TITLE:

Shoe-fit is correlated with exercise tolerance in community-dwelling elderly people

AUTHOR(S):

Tanigawa, Takanori; Hirashima, Masashi; Fukutani, Naoto; Nishiguchi, Shu; Kayama, Hiroki; Yukutake, Taiki; Yamada, Minoru; Aoyama, Tomoki

CITATION:

Tanigawa, Takanori ...[et al]. Shoe-fit is correlated with exercise tolerance in community-dwelling elderly people. Footwear Science 2014, 7(1): 37-42

ISSUE DATE:

2014-08-30

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/198811>

RIGHT:

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Footwear Science on 30 Aug 2014, available online: <http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/19424280.2014.941416>; 許諾条件により本文ファイルは2015-08-30に公開; This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version.; この論文は出版社版ではありません。引用の際には出版社版をご確認ください。

1 **TITLE:** Shoe-fit is correlated with exercise tolerance in community-dwelling elderly people.

2

3 **Authors:** Takanori Tanigawa¹, Masashi Hirashima², Naoto Fukutani¹, Shu Nishiguchi^{1, 3},

4 Hiroki Kayama¹, Taiki Yukutake¹, Minoru Yamada¹, PhD, Tomoki Aoyama¹, MD, PhD

5 **Affiliation:** ¹Department of Physical Therapy, Human Health Sciences, Kyoto University

6 Graduate School of Medicine, Japan

7 ²Foot-create Corporation

8 ³Japan Society for the Promotion of Science

9

10 **Corresponding author:** Takanori Tanigawa, OT

11 Department of Physical Therapy, Human Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medicine,

12 Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

13 **Address:** 53 Kawahara-cho, Shogoin, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan

14 **Tel:** +81-75-751-3935

15 **Fax:** +81-75-751-3909

16 **E-mail:** tanigawa.takanori.23z@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp

17 **Short Title:** Shoe-fit and exercise tolerance

18

19 **ABSTRACT**

20 **Purpose:** Maintenance of physical activity significantly affects quality of life, and the
21 frequency of physical activity depends upon exercise tolerance. However, there is minimal
22 information on the external factors that contribute to exercise tolerance. The aim of this study
23 was to examine the association between exercise tolerance and shoe-fit in
24 community-dwelling elderly people.

25 **Methods:** Subjects were 155 elderly, healthy, community-dwelling Japanese volunteers.
26 Exercise tolerance (Shuttle Walk Test [SWT]), 10-m walking time (10mWT), and Forced
27 expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) were measured. Shoe-fit was assessed and participants
28 were divided into 3 groups according to the heel-fit of their shoes (Too Loose, Loose, Fit).
29 Group scores in the above variables were compared. Further, a multivariate logistic
30 regression model using a stepwise method was performed to investigate which shoe-fit
31 factors were independently associated with SWT.

32 **Results:** No significant differences in age, gender, Body Mass Index, 10mWT, FEV1, or
33 presence or absence of pain sites were observed between the three groups. The Fit ($p = 0.001$)
34 and Loose ($p = 0.008$) groups had significantly higher SWT score than the Too Loose group.
35 Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that poor heel-fit was significantly correlated
36 with a low SWT score, even following adjustments for age, gender, 10mWT and FEV1 (Odds
37 Ratio: 0.25, 95%; Confidence Interval: 0.07–0.95, $p = 0.04$).

38 **Conclusions:** This study demonstrates that heel-fit is associated with exercise tolerance in
39 community-dwelling elderly people. It is important for elderly people to wear adequate fit
40 shoes in order to enhance physical functions and prevent from declining physical functions.

41

42 **Key words:** shoe-fit, heel, exercise tolerance, shuttle walk test, physical function

43

44 INTRODUCTION

45 The maintenance of physical activity has been linked to a higher quality of life, especially
46 in the elderly. Exercise tolerance plays an important role in increasing physical activity (1)
47 and preventing injuries and complications (2). Further, decreased exercise tolerance is
48 associated with physical frailty (3, 4) and higher mortality (4). Therefore, exercise tolerance
49 is a crucial factor in the maintenance of a healthy life for elderly people.

