TITLE:

Demand adaptation towards new transport modes: the case of high-speed rail in Taiwan

AUTHOR(S):

Li, Yeun-Touh; Schmöcker, Jan-Dirk; Fujii, Satoshi

CITATION:

Li, Yeun-Touh ...[et al]. Demand adaptation towards new transport modes: the case of high-speed rail in Taiwan. *Transportmetrica B: Transport Dynamics* 2014, 3(1): 27-43

ISSUE DATE:

2014-08-21

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/200175>

RIGHT:

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in 'Transportmetrica B: Transport Dynamics' on 21 Aug 2014, available online: <http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/21680566.2014.946456>; この論文は出版社版ではありません。引用の際には出版社版をご確認ご利用ください。 ; This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version.

This is the unformatted version of: Yeun-Touh, L., Schmöcker, J.-D. and Fujii, S. (2014). Demand Adaptation towards New Transport Modes: Case of High Speed Rail in Taiwan. *Transportmetrica B*. doi: 10.1080/21680566.2014.946456.

Demand Adaptation towards New Transport Modes:

Case of High Speed Rail in Taiwan

Yeun-Touh Li, Jan-Dirk Schmöcker, Satoshi Fujii

Yeun-Touh Li
PhD Student, Department of Urban Management,
Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University, Japan
Address:
Room C1-2-437, Katsura Campus, Nishikyo-Ku,
Kyoto, 615-8540, Japan
Phone numbers: +81-80-5770-8002
Fax numbers: +81-075-383-3236
e-mail: joe.liyt@trans.kuciv.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Jan-Dirk Schmöcker
Associate Professor, Department of Urban Management,
Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University, Japan
Address:
Katsura Campus, Nishikyo-Ku,
Kyoto, 615-8540, Japan
e-mail: schmoecker@trans.kuciv.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Satoshi Fujii
Professor, Department of Urban Management,
Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University, Japan
Address:
Katsura Campus, Nishikyo-Ku,
Kyoto, 615-8540, Japan
e-mail: fujii@trans.kuciv.kyoto-u.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

This study aims to explain the factors affecting ridership changes on the relatively new Taiwan High Speed Rail system (THSR). The analysis is based on monthly ridership data from January 2007 to December 2013. We also discuss the impact of THSR on competing modes such as air demand. Econometric time-series models are used for ridership estimation. Firstly a seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average (SARIMA) model was applied; showing that the ridership thrives and that the trend prediction fairly well performed if applied to data after 2012. Secondly, to specify the impact of explanatory variables, a first order moving average model was fitted. Results show that ridership, population and fuel price have a positive effect while unemployment and car ownership tend to reduce the THSR ridership. We include as separate factor “month since operation start”, show that this factor is significant and discuss its relation to demand adaptation. Implications for general equilibrium modelling for new transport systems are discussed. Moreover, ridership data from two specific stations are used to test the importance of predominant trip purposes for demand estimation.

Keywords: High Speed Rail; Demand Forecasting; Time Series Modelling; Trend; Adaptation Effect

1. INTRODUCTION: DEMAND FOR NEW PUBLIC TRANSPORT SCHEMES

Demand Forecasting is a key issue for transport planning. Over the last decades various tools have been developed to assess the impact of network changes on the demand. One can observe though that generally model accuracy drops the longer the planning horizon. The reasons for this are obvious in that the uncertainties increase the longer the time horizon. Further, models have most success to predict the demand for small alterations to existing systems. Demand prediction for major infrastructure investments are in most cases far more difficult. In some cases demand estimates have been found to be very far from predictions. A notable recent example is the demand for the Incheon Airport Express in Korea which is far below model estimates (KMLIT, 2009). An explanation is that demand adaptation is influenced by far more factors than usually included in utility estimations that are the basis for mode or route choice models.

An additional issue is that the timing of demand adaptation appears often difficult to predict. Initial demand might be low but only over a fairly long time period the demand might increase to somewhere near the predicted (user equilibrium) level. Some rail operators for example discount the demand for the first few years for new services compared to their usual demand prediction method.

The problem at stake is though that exactly for large infrastructure investments, a planner is in most need for demand predictions as this is a key factor in project appraisal. For example, initial demand for the magnetic elevated train considered for construction between Hamburg and Berlin in Germany were estimated at 14 million passengers per year initially. The estimates were later downward revised leading eventually to the rejection of the project. Other sustainable transport policies currently under discussion face similar issues. For example there is a wide range of predictions for the demand of electric cars (Lieven et al. 2011; Link et al. 2012) and bicycle sharing (Fishman et al. 2013; DeMaio, 2009). Also a number of public transport systems have been introduced but services were cancelled after some time when demand did not reach expectations. On the other hand also positive examples are known where demand exceeded predictions (Lee and Senior, 2013; Abrate et al. 2009; FitzRoy and Smith, 1998).

Especially the time duration dimension appears to be under researched. When investments aim to promote mode changes, it is reasonable to assume that potential users need time to adapt their behaviour. For example Owen and Phillips (1987) distinguish “short-term” and “long-term” impacts of service changes to railway demand. Therefore the aim of this paper is to discuss factors that influence the demand development for newly introduced public transportation schemes. That is, we want to know how long it takes for a new system to reach a constant stable demand (if ever). In modelling terms we might phrase this as the time it takes for the system to reach a new equilibrium distribution between the modes (if one exists and if it can be reached).

As a case study we analyse the demand for the Taiwanese High Speed Rail System. The service was introduced in 2007. As will be discussed in the following the service only was altered significantly in the first year of its operation, after that, until today, service attributes have stayed fairly constant. Therefore one might assume that the demand will also be stable after a while which is though not the case. We discuss possible reasons for this as well as general implications for long term demand forecasting.

