TITLE:

Decomposing ability of diverse litter-decomposer macrofungi in subtropical, temperate, and subalpine forests

AUTHOR(S):

Osono, Takashi

CITATION:

Osono, Takashi. Decomposing ability of diverse litter-decomposer macrofungi in subtropical, temperate, and subalpine forests. *Journal of Forest Research* 2014, 20(2): 272-280

ISSUE DATE:

2014-12-16

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/200207>

RIGHT:

The final publication is available at Springer via <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10310-014-0475-9>; The full-text file will be made open to the public on 16 December 2015 in accordance with publisher's 'Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving'; この論文は出版社版ではありません。引用の際には出版社版をご確認ご利用ください。; This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version.

1 Decomposing ability of diverse litter-decomposer macrofungi in subtropical,
2 temperate, and subalpine forests

3

4 Takashi Osono

5

6 T. Osono

7 Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, Otsu, Shiga 520-2113 Japan

8 e-mail: tosono@ecology.kyoto-u.ac.jp

9

10 **Abstract**An integrative survey was conducted on the ability of litter-decomposing
11 macrofungi from forests of different climatic regions to decompose litter materials
12 and recalcitrant compounds in the litter under pure culture conditions. A total of
13 75 isolates in six families of litter-decomposing macrofungi from subtropical (ST),
14 cool temperate (CT), and subalpine (SA) forests in Japan were tested for their
15 ability to decompose a total of eight litter types that are major substrates for
16 macrofungi at each site. The mass loss of the litter (% original mass) during
17 incubation for 12 weeks at 20°C ranged from -3.1% to 54.5%. Macrofungi

originated from forests of different climatic regions exhibited similar decomposing abilities, but the SA isolates caused negligible mass loss of *Abies* needles, possibly due to inhibitory compounds. Decomposing activity for recalcitrant compounds (as acid unhydrolyzable residues, AUR) was found in many macrofungal isolates. The isolates of Marasmiaceae were generally more able to cause selective decomposition of AUR than those of Mycenaceae and to decompose AUR in partly decomposed materials. The isolates of Xylariaceae had lower ligninolytic activity than those of Basidiomycetes. The AUR mass loss caused by CT isolates was significantly lower in nitrogen-rich beech litter than in its nitrogen-poor counterpart, suggesting a retarding effect of nitrogen on AUR decomposition, which was obvious for Mycenaceae. The effect of fungal family was generally more significant than that of litter type, suggesting that possible changes in the composition of fungal assemblages influence their functioning more than changes in the quality of substrates.

Keywords Acid unhydrolyzable residue · Climate · Lignin decomposition · Ligninolytic fungi · Selective delignification

35

36 **Introduction**

37

38 Fungi play central roles in decomposition processes of leaf litter because they are
39 a dominant component of soil biota and are primary decomposers of lignin and
40 other recalcitrant compounds that often limit the decomposition but which other
41 soil organisms are rarely able to mineralize. Litter-decomposing macrofungi
42 (LDM) are of particular interest in this regard, as they comprise active
43 ligninolytic species in Basidiomycota and Ascomycota (Osono 2007; Lindahl and
44 Boberg 2008; van der Wal et al. 2013). Researchers have investigated the
45 decomposing abilities of LDM with the pure culture test under laboratory
46 conditions, commonly using single litter types inoculated with several (usually
47 less than 10) LDM species associated with them (Miyamoto et al. 2000; Steffen et
48 al. 2007; Valášková et al. 2007; Boberg et al. 2011; Žifčáková et al. 2011). To the
49 knowledge of the author, few studies have compared the abilities of diverse LDM
50 to decompose multiple litter types, and compared these abilities among isolates
51 belonging to different taxa and originating from different climatic regions. I

hypothesized that the macrofungal assemblages in warmer climates included a larger number of species that had ligninolytic potential and/or that could selectively decompose recalcitrant compounds than macrofungal assemblages in cooler climates. This was based on casual observations that the decomposition of recalcitrant compounds, such as lignin, is more active in soils at warmer climates (e.g. Hirobe et al. 2004; Osono 2006; Osono et al. 2009).

The purpose of the present study was to conduct an integrative survey on the ability of LDM from forests of different climatic regions to decompose litter materials and recalcitrant compounds in the litter under pure culture conditions. A total of 75 isolates in six families of LDM from subtropical, cool temperate, and subalpine forests in Japan were tested for their ability to decompose a total of eight litter types that were major substrates for LDM at each study site. The contents of acid unhydrolyzable residues were analyzed for litter materials decomposed by LDM to investigate the ability of the LDM to decompose lignin and other recalcitrant compounds in the litter and the degree of selective decomposition of these compounds. These measures were analyzed statistically to evaluate the relative effects of fungal family, litter type, and their interaction on

69 the decomposition by macrofungi from three climatic regions.

70

71 **Materials and methods**

72

73 Study sites and collection of macrofungi

74

75 Samples were collected from three sites in Japan: a subtropical forest (ST), a cool
76 temperate forest (CT), and a subalpine forest (SA). The location, climatic
77 conditions, vegetation, and properties of the forest floor are described in Osono
78 (2014a, 2014b). Fruiting bodies of litter-decomposing macrofungi (LDM) were
79 collected from the forest floor of the study sites from March 2007 to January 2008
80 in ST, from May to November 2001 in CT, and June to October 2008 in SA (Osono
81 2014b). In the laboratory, mass spores or tissues of fruiting bodies were
82 aseptically plated onto lignocellulