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Abstract: A stable Lewis base-free gallole bearing a bulky Mes* group (= 2,4,6-(t-Bu)3C6H2) was synthesized by the treatment of Mes*GaCl2 with a 3,6-dilithio-3,5-octadiene derivative. Reduction of the gallole with lithium metal afforded the corresponding gallole dianion species as a lithium salt. Structures of the gallole and the lithium salt of the gallole dianion have been elucidated by using X-ray crystallographic analysis, NMR spectroscopies, and density functional theory (DFT) calculations.
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Introduction

Heteroles of group 13 elements have attracted much attention in the fields of not only fundamental organometallic chemistry but also functional materials, because they are expected to exhibit unique optical and electronic properties owing to the possible interactions between the $\pi/\pi^*$ orbitals of the butadiene moieties and the vacant p orbitals on the group 13 elements. Especially, stable borole derivatives bearing various substituents on the butadiene moieties and the boron atoms have been synthesized, and their properties and reactivities have been investigated extensively.$^{[1]}$ In contrast, studies on the syntheses and properties of heavier element analogues of boroles have remained limited so far,$^{[2,3,4,5]}$ despite the fact that gallole 1, the first example of an isolable gallole free of Lewis base coordination (*i.e.*, the gallium center is not coordinated by Lewis bases), was synthesized two decades ago (Figure 1).$^{[6]}$ In particular, there had been no report on the electron acceptability of the heavier group 13 heteroles. Recently, we have reported the synthesis and properties of the first stable alumole 1a.$^{[7,8]}$ Reduction of alumole 1a with lithium afforded the lithium salt of the corresponding alumole dianion 2a, suggesting the electron acceptability of heteroles of heavier group 13 elements. These results invoke the question on electron-accepting ability of other group 13 heteroles, such as galloles. Herein, we report the synthesis and reduction of stable gallole 1b.

**Figure 1.** Examples of stable alumoles and galloles. Mes$^*$ = 2,4,6-(t-Bu)$_2$C$_6$H$_2$.

Experimental

General remarks

All the manipulations were conducted under an inert atmosphere of dry argon by using standard
Schlenk techniques and glove boxes. Solvents were purified by the Ultimate Solvent System, Glass Contour Company\textsuperscript{[9]} and by bulb-to-bulb distillation from a potassium mirror. NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker Avance III 600US Plus spectrometer. Chemical shifts (\(\delta\)) are reported in parts per million and are referenced against solvent signals (\(^1\)H, \(^{13}\)C) or an external standard (\(^7\)Li: LiBr in D\(_2\)O). Mass spectra were recorded on a Bruker micrOTOF mass spectrometer equipped with an AMR DART-SVP ion source using He as an ionization gas. UV/vis spectra were recorded on a SHIMADZU UV-1700 UV-vis-NIR spectrometer. Melting points were determined on a Yanaco micro melting point apparatus and uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed at the Microanalytical Laboratory of the Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University. Mes*GaCl\(_2\)\textsuperscript{[10]} and 3,6-dilithio-3,5-octadiene derivative 3\textsuperscript{[11]} were prepared according to literatures.

**Synthesis of gallole 1b**

To a toluene solution (5 mL) of 3,6-dilithio-3,5-octadiene 3 (0.25 g, 0.84 mmol) was added Mes*GaCl\(_2\) (0.33 g, 0.84 mmol) at room temperature. The solution was stirred for 6 h. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in hexane and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and stored at –35 °C to afford 1b as colorless crystals (0.17 g, 0.35 mmol, 42%). mp 109–110 ºC. \(^1\)H NMR (600 MHz, C\(_6\)D\(_6\)): \(\delta\) 1.11 (t, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 6H, \(\alpha\)-CH\(_2\)C\(_3\)H), 1.11 (t, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 6H, \(\beta\)-CH\(_2\)C\(_3\)H), 1.30 (s, 9H, \(p\)-C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 1.47 (s, 18H, \(o\)-C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 2.42 (q, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 4H, \(\beta\)-CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 2.52 (q, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 4H, \(\alpha\)-CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 7.52 (s, 2H, \(m\)-ArH); \(^{13}\)C NMR (151 MHz, C\(_6\)D\(_6\)): \(\delta\) 15.31 (\(\beta\)-CH\(_2\)C\(_3\)H), 17.33 (\(\alpha\)-CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 21.73 (\(\beta\)-CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 25.16 (\(\alpha\)-CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 31.57 (\(p\)-C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 31.79 (\(o\)-C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 34.90 (\(p\)-C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 38.12 (\(o\)-C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 121.66 (\(m\)-ArC), 134.40 (ipso-ArC), 142.49 (Ga–C=C), 150.02 (p-ArC), 153.68 (Ga–C=C), 156.39 (o-ArC); UV/vis (hexane): \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) 333 nm (\(\varepsilon\) 1.4×10\(^3\)); HRMS (ESI-DART TOF, positive-mode) \(m/z\) calcd for C\(_{30}\)H\(_{50}\)\(^{69}\)Ga\(^+\) ([M+H]\(^+\)): 479.3163, found: 479.3155. Because of extremely high air- and moisture-sensitivity, satisfactory results of the elemental analyses could not be obtained.
Synthesis of lithium salt of gallole dianion 2b