50 Evidence suggests that exercise tolerance is associated with numerous physical functions
51 such as walking speed (5), balance (6), and pulmonary function (7). Thus, to enhance
52 exercise tolerance and such various physical functions create a synergy effect for each factors,
53 but such changes would take considerable time (8). Elderly people would likely cease
54 exercising before such improvements would manifest (9). It is consequently necessary to
55 explore additional approaches towards the maintenance of efficient exercise that focus on
56 external contributing factors as well as physical functions.

57 Shoes are required for many kinds of exercise and have various influences on physical
58 functions. Particularly in the elderly, shoes play an important role in exercise because of
59 age-related changes in foot structure and function. Wearing inadequate shoes increases foot
60 problems (10, 11, 12), instability (13, 14, 15), and fall risk (16, 17) in the elderly. Conversely,
61 adequate shoes may improve gait characteristics (18, 19), walking speed (20), and balance
62 (21). Further, several researchers investigated shoe-fit defined as the length and width

63 difference between the foot and the shoe in the elderly and found that more than half of
64 people wear poor fit shoes (11, 22). Thus, wearing well-fitting shoes may enable rapid
65 increases in physical activity in the elderly; however, few studies have addressed the
66 association between shoe-fit and exercise tolerance.

67 Therefore, the goal of the present study was to examine the association between exercise
68 tolerance and shoe-fit in community-dwelling elderly people.

69

70

71

72 **METHODS**

73 *Participants*

74 Participants were recruited for the study through local press that requested healthy
75 community-dwelling volunteers. A total of 155 Japanese people participated in the study.
76 Initial participation requirements stipulated that subjects be 65 years of age or older;
77 community-dwelling; able to walk without assistance; willing to participate in physical
78 fitness assessments, had normal pulmonary function; and met minimum hearing requirements.
79 An interview was subsequently conducted to exclude participants based on the following
80 exclusion criteria: severe cardiac, pulmonary, or musculoskeletal disorders; comorbidities
81 associated with a greater risk of falls, such as Parkinson's disease and stroke; and use of
82 psychotropic drugs. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant in
83 accordance with the guidelines approved by the Kyoto University Graduate School of
84 Medicine and the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was approved by the ethical
85 committee of Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine.

86

87 *Demographic data*

88 Data on age, body mass index (BMI), gender, and presence or absence of pain sites were
89 obtained. All data were collected in a single session. Information on age, gender and presence
90 or absence of pain sites was directly obtained from the participants and BMI was calculated

from measured height and weight using standardized height and weight scales.

10-m walking time test and shuttle walking test

A comfortable 10-m walking time test (10mWT; 23) and a Shuttle Walk Test (SWT; 24) were used to assess physical functions. In the 10mWT, participants walked 15 m at an individually determined comfortable pace. A stopwatch was used to record the time required to reach the 10-m point marked in the middle of the path. The SWT is used to evaluate exercise tolerance. During the SWT, subjects walk back and forth along a 10-m flat course, and progressively increase pace in accordance with audio signals until they are unable to maintain the pace. We performed SWT as the maximum was 50 times of 10 m walking (500 m walking in total). Participants were divided into groups by SWT score: ≤ 390 or > 390 . A cutoff of 390 or 400 has been shown to be diagnostically accurate for elderly people (25).

Pulmonary function

All subjects underwent spirometric evaluation. Forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) was measured by spirometry (Spiro Sift SP-370; Fukuda Denshi Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). Pulmonary function tests were conducted according to the guidelines of the Japanese Respiratory Society. (26)

110 *Evaluation of footwear*

111 A shoe-fit checklist was used to assess the adequacy of subjects' habitual shoes. We told
112 the subjects to wear their most common shoes on the day of the test. The evaluated factors
113 included heel-fit, toe space, width-fit (Width), sole stiffness, the presence or absence of a heel
114 counter (Counter), adjuster type (Adjuster; i.e., lace, Velcro fastening, and zip fastening), and
115 adjusting (Adjusting). We checked their shoes to exclude participants based on the following
116 exclusion criteria: high heels, not covered upper, high-cut shoes, sandals and boots.

