A Simple Subgrid Scheme Using Space-Time Finite Integration Method
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A simple and stable subgrid scheme is derived from the space-time finite integration method, where staircase-shaped edges can be used to construct a rectangle-based grid. Comparison with classical subgrid methods shows that the proposed method suppresses unphysical wave reflection without interpolation of field values for the subgrid connection. No numerical instability was observed even after $10^6$ time steps. The proposed method was applied to the computation of a photonic band gap.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The analysis of metamaterial or photonic crystal often has a large computational cost because their properties are controlled by the fine structure on a sub-wavelength scale. Such analysis thus requires the generation of an adaptive grid.

The subgrid method [1]–[5] can be used to construct an adaptive Yee grid for the FDTD method. However, the subgrid method often suffers numerical instabilities and unphysical wave reflections due to nonconformal grid connections unless sophisticated techniques [1] are used. The finite integration (FI) method [5]–[7] allows the time-domain computation of electromagnetic field using unstructured spatial grids. A space-time FI method that realizes non-uniform time steps on 3D and 4D space-time grids obeying the Courant–Friedrichs–Lewy condition has been developed [8], [9]. Additionally, a local refinement of the 3D space-time grid has been realized [10], but it is not easily applied to 4D space-time because of its complex dual grid construction.

Using the space-time FI method, this study proposes a simple and stable subgrid method that realizes local refinement of the 4D space-time grid.

II. SPACE-TIME FINITE INTEGRATION METHOD

The coordinate system is denoted ($ct, x, y, z$) = ($x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3$), where $c = 1 / \sqrt{\varepsilon_0\mu_0}$ and $\varepsilon_0$ and $\mu_0$ are respectively the permittivity and permeability of a vacuum. The integral forms of the Maxwell equations [8] without source terms are

$$\int_{i} F = 0, \quad \int_{i} G = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$F = -\sum_{j} E_{j} dx^{j} dx^{i} + \sum_{j} c B_{j} dx^{j} dx^{i},$$

$$G = \sum_{j} H_{j} dx^{j} dx^{i} + \sum_{j} c D_{j} dx^{j} dx^{i}, \quad (2)$$

where $(i, j, k)$ is a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$, and $\Omega_{p}$ and $\Omega_{d}$ are hypersurfaces in space-time. The electromagnetic variables are defined in the FI method as

$$f = \int_{2p} F, \quad g = \int_{2d} G, \quad (3)$$

where $S_p$ and $S_d$ are the faces of the primal and dual grids that constitute $\partial \Omega_p$ and $\partial \Omega_d$. To express the constitutive equation simply, the Hodge dual grid [8] is introduced as
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III. 3D SPACE-TIME SUBGRID

A. Space-time grid and time-marching scheme

This study examines the subgrid shown in Fig. 1, where the primal grid (solid lines) is orthogonal to its dual grid (dashed lines) according to the Lorentzian metric [8], [9] obtained from (4). The spatial cell size and the temporal step of the coarse grid (main grid) are $\Delta x$ and $\Delta w = c \Delta t$, whereas those of the fine grid (subgrid) are $\Delta x/2$ and $\Delta w/2$.

This section examines the propagation of $(E_0, E_1, B_2)$ on the $(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ 3D space-time grid.

The variables are allocated to primal faces and dual edges as in Fig. 2 in the domain connecting the coarse and fine grids. According to the integration (3), $b$ and $d$ are the magnetic and electric fluxes multiplied by $c$, and $e$ and $h$ are the temporally integrated electromotive and magnetomotive forces. Variables $e$ and $d$ assigned to the edges slanted with respect to the $x_0$ direction contain components of magnetic flux and magnetomotive force. The subscripts are spatial indexes for the $x$ and $y$ directions, and the superscripts are the temporal indexes. The time-marching scheme is given as

