
27 Thirty measurements of 3D VIBE without parallel imaging were also obtained for
28
29
30 calculation of the geometry factor (G-factor). The 3D VIBE sequences were performed
31
32
33 with coronal acquisition (TR, 5.5 ms; TE, 1.83 ms; flip angle, 7°; matrix, 192 × 192;
34
35
36 field of view (FOV), 183 × 183 mm; isotropic voxel of 1 mm; 52 slices; acquisition
37
38
39 time, 20s).
40
41
42

43
44 Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) was calculated in a pixel-wise manner from mean
45
46
47 signal intensity divided by standard deviation through 30 measurements ^{20, 21}. G-factor
48
49
50 was calculated from SNRs with and without parallel imaging (Fig. 1).
51
52
53

54 55 56 57 **Patients** 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65

1
2
3 This retrospective study was approved by the institutional review board. The 32
4
5
6 consecutive patients enrolled in this study (14 males, 18 females; mean age, 52.8 ± 17.2
7
8
9 years) and they had undergone dynamic MR imaging due to pituitary or parasellar
10
11
12 lesions between June 2012 and December 2012 and the final diagnosis were as follows:
13
14
15 pituitary macroadenoma (preoperative, n=6; postoperative, n=13), microadenoma (n=3),
16
17
18 Rathke's cleft cyst (n=2), meningioma (n=4), or no abnormal findings (n=4). Patients
19
20
21 with craniopharyngioma and inflammatory disease such as lymphocytic hypophysitis
22
23
24 were excluded due to the history of diabetes insipidus ²².
25
26
27

28
29 Two-dimensional (2D) dynamic MR had previously been performed for 22 of
30
31
32 the 32 patients who underwent 3D dynamic MR at our institute, since most have been
33
34
35 undergoing annual MR scans to check residual tumor size or disease condition. Matched
36
37
38 for pituitary disorders with the remaining 10 patients who had undergone 3D dynamic
39
40
41 MR only, an additional 10 patients were randomly selected from the hospital reporting
42
43
44 system, and 32 patients were thus also enrolled for 2D imaging (10 males, 22 females;
45
46
47 mean age, 52.7 ± 16.7 years).
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 **MR imaging parameters**

57 *3D dynamic MR*

58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 All 3D dynamic MR was performed using a 3-T MR unit (Magnetom Skyra,
4
5
6 Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). Dynamic studies were performed with 3D
7
8
9 VIBE-CAIPIRINHA with coronal acquisition (TR, 5.5 ms; TE, 1.83 ms; flip angle, 7°;
10
11
12 matrix, 256 × 256; FOV, 180 × 180 mm; isotropic voxel, 1 mm; 52 slices; acquisition
13
14
15 time, 20 s). A slab thickness of 52 mm was adopted so that sufficient pituitary and
16
17
18 parasellar areas must be covered to obtain better sagittal and axial multiplanar
19
20
21 reconstruction (MPR) views. The acceleration factor for the in phase encoding direction
22
23
24 was 2, the in partition encoding direction was 2, and “Delta Shift PAR” per line in
25
26
27 partition encoding was 1, corresponding to the pattern described in the phantom study.
28
29
30 Dynamic imaging started with the first precontrast image, followed by a second image
31
32
33 10 s after rapid injection (4 ml/s) of 0.1 mmol/kg of gadolinium-based MR contrast
34
35
36 agent, with four subsequent serial images obtained over 90 s at 20-s intervals (1st to 5th
37
38
39 scans: 10, 30, 50, 70, and 90 s, respectively).
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 ***2D dynamic MR***

48
49
50 All 2D dynamic MR was performed using 3-T MR units (Magnetom Skyra or
51
52
53 Magnetom Trio; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) or 1.5-T MR units (Magnetom
54
55
56 Symphony, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) prior to 3D dynamic scans (846 ± 645 days).
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 The 2D dynamic images were acquired in the coronal or sagittal plane with FSE
4
5
6 sequences (TR, 500 ms; TE, 12 ms; matrix, 256×192; echo train length, 8 s; FOV, 180 ×
7
8
9 180 mm; and acquisition time, 13 s). Four contiguous 3-mm-thick sections were imaged
10
11
12 simultaneously, so that both pituitary stalk and the dorsal region of the sella turcica
13
14
15 were imaged. Dynamic imaging started with the first precontrast image, followed by a
16
17
18 second image 15 s after rapid injection (4 ml/s) of 0.05 mmol/kg of gadolinium-based
19
20
21 MR contrast agent, with four subsequent serial images obtained over 75 s at 15-s
22
23
24 intervals (15, 30, 45, 60, and 75 s).
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 **Postimaging analysis**