The reminder of this paper is organised as follows. Section 2 reviews in more detail potential factors influencing the demand adaptation in response to public transport investments. Section 3 introduces the case study. Sections 4 and 5 then present a time series analysis of the demand development. We firstly employ a SARIMA model in Section 4 followed by a simpler MA(1) model in Section 5 where we introduce explanatory variables discussed in previous sections. In Section 6 we repeat the analysis of Section 5 with ridership data from two specific stations. Section 7 concludes this study with a discussion on implications and future extensions.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW: DEMAND ADAPTATION TO NEW PT SERVICES

A number of major factors influencing (long-term) demand adaptation to public transport investments can be distinguished. For one, obviously by the population perceived quality and service attributes of the new service will influence the demand as discussed in most of the mode choice literature. Fares, frequency, travel time, and service reliability (punctuality) generally are considered as quantitative factors whereas safety concerns, comfort level, and in-vehicle service are considered as qualitative demand determinants. For example safety records will influence airline demand over longer time periods (Ito and Lee, 2005) and similarly for new rail systems passengers whom might need some time to adapt to these and gain trust. Fu et al. (2014) estimate the demand split between rail and air in Japan if “super high speed rail” is introduced between Osaka and Tokyo. They suggest that passengers will be sensitive to fare and frequency and that eventually air might be driven out of the market.

Further, obviously socio-demographics and their developments will influence the demand. In line with the analysis presented later in this paper, Kyte et al. (1988) used time-series analysis to examine the factors affecting changes in transit ridership in Portland. One factor they identify is population size. Similarly, also for large national projects the total population growth should therefore be considered, especially if more specific market size estimation is not available.

General economic developments measured by GDP and unemployment rate will play a further role. Important to note are further the cyclic and “endogenous effects” if very large transit investments are considered, which make it difficult to estimate their total demand as well as the

time when the effect will occur. For example, both in developed and developing countries, Gwilliam (2008) review the development of thought on the major issues in transit economics over the last half century. He concluded that transit is critical to the achievement of a wide range of social, economic and environmental objectives. Similarly, Chen (2010) identifies factors that impact high-speed rail ridership (as well as ticket fares) in the North Eastern parts of the United States. He suggests that economic factors such as employment in manufacturing seem to be most significant. Transit investments will trigger further economic investments. They hence create induced demand and will have an impact on other determinants of transit ridership such as car ownership. Ahlfeldt and Feddersen (2010) argue that the economic geography framework, such as population distribution, economic activity density, and spatial development structure, can help to derive extant predictions on the economic impact of transport projects and vice versa. The expectation that transport innovations would also lead to sustainable economic growth has long since motivated public investment into large-scale infrastructure projects. Connected to economic developments are also gasoline prices. Lane (2012) shows with data from 33 U.S. cities that gasoline prices have exhibited considerable fluctuation in public transport demand. Further car ownership is driven by economic developments. Bass et al. (2011) for example reports the connection between increasing household income and car ownership particularly in developing countries, with this leads to a significant decline in public transit ridership.

A fourth group of factors which significant for long term demand might be termed “general perceptions and attitudes”. That is, generally concern for health and environment might have promoted the use of public transport over the least years. The car seems to lose its meaning as status symbol over the last years to some degree (Belgiawan et al. 2012). Also, in particular for new technologies, perceptions of whether the system is safe or convenient will influence demand. Abrate et al. (2009) analysis the impact of Integrated Tariff Systems (ITS) on public transport demand in Italy, and indicate that the introduction of such system can increase the number of passenger trips both in the short-run (2.19%) and long-run (12.04%). FitzRoy and Smith (1998) investigate the demand of local public transport in Germany; and argue that although traffic restraint measures and improvements in the quality of the public transit service are significant factors, the main explanation lies in the introduction of low cost environmental travel cards with the key characteristics of transferability across friends and family and wide regional validity across operators. That is, not only the convenience of the card but also the promotion of the service as environmental might have had an effect. Chao et al. (2012) indicate the concept of perceived value from public transport is closely linked to customers’ satisfaction. The results show that the satisfaction value could be identified as social, functional, and emotional value. However, this group of factors is usually very difficult to include in demand forecasting.

A fifth group of factors might be called “direct endogenous factors” (in contrast to other more indirect factors such as the above discussed economic impacts). That is, through the introduction of a new system some cyclic effects might be triggered. For example land-use values might change through the introduction of a new public transport system that will lead to changes in the socio-demographics. Related to our study, Andersson et al. (2010) used a hedonic price method to evaluate the accessibility changes caused by THSR and the effect on the residential property market. The estimation results suggest that accessibility has at most a minor effect on house prices though (so far).

More directly, the competitive modes of the new system might alter their service. For example, in Taiwan, as a consequence of the introduction of the High Speed rail, airlines reduced their prices, while conventional rail changed their timetable and services (Cheng, 2010). Owen and Phillips (1987) mention the wider significant impacts of the introduction of high speed rail in the U.K. on the whole rail demand. In all cases the time duration of the effect is difficult to estimate.

In line with these cyclic effects Schmöcker et al. (2013) discuss that “mass effects” can be significant determinants of long term demand adaptation. One persuades a few to change their behaviour initially in order to encourage a large number of people to follow later. There is then a potential of enduring significant demand increases as the new service might increase its attractiveness over time if more start to join it. For example economies of scale may be passed on to travellers by public transport operators in terms of reduced fares or increased frequencies (Mohring 1972). With this background, in the following we focus on our case study to understand the importance of these groups of explanatory variables.

