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3D dynamic pituitary MR imaging with CAIPRINHA: initial experience and comparison with 2D dynamic MR imaging
ABSTRACT

Objectives: To evaluate the validity of 3D dynamic pituitary MR imaging with controlled aliasing in parallel imaging results in higher acceleration (CAIPIRINHA), with special emphasis on demarcation of pituitary posterior lobe and stalk.

Methods: Participants comprised 32 patients who underwent dynamic pituitary MR imaging due to pituitary or parasellar lesions. 3D dynamic MR with CAIPIRINHA was performed at 3T with 20-s-interval, precontrast, 1st to 5th dynamic images. Normalized values and enhanced ratios (dynamic postcontrast image values divided by precontrast ones) were compared between 3D and 2D dynamic MR imaging for patients with visual identification of posterior lobe and stalk.

Results: In 3D, stalk was identified in 29 patients and unidentified in 3, and posterior lobe was identified in 28 and unidentified in 4. In 2D, stalk was identified in 26 patients and unidentified in 6 patients, and posterior lobe was identified in 15 and unidentified in 17. Normalized values of pituitary posterior lobe and stalk were higher in 3D than 2D (P<0.001). No significant difference in enhancement ratio was seen between 3D and 2D.

Conclusions: 3D dynamic pituitary MR provided better identification and higher normalized values of pituitary posterior lobe and stalk than 2D.
INTRODUCTION

Dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging of pituitary adenomas has been widely used in clinical practice\textsuperscript{1-3}. The normal pituitary typically enhances within the first 1-2 min after contrast injection and shows an enhancement pattern consistent with the vascular architecture of the pituitary, with the posterior lobe enhancing earlier than the anterior lobe. Dynamic MR imaging has provided beneficial information for various situations by demarcating normal pituitary gland: microadenomas\textsuperscript{1,4}, Cushing disease\textsuperscript{5,6}, ectopic pituitary gland\textsuperscript{7}, idiopathic growth hormone deficiency\textsuperscript{8}, Tolosa-Hunt syndrome\textsuperscript{9}, and adjacent tissues\textsuperscript{10}. Asymmetrical pituitary enhancement on dynamic MR imaging is also observed due to localized venous congestion caused by cavernous sinus arteriovenous fistula\textsuperscript{11}.

Dynamic pituitary MR imaging has usually been performed in coronal planes rather than sagittal planes\textsuperscript{1}. Small lesions between slices, or lesions located at the far anterior or far posterior aspect of the pituitary glands, might be overlooked on MR imaging using only coronal planes. The use of consecutive coronal and sagittal dynamic images to acquire superior diagnostic accuracy rate for pituitary microadenomas in comparison to imaging using only coronal planes has been reported\textsuperscript{12}, but required a
double or triple volume of contrast medium for the second injection to overcome the
contrast induced by the first injection of contrast medium.

Sagittal dynamic MR enables evaluation of the posterior lobe, which
demonstrates a lack of early enhancement in lymphocytic hypophysitis probably due to
secondary inflammatory changes in some pediatric patients with central diabetes
insipidus. Central diabetes insipidus has been associated with embolization of the
meningohypophyseal trunk of the internal carotid artery, systemic fat embolism and
transient ischemic attack, suggesting the importance of recognizing posterior lobe
elevation.

Pre- and post-contrast 3D gradient-echo imaging techniques provide better
spatial resolution of pituitary lesions and adjacent structures with thin slices that can be
reformatted in orthogonal directions for the detection of pituitary microadenomas.
Accurate volumetric changes in pituitary adenoma have been assessed using
longitudinal MR image registration of 3D images. However, 3D dynamic imaging
suffers from a tradeoff between temporal and spatial resolutions.

Phase and partition encoding directions can be applied for parallel encoding in
3D imaging by utilizing sensitivity variations in both encoding directions of multiple
arrays of the head coil. The controlled aliasing in parallel imaging results in higher
acceleration (CAIPIRINHA) method has recently been introduced \(^{18}\), and modifies the appearance of aliasing artifacts in parallel imaging using these modified phase encoding patterns under generalized autocalibrating partially parallel acquisitions (GRAPPA) \(^{19}\). Reordering of phase and partition encoding is conducted in CAIPIRINHA by shifting sampling positions from the normal positions in undersampling, which leads to shift aliasing so that sensitivity variations based on the underlying receiver array coil can be exploited efficiently \(^{18}\). The CAIPIRINHA method results in further improvement in parallel imaging reconstruction conditions and decreases to both noise and artifacts.