32
33
34
35 Data from 3D and 2D dynamic MR were uploaded to the DICOM viewer using
36
37
38 an Aquarius iNtuition Server (TeraRecon; Foster City, CA, USA). Zero-filling
39
40
41 interpolation was automatically applied for 2D data in the slice direction on Aquarius
42
43
44 iNtuition Server. Two neuroradiologists (___, 15 years of experience; ___, 17 years of
45
46
47 experience) assessed visualization and enhancement of the posterior lobe and stalks.
48
49
50 The posterior lobe and stalk were graded as “identified” when visualization and
51
52
53 enhancement of these structures were identified in precontrast and dynamic series.
54
55
56
57 Otherwise, these structures were graded as “unidentified”. Consensus reading was
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 adopted. Region of interest (ROI) analysis of “identified” posterior lobes and stalks was
4
5
6 performed on ImageJ software (<http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/index.html>) using sagittally
7
8
9 reconstructed images with referring images of Aquarius iNtuition Server. ROI
10
11
12 comprising air in the sphenoid sinus just below the pituitary lobe was calculated so that
13
14
15 values could be used to normalize the intensity of the posterior lobe and stalk among
16
17
18 patients for all dynamic enhanced phases as follows: a) values and coefficients of
19
20
21 variance (CV) of air intensity were calculated for precontrast (Pre) and postcontrast
22
23
24 dynamic images; b) normalized values for the pituitary lobe and stalk on Pre and
25
26
27 postcontrast dynamic images were analyzed between 3D and 2D; and c) values without
28
29
30 normalization of postcontrast dynamic images divided by values from the precontrast
31
32
33 image were analyzed as the enhancement ratio between 3D and 2D.
34
35
36
37

38 The posterior lobe on MR images was defined as follows: a thin structure
39
40
41 located in the sella turcica that shows hyperintensity or intermediate intensity with
42
43
44 arterial enhancement in the patients without any history of diabetes insipidus. The
45
46
47 anterior lobe was excluded from analysis, since a normal anterior lobe was heavily
48
49
50 displaced in patients with pre- and postoperative macroscopic adenoma in this study.
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 **Statistical analysis**

58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 MedCalc version 12.2.1 software (MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium)
4
5
6 was used for statistical analysis. Repeated-measures analysis of variance was performed
7
8
9 for the air intensity comparisons of Pre and postcontrast dynamic images between 3D
10
11
12 and 2D. Welch's t test was used for normalized values for the pituitary lobe and stalk,
13
14
15 and for comparison of values from postcontrast dynamic images divided by precontrast
16
17
18 image values between 3D and 2D dynamic images. $P < 0.05$ was set as the level of
19
20
21 statistical significance.
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **RESULTS**

29 **Phantom study**

30
31
32 G-factor calculated for 3D VIBE with CAIPIRINHA is presented in Figure 1.
33
34
35
36
37
38 With CAIPIRINHA, G-factor is reduced at the central part of the image and slab, and
39
40
41 increased at the peripheral part of the image and slab (Fig. 1).
42
43
44
45
46

47 **Patient study**

48
49
50
51 The success rate of the dynamic pituitary study was 100%.
52
53
54 On 3D dynamic imaging, the stalk was identified in 29 patients and
55
56
57 unidentified in 3, while the posterior lobe was identified in 28 and unidentified in 4. On
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 2D imaging, the stalk was identified in 26 patients and unidentified in 6, while the
4
5
6 posterior lobe was identified in 15 and unidentified in 17. Representative images are
7
8
9 shown in Figures 2-4.
10

11 12 13 14 15 16 **Comparison between 3D and 2D dynamic images** 17

18
19 The results for air intensity in the sphenoid sinus just below the pituitary lobe
20
21
22 are shown in Table 1. Significant differences were seen in pre- and postcontrast dynamic
23
24
25 images (2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th) (P=0.0216, 0.009, 0.0014 and 0.0017, respectively)
26
27
28 between 3D and 2D dynamic images.
29
30