3. TAIWAN HIGH SPEED RAIL AND ITS IMPACT ON MODE SHARE

3.1 THSR Demand

In January 2007, THSR opened its operation. The system primarily relies on imported technology and hardware from Japan’s Shinkansen line, supplemented with a European (TGV and ICE) traffic management system; with an investment cost of approximately US\$15 billion (Andersson et al. 2010). Through connecting its economic corridor north to south, covering almost 90% of population, it brought Taiwan into a new stage of “one-day peripheral circle”. Through the nearly 350 kilometres investment, the travel time is cut from 4 hours into 1.5 hours (Taipei to Zuoying). This has greatly expanded overall accessibility throughout the whole Western coast region of Taiwan (see Figure 1).

However, criticism still remains, Su et al. (2012) stated that five out of eight stations are located in suburbs and far away from the CBD area (Taoyuan, 8.4km; Hsinchu, 9km; Taichung, 11km;

Chiayi, 15.5km; and Tainan, 13.9km away from CBD). This has reduced the travel time benefits in many cases compared to conventional rail (travel cost does not increase as for most of these stations, THSR operates free shuttle buses to the CBD). This has also led the access to these stations being often confined to motor vehicles, resulting in minimum travel time of between 20 to 40 min from downtown areas (Andersson et al. 2010).

To illustrate the inter-city travel market share, traffic volumes of individual modes have been obtained from Taiwan's Ministry of Transportation and Communications (MOTC) and are shown in Table 1. As a consequence of the THSR introduction, the ridership and inter-city market share shifted between different travel modes between 2005 and 2012. Private cars, expressway buses, and domestic airlines experience negative trends while conventional rail demand (Taiwan rail, TR) remained stable during the first year of THSR operation and has since then been increasing. The average growth ratio for each mode since 2005 is 0.04% for cars, -3.43% for buses, 3.86% for Taiwan rail, and -7.22% for domestic airlines respectively; while THSR is “booming”, with an average annual growth ratio of 19.45% since 2007.

FIGURE 1 THSR route map.

Source: Wikipedia

TABLE 1 Aggregated Inter-City Ridership of Travel Modes in Taiwan

Travel Mode	Unit (market share)	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Private Vehicle	million cars (%)	479.14 (52.0)	480.59 (52.7)	475.50 (51.9)	453.93 (49.4)	457.10 (49.9)	464.82 (49.8)	479.55 (50.1)	482.80 (50.5)
Bus	million Pax (%)	252.80 (27.5)	245.20 (26.9)	242.30 (26.5)	246.40 (26.8)	237.80 (26.0)	232.80 (24.9)	220.60 (23.0)	197.05 (20.6)
Conventional Rail	million Pax (%)	169.60 (18.4)	169.00 (18.5)	169.70 (18.5)	178.70 (19.4)	179.40 (19.6)	189.80 (20.3)	205.83 (21.5)	220.30 (23.1)
Domestic Airlines	million Pax (%)	19.29 (2.1)	17.36 (1.9)	12.71 (1.4)	9.85 (1.1)	9.23 (1.0)	9.73 (1.0)	10.48 (1.1)	10.68 (1.1)
THSR	million Pax (%)	-	-	15.56 (1.7)	30.58 (3.3)	32.35 (3.5)	36.94 (4.0)	41.63 (4.3)	44.53 (4.7)

Source: Ministry of Transportation and Communications (MOTC)

Figure 2 shows the monthly pattern in THSR ridership over the 7 years of operation. There are three peaks within each year relating to Chinese New Year, which varies from January to February due to the lunar calendar; the beginning of the summer vacation in July as the second peak; and the final peak, December, for Christmas and New Year. The figure further illustrates that, from an annual perspective, the ridership increases steadily and the seasonal pattern became stable after 2008. To explain the annual increase, in line with our literature review, a number of factors are in the following to be investigated. Note further that while ridership keeps generally increasing, the average travel distance reduces from 230 km per person in 2007 to around 190 km per person in 2013 (see Figure 3). According to the MOTC analysis report this is possibly due to the increase of commuting and business trips among all THSR trips, particularly within northern part of Taiwan.

FIGURE 2 THSR monthly ridership.

FIGURE 3 THSR ridership and average trip distance

As service attributes such as travel speed and fares remain stable over the years, these cannot be used as explanatory variables. Service frequency varies over the time period but the causality between demand and supply is not clear. We presume that service frequency will be, at least to a large degree, an endogenous factor as the operator reacts to increased demand as long as there is spare capacity. The THSR has reported that the number of services has risen from 1,034 (January 2007) to 4,032 (February 2013) per month. However, this was not a gradual increase but rather

there was a nearly 400% increase in service frequency within the first two years of service operation after which the service frequency remained comparatively stable. Figure 4 shows that seat occupancy initially dropped but increased from 35.95% in January 2008 to 53.51% in January 2014. We propose that a) the drops in occupancy are evidence for frequency as endogenous variable and that b) the relative smoothness of the occupancy since 2011 suggests that the operator predicts (especially seasonal) demand changes and accordingly adjusts its frequency. We therefore exclude service frequency as explanatory variable in our later time series analysis, acknowledge though that different argumentations are also possible.

FIGURE 4 THSR service frequency and seat occupancy

3.2 Air Service Frequency Adjustments

Comparing the THSR ridership and the domestic airline demand within the west coast of Taiwan in more detail, illustrates that the airline share dramatically decreases after the opening of THSR (see Figure 5, note that the airline data is different from the previous Table 1. Figure 5 airline ridership data only refer to domestic airlines within Taiwan's west coast). This likely shows the advantages for high speed rail within 600km travel distance. Fares between TSHR and airlines have been fairly similar with around USD\$50 from Taipei to Zuoying (Kaohsiung) on TSHR and USD\$55~\$70 for airline depending on sales and peak days. After losing market shares some airlines tried for a while to remain in business by offering specially reduced sales, however, by June 2012 flights along Taiwan's west coast had been suspended.