In this study, a 3D volumetric interpolated breath-hold examination (VIBE) sequence with CAIPIRINHA (3D dynamic MR) was applied for high temporal-resolution 3D dynamic pituitary studies. To evaluate the validity of 3D dynamic MR, (i) a phantom study for 3D dynamic MR was conducted along with (ii) a comparison study between 3D dynamic MR and conventional spin echo 2D dynamic MR, with special emphasis on demarcation of the pituitary posterior lobe and stalk.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Phantom study**

MR imaging for a 2% agar phantom was performed using a 3-T MR unit
(Magnetom Skyra; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with 32-channel head coil. Thirty measurements of 3D VIBE with CAIPIRINHA were conducted. For constructing the sample pattern of CAIPIRINHA in this study, a 3D GRAPPA pattern with acceleration of “phase encoding direction = 2”, and “partition encoding direction = 2” was adopted as the sampling pattern for CAIPIRINHA (net reduction factor of 4). The partition encoding direction was sheared by “Delta Shift PAR” per line in partition, as described in previous reports: “Delta Shift PAR = 1”, where every second phase encoding table in the phase encoding direction is shifted by 1 in the partition encoding direction. Thirty measurements of 3D VIBE without parallel imaging were also obtained for calculation of the geometry factor (G-factor). The 3D VIBE sequences were performed with coronal acquisition (TR, 5.5 ms; TE, 1.83 ms; flip angle, 7°; matrix, 192 × 192; field of view (FOV), 183 × 183 mm; isotropic voxel of 1 mm; 52 slices; acquisition time, 20s).

Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) was calculated in a pixel-wise manner from mean signal intensity divided by standard deviation through 30 measurements. G-factor was calculated from SNRs with and without parallel imaging (Fig. 1).

Patients
This retrospective study was approved by the institutional review board. The 32 consecutive patients enrolled in this study (14 males, 18 females; mean age, 52.8 ± 17.2 years) and they had undergone dynamic MR imaging due to pituitary or parasellar lesions between June 2012 and December 2012 and the final diagnosis were as follows: pituitary macroadenoma (preoperative, n=6; postoperative, n=13), microadenoma (n=3), Rathke’s cleft cyst (n=2), meningioma (n=4), or no abnormal findings (n=4). Patients with craniopharyngioma and inflammatory disease such as lymphocytic hypophysitis were excluded due to the history of diabetes insipidus 22.

Two-dimensional (2D) dynamic MR had previously been performed for 22 of the 32 patients who underwent 3D dynamic MR at our institute, since most have been undergoing annual MR scans to check residual tumor size or disease condition. Matched for pituitary disorders with the remaining 10 patients who had undergone 3D dynamic MR only, an additional 10 patients were randomly selected from the hospital reporting system, and 32 patients were thus also enrolled for 2D imaging (10 males, 22 females; mean age, 52.7 ± 16.7 years).

**MR imaging parameters**

*3D dynamic MR*
All 3D dynamic MR was performed using a 3-T MR unit (Magnetom Skyra, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). Dynamic studies were performed with 3D VIBE-CAIPIRINHA with coronal acquisition (TR, 5.5 ms; TE, 1.83 ms; flip angle, 7°; matrix, 256 × 256; FOV, 180 × 180 mm; isotropic voxel, 1 mm; 52 slices; acquisition time, 20 s). A slab thickness of 52 mm was adopted so that sufficient pituitary and parasellar areas must be covered to obtain better sagittal and axial multiplanar reconstruction (MPR) views. The acceleration factor for the in phase encoding direction was 2, the in partition encoding direction was 2, and “Delta Shift PAR” per line in partition encoding was 1, corresponding to the pattern described in the phantom study. Dynamic imaging started with the first precontrast image, followed by a second image 10 s after rapid injection (4 ml/s) of 0.1 mmol/kg of gadolinium-based MR contrast agent, with four subsequent serial images obtained over 90 s at 20-s intervals (1st to 5th scans: 10, 30, 50, 70, and 90 s, respectively).