To a THF solution (1.5 mL) of gallole 1b (0.061 g, 0.094 mmol) was added lithium (0.078 g, 1.1 mmol) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred for 12 h. Excess lithium was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated and stored at –35 °C to afford 2b as orange crystals (0.046 g, 0.072 mmol, 56%). mp 149 ºC (dec.).

$^1$H NMR (600 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$): $\delta$ 1.17–1.19 (m, 8H, O–CH$_2$CH$_2$), 1.33 (t, $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 6H, $\beta$-CH$_2$CH$_3$), 1.42 (t, $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 6H, $\alpha$-CH$_2$CH$_3$), 1.52 (s, 9H, p-C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 2.06 (s, 18H, o-C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 2.88 (q, $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 4H, $\beta$-CH$_2$CH$_3$), 2.99 (q, $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 4H, $\alpha$-CH$_2$CH$_3$), 3.27–3.30 (m, 8H, O–CH$_2$CH$_2$), 7.82 (s, 2H, m-ArH); $^{13}$C NMR (151 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$): $\delta$ 19.89 ($\beta$-CH$_2$CH$_3$), 21.53 ($\beta$-CH$_2$CH$_3$), 21.73 ($\alpha$-CH$_2$CH$_3$), 25.30 (O–CH$_2$CH$_2$), 27.05 ($\alpha$-CH$_2$CH$_3$), 32.01 (p-C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 34.32 (o-C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 35.18 (p-C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 38.81 (o-C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 68.62 (O–CH$_2$CH$_2$), 110.59 (Ga–C=C), 110.61 (Ga–C=C), 119.85 (m-ArC), 145.23 (ipso-ArC), 147.09 (p-ArC), 157.39 (o-ArC); $^7$Li NMR (117 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$): $\delta$ –6.75; UV/vis (THF): $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ 372 (ε 9.3×10$^2$), 308 nm (ε 1.7×10$^3$). HRMS (ESI-DART TOF, positive-mode) m/z calcd for C$_{30}$H$_{50}$Ga$^+$ ([M–2Li–2THF+H$^+$]): 479.3163, found: 479.3123. Elemental analysis calcd (%): C, 71.46; H, 10.72; found: C, 70.29; H, 10.11. Because of extremely high air- and moisture-sensitivity of 2b, satisfactory analytical data could not be obtained.

X-Ray crystallographic analysis

Single crystals of 1b and 2b suitable for the X-ray crystallographic analyses were obtained by the cooling of their saturated solutions in hexane or THF, respectively, to –35 ºC. The reflection data of 1b and 2b were collected at –170 ºC on a Rigaku Mercury CCD diffractometer by using a graphite-monochromated Mo Ka radiation ($\lambda$ = 0.71070 Å) and were integrated, scaled, and averaged by using the HKL-2000 program package.$^{[12]}$ Semi-empirical absorption correction was applied using the program of MULABS.$^{[13]}$ The structures were solved by a direct method (SIR2004$^{[14]}$) and refined by full-matrix least square method on $F^2$ for all reflections (SHELXL-97$^{[15]}$). All hydrogen atoms were
placed using AFIX instructions, while all other atoms were refined anisotropically. CCDC-974707 (1b) and 974708 (2b) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Crystal data for 1b: triclinic, space group P-1 (#2), a = 11.3257(2), b = 14.0405(2), c = 19.1576(4) Å, α = 70.7847(8), β = 89.1931(13), γ = 79.8546(12)°, V = 2828.55(9) Å³, Z = 4, μ = 0.986 mm⁻¹, 1.56° < θ < 25.50°, Reflections collected 24967, Independent reflections 10462, R_int = 0.0361, Completeness to θ_max 99.5%, 601 parameters refined, R_1 (I>2σ(I)) = 0.0383, wR_2 (all data) = 0.1109, GOF = 1.035, largest diff. peak and hole 1.022 and -0.680 e Å⁻³.

Crystal data for 2b: tetragonal, space group P4_32_2 (#96), a = b = 10.4517(1), c = 34.1107(5) Å, V = 3726.19(7) Å³, Z = 4, μ = 0.767 mm⁻¹, 2.04° < θ < 25.49°, Reflections collected 39038, Independent reflections 3468, R_int = 0.0426, Completeness to θ_max 100.0%, 218 parameters refined, R_1 (I>2σ(I)) = 0.0215, wR_2 (all data) = 0.0597, GOF = 1.064, largest diff. peak and hole 0.236 and -0.322 e Å⁻³.

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations

For geometry optimization and frequency calculations of 1b and 2b, the B3PW91 density functional with the 6-31G(d) basis set was employed. The 6-311+G(2df) basis set and the B3PW91 density functional were used for the time-dependent (TD)-DFT and GIAO calculations. Gaussian 09 (Revision C.01) program package was used for the calculations. Cartesian coordinates of the optimized structures of 1b and 2b are included in the Supporting Information.

Results and Discussion

Gallole 1b was synthesized according to the synthetic protocol of alumole 1a (Scheme 1). Reaction of Mes*GaCl₂, which was prepared from Mes*Li and GaCl₃ in Et₂O/hexane, with 3,6-dilithio-3,5-octadiene derivative 3 in toluene at room temperature afforded 1b almost quantitatively. After
recrystallization from hexane at −35 °C, gallole 1b was isolated as a colorless, highly air- and moisture-sensitive solid (isolated yield: 42%).

Scheme 1. Synthesis of gallole 1b.

Although the crystal structure of stable gallole I was reported previously,[6] relatively large standard errors in the structural parameters of I make it difficult to precisely analyze the structure of the gallole ring (e.g., selected bond lengths (Å) for I: Ga–C 1.888(21)–1.953(22), C–C 1.312(37), 1.317(37), C–C, 1.542(37)). Therefore, in this work molecular structure of gallole 1b was established by the X-ray crystallographic analysis. In the unit cell, two crystallographically independent molecules of 1b were found, while their structural parameters were almost identical to each other (Figure 2). The Ga–C bond lengths in 1b are comparable to those observed in the previously reported triorganogallium compounds (e.g., GaMes₃: Ga–C 1.968(4) Å[17]). The gallole ring is completely planar, as shown by the sum of the internal bond angles (540°). The bond-length alternation was observed in the butadiene moiety of the gallole ring. These structural features of 1b are closely related to those of alumole 1a. The structural parameters for 1b have been well reproduced by the DFT calculations at the B3PW91/6-31G(d) level of theory.
Figure 2. Molecular structure of gallole 1b. (a) Molecule A. (b) Molecule B. Thermal ellipsoids are set at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å): Molecule A: Ga1–C1 1.976(2), Ga1–C4 1.969(2), Ga1–C5 1.966(2), C1–C2 1.342(3), C2–C3 1.526(3), C3–C4 1.344(3); Molecule B: Ga2–C6 1.974(2), Ga2–C9 1.974(2), Ga2–C10 1.969(2), C6–C7 1.347(3), C7–C8 1.523(3), C8–C9 1.346(3).

UV-vis spectrum of gallole 1b in hexane exhibited the longest absorption maximum at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 333$ nm, which is bathochromically shifted compared to that of alumole 1a ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 318$ nm in hexane).\[^7\] TD-DFT calculations on 1a and 1b (B3PW91/6-311+G(2df)) suggested that these absorptions correspond to their HOMO–LUMO excitations (1a: $\lambda_{\text{calcd}} = 334$ nm, $f = 0.0526$; 1b: $\lambda_{\text{calcd}} = 346$ nm, $f = 0.0372$), indicating that the HOMO–LUMO energy gap of 1b should slightly decrease compared to that of 1a. The DFT calculations revealed that the lowering of the LUMO energy level of 1b compared to that of 1a leads to the decrease of the HOMO–LUMO energy gap (Figure 3). The HOMOs of 1a and 1b are dominantly composed of the $\pi$(diene) orbitals, while the LUMOs should be formed by the overlap of the vacant p orbitals of the central group 13 elements and the $\pi^*$ (diene) orbitals.
Figure 3. Frontier molecular orbitals of (a) 1a and (b) 1b. Energies are shown in eV.

As in the case of reduction of alumole 1a, treatment of gallole 1b with excess amount of lithium metal in THF at room temperature afforded the lithium salt of gallole dianion 2b as orange-colored crystals in 56% yield (Scheme 2). Compound 2b is extremely air- and moisture-sensitive and readily decompose to form a mixture containing Mes*H and (3\(E\),5\(E\))-4,5-diethyl-3,5-octadiene upon exposure to air even in the solid state.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of lithium salt of gallole dianion 2b.

Molecular structure of 2b was determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis (Figure 4). In the crystalline state, compound 2b adopts a \(C_2\) symmetric structure with a two-fold axis passing through the Ga1 atom and the midpoint of the C2–C2* bond. Each of the two lithium cations is coordinated by a THF molecule and the gallole ring in an \(\eta^5\)-fashion. The distances between the Li1 atom and the gallole ring atoms (Li1–Ga1 2.554(3), Li1–C1 2.278(3), Li1–C1* 2.297(3), Li1–C2 2.098(3), Li1–C2* 2.113(3) Å) are comparable to those in 2a (Li–Al 2.578(3) Å, Li–C 2.090(3)–2.299(3) Å).[7] The gallole
ring is completely planar, as shown by the sum of the internal bond angles (540°), and the C–C bond lengths are almost equalized. The Ga1–C1 bond (1.9430(14) Å) is slightly shortened compared to the Ga1–C1 and Ga1–C4 bonds of 1b (1.969(2)–1.976(2) Å), while the Ga1–C3 bond length (2.0063(19) Å) is elongated compared to the Ga–C(Mes*) bond in 1b (1.966(2), 1.969(2) Å). Such Ga–C(Mes*) bond elongation in 2b may be partly due to the steric repulsion between the Mes* group and the [Li(thf)] moieties.

**Figure 4.** Molecular structure of the lithium salt of gallole dianion 2b. Thermal ellipsoids are set at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å): Ga1–C1 1.9430(14), Ga1–C3 2.0063(19), C1–C2 1.451(2), C2–C2* 1.434(3), Li1–Ga1 2.554(3), Li1–C1 2.278(3), Li1–C1* 2.297(3), Li1–C2 2.098(3), Li1–C2* 2.113(3), Li1–O1 1.909(3).

Selected NMR spectral data of heteroles 1 and dianions 2 are summarized in Figure 5. The 13C NMR spectrum of 2b in C6D6 showed two signals corresponding to the gallole ring carbons at δ = 110.55 (Cα) and 110.57 (Cβ) ppm, which are substantially upper-field shifted compared to those of 1b (δ(Cα) = 142.4 ppm, δ(Cβ) = 153.6 ppm). Reduction of 1a (δ(Cα) = 144.0 ppm, δ(Cβ) = 156.4 ppm) with lithium also resulted in remarkable upper field shifts of the alumole ring carbon signals (δ(Cα) = 102.6 ppm, δ(Cβ) = 112.5 ppm). These spectral features indicate that the carbon atoms in the heterole rings of 2a and 2b have much higher electron densities compared to those in the neutral compounds 1a and 1b, respectively. The 7Li NMR chemical shifts of 2a (δ = –6.0 ppm) and 2b (δ = –6.8 ppm) are in good
agreement with the calculated values by using the gauge-independent atomic orbital (GIAO) methods on the optimized structures of 2a and 2b, respectively, suggesting that the contact ion pair structures observed in the crystalline state are retained in solution. The small positive NICS values (1a: +2.78, 1b: +3.35) suggest that the heterole rings of 1a and 1b are non-aromatic rather than anti-aromatic.\textsuperscript{[18]}

Meanwhile, 2a and 2b exhibit highly negative NICS values at the heterole ring centers (2a: −15.01, 2b: −15.45) due to substantial diamagnetic ring currents, which would be interpreted in terms of the spherical aromaticity in the closo-7-vertex ELi\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{4} cluster structures (E = Al, Ga).\textsuperscript{[19]}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure5.png}
\caption{\textsuperscript{13}C and \textsuperscript{7}Li NMR spectral data of the heteroles and the dianions (in C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}). Chemical shifts are reported in ppm. Numbers in the parentheses are GIAO-calculated chemical shifts at the B3PW91/6-311+G(2df) level of theory. Nucleus-independent chemical shift (NICS) was calculated at the center of the heterole ring.}
\end{figure}

Conclusions

Stable gallole 1b was synthesized and structurally characterized. Reduction of gallole 1b with lithium afforded the corresponding gallole dianion as the lithium salt 2b, suggesting that gallole derivatives are promising candidates for electron-accepting materials. The crystal structures and the NMR spectroscopic data of 1b and 2b are closely related to those of the aluminum analogues 1a and 2a, respectively. In these group 13 heterole dianionic species, the carbon atoms in the heterole rings should
possess remarkably high electron densities.
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