FIGURE 5 Comparison of THSR and airline (West Coast of Taiwan) monthly ridership.

3.3 (Largely) Exogenous Factors Potentially Affecting THSR Ridership

Besides the above discussed air developments, clearly transport developments for other modes might have impacted the modal share. For instance the extension or lane widening of the expressway increased capacities for both private vehicles and buses which could have a negative impact on rail demand. The expressway network in Taiwan extended from 373 km in 1978 to 989 km in 2009. Guo and Feng (2008) argue that this well connected network (3 north-souths orientated and 17 east-west orientated expressway networks) has induced 5% car volume each year in the last 15 years, and forced conventional rail to reduce service frequency on some routes. Also the fuel price may lead to fare changes for buses, domestic airlines, and directly affects the travel cost of private vehicles. Whereas in 2012 fuel prices remain relatively stable with a price of around 1.01 to 1.16 USD per litre, in July 2008 fuel prices reached a peak of 1.16 USD and then quickly dropped to 0.68 USD at the end of 2008 due to the global financial crisis.

Most studies and previous literature examining gasoline prices have used real gasoline prices (Lane, 2012). In reality, gasoline prices in Taiwan are supervised by Ministry of Economic Affairs, but authorized to Chinese Petroleum Corporation (CPC, Taiwan), to determine changes in prices. The gasoline price varies frequently and unpredictably between weeks. Thus in this paper, fuel prices was aggregated into monthly averages.

Further, since we are discussing nationwide intercity travel demand, global economic events such as the 2008 crisis cannot be ignored. Most of the inter-city travel demand generally decreased in the later half of 2008 and early 2009 (see also 2008 data in Table 1 and Figure 4)

except for travel by expressway buses. The reason might be that this service provides the lowest travel cost among the mode choice option and not capturing much business travel. As a macroeconomic indicator for this study we therefore collected information on the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in Taiwan. GDP data could be obtained as seasonal data from the first quarter of 2007 (2007Q1) to the fourth quarter of 2012 (2012Q4). Annual comparison shows that in 2008 and 2011 the GDP declined by 3.26% and 0.02%, while 2007, 2009, 2010, and 2012 the GDP increased by 2.23%, 3.99%, 2.84% and 1.12% respectively. We note that it might take some time before the macroeconomic impacts become visible in the demand as suggested by Kyte (1988) and Lane (2012).

We further note that there is a high correlation between fuel price and GDP (0.794 for Pearson test), thus in our subsequent analysis in Sections 5 and 6, we use $(GDP) / (Fuel\ price)$ for a given month as a measure of “disposable income for petrol”. The expected sign for the impact of this variable on rail demand should hence be negative, i.e. the more disposable income for petrol, the less attractive rail is.

As a further economic indicator for effects not captured with the seasonal GDP we consider the monthly unemployment ratio in Taiwan. The monthly unemployment data could be collected from the webpage of the Taiwan National Statistics (2013). The ratio varies between 3.78% and 6.13% with a mean of 4.61% within our specified time period.

Besides these economic factors, total population data was collected from the Ministry of the Interior as a proxy-measurement (Owen and Phillips, 1987; Kyte, 1988; Gwilliam, 2008; Lane, 2012) for THSR market size. We note that the Taiwan west coast holds about 90% of the total population, thus using nationwide population data appears acceptable. The population shows a slow but steady increase from 22.87million in January 2007 to 23.33 million in December 2012. It should be noted that from its prediction, Taiwan will face a population decline after 2018 due to the low birth ratio (Wang et al., 2009).

As a second related measurement to “market size” as well as economic development, we include the population’s car ownership ratio. Our data shows that in 2007 there were 29.6 cars per 100 Taiwanese, then ownership declined in 2008 until nearly the end of 2009. Since 2010 the ownership has been rising again and has reached 30% by the middle of 2011.

For a seasonal demand analysis, holidays should be considered as an important factor (Quddus et al., 2007) which create additional demand. Reflecting our observations in Figure 2 we consider Chinese New Year (winter vacation), summer vacation and all three-day consecutive holidays (Spring breaks, Dragon Boat Festival, Moon Festival, Christmas and New Year Holiday) as potential sources of high speed rail demand. For Chinese New Year, many will travel to visit

families whereas for summer and other holidays significant travel to island and festivals held across the country is generated.

Finally, in line with our aforementioned discussion that demand adaptation is likely to require some time and that cyclic effects might encourage more shift of demand after some initial users have been attracted we include a trend or “adaptation effect” variable which we specify as being related to the time since service operation and presume to have a positive effect.

TABLE 2 Definition, Expected Sign and Descriptive Statistics of Variables

Variables		Definition/ Notes	Expected sign in model	Unit	Type	Minimum	Maximum	Mean
THSR	THSR Ridership (Dependent)	Total ridership per month		person	Continuous / Monthly	724,784	4,023,302	2,826,824
	Number of Services	High speed rail train services per month		Service frequency	Continuous / Monthly	1,034	4,524	3,620
Social Economic Factors	Total Population		+	person	Continuous / Monthly	22,879,132	23,328,602	23,102,882
	Unemployment Ratio		-	%	Continuous / Monthly	3.78	6.13	4.61
	GDP	Transformed into GDP / Fuel Price as 1 independent variable due to high correlation		USD / person	Continuous / Seasonal	3,823	5,398	4,592
	Fuel Price			USD / L	Continuous / Monthly	0.69	1.19	0.98
	GDP / Fuel Price	Substitution for both explanatory variables, GDP and Fuel Price	-		Continuous / Monthly	120.14	196.27	152.15
Airline	Airline Ridership	Total ridership along west coast (Taipei- Taichung, Taipei-Chiayi, Tapei-Tainan, Taipei-Kaohsiung, Taipei-Pingtung)		person	Continuous / Monthly	0	288,599	33,915
Private Vehicles	Car Ownership Ratio	cars per 100 persons	-	%	Continuous / Monthly	29.10	31.00	29.74
Holidays & Vacations	Chinese New Year	Winter vacation, based on lunar calendar	+		Dummy / Monthly	0	1	0.31
	Summer Vacation	Every July / August	+		Dummy / Monthly	0	1	0.37
	Consecutive Holidays	Holiday over 3 days off in a row, e.g., Spring Break, Christmas, and New Year Holiday	+		Dummy / Monthly	0	1	0.23
Adaptation effects		Assumed that effects grow larger after the operation	+		Continuous / Monthly	1	72	36.5