31
32 Patients with positive identification of both the posterior lobe and stalk were
33
34
35 included for comparison, comprising 28 patients from 3D imaging and 15 patients from
36
37
38 2D imaging. Normalized values of precontrast and dynamic contrast images were
39
40
41 compared at Pre, 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th between 3D and 2D dynamic images. Mean
42
43
44 and standard error are shown in Figure 5. Normalized values of the posterior lobe and
45
46
47 stalk were higher in 3D than in 2D. Significant differences were seen for all
48
49
50 comparisons (P<0.001 each).
51
52

53
54 Enhancement ratio was derived using non-normalized values from postcontrast
55
56
57 dynamic images divided by precontrast image values. Enhancement ratio of the
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 posterior lobe and stalk were compared using 1st/Pre, 2nd/Pre, 3rd/Pre, 4th/Pre and
4
5
6 5th/Pre between 3D and 2D dynamic images. No significant differences were seen for
7
8
9 any comparisons. Mean and standard error are shown on Figure 6.
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 **DISCUSSION**

17
18
19 The use of 3D dynamic pituitary MR with CAIPIRINHA clearly showed 3D
20
21
22 dynamic contrast changes in the pituitary gland. Parallel imaging was applied for both
23
24
25 phase encoding and partition encoding directions, successfully shortening the scan time
26
27
28 and improving spatial resolution. Coil sensitivity variations can be exploited more
29
30
31 efficiently in multiple dimensions using CAIPIRINHA, resulting in a more robust
32
33
34 parallel imaging reconstruction. The in-plane distribution of G-factor values was
35
36
37 relatively inhomogeneous, but higher values were found at the periphery. This might
38
39
40 also be good for the evaluation of pituitary lesions, since G-factor seemed low in central
41
42
43 parts of images in the imaging slab.
44
45
46

47
48 Normalized values of the pituitary posterior lobe and stalk were higher at 3D
49
50
51 than at 2D, but no significant differences in enhancement ratio were seen between 3D
52
53
54 and 2D. Few comparisons of the rate of positive identification of the posterior pituitary
55
56
57 lobe on 3D and 2D dynamic studies appear to have been reported. In 3D dynamic
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 pituitary MR imaging, hyperintensity of the posterior pituitary lobe on pre-contrast
4
5
6 images and enhancement of the posterior lobe were more easily recognized than in 2D
7
8
9 dynamic pituitary MR imaging because of the better spatial resolution. The 2D dynamic
10
11
12 study was acquired with a 3-mm slice thickness in the coronal plane, which by its nature
13
14
15 was unsuited for evaluation of the posterior lobe. In addition, it was often difficult for
16
17
18 2D dynamic MR imaging to cover all the pituitary lesions in cases of macroadenoma.
19
20
21 Despite better spatial and temporal resolution, fewer differences in enhanced ratio of the
22
23
24 posterior lobe and stalk were seen between 3D and 2D dynamic MR imaging.
25
26
27

28
29 Hyperintensity of the pituitary posterior lobe was not identified for 4 cases in
30
31 this study. Failure to identify the posterior pituitary lobe might occur for patients
32
33
34 without diabetes insipidus ²³. Postoperative posterior hyperintensity is sometimes
35
36
37 difficult to identify due to hematoma or previous surgical procedures ²⁴. Patients with a
38
39
40 history of surgery, irradiation, or treatment with medications such as dopamine receptor
41
42
43 agonists were included in the present study, and the posterior pituitary lobes were
44
45
46 invisible even on routine 3D sagittal T1 sequences for all patients with negative
47
48
49 identification on 3D dynamic MR imaging.
50
51
52

53
54 Superior hypophyseal arteries, inferior hypophyseal arteries and trabecular
55
56
57 artery are known to supply arterial blood to the pituitary gland, entering the pars
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 nervosa or posterior gland. Some of the arterial branches form a capillary mesh in the
4
5
6 region of the median eminence, with venules extending into the pars tuberalis and then
7
8
9 the anterior lobe and forming the hypophyseal portal system. Dynamic studies provide
10
11
12 temporal and spatial dynamic information on the complicated vascular supply of the
13
14
15 pituitary gland. Dynamic MR studies also visualize response to stimulation with
16
17
18 hypothalamic releasing hormones, showing increased enhancement of the pituitary
19
20
21 gland ²⁵. Use of 3D dynamic CT of the pituitary is reportedly superior to MR imaging
22
23
24 for assessing lateral tumor margins and the sellar floor at the sphenoid sinus ²⁶, but
25
26
27 multiple irradiation exposures remain controversial. The previous study performed
28
29
30 alternative acquisitions in several planes to obtain sagittal and coronal information on
31
32
33 the pituitary gland ²⁷, whereas 3D dynamic pituitary MR with CAIPIRINHA in this
34
35
36 study was suitably performed with acceptable temporal and spatial resolution.
37
38
39
40