$$b_{0,0}^{1/2} = b_{0,0}^{0} - e_{0,0,-1/2}^{0} - e_{0,0,1/2}^{0} + e_{0,0,-1/0}^{0},$$

$$-e_{0,1,1/4}^{0} - e_{0,1,-1/4}^{0} - e_{0,1,2/4}^{0} - e_{0,1,-2/4}^{0} - e_{0,1,3/4}^{0} - e_{0,1,-3/4}^{0} - e_{0,1,4/4}^{0} - e_{0,1,-4/4}^{0}.$$
Face areas in (7), (8), (11) and (12) are given as

\[ h_{3,4,3/4} = \frac{1}{2} \left( e_{3,3/4,14} + e_{3,3/4,3/14} \right), \quad (6) \]

\[ h_{0,0} = \frac{1}{2} \left( e_{0,0,14} + e_{0,0,3/14} \right), \quad (7) \]

\[ \Delta \left( \frac{1}{2} + \delta \right) \Delta x \]

\[ b_{3,3/4,3/14} = \frac{1}{2} \left( e_{3,3/4,14} + e_{3,3/4,3/14} \right), \quad (8) \]

\[ d_{3/4,3/14} = \frac{1}{2} \left( e_{3/4,14} + e_{3/4,3/14} \right), \quad (9) \]

\[ e_{3/4,3/14} = \frac{1}{2} \left( e_{3/4,14} + e_{3/4,3/14} \right), \quad (10) \]

\[ e_{3,3/4,0} = \frac{1}{2} \left( e_{3,14} + e_{3,3/14} \right), \quad (11) \]

\[ e_{3,3/4,1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( e_{3,14} + e_{3,3/14} \right), \quad (12) \]

where \( \delta \) is a free parameter shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2 Assignment of variables.

The definition of variables in the integral form (3) allows the slanted edges as in Fig. 3(a) to be curved as in Fig. 3(b), where node positions (●) are unchanged. A further transformation leads to staircase-like edges as in Fig. 3(c), where rectangular faces provide a simple FI scheme. The edge transformation is not unique. For example, staircase-like edges shown in Fig. 4(a) are also possible. The edges can be transformed along the temporal direction also, as shown Fig. 4(b) and (c). This section examines the subgrid obtained by combining the types of subgrid shown in Figs. 3(c) and 4(c).

Accordingly, the face areas given as (13), (14) are replaced by

\[ S_{bc} = \Delta \left( \frac{1}{2} + \delta \right) \Delta x \]

\[ S_{bf1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( e_{14} + e_{3/14} \right), \quad (13) \]

\[ S_{bf2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( e_{14} + e_{3/14} \right), \quad (14) \]

where \( \delta \) is a free parameter shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 3 Transformation of edges without changing node positions (●).

The subgrid scheme above requires no interpolation of field values, which results in a diagonal impedance matrix that allows stable computation. For example, as illustrated in Fig. 5(a), the subgrid method in Ref. [5] requires an interpolation such as \( h_{0,-1/4} \). When \( \Delta x \) is small, all the eigenvalues are on the unit circle, causing numerical instability. When \( \Delta x \) exceeds 0.5, some of the eigenvalues move outside the unit circle, causing numerical instability.

The growth rate of the numerical error during the temporal period \( \Delta t \) is evaluated by numerical eigenvalue analysis [11]. A small space-time grid having a spatial domain of \( 30 \Delta x \times 30 \Delta x \) including a subgrid domain of \( 14 \Delta x \times 14 \Delta x \) is used for the stability analysis while imposing spatially periodic boundary conditions with \( \delta = 0 \). When \( c_{\Delta w} / \Delta x \) exceeds 0.5, some of the eigenvalues of the boundary conditions are imposed. Fig. 6(b) depicts the
distribution of $B_z$ at $ct = 60$.