4. SARIMA TIME SERIES MODEL

In order to distinguish seasonal from overall trends, a time-series seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average (SARIMA) model is used to estimate the demand for THSR 2013 monthly ridership based on data from January 2007 to December 2012. The type of SARIMA model is usually denoted by SARIMA $(p,d,q)(P,D,Q)_s$ model: p and P represent the order of the non-seasonal and seasonal autoregressive (AR) process; d and D the order of the non-seasonal and seasonal difference process; q and Q represent the order of non-seasonal and seasonal moving average (MA) processes. The subscript s denotes the length of seasonality, i.e., in this model $s = 12$ in case of monthly time series data and due to the annual repetitive character of some demand, such as new year festivities related journeys.

A fuller description of SARIMA modelling can be found in Andreoni and Postorino (2006). Examining the autocorrelation function (ACF) and the partial autocorrelation function (PACF) from time series data we could identify the values of each of these parameters. Based on this preliminary analysis we suggest to employ a SARIMA model of the order $(0,1,2)(0,1,1)_{12}$. The model is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Y}(t) = & \mu + Y(t-12) + (Y(t-1) - Y(t-13)) - \theta_1 e(t-1) - \theta_2 e(t-2) \\ & - \Theta e(t-12) + \theta_1 \Theta e(t-13) + \theta_2 \Theta e(t-14) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Besides the mean μ the model includes three parameters to be estimated. The two non-seasonal moving average terms θ_1 and θ_2 as well as the seasonal moving average Θ . The model form hence suggests that the ridership can be estimated by considering the ridership estimates in the last month and the ridership one year (season) ago. The three parameters describe the “smoothing process” due to past outliers and the double exponential smoothing for the non-seasonal part suggests that there is some underlying non-stationary trend.

Table 3 illustrates the high significance of first and second order moving averages and that the seasonal moving average is significant at 10% level. The model can thus be used to forecast 2013 monthly ridership and the predicted ridership is compared with observed ridership in Figure 6. Note that for illustration of the predictive power of the model we only estimate the parameters with data up to December 2012. The values starting from January 2013 are predicted by our model. We find a fairly good fit, though the February 2013 peak was not predicted by the model. This peak can be explained by a non-recurring large event, the Lantern festival, which was held next to THSR Hsinchu station that month (see also Figure 8).

TABLE 3 SARIMA Model Estimation Results for THSR Monthly Ridership

Parameters	Coeff.	t-statistics	p-value
Moving Average MA(1), θ_1	0.69	6.30	≤ 0.01
Moving Average MA(2), θ_2	-0.55	-4.50	≤ 0.01
Seasonal Moving Average SMA(1), Θ	0.84	1.81	0.08
Differencing: 1 regular and 1 seasonal of length 72			
Constant	-10439.18	-1.20	0.24
Observation		72	
R-square		0.85	
Adjusted R-square		0.53	
Ljung-Box Q test		0.63

FIGURE 6 Comparison of THSR observed and predicted monthly ridership.

5. TIME SERIES MODEL WITH EXPLANATORY VARIABLES

To further identify the existence of a trend that can be explained with an adaptation effect in this section, we further use a number of explanatory variables as exogenous factors discussed in Section 3 and summarised in Table 2. The table also includes the expected sign for each variable. Our aim is to understand whether there are residual ridership adaptation effects if we control for other explanatory factors.

The dependent variable remains THSR ridership. To avoid over fitting, we choose for this analysis a simpler model structure. Log-linear and linear versions of AR(1) and MA(1) models

have been tested. Log-linear MA(1) models are found to provide slightly better model fits. Therefore, two first-ordered moving average model MA (1) are discussed in the following. The models are given by:

$$\ln y_t = \alpha + \beta \ln X_t + \theta D_t + \varepsilon_t \quad (2)$$

where the error term satisfies:

$$\varepsilon_t = \rho \varepsilon_{t-1} + \eta_t \quad (3)$$

In this model y_t is the THSR ridership for month t where we measure months continuously since operation begin. X is a $k * 1$ vector of continuous explanatory variables, D is a $m * 1$ vector of dummy variables, ε_t are the white noise or error terms, ρ ($-1 < \rho < 1$) is the moving average coefficient, where η is independent and identically distributed with mean zero and variance σ^2 . Finally, β and θ represent the coefficients of continuous variables X and dummy variables D , respectively, which are to be estimated.

Results of both models are shown in Table 4 and the explanatory power of both models are illustrated in Figure 7. Our two models differ in terms of the included explanatory variables. Model 1 is a minimal model excluding any multi-collinearity problems among the explanatory variables. Coefficients significant at the 5% level are shown in bold, whereas coefficients significant at the 10% are indicated in italic.

We find that, as expected, Chinese New Year and summer vacation have a positive effect on THSR ridership while “consecutive holidays” does not have a significant sign and is excluded from our model specifications.

GDP / fuel price has the expected negative sign. Further, in our model specification we tested lag effects of the socio-economic factors. For the GDP/ fuel price factor we find that a lag of one month provides the best model fit which is in line with Kyte (1988) and Lane (2012) who also discuss that such lagged responses are reasonable and important behavioural components in consumer response to changes in marketplace. The lag can be explained by the fact that fuel prices as well as GDP take time to influence people’s decision.

In model 2 we added the other socio-economic factors such as total population, unemployment ratio and car ownership. The model results show that population has a significant positive effect both on lag 0 and lag 1. Unemployment ratio was suggested to have a 3 month lag on THSR ridership with significant negative effect as expected. Car ownership in this paper was considered as potential alternative mode choice for travellers. The relationship between THSR and car ownership is found to be, as expected, negatively significant, suggesting that if one owns a car

this has also influence on inter-city travel mode choice. Note that the model fit only slightly increases by adding the additional variables though the significance of the constant vanishes in Model 2.

The adaptation effect is included as a continuous variable for months since operation (from January 2007 to December 2012) in both models. The effect is found to have a strongly statistically positive sign. We note that the adaptation effect is likely to capture a combination of various effects. That is, it includes possibly some of the endogenous effects not captured in the model as well as some of the “information mass effects” discussed in Schmöcker et al (2013). For example it might take some time before the population gets fully aware of the service quality and gets convinced it is safe to use. Also businesses trips might have only over time adjusted their schedules. From personal experience, the first author of this paper knows that since a few years now, more one-day business trips between Tainan and Taipei are conducted. Whereas before the introduction of THSR one would arrange for longer, infrequent meetings, nowadays company executives can conduct morning meetings in Taipei and same day afternoon meetings in Tainan or Taichung. Thus, one might conclude that the TSHR is slowly changing the “mobility culture” of private as well as business people of the country.

TABLE 4 Model Estimation Results for Time-series Models

Model: Loglinear with MA(1)	Model 1			Model 2	
Parameters	Lag	Coeff.	t-statistics	Coeff.	t-statistics
Total Population	0			190.54	2.81
	1			178.06	2.64
Unemployment Ratio	3			-0.65	-4.35
GDP/Fuel Price	1	-0.30	-2.03	-0.31	-2.76
Car Ownership	0			-7.52	-2.99
Chinese New Year	0	0.05	1.82	0.06	2.37
Summer Vacation	0	0.08	2.77	0.04	1.87
Adaptation Effects	0	0.44	28.48	0.51	6.27
Constant		14.82	19.82	-170.63	-0.57
Observation			72		72
R-square			0.96		0.97
Adjusted R-square			0.95		0.96
Moving Average Coeff.		-0.51	-4.63	-0.26	-2.01
Ljung-Box Q test			0.00		0.06

FIGURE 7 Comparison of observed and predicted monthly ridership (Models 1 & 2).

6. APPLICATION TO STATION DEMAND

To confirm our above findings and to understand whether parameter estimates vary significantly depending on the location, in this section we use the same methodology as above for the ridership of two specific stations. We choose for this Taipei and Hsinchu. Taipei is the capital and largest city of Taiwan with a population of around 6.6 million at the end of 2012. The high speed rail station will be used by all kind of travellers including business travellers as well as local and some foreign tourists. We contrast this with Hsinchu, a city known for its world leading IT industries and located 30 minutes away from Taipei by high speed rail, with a population of nearly 0.95 million. The station locations and access possibilities also differ in the two cities. Taipei has a well-connected public transport network and THSR station is located right in the middle of downtown. Hsinchu station is located about 9 km outside of its CBD but close to the IT industry cluster, emphasising the primary importance of this station for business travel. Figure 8 illustrates the ridership development for both stations in terms of number of passengers at the respective stations. Taipei has the largest ridership among all the HSR stations and illustrates a growth pattern with fairly significant monthly variations. In contrast the growth in Hsinchu is smoother (except for the February 2013 peak mentioned above) and at a much lower level.

To replicate the above MA(1) model specified with equations (2) and (3) we use the same variables that were found significant in Table 4. Only for population, unemployment and car

ownership ratio the national data are replaced with regional data (Table 5). Note further that due to underground construction in Taipei, THSR was opened two month later compared to other stations, so that for Hsinchu we have 72 data points but only 70 data points for Taipei (Table 5).

TABLE 5 Descriptive Statistics of Taipei and Hsinchu Data

Variables		Taipei			Hsinchu		
		Min.	Max.	Mean	Min.	Max.	Mean
THSR	Ridership	516,115	2,299,498	1,163,122	82,539	764,880	469,034
Social Economic Factors	Total Population	6,401,666	6,612,531	6,493,899	883,482	949,064	918,426
	Unemployment Ratio	3.70	5.80	4.52	3.72	5.86	4.50
	GDP / Fuel Price	120.14	196.26	152.32	120.14	196.26	152.32
Private Vehicles	Car Ownership Ratio	202.62	252.59	239.88	259.70	306.46	288.91
Holidays & Vacations	Chinese New Year	0	1	0.31	0	1	0.31
	Summer Vacation	0	1	0.37	0	1	0.37
	Adaptation effects	1	70	35.5	1	72	36.5

Data taken from: ...

FIGURE 8 Number of passengers at Taipei and Hsinchu stations

Table 6 shows our results for both cities. We find that population has the expected positive impact on Taipei ridership but we do not find this for Hsinchu. Both cities show significant negative parameters for unemployment, and significant positive ones for summer vacation and the adaptation effects, consistent with our model in section 5. We further find that GDP/Fuel price is only weakly significant for Taipei ridership, possibly because of the generally higher prices in Taiwan leading to less price sensitivity and/or due to a lower proportion of business

trips among Taipei demand. The second argument is further supported by the fact that GDP/Fuel price is highly significant in Hsinchu with a parameter that is larger than for the aggregate model in Table 4.

For similar reasons Chinese New Year (generally considered as back home trips) might not be significant for Taipei, that is the proportion of additional “return home” trips are not significant compared to the overall demand. Instead, in Hsinchu we find a negative parameter for Chinese New Year due to the larger proportion of business trips which are reduced during this holiday period. The adaptation effect is strongly significant in both cities, in particular for Hsinchu with a parameter larger than the one observed for the aggregate data. We suggest that this might be due to the above discussed change in business patterns and adaptation of commuters who over time start using more often the high speed rail especially for trips from/to Taipei. In support of this argument we remind that Figure 3 illustrates that average trip distance of travellers has been reducing, which suggests more usage of high speed rail for short distance commuting trip.

TABLE 6 Model Estimation Results for Taipei and Hsinchu

Model: Loglinear with MA(1)		Taipei		Hsinchu	
Parameters	lag	Coeff.	t-statistics	Coeff.	t-statistics
Total Population	0	529.76	2.14	-82.07	-1.23
	1	551.10	2.19	-70.69	-1.07
Unemployment Ratio	3	-0.48	-4.95	-0.44	-3.15
GDP/Fuel Price	1	-0.21	-1.80	-0.39	-3.13
Car Ownership	0	0.31	1.24	0.09	0.37
Chinese New Year	0	0.01	0.39	-0.05	-2.09
Summer Vacation	0	0.06	2.73	0.07	2.84
Adaptation Effects	0	0.61	12.45	0.95	13.66
Constant		346.89	4.54	168.29	4.91
Observation		70		72	
R-square		0.95		0.98	
Adjusted R-square		0.94		0.97	
Moving Average Coeff.		-0.21	-1.51	-0.52	-4.44
Ljung-Box Q test		0.11		0.00	

7. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

In this paper we reviewed demand uptake of the newly introduced Taiwan High Speed Rail since 2007. We discuss its effect on competing modes such as air and highway traffic showing that the new system has slowly driven domestic air transport out of market. This might be an

encouraging message for other countries aiming to introduce more sustainable rail transport for medium long distance travel. However, one also has to remember the specific geography of Taiwan, where a single high speed rail line can capture most of the air demand.

We present two types of time series modelling and two specific scale of data to test our model performance. Our fitted SARIMA model appears suitable for demand forecasting whereas our simpler MA(1) models help us understanding the role of specific exogenous factors for aggregate as well as station specific demand.

For aggregate forecasting we find that total population, GDP, unemployment and fuel prices as well as seasonal effects are significant determinants of demand, all with the expected sign. This suggests that to estimate demand precisely one needs to take into account a mixture of long-term predictable factors (such as population growth) as well as short term fluctuating factors (such as fuel price). For station specific demand socio-demographics, GDP, fuel price, and car ownership may not always be significant. Rather it is important to understand the composition of the trip purposes of the travellers as our analysis of demand patterns for Hsinchu and Taipei suggests.

The focus of our study has been on the adaptation effect. We estimate this with continuous log-linear dummy variable representing the duration since operation. We find this factor highly significant for both aggregate as well as local demand. Even after seven years of operation it is not obvious when and whether equilibrium might be reached, which has possibly implications for demand modelling of any kind of new transport system such as electric cars or shared car schemes. Policy makers should be careful in over predicting the short term demand a new scheme might generate. We argue that this is possibly due to various types of “adaptation effects” including general population perception of the new scheme and possibly “information spread”. Our analysis of Hsinchu versus Taipei ridership patterns further tentatively suggests that adaptation effect might be stronger for business than for private travel. We acknowledge though that further work is needed to confirm this by disentangling the different factors combined in the adaptation effect. This is though not possible with the data currently available. Instead we suggest one might need to look into individual personal trip patterns, and in addition to conduct a more qualitative study interviewing business as well as private travellers on when and why they started using the high speed rail service.

In discussions on the cost-effectiveness of potential high speed rail projects often expected ridership data are published. We propose that adaptation effects to new systems might take a significant time and initial low ridership might not be a sign of “wrong estimates”. Demand estimation for new systems that potentially significantly change the mobility patterns of a wider region might have to be treated very differently than demand estimation for system extensions. For example, data from German rail suggest that for recently built or upgraded high speed routes

it takes around three to four years for demand to stabilise. Also data from the recently opened high speed rail extension in Kyushu, Japan, suggest that total ridership appears to stabilise fast. In both cases the population will have been already used to the high speed rail concept and fairly easily adapt their behaviour.

Clearly the present study leaves ample room for further work besides the already mentioned issues. One extension of the present study would be to continue with a detailed comparison of ridership between all eight THSR stations. This could be used to better understand the role of local and regional factors as well the importance of station location and access possibilities. Connected with this, as our Figure 1 shows, there appears to be a general shift away from bus to rail in Taiwan. Though we believe in some sense non-high speed rail also profits from this, further analysis should clarify the interdependencies between demands for these modes. In particular, it appears worthwhile to investigate in how far THSR rail profits or losses by improvements to other rail lines.

REFERENCES

- Abrate, G., M. Piacenza, and D. Vannoni. The impact of Integrated Tariff Systems on public transport demand: Evidence from Italy. *Regional Science and urban Economics*, Vol. 39, 2009, pp. 120-127.
- Ahlfeldt, G.M., and A. Feddersen. From periphery to core: economic adjustments to high speed rail. *London School of Economics & University of Hamburg*, 2010.
- Andersson, D.E., O.F. Shyr, and J. Fu. Does high-speed rail accessibility influence residential property prices? Hedonic estimates from southern Taiwan. *Journal of Transport Geography*, Vol. 18, 2010, pp. 166-174.
- Andreoni, A., and M.N. Postorino. A multivariate ARIMA model to forecast air transport demand. *Association for European Transport*, 2006.
- Bass, P., P. Donoso, and M. Munizaga. A model to assess public transport demand stability. *Transportation Research Part A*, Vol. 45, 2011, pp. 755-764.
- Belgiawan, P.F., J-D. Schmöcker, and S. Fujii. Explaining the Desire to Own a Car among Indonesian Students. In *Proceedings of the 32nd Japan Society of Traffic Engineers Conference*. CD ROM. Japan Society of Traffic Engineers, Tokyo, 2012, pp.259-266.
- Chao, C.W., O.F. Shyr, C.H. Chao, and L. Tsai. What drives college-age generation Y's perceived value on high speed rail. *African Journal of Business Management*, Vol. 6, No. 43, 2012, pp. 10786-10790.
- Cheng, Y.H. High-speed rail in Taiwan: New experience and issues for future development. *Transport Policy*, Vol. 17, 2010, pp. 51-63.

- Chen, Z. H. Who Ride the High Speed Rail in the United States: The Acela Express Case Study. *2010 Joint Rail Conference*, April 27-29, 2010, Urbana, Illinois, USA. Available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1729816>
- DeMaio, P. Bike-sharing: History, impacts, model of provision, and future. *Journal of Public Transportation*, Vol. 12, No. 4, 2009, pp. 41-56.
- Fishman, E., S. Washington, and N. Haworth. Bike share: A synthesis of the literature. *Transport Reviews: A Transnational Transdisciplinary Journal*. DOI:10.1080/01441647.2013.775612, 2013.
- FitzRoy, F., and I. Smith. Public transport demand in Freiburg: why did patronage double in a decade? *Transport Policy*, Vol. 5, 1998, pp. 163-173.
- Fu, X., T.H. Oum, and J. Yan. An analysis of travel demand in Japan's intercity market. *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*, Vol. 48, No.1, 2014, pp. 97-113.
- Guo F.Y., and H.S. Feng. Establishing Public Transport Service Network by taking THSR as Transfer Nodes, (in Chinese), *Taiwan Economic Forum*, Council for Economic Planning & Development, Department of Urban and Housing Development, Executive Yuan, 2009.
- Gwilliam, K. A review of issues in transit economics. *Research in Transportation Economics*, Vol. 23, 2008, pp. 4-22.
- Ito, H., and D. Lee. Assessing the impact of the September 11 terrorist attacks on U.S. airline demand. *Journal of Economics & Business*, Vol. 57, 2005, pp. 75-95.
- KMLIT. Korean Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport. Report on AREX Service Demand. Published in 2009. Available from < <http://www.ppip.or.kr/>> [In Korean; accessed October 2013].
- Kyte, M., J. Stoner, and J. Cryer. A time-series analysis of public transit ridership in Portland, Oregon, 1971-1982. *Transportation Research-A*, Vol. 22A, No. 5, 1988, pp.345-359.
- Lane, B.W. A time-series analysis of gasoline prices and public transportation in US metropolitan areas. *Journal of Transport Geography*, Vol. 22, 2012, pp. 221-235.
- Lee, S.S., and M.L. Senior. Do light rail services discourage car ownership and use? Evidence from Census data for four English cities. *Journal of Transport Geography*, Vol. 29, 2013, pp. 11-13.
- Lieven, T., S. Muhlmeier, S. Henkel, and J.F. Waller. Who will buy electric cars? An empirical study in Germany. *Transportation Research Part D*, Vol. 16, 2011, pp.236-243.
- Link, C., U. Raich, G. Sammer, and J. Stark. Modeling demand for electric cars – a methodical approach. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences*, Vol. 48, 2012, pp.1958-1970.
- Mohring, H. Optimization and scale economics in urban bus transportation. *The American Economic Review*, Vol. 62, No. 4, 1972, pp. 591-604.
- Owen, A.D., and G.D.A. Phillips. The characteristics of railway passenger demand: An econometric investigation. *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*, Vol. 21, No. 3, 1987, pp. 231-253.
- Quddus, M.A., M.G.H. Bell, J-D. Schmöcker, and A. Fonzone. The impact of the congestion charge on the retail business in London: An econometric analysis. *Transport Policy*, Vol. 14, 2007, pp. 433-444.

- Republic of China National Statistics, 2013. National Statistics. Available from <<http://ebas1.ebas.gov.tw/pxweb/Dialog/NI.asp>>. [Accessed July 15, 2013].
- Schmöcker, J.D., Hatori, T., and Walting, D. Dynamic process model of mass effects on travel demand. *Transportation*, Vol. 41, No. 2, 2014, pp.279-304.
- Su, C-W., C-W., Chang, Y-C., Lu, and J-R. Liu. A Study on Selecting a Feasible Mode for Seamlessly Transferring between HSR and TR Stations, (in Chinese), ISBN 978-986-03-3263-6. Ministry of Transportation and Communications, 2012.
- Taiwan High Speed Rail (THSR), 2012. Annual Report homepage. Available from <http://www.thsrc.com.tw/en/about/ab_stock.asp#AnnualReport>, [Accessed July 06, 2013].
- Wang, L., Lo, Y.M., Fan, S.C., and W.T. Chao. Analysis of Population Projections for Taiwan Area: 2008 to 2056, (in Chinese), *Taiwan Economic Forum*, Council for Economic Planning & Development, Department of Manpower Planning, Executive Yuan, 2009.