41 Several limitations in this study must be considered. The duration of dynamic
42
43
44 scanning differs between 3D and 2D dynamic studies. Use of the same imaging time as
45
46
47 2D dynamic MR results in a narrower range of coverage for 3D dynamic MR. We chose
48
49
50 wider coverage for 3D dynamic MR so that better MPR images could be obtained.
51
52
53
54 Second, the ROI of air in the sphenoid sinus just below the pituitary lobe was adopted
55
56
57 for normalization. ROI of the pons has been adopted for comparison in previous studies
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 because of signal intensity invariance ^{7,28}, but both 3D and 2D dynamic images failed to
4
5
6 cover the pons. Furthermore, tissue adjacent to the pituitary should be referred to in the
7
8
9 context of parallel imaging due to the complicated sampling reduction, with a net
10
11
12 reduction factor of 4, applied in the CAIPIRINHA method in this study. The G-factor of
13
14
15 the phantom revealed the great differences between central and peripheral parts of the
16
17
18 image and the partition, so comparisons should be performed with the structure located
19
20
21 in the central part of the image and partition. Two-region approach should not be used
22
23
24 for SNR calculation for parallel imaging ²⁰, and the signal of the pituitary was compared
25
26
27 with air just below the pituitary lobe although the CV of air intensity was relatively
28
29
30 large on 3D imaging. Third, identification of microadenoma was not performed in this
31
32
33 study. More clinical research is needed to evaluate the efficiency of identifying
34
35
36 microadenoma using 3D dynamic MR.
37
38
39
40
41

42 In conclusion, 3D dynamic pituitary MR provided better identification of
43
44
45 posterior lobe and stalk than 2D, normalized values for pituitary posterior lobe and stalk
46
47
48 were higher on 3D than on 2D, and no significant difference was seen concerning
49
50
51 enhancement ratio between 3D and 2D.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

REFERENCES

- [1] Miki Y, Matsuo M, Nishizawa S, et al. Pituitary adenomas and normal pituitary tissue: enhancement patterns on gadopentetate-enhanced MR imaging. *Radiology* 1990;177(1):35-8.
- [2] Sakamoto Y, Takahashi M, Korogi Y, Bussaka H, Ushio Y. Normal and abnormal pituitary glands: gadopentetate dimeglumine-enhanced MR imaging. *Radiology* 1991;178(2):441-5.
- [3] Miki Y, Asato R, Okumura R, Hua F, Konishi J. Contrast enhanced area of posterior pituitary gland in early dynamic MRI exceeds hyperintense area on T1-weighted images. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 1992;16(6):845-8.
- [4] Bartynski WS, Lin L. Dynamic and conventional spin-echo MR of pituitary microlesions. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1997;18(5):965-72.
- [5] Friedman TC, Zuckerbraun E, Lee ML, Kabil MS, Shahinian H. Dynamic pituitary MRI has high sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of mild Cushing's syndrome and should be part of the initial workup. *Horm Metab Res* 2007;39(6):451-6.
- [6] Portocarrero-Ortiz L, Bonifacio-Delgadillo D, Sotomayor-Gonzalez A, Garcia-Marquez A, Lopez-Serna R. A modified protocol using half-dose gadolinium in dynamic 3-Tesla magnetic resonance imaging for detection of ACTH-secreting pituitary tumors. *Pituitary* 2010;13(3):230-5.
- [7] Takahashi T, Miki Y, Takahashi JA, et al. Ectopic posterior pituitary high signal in preoperative and postoperative macroadenomas: dynamic MR imaging. *Eur J Radiol* 2005;55(1):84-91.
- [8] Wang CY, Chung HW, Cho NY, et al. Idiopathic growth hormone deficiency in the morphologically normal pituitary gland is associated with perfusion delay. *Radiology* 2011;258(1):213-21.
- [9] Haque TL, Miki Y, Kashii S, et al. Dynamic MR imaging in Tolosa-Hunt syndrome. *Eur J Radiol* 2004;51(3):209-17.
- [10] Suzuki M, Matsui O, Ueda F, et al. Dynamic MR imaging for diagnosis of lesions adjacent to pituitary gland. *Eur J Radiol* 2005;53(2):159-67.
- [11] Shigematsu Y, Korogi Y, Kitajima M, et al. Abnormal perfusion of the pituitary gland secondary to dural arteriovenous fistulas in the cavernous sinus: dynamic MR findings. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2003;24(5):930-6.
- [12] Gao R, Isoda H, Tanaka T, et al. Dynamic gadolinium-enhanced MR imaging of pituitary adenomas: usefulness of sequential sagittal and coronal plane images. *Eur*

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- J Radiol 2001;39(3):139-46.
- [13] Sato N, Sze G, Endo K. Hypophysitis: endocrinologic and dynamic MR findings. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1998;19(3):439-44.
- [14] Phatouros CC, Higashida RT, Malek AM, Smith WS, Dowd CF, Halbach VV. Embolization of the meningohypophyseal trunk as a cause of diabetes insipidus. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1999;20(6):1115-8.
- [15] Maghnie M, Altobelli M, Di Iorgi N, et al. Idiopathic central diabetes insipidus is associated with abnormal blood supply to the posterior pituitary gland caused by vascular impairment of the inferior hypophyseal artery system. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2004;89(4):1891-6.
- [16] Stadnik T, Stevenaert A, Beckers A, Luypaert R, Buisseret T, Osteaux M. Pituitary microadenomas: diagnosis with two-and three-dimensional MR imaging at 1.5 T before and after injection of gadolinium. *Radiology* 1990;176(2):419-28.
- [17] Ringstad GA, Emblem KE, Holland D, Dale AM, Bjornerud A, Hald JK. Assessment of pituitary adenoma volumetric change using longitudinal MR image registration. *Neuroradiology* 2012;54(5):435-43.
- [18] Breuer FA, Blaimer M, Mueller MF, et al. Controlled aliasing in volumetric parallel imaging (2D CAIPIRINHA). *Magn Reson Med* 2006;55(3):549-56.
- [19] Griswold MA, Jakob PM, Heidemann RM, et al. Generalized autocalibrating partially parallel acquisitions (GRAPPA). *Magn Reson Med* 2002;47(6):1202-10.
- [20] Dietrich O, Raya JG, Reeder SB, Reiser MF, Schoenberg SO. Measurement of signal-to-noise ratios in MR images: influence of multichannel coils, parallel imaging, and reconstruction filters. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2007;26(2):375-85.
- [21] Goerner FL, Clarke GD. Measuring signal-to-noise ratio in partially parallel imaging MRI. *Med Phys* 2011;38(9):5049-57.
- [22] Saeki N, Tokunaga H, Wagai N, et al. MRI of ectopic posterior pituitary bright spot with large adenomas: appearances and relationship to transient postoperative diabetes insipidus. *Neuroradiology* 2003;45(10):713-6.
- [23] Brooks BS, el Gammal T, Allison JD, Hoffman WH. Frequency and variation of the posterior pituitary bright signal on MR images. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1989;153(5):1033-8.
- [24] Saeki N, Hayasaka M, Murai H, et al. Posterior pituitary bright spot in large adenomas: MR assessment of its disappearance or relocation along the stalk. *Radiology* 2003;226(2):359-65.
- [25] Maier C, Riedl M, Clodi M, et al. Dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging of the stimulated pituitary gland. *Neuroimage* 2004;22(1):347-52.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

[26] Miki Y, Kanagaki M, Takahashi JA, et al. Evaluation of pituitary macroadenomas with multidetector-row CT (MDCT): comparison with MR imaging. *Neuroradiology* 2007;49(4):327-33.

[27] Lee HB, Kim ST, Kim HJ, et al. Usefulness of the dynamic gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging with simultaneous acquisition of coronal and sagittal planes for detection of pituitary microadenomas. *Eur Radiol* 2012;22(3):514-8.

[28] Kitamura E, Miki Y, Kawai M, et al. T1 signal intensity and height of the anterior pituitary in neonates: correlation with postnatal time. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2008;29(7):1257-60.

1
2
3 **TABLES**
4
5

6 **Table 1.**
7

8
9
10 Comparison of air intensity in the sphenoid sinus just below the pituitary lobe between
11
12
13 3D and 2D.
14
15
16
17
18
19

20

	3D	2D
Pre	31.58 ± 8.36 (26.46)	79.73 ± 10.21 (12.80)
1st	32.44 ± 8.3 (25.58)	82.74 ± 10.53 (12.72)
2nd	34.06 ± 8.62 (25.32)	82.41 ± 11.21 (13.6)
3rd	34.24 ± 8.17 (23.85)	82.3 ± 11.24 (13.65)
4th	35.1 ± 8.25 (23.51)	81.38 ± 11.23 (13.79)
5th	34.91 ± 7.71 (22.1)	82.21 ± 12.05 (14.66)

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Mean and standard deviation of the air in the sphenoid sinus just below the pituitary
46
47
48 lobe are shown. Parenthesis represents coefficient of variance.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**
4
5

6 **Fig. 1**
7

8
9 Phantom results. SNR image was calculated in a pixel-wise manner from mean
10 signal intensity divided by standard deviation through 30 measurements. G-factor was
11 then calculated from SNR image of 3D VIBE with CAIPIRINHA and 3D VIBE without
12 parallel imaging. G-factor image is shown with MPR coronal (left), sagittal (right
13 upper) and axial (right lower) sections. CAIPIRINHA contributes to G-factor reduction
14 in the central part of the image and slab, whereas G-factor was increased at the
15 peripheral part of the image and slab.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31
32
33
34 **Fig. 2**
35

36 A 23-year-old woman. Precontrast (left column), dynamic 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th
37 images from 3D dynamic MR are shown from left to right. Coronal (upper row), axial
38 (middle row) and sagittal (lower row) MPR images are shown. Three-dimensional
39 dynamic MR was performed because of moderate hyperprolactinemia. A dynamically
40 enhanced pituitary stalk is displayed (arrows). A hyperintense posterior lobe was
41 recognized and dynamic images show enhancement of the posterior lobe (arrowheads)
42 and subsequent enhancement of the anterior lobe.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6 **Fig. 3**
7

8
9 A 22-year-old female, the same patient as in Figure 2. Precontrast (left column),
10
11 dynamic 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th images from 2D dynamic MR are shown from left to
12
13 right. Coronal (upper row), axial (middle row) and sagittal (lower row) MPR images are
14
15 shown. Two-dimensional dynamic MR was performed 1 year before 3D dynamic MR
16
17
18
19 (Fig. 2). Arrows, pituitary stalk; arrowheads, posterior lobe.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **Fig. 4**
29

30
31 A 55-year-old man, in a follow-up study for postoperative state of macroadenoma.
32
33
34 Precontrast (left column), dynamic 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th images are shown for 3D
35
36
37 dynamic MR from left to right. Coronal (upper row), axial (middle row) and sagittal
38
39 (lower row) MPR images are shown. White arrows, posterior lobe; black arrows, stalk.
40
41
42
43
44 Residual tumor infiltrating the right cavernous sinus is clearly visualized.
45
46
47
48
49

50 **Fig. 5**
51

52
53 Values from precontrast (Pre) and dynamic contrast images (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th)
54
55
56
57 are shown for 3D (a) and 2D dynamic images (b) for patients with positive
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 identification of both posterior lobe and stalk (28 patients for 3D, 15 patients for 2D).
4
5

6 Error bar represents standard error.
7
8
9

10
11
12 **Fig. 6**
13

14
15
16 Enhancement ratio of the posterior lobe and stalk compared by 1st/Pre, 2nd/Pre, 3rd/Pre,
17
18
19 4th/Pre and 5th/Pre between 3D (a) and 2D dynamic images (b). Error bar represents
20
21
22 standard error.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure 1
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 2
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 3
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



Figure 4
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 5




Figure 5

Values from precontrast (Pre) and dynamic contrast images (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th) are shown for 3D (a) and 2D dynamic images (b) for patients with positive identification of both posterior lobe and stalk (28 patients for 3D, 15 patients for 2D). Error bar represents standard error.




Figure 6
 Enhancement ratio of the posterior lobe and stalk compared by 1st/Pre, 2nd/Pre, 3rd/Pre, 4th/Pre and 5th/Pre between 3D (a) and 2D dynamic images (b). Error bar represents standard error.