Fig. 7(a) depicts the distributions of discrepancy $\Delta B$ between $B_z$ obtained employing the FDTD method and that obtained using the proposed subgrid method at $ct = 60$. The FDTD method is executed with $\Delta x = 1$ and $\Delta w = 0.5$. The discrepancy seen for $x \geq 16$ and $y \geq 20$ is mainly caused by numerical dispersion whereas that for $x \leq 16$ or $y \leq 20$ is caused by an unphysical wave reflection at the connection with the subgrid. Fig. 7(b) and (c) depicts the distribution of $\Delta B$ obtained employing two classical subgrid techniques [3], [5]. The subgrid scheme proposed in [3] gives numerical error similar to that in Fig. 7(a). However, this scheme suffers numerical instability over long-time simulations. The subgrid scheme proposed in [5] is quite stable numerically, but yields larger numerical errors (Fig. 7(c)) than the space-time FI method. Fig. 8 compares the maximum of $|\Delta B| / |B_{\text{max}}|$ for $x \leq 16$ and $y \leq 20$ at $ct = 60$ among the three subgrid schemes when $\Delta x = 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4$; the maximum magnetic flux $|B_{\text{max}}|$ is 0.09 T at $ct = 60$. The unphysical wave reflection is roughly proportional to $(\Delta x)^2$, with the use of the proposed subgrid achieving the smallest error.

It is not difficult to extend the proposed simple space-time subgrid to 4D space-time. Fig. 11(a) illustrates a 4D space-time subgrid to 4D space-time. Fig. 11(a) illustrates a 4D

IV. INTRODUCTION OF A 4D SPACE-TIME SUBGRID

It is not difficult to extend the proposed simple space-time subgrid to 4D space-time. Fig. 11(a) illustrates a 4D
connection to the subgrid, where \( \delta \) is a free parameter. Similarly to the case for the 3D subgrid, the edges and faces can be bent as in Fig. 11(b) and (c).

Wave propagation is simulated on the space-time grid shown in Fig. 12 under a periodic spatial boundary condition, where \( \Delta x = 1, \Delta w = 0.4 \) and \( c = 1 \) by normalization. The normalized initial conditions are given as \( E_1 = E_2 = E_3 = 0, B_1 = \exp\left(-\frac{-(x^2)^2+(x^2)^2}{25}\right), B_2 = \exp\left(-\frac{-(y^2)^2+(y^2)^2}{25}\right), \) and \( B_3 = \exp\left(-\frac{-(z^2)^2+(z^2)^2}{25}\right). \) The 4D space-time subgrid does not develop numerical instability even after \( 10^6 \Delta w \) when \( \Delta x \leq 0.4 \) and \( \delta \geq 0. \) Fig. 13(a) depicts the discrepancy of \( |B| \) from that given by the FDTD method at \( x^0 = 60, \) where \( \delta = 0.07. \) For comparison, Fig. 13(b) depicts the distribution of discrepancy \( |\Delta B| \) obtained using the subgrid technique in [5], where there is larger unphysical wave reflection than in Fig. 13(a). Fig. 14 presents the maximum of \( |\Delta B| / |B_{\text{max}}| \) for \( x^1 \leq 16, x^2 \leq 20 \) and \( x^3 \leq 24 \) at \( x^0 = 60 \) in the comparison of the results for the subgrid in [5] and the proposed method with \( \delta = 0, 0.07 \) and \( |B_{\text{max}}| = 0.15 \) T, when \( \Delta x = 1, 4/3, 2. \) The proposed subgrid with \( \delta = 0.07 \) provides small unphysical wave reflection.

**Fig. 11** 4D space-time connection to a subgrid: (a) connection, (b) curved edges and faces, and (c) staircase-like edges and faces.

**Fig. 12** 3D spatial grid for the 4D space-time subgrid method.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Using the space-time FI method with staircase-shaped edges, a simple and stable subgrid scheme was developed without interpolation of field values. A detailed study of the 4D subgrid connection and symmetric correction proposed in [11] will be conducted in future work to improve the accuracy of the proposed method.
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