117 Heel-fit was assessed and designated as too loose (Too Loose), loose (Loose) and fit (Fit)
118 at the indicated points. While in a standing position, subjects were asked to raise their heel
119 while the heel region of their shoe was held by the experimenter. The degree of fit between
120 the heel region and the shoe was then assessed. A shoe was considered too loose if it was
121 separated from the inferior of calcaneal bone. A shoe was deemed merely loose if it was
122 separated from the inferior calcaneus at the rear of the insole. A shoe that adhered to the
123 calcaneal region was considered a good fit (Figure 1). Toe space, width, and sole stiffness
124 were assessed with a scale: 1 = loose or soft, 2 = fit, and 3 = tight or hard at the indicated
125 points. Thus, a score of 1 or 3 indicated that a shoe was a poor fit, while a score of 2 indicated
126 a shoe was a good fit. Toe space and width was assessed by palpating the shoe and evaluating
127 the space between the toes and shoe, and between the dorsum of the foot and the shoe.
128 Intra-rater reliability testing of questionnaire responses revealed kappa coefficients

129 consistency over 0.60 (0.75-0.78) for each item, and data ranges suggested the coaches and
130 parents, who had no medical knowledge, could answer with substantial reliability. (27) Sole
131 stiffness was assessed by twisting the shoe. Counters and Adjusters were checked by
132 palpating the shoe. Finally, information on Adjusting was obtained directly from participants
133 by listening “Do you always adjust your adjuster (i.e., lace, Velcro fastening, and zip
134 fastening)?” (Figure 2).

135

136 *Statistical analyses*

137 The participants were divided into three groups based on heel-fit: Too Loose, Loose, and
138 Fit. Differences between the three groups were assessed using an ANOVA for age, BMI,
139 10mWT and FEV1; a Kruskal-Wallis test for SWT because SWT score is not
140 normally-distributed; and a chi-square test for gender, and presence or absence of pain sites.
141 The Mann-Whitney test was used for post-hoc analysis of SWT. A multivariate logistic
142 regression model using a stepwise method was performed to examine which measurements of
143 shoe-fit were independently associated with SWT. We assigned SWT as a dependent variable
144 and measurements of shoe-fit as independent variables adjusted by age, gender, BMI,
145 10mWT, presence or absence of pain sites and FEV1. In addition, a chi-square test was
146 performed to investigate which shoe-fit factors were best associated with exercise tolerance.

147 A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant for the ANOVA,
148 Kruskal-Wallis test, and the multivariate logistic regression model. A p-value of <0.016 was
149 considered statistically significant for the post-hoc test.
150

RESULTS

The demographic characteristics of the overall sample and the Too Loose, Loose, and Fit groups are summarized in Table 1. Thirty-one participants were assigned to the Too Loose group, 60 to the Loose group, and 64 to the Fit group. There were no significant differences in age, BMI, 10mWT, FEV1, or presence of pain between the three groups. There was significant difference in gender. Adequate heel-fit was associated with a better SWT score than inadequate heel-fit (Too Loose group = 358.7 ± 68.2 m, Loose group = 401.5 ± 78.6 m, Fit group = 415.9 ± 76.9 m, $p = 0.002$; Table 1). In addition, the Fit group had significantly higher SWT scores than the Too Loose group ($p = 0.001$), and the Loose group had higher SWT scores than the Too Loose group ($p = 0.008$), as indicated by a post-hoc Mann-Whitney test.

The multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that inadequate heel-fit (odds ratio: 0.16, 95% confidence interval: 0.04–0.63, $p = 0.009$) was significantly correlated with a low SWT score, even after adjustments for age, gender, 10mWT, presence or absence of pain cites and FEV1 (Table 2).

The chi-square test showed that a better heel-fit was significantly correlated with better width, better sole stiffness, presence of Counters, presence of Adjusters, and better Adjusting (Table 3, $p < 0.016$).

170 **DISCUSSION**

171 The present study analyzed the relationship between exercise tolerance and shoe fit in
172 community-dwelling elderly individuals. Results showed that heel-fit is associated with
173 exercise tolerance after adjustment for age, gender, foot pain, physical function, and
174 pulmonary function. There is minimal data on the relationship between shoe-fit and physical
175 function, and the present findings indicate that adequate shoe-fit is associated with exercise
176 tolerance.

177 We considered that heel-fit has been demonstrated to influence walking efficacy in exercise
178 tolerance tests. Adequate shoe-fit is associated with faster walking speed (20, 28) and better
179 gait performance. (28) In our study, there was no significant in 10mWT between three groups.
180 However, three groups' results showed a trend similar to SWT's results. We considered that shoe-fit,
181 particularly heel-fit, is not influence to walking speed, but to walking test walked long distance. Thus,
182 an adequate heel-fit may enhance the efficiency of walking with each cycle, and support
183 elderly people. Further, heel-fit may influence walking to a greater degree over a prolonged
184 period. It follows that well-fitting shoes may improve exercise tolerance in elderly people. In
185 contrast, we can produce a mindset that people who have inadequate fit shoes are walking at
186 a much slower pace and shorter distances. It is unclear that whether adequate shoe-fit
187 enhances exercise tolerance or whether inadequate shoe-fit negatively affects exercise
188 tolerance. However, it is important for elderly people to wear adequate fit shoes in order to

189 enhance physical functions or prevent from declining physical functions and foot problem.

190 In addition, we demonstrated that heel-fit is associated with Width, sole stiffness, Counter,
191 Adjuster, and Adjusting. Previous reports have suggested that sole stiffness affects balance
192 (29, 13). Adequate shoe-fit also depends on the adhesion of both the calcaneal region of the
193 foot and anterior ankle to the heel-counter and adjuster of shoes. Furthermore, suitable shoe
194 width decreases foot movement within the shoes. Thus, these elements of shoe-fit may
195 influence heel-fit. In this study, toe space did not influence heel-fit. This may have resulted
196 from the fact that the majority of participants wore shoes with appropriate toe space, similar
197 to a previous study. (11) Taken together, results of the present study indicate that external
198 contributing factors, such as shoe-fit, may sufficiently be associated with exercise tolerance.

199 This study had several limitations. First, because this study used a cross-sectional design,
200 further investigation of certain matters, such as whether wearing suitable shoes for an
201 extended period can improve SWT scores and other physical functions, is needed. Also,
202 because direction of causality is unclear, it is unknown which well-fitting affects exercise
203 tolerance or people who have much exercise tolerance wear well-fitting shoes. Second, a
204 thorough survey of foot complications such as bunion, hammer toe, high/low arch, and
205 neuropathy and shoe type which can affect the exercise tolerance level was not performed.
206 Due to these limitations, the results of the present study should be interpreted with caution.

207

208 **CONCLUSION**

209 Results of the present study showed a significant relationship between exercise tolerance
210 and heel-fit. This finding indicates that shoe-fit may positively influence physical function.

211

212

213 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

214 The authors thank the participants and are grateful to the department staff for helpful
215 advice.

216

217 REFERENCES

- 218 1. Chmelo E, Nicklas B, Davis C, Miller GD, Legault C, Messier S. Physical activity and
219 physical function in older adults with knee osteoarthritis. *J Phys Act Health*.
220 2012;10(6):777–83.
- 221 2. Nutt CL, Russell JC. Use of the pre-operative shuttle walk test to predict morbidity and
222 mortality after elective major colorectal surgery. *Anesthesia*. 2012;67(8):839–49.
- 223 3. Pereira SR, Chiu W, Turner A, et al. How can we improve targeting of frail elderly
224 patients to a geriatric day-hospital rehabilitation program? *BMC Geriatr*. 2010;10:82. doi:
225 10.1186/1471-2318-10-82.
- 226 4. Boxer R, Kleppinger A, Ahmad A, Annis K, Hager D, Kenny A. The 6-minute walk is
227 associated with frailty and predicts mortality in older adults with heart failure. *Congest*
228 *Heart Fail*. 2010;16(5):208–13
- 229 5. Spagnuolo DL, Jürgensen SP, Iwama AM, Dourado VZ. Walking for the assessment of
230 balance in healthy subjects older than 40 years. *Gerontology*. 2010;56(5):467–73.
- 231 6. Bardin MG, Dourado VZ. Association between the occurrence of falls and the
232 performance on the Incremental Shuttle Walk Test in elderly women. *Rev Bras Fisioter*.
233 2012;16(4):275–80.
- 234 7. Léger LA, Lambert J. A maximal multistage 20-m shuttle run test to predict VO₂ max.
235 *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol*. 1982;49(1):1–12.

- 236 8. Gudlaugsson J, Aspelund T, Gudnason V, et al. The effects of 6 months' multimodal
237 training on functional performance, strength, endurance, and body mass index of older
238 individuals. Are the benefits of training similar among women and men? *Laeknabladid*.
239 2013;99(7-8):331–7.
- 240 9. Silveira P, van de Langenberg R, van Het Reve E, Daniel F, Casati F, de Bruin ED.
241 Tablet-based strength-balance training to motivate and improve adherence to exercise in
242 independently living older people: a phase II preclinical exploratory trial. *J Med Internet*
243 *Res*. 2013;15(8):e159.
- 244 10. Menz HB, Lord SR. The contribution of foot problems to mobility impairment and falls
245 in community-dwelling older people. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2001;49:1651–6.
- 246 11. Menz HB, Morris ME. Footwear characteristics and foot problems in older people.
247 *Gerontology* 2005;51:346–51.
- 248 12. Menz HB, Sherrington C. The Footwear Assessment Form: a reliable clinical tool to
249 assess footwear characteristics of relevance to postural stability in older adults. *Clin*
250 *Rehabil*. 2000;14:657–64.
- 251 13. Menaut JC, Steele JR, Menz HB, Munro BJ, Lord SR. Effects of walking surfaces and
252 footwear on temporo-spatial gait parameters in young and older people. *Gait Posture*.
253 2009;29(3):392–7.
- 254 14. Menant JC, Steele JR, Menz HB, Munro BJ, Lord SR. Effects of footwear features on

- 255 balance and stepping in older people. *Gerontology*. 2008;54:18–23
- 256 15. Arnadottir SA, Mercer VS. Effects of footwear on measurements of balance and gait in
257 women between the ages of 65 and 93 Years. *Phys Ther*. 2000; 80(1):17–27.
- 258 16. Koepsell TD, Wolf ME, Buchner DM, et al. Footwear style and risk of falls in older
259 adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2004;52:1495–501.
- 260 17. Tencer AF, Koepsell TD, Wolf ME, et al. Biomechanical properties of shoes and risk of
261 falls in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2004;52:1840–6.
- 262 18. Nigg B, Hintzen S, Ferber R. Effect of an unstable shoe construction on lower extremity
263 gait characteristics. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*. 2006;21(1):82–8.
- 264 19. Shakoor N, Lidtke RH, Wimmer MA, et al. Improvement in knee loading after use of
265 specialized footwear for knee osteoarthritis: results of a six-month pilot investigation.
266 *Arthritis Rheum*. 2013;65(5):1282–9.
- 267 20. Arnadottir SA, Mercer VS. Effects of footwear on measurements of balance and gait in
268 women between the ages of 65 and 93 Years. *Phys Ther*. 2000;80(1):17–27.
- 269 21. Ramstrand N, Thuesen AH, Nielsen DB, Rusaw D. Effects of an unstable shoe
270 construction on balance in women aged over 50 years. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*.
271 2010;25(5):455–60.
- 272 22. Burns SL, Leese GP, McMurdo ME. Older people and ill fitting shoes. *Postgrad Med J*.
273 2002; 78:344–6.

- 274 23. Lopopolo RB, Greco M, Sullivan D, Craik RL, Mangione KK. Effect of therapeutic
275 exercise on gait speed in community-dwelling elderly people: a meta-analysis. *Phys Ther.*
276 2006;86:520–540.
- 277 24. Singh SJ, Morgan MD, Scott S, Walters D, Hardman AE. Development of a shuttle
278 walking test of disability in patients with chronic airways obstruction. *Thorax.*
279 1992;47:1019–1024.
- 280 25. Win T, Jackson A, Groves AM, Sharples LD, Charman SC, Laroche CM. Comparison of
281 shuttle walk with measured peak oxygen consumption in patients with operable lung
282 cancer. *Thorax.* 2006;61(1):57–60.
- 283 26. Tojo N, Suga H, Kambe M. Lung function testing – the official guideline of the Japanese
284 Respiratory Society. 2005;53(1):77–81.
- 285 27. Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data.
286 *Biometrics.* 1977;33:159-174.
- 287 28. Doi T, Yamaguchi R, Asai T, et al. The effects of shoe fit on gait in community-dwelling
288 older adults. *Gait & Posture.* 2010;32: 274–278.
- 289 29. Menaut JC, Perry SD, Steele JR, Menz HB, Munro BJ, Lord SR. Effects of shoe
290 characteristics on dynamic stability when walking on even and uneven surfaces in young
291 and older People. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2008;89(10):1970–6.