2D dynamic MR

All 2D dynamic MR was performed using 3-T MR units (Magnetom Skyra or Magnetom Trio; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) or 1.5-T MR units (Magnetom Symphony, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) prior to 3D dynamic scans (846 ± 645 days).
The 2D dynamic images were acquired in the coronal or sagittal plane with FSE sequences (TR, 500 ms; TE, 12 ms; matrix, 256x192; echo train length, 8 s; FOV, 180 × 180 mm; and acquisition time, 13 s). Four contiguous 3-mm-thick sections were imaged simultaneously, so that both pituitary stalk and the dorsal region of the sella turcica were imaged. Dynamic imaging started with the first precontrast image, followed by a second image 15 s after rapid injection (4 ml/s) of 0.05 mmol/kg of gadolinium-based MR contrast agent, with four subsequent serial images obtained over 75 s at 15-s intervals (15, 30, 45, 60, and 75 s).

Postimaging analysis

Data from 3D and 2D dynamic MR were uploaded to the DICOM viewer using an Aquarius iNtuition Server (TeraRecon; Foster City, CA, USA). Zero-filling interpolation was automatically applied for 2D data in the slice direction on Aquarius iNtuition Server. Two neuroradiologists (__, 15 years of experience; __, 17 years of experience) assessed visualization and enhancement of the posterior lobe and stalks. The posterior lobe and stalk were graded as “identified” when visualization and enhancement of these structures were identified in precontrast and dynamic series. Otherwise, these structures were graded as “unidentified”. Consensus reading was
adopted. Region of interest (ROI) analysis of “identified” posterior lobes and stalks was performed on ImageJ software ([http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/index.html](http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/index.html)) using sagittally reconstructed images with referring images of Aquarius iNtuiion Server. ROI comprising air in the sphenoid sinus just below the pituitary lobe was calculated so that values could be used to normalize the intensity of the posterior lobe and stalk among patients for all dynamic enhanced phases as follows: a) values and coefficients of variance (CV) of air intensity were calculated for precontrast (Pre) and postcontrast dynamic images; b) normalized values for the pituitary lobe and stalk on Pre and postcontrast dynamic images were analyzed between 3D and 2D; and c) values without normalization of postcontrast dynamic images divided by values from the precontrast image were analyzed as the enhancement ratio between 3D and 2D.

The posterior lobe on MR images was defined as follows: a thin structure located in the sella turcica that shows hyperintensity or intermediate intensity with arterial enhancement in the patients without any history of diabetes insipidus. The anterior lobe was excluded from analysis, since a normal anterior lobe was heavily displaced in patients with pre- and postoperative macroscopic adenoma in this study.

**Statistical analysis**
MedCalc version 12.2.1 software (MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium) was used for statistical analysis. Repeated-measures analysis of variance was performed for the air intensity comparisons of Pre and postcontrast dynamic images between 3D and 2D. Welch's t test was used for normalized values for the pituitary lobe and stalk, and for comparison of values from postcontrast dynamic images divided by precontrast image values between 3D and 2D dynamic images. P<0.05 was set as the level of statistical significance.

RESULTS

Phantom study

G-factor calculated for 3D VIBE with CAIPRINHA is presented in Figure 1. With CAIPRINHA, G-factor is reduced at the central part of the image and slab, and increased at the peripheral part of the image and slab (Fig. 1).

Patient study

The success rate of the dynamic pituitary study was 100%.

On 3D dynamic imaging, the stalk was identified in 29 patients and unidentified in 3, while the posterior lobe was identified in 28 and unidentified in 4. On
2D imaging, the stalk was identified in 26 patients and unidentified in 6, while the posterior lobe was identified in 15 and unidentified in 17. Representative images are shown in Figures 2-4.

**Comparison between 3D and 2D dynamic images**

The results for air intensity in the sphenoid sinus just below the pituitary lobe are shown in Table 1. Significant differences were seen in pre- and postcontrast dynamic images (2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th) (P=0.0216, 0.009, 0.0014 and 0.0017, respectively) between 3D and 2D dynamic images.

Patients with positive identification of both the posterior lobe and stalk were included for comparison, comprising 28 patients from 3D imaging and 15 patients from 2D imaging. Normalized values of precontrast and dynamic contrast images were compared at Pre, 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th between 3D and 2D dynamic images. Mean and standard error are shown in Figure 5. Normalized values of the posterior lobe and stalk were higher in 3D than in 2D. Significant differences were seen for all comparisons (P<0.001 each).

Enhancement ratio was derived using non-normalized values from postcontrast dynamic images divided by precontrast image values. Enhancement ratio of the
posterior lobe and stalk were compared using 1st/Pre, 2nd/Pre, 3rd/Pre, 4th/Pre and 5th/Pre between 3D and 2D dynamic images. No significant differences were seen for any comparisons. Mean and standard error are shown on Figure 6.

DISCUSSION

The use of 3D dynamic pituitary MR with CAIPIRINHA clearly showed 3D dynamic contrast changes in the pituitary gland. Parallel imaging was applied for both phase encoding and partition encoding directions, successfully shortening the scan time and improving spatial resolution. Coil sensitivity variations can be exploited more efficiently in multiple dimensions using CAIPIRINHA, resulting in a more robust parallel imaging reconstruction. The in-plane distribution of G-factor values was relatively inhomogeneous, but higher values were found at the periphery. This might also be good for the evaluation of pituitary lesions, since G-factor seemed low in central parts of images in the imaging slab.

Normalized values of the pituitary posterior lobe and stalk were higher at 3D than at 2D, but no significant differences in enhancement ratio were seen between 3D and 2D. Few comparisons of the rate of positive identification of the posterior pituitary lobe on 3D and 2D dynamic studies appear to have been reported. In 3D dynamic
pituitary MR imaging, hyperintensity of the posterior pituitary lobe on pre-contrast images and enhancement of the posterior lobe were more easily recognized than in 2D dynamic pituitary MR imaging because of the better spatial resolution. The 2D dynamic study was acquired with a 3-mm slice thickness in the coronal plane, which by its nature was unsuited for evaluation of the posterior lobe. In addition, it was often difficult for 2D dynamic MR imaging to cover all the pituitary lesions in cases of macroadenoma. Despite better spatial and temporal resolution, fewer differences in enhanced ratio of the posterior lobe and stalk were seen between 3D and 2D dynamic MR imaging.

Hyperintensity of the pituitary posterior lobe was not identified for 4 cases in this study. Failure to identify the posterior pituitary lobe might occur for patients without diabetes insipidus. Postoperative posterior hyperintensity is sometimes difficult to identify due to hematoma or previous surgical procedures. Patients with a history of surgery, irradiation, or treatment with medications such as dopamine receptor agonists were included in the present study, and the posterior pituitary lobes were invisible even on routine 3D sagittal T1 sequences for all patients with negative identification on 3D dynamic MR imaging.

Superior hypophyseal arteries, inferior hypophyseal arteries and trabecular artery are known to supply arterial blood to the pituitary gland, entering the pars
nervosa or posterior gland. Some of the arterial branches form a capillary mesh in the region of the median eminence, with venules extending into the pars tuberalis and then the anterior lobe and forming the hypophyseal portal system. Dynamic studies provide temporal and spatial dynamic information on the complicated vascular supply of the pituitary gland. Dynamic MR studies also visualize response to stimulation with hypothalamic releasing hormones, showing increased enhancement of the pituitary gland \(^{25}\). Use of 3D dynamic CT of the pituitary is reportedly superior to MR imaging for assessing lateral tumor margins and the sellar floor at the sphenoid sinus \(^{26}\), but multiple irradiation exposures remain controversial. The previous study performed alternative acquisitions in several planes to obtain sagittal and coronal information on the pituitary gland \(^{27}\), whereas 3D dynamic pituitary MR with CAIPIRINHA in this study was suitably performed with acceptable temporal and spatial resolution.

Several limitations in this study must be considered. The duration of dynamic scanning differs between 3D and 2D dynamic studies. Use of the same imaging time as 2D dynamic MR results in a narrower range of coverage for 3D dynamic MR. We chose wider coverage for 3D dynamic MR so that better MPR images could be obtained. Second, the ROI of air in the sphenoid sinus just below the pituitary lobe was adopted for normalization. ROI of the pons has been adopted for comparison in previous studies.
because of signal intensity invariance, but both 3D and 2D dynamic images failed to cover the pons. Furthermore, tissue adjacent to the pituitary should be referred to in the context of parallel imaging due to the complicated sampling reduction, with a net reduction factor of 4, applied in the CAIPIRINHA method in this study. The G-factor of the phantom revealed the great differences between central and peripheral parts of the image and the partition, so comparisons should be performed with the structure located in the central part of the image and partition. Two-region approach should not be used for SNR calculation for parallel imaging, and the signal of the pituitary was compared with air just below the pituitary lobe although the CV of air intensity was relatively large on 3D imaging. Third, identification of microadenoma was not performed in this study. More clinical research is needed to evaluate the efficiency of identifying microadenoma using 3D dynamic MR.

In conclusion, 3D dynamic pituitary MR provided better identification of posterior lobe and stalk than 2D, normalized values for pituitary posterior lobe and stalk were higher on 3D than on 2D, and no significant difference was seen concerning enhancement ratio between 3D and 2D.
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### TABLES

#### Table 1.

Comparison of air intensity in the sphenoid sinus just below the pituitary lobe between 3D and 2D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3D</th>
<th>2D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>31.58 ± 8.36 (26.46)</td>
<td>79.73 ± 10.21 (12.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>32.44 ± 8.3 (25.58)</td>
<td>82.74 ± 10.53 (12.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>34.06 ± 8.62 (25.32)</td>
<td>82.41 ± 11.21 (13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>34.24 ± 8.17 (23.85)</td>
<td>82.3 ± 11.24 (13.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>35.1 ± 8.25 (23.51)</td>
<td>81.38 ± 11.23 (13.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>34.91 ± 7.71 (22.1)</td>
<td>82.21 ± 12.05 (14.66)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean and standard deviation of the air in the sphenoid sinus just below the pituitary lobe are shown. Parenthesis represents coefficient of variance.
FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1

Phantom results. SNR image was calculated in a pixel-wise manner from mean signal intensity divided by standard deviation through 30 measurements. G-factor was then calculated from SNR image of 3D VIBE with CAIPIRINHA and 3D VIBE without parallel imaging. G-factor image is shown with MPR coronal (left), sagittal (right upper) and axial (right lower) sections. CAIPIRINHA contributes to G-factor reduction in the central part of the image and slab, whereas G-factor was increased at the peripheral part of the image and slab.

Fig. 2

A 23-year-old woman. Precontrast (left column), dynamic 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th images from 3D dynamic MR are shown from left to right. Coronal (upper row), axial (middle row) and sagittal (lower row) MPR images are shown. Three-dimensional dynamic MR was performed because of moderate hyperprolactinemia. A dynamically enhanced pituitary stalk is displayed (arrows). A hyperintense posterior lobe was recognized and dynamic images show enhancement of the posterior lobe (arrowheads) and subsequent enhancement of the anterior lobe.
Fig. 3

A 22-year-old female, the same patient as in Figure 2. Precontrast (left column),

dynamic 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th images from 2D dynamic MR are shown from left to

right. Coronal (upper row), axial (middle row) and sagittal (lower row) MPR images are

shown. Two-dimensional dynamic MR was performed 1 year before 3D dynamic MR

(Fig. 2). Arrows, pituitary stalk; arrowheads, posterior lobe.

Fig. 4

A 55-year-old man, in a follow-up study for postoperative state of macroadenoma.

Precontrast (left column), dynamic 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th images are shown for 3D

dynamic MR from left to right. Coronal (upper row), axial (middle row) and sagittal

(lower row) MPR images are shown. White arrows, posterior lobe; black arrows, stalk.

Residual tumor infiltrating the right cavernous sinus is clearly visualized.

Fig. 5

Values from precontrast (Pre) and dynamic contrast images (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th)

are shown for 3D (a) and 2D dynamic images (b) for patients with positive
identification of both posterior lobe and stalk (28 patients for 3D, 15 patients for 2D).

Error bar represents standard error.

**Fig. 6**

Enhancement ratio of the posterior lobe and stalk compared by 1st/Pre, 2nd/Pre, 3rd/Pre, 4th/Pre and 5th/Pre between 3D (a) and 2D dynamic images (b). Error bar represents standard error.
Figure 3
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Figure 5

Values from precontrast (Pre) and dynamic contrast images (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th) are shown for 3D (a) and 2D dynamic images (b) for patients with positive identification of both posterior lobe and stalk (28 patients for 3D, 15 patients for 2D). Error bar represents standard error.
Figure 6
Enhancement ratio of the posterior lobe and stalk compared by 1st/Pre, 2nd/Pre, 3rd/Pre, 4th/Pre and 5th/Pre between 3D (a) and 2D dynamic images (b). Error bar represents standard error.