Discrete Signal Reconstruction by Sum of Absolute Values

Masaaki Nagahara, Senior Member, IEEE,

Abstract—In this letter, we consider a problem of reconstructing an unknown discrete signal taking values in a finite alphabet from incomplete linear measurements. The difficulty of this problem is that the computational complexity of the reconstruction is exponential as it is. To overcome this difficulty, we extend the idea of compressed sensing, and propose to solve the problem by minimizing the sum of weighted absolute values. We assume that the probability distribution defined on an alphabet is known, and formulate the reconstruction problem as linear programming. Examples are shown to illustrate that the proposed method is effective.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Signal reconstruction is a fundamental problem in signal processing. Recently, a paradigm called compressed sensing [1], [2], [3] has been proposed for signal reconstruction from incomplete measurements. The idea of compressed sensing is to utilize the property of sparsity in the original signal; if the original signal is sufficiently sparse, practical algorithms such as the basis pursuit [4], the orthogonal matching pursuit [5], etc. may give exact recovery under an assumption on the measurement matrix (see e.g. [3]).

On the other hand, it is also important to reconstruct discrete signals whose elements are generated from a finite alphabet with a known probability distribution. This type of reconstruction, called discrete signal reconstruction, arises in black-and-white or grayscale image reconstruction [6], [7], blind estimation in digital communications [8], machine-type multi-user communications [9], discrete control signal design [10], to name a few.

The difficulty of discrete signal reconstruction is that the reconstruction has a combinatorial nature and the computational time becomes exponential. For example, 200-dimensional signal reconstruction with two symbols (i.e. binary signal reconstruction) needs at worst about $1.5 \times 10^{36}$ years with a computer of 34 peta FLOPS (see Section II below), which cannot be executed, obviously.

To overcome this difficulty, we borrow the idea of compressed sensing based on $\ell^1$ optimization as used in the basis pursuit [4]. Our idea is that if the original discrete signal, say $x$, includes $L$ symbols $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_L$, then each vector $x - r_i$ is sparse. For example, a binary vector $x$ on alphabet $\{1, -1\}$ includes a number of 1 and $-1$, and hence both $x - 1$ and $x + 1$ are sparse. To recover such a discrete signal, we propose to minimize the sum of weighted absolute values of the elements in $x - r_i$. The weights are determined by the probability distribution on the alphabet. The problem is reduced to a standard linear programming problem, and effectively solved by numerical softwares such as cvx in MATLAB [11], [12].

For discrete signal reconstruction, there have been researches based on compressed sensing, called integer compressed sensing: [13] has proposed a Bayesian-based method, which works only for binary sparse signals (i.e., 0-1 valued signals that contain many 0’s). [9] considers arbitrary finite alphabet that contains 0. More recently, motivated by decision feedback equalization, [14] proposes to use $\ell^1$ optimization for discrete signal estimation under the assumption of sparsity. [15], [16], [7] also propose methods based on the finiteness of the measurement matrix (i.e., the elements of the measurement matrix are also in a finite alphabet). As mentioned in [15], this type of integer compressed sensing is connected with error correcting coding. Compared with these researches, the proposed method in this paper considers arbitrary finite alphabet that does not necessarily contain 0.

The remainder of this letter is organized as follows: Section II formulates the problem of discrete signal reconstruction and discusses the difficulty of the problem. Section III proposes to use the sum of weighted absolute values for discrete signal reconstruction, and show a sufficient and necessary condition for exact recovery by extending the notion of the null space property [17]. Examples of one-dimensional signals and two-dimensional images are included in Section IV. Section V draws conclusions.

Notation

For a vector $x = [x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N]^T \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we define the $\ell^1$ and $\ell^2$ norms respectively as

$$
\|x\|_1 \triangleq \sum_{n=1}^{N} |x_n|, \quad \|x\|_2 \triangleq \sqrt{x^\top x},
$$

where $\top$ denotes the transpose. For a vector $x$ and a scalar $r$, we define

$$
x - r \triangleq [x_1 - r, x_2 - r, \ldots, x_N - r]^T.
$$

For a matrix $\Phi \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$, $\ker \Phi$ is the kernel (or the null space) of $\Phi$, that is,

$$
\ker \Phi \triangleq \{x \in \mathbb{C}^N : \Phi x = 0\}.
$$
$I_n$ is the $n$-dimensional identity matrix, and $1_k$ is a $k$-dimensional vector whose elements are all 1, that is,
\[ 1_k \triangleq [1, 1, \ldots, 1]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^k. \]

For two matrices $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times L}$, $A \otimes B$ denotes the Kronecker product, that is,
\[
A \otimes B \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}B & A_{12}B & \ldots & A_{1N}B \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
A_{M1}B & A_{M2}B & \ldots & A_{MN}B \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{MK \times NL},
\]

where $A_{ij}$ is the $ij$-th element of $A$. For two real-valued vectors $x$ and $y$ of the same size, $x \leq y$ denotes the element-wise inequality, that is, $x_i \leq y_i$ for all $i$.

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Assume that the original signal $x$ is an $N$-dimensional vector whose elements are discrete. That is,
\[
x \triangleq [x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N]^\top \in \mathcal{X}^N,
\]
where $r_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and we assume
\[
r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_L. \tag{1}
\]

If a symbol, say $r$, is a complex number, then taking $r_j \triangleq \text{Re}(r)$ and $r_k \triangleq \text{Im}(r)$ gives a real-valued alphabet, and hence the assumption (1) is not restrictive. We here assume that the values of $r_i$ are known and the probability distribution of them is given by
\[
P(r_i) = p_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, L, \tag{2}
\]
where we assume
\[
p_1 > 0, \quad p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_L = 1. \tag{3}
\]

Then we consider a linear measurement process modelled by
\[
y = \Phi x \in \mathbb{C}^M, \tag{4}
\]
where $\Phi \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$. Note that we consider a complex-valued matrix $\Phi$ since $\Phi$ can be constructed from e.g. a complex-valued DFT (Discrete Fourier Transform) matrix; see the image processing example in Section IV. We assume incomplete measurements, that is, $M < N$. The objective here is to reconstruct $x \in \mathcal{X}^N$ from the measurement vector $y \in \mathbb{C}^M$ in (4).

First of all, we discuss the uniqueness of the solution of the discrete signal reconstruction. We have the following proposition:

**Proposition 1:** Given $\Phi \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$, the following properties are equivalent:

(A) If $\Phi x_1 = \Phi x_2$ and both $x_1$ and $x_2$ are in $\mathcal{X}^N$, then $x_1 = x_2$.

(B) Define the difference set of $\mathcal{X}$ as
\[
\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \triangleq \{r_i - r_j : i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, L\}.
\]

Then
\[
\ker \Phi \cap \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^N = \{0\}. \tag{5}
\]

(C) The matrix $\Phi$ is injective as a map from $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}^N$ to $\mathbb{C}^M$.

Proof: (A) $\Rightarrow$ (B): Assume (A) holds. Take any $v \in \ker \Phi \cap \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^N$. Since $v \in \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^N$, there exist $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}^N$ such that $v = x_1 - x_2$. Then we have $\Phi v = \Phi x_1 - \Phi x_2 = 0$ since $v \in \ker \Phi$. Then from (A), we have $x_1 = x_2$. It follows that $v = 0$.

(B) $\Rightarrow$ (C): Assume (B) holds. Take any $v \in \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^N$ and assume $\Phi v = 0$. Then from (B), we have $v = 0$. This proves $\Phi$ is an injective map from $\mathcal{X}^N$ to $\mathbb{C}^M$.

(C) $\Rightarrow$ (A): Assume that (C) holds. Take any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}^N$ such that $\Phi x_1 = \Phi x_2$. Since $x_1 - x_2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^N$ and $\Phi$ is injective on $\mathcal{X}^N$, we have $x_1 - x_2 = 0$, or $x_1 = x_2$. 

Throughout the letter, we assume the uniqueness of the solution, that is, the pair $(\mathcal{X}, \Phi)$ is chosen to satisfy (5). If the uniqueness assumption holds, we can find the exact solution in a finite number of steps via an exhaustive computation as follows. The set $\mathcal{X}^N$ is a finite set, and we can write $\mathcal{X}^N = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_\mu\}$. For each $x_i$, we compute $y_i = \Phi x_i$ and check if $y_i = y$. Thanks to the uniqueness assumption, we can find the exact solution in a finite time. The problem is that the size of $\mathcal{X}^N$ is $\mu = L^N$, and hence the computational complexity is exponential. For example, if $L = 2$ (two symbols) and $\mu = 200$, then
\[
\mu = 2^{200} \approx 1.7 \times 10^{60},
\]
which takes at worst about $1.5 \times 10^{36}$ years (much longer than the lifetime of the universe) by the current fastest computer with 34 peta FLOPS. To overcome this, we adopt a relaxation technique based on the sum of absolute values in the next section.

III. SOLUTION VIA SUM OF ABSOLUTE VALUES

We here propose a relaxation method for discrete signal reconstruction. By borrowing the idea of compressed sensing, we can assume that each vector $x - r_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, L$, is sparse (if the probability $p_i$ given in (2) is not so small), and the sparsity is proportional to the probability $p_i$. Hence, we consider the following minimization problem:

minimize $F(z) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{L} p_i \|z - r_i\|_1$ subject to $y = \Phi z$, \hspace{1cm} (6)

where we use the $\ell^1$ norm for the measure of sparsity as in compressed sensing. By the definition of the $\ell^1$ norm, we rewrite the cost function $F(z)$ as

$F(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} p_i \sum_{n=1}^{N} |z_n - r_i| = \sum_{n=1}^{N} L(z_n)$, \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $L(t)$ is the sum of weighted absolute values
\[
L(t) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{L} p_i |t - r_i|.
\]

An example of this function is shown in Fig. 1. As is shown in this figure, the function $L(t)$ is continuous, convex, and piecewise linear. In fact, we have the following proposition:
that the optimization (6) is equivalently described as

$$\min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^N} \ 1^T \theta$$

subject to \( y = \Phi x, \ A z + b \leq E \theta, \)
Fig. 2: Averaged NSR $\|x - \hat{x}\|_2 / \|x\|_2$ vs probability $p$ for $X_2$ (top), $X_3$ (middle), $X_5$ (bottom), by the proposed (solid) and the basis pursuit (dash)

Fig. 4: Reconstructed images by the basis pursuit (left) and by the proposed method (right)

Fig. 3. Original image (left) and disturbed image by random noise (right)

VII. Conclusion

In this letter, we have proposed a reconstruction method for discrete signals based on the sum of absolute values (or the weighted $\ell^1$ norm). The reconstruction algorithm is described as linear programming, which can be solved effectively by numerical optimization softwares. Examples have been shown that the proposed method is much more effective than the basis pursuit which only uses the information of sparsity. Future work includes an accessible condition that ensures the effectiveness of our method also for image reconstruction.
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