Table 1. Comparison of demographic characteristics and measurements between Overall, Too Loose, Loose, and Fit groups.

	Overall (n=155)	Too Loose (n=31)	Loose (n=60)	Fit (n=64)	P value
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	
Age [†]	73.6 $\pm$ 4.4	74.9 $\pm$ 4.7	73.4 $\pm$ 4.0	73.2 $\pm$ 4.6	0.183
BMI [†]	23.1 $\pm$ 2.7	23.4 $\pm$ 2.5	23.0 $\pm$ 2.2	22.9 $\pm$ 3.3	0.714
10m walking time test [†]	7.4 $\pm$ 1.1	7.6 $\pm$ 1.3	7.5 $\pm$ 0.9	7.2 $\pm$ 1.1	0.103
FEV1 [†]	2.0 $\pm$ 0.6	1.7 $\pm$ 0.6	2.0 $\pm$ 0.6	2.0 $\pm$ 0.6	0.052
Shuttle walking test ^{††}	399.0 $\pm$ 78.3	358.7 $\pm$ 68.2	401.5 $\pm$ 78.6	415.9 $\pm$ 76.9	0.002
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Female gender, n (%) ^{†††}	81 (52.3%)	23 (74.2%)	30 (50.0%)	28 (43.8%)	0.019
Pain, n (%) ^{†††}	37 (23.9%)	11 (35.5%)	15 (25.0%)	11 (17.2%)	0.14
Hallux valgus, n (%) ^{†††}	36 (23.2%)	8 (25.8%)	16 (26.7%)	12 (18.8%)	0.54
Bunionette, n (%) ^{†††}	11 (7.0%)	3 (9.6%)	4 (6.7%)	4 (6.3%)	0.82

Note: BMI=Body Mass Index, FEV1= Forced expiratory volume in 1 second

[†]ANOVA, ^{††}Kruskal Wallis test, ^{†††} χ^2 test

1 **Table 2. Multivariate logistic regression model using a stepwise method to determine the**

2 **SWT association**

	Odds Ratio	95%CI	P value
Heel fitting			0.023
Too Loose	0.16	(0.04 - 0.63)	0.009
Loose	0.94	(0.38 - 2.33)	0.90
Fit	1 [reference]	1 [reference]	-
Toe-space	-	-	not significant
Width	-	-	not significant
Sole-stiffness	-	-	not significant
Counter	-	-	not significant
Adjuster	-	-	not significant
Adjusting	-	-	not significant

Adjusted by age, gender, BMI, 10m walking time, pain and FEV1

Note: BMI=Body Mass Index, FEV1= Forced expiratory volume in 1

second

1 **Table 3. Associations between heel-fit and each shoe-fit measurement**

2

	Too Loose (i)	Loose (ii)	Fit (iii)	P value	<i>Post hoc</i>
Proper (percent in each group)					
Toe-space	26 (83.9%)	58 (96.7%)	59 (92.2%)	not significant	-
Width	3 (10.7%)	25 (41.7%)	34 (53.1%)	p<0.001	i < ii , iii
Sole-stiffness	8 (25.8%)	28 (46.7%)	40 (62.5%)	p=0.004	i < iii
Counter	7 (22.6%)	33 (55.0%)	32 (50.0%)	p=0.01	i < ii , iii
Adjuster	13 (41.9%)	50 (83.3%)	52 (81.3%)	p<0.001	i < ii , iii
Adjusting	1 (3.2%)	13 (21.7%)	19 (29.7%)	p=0.013	i < iii

3

4

Figure 1

Too Loose

Loose

Fit

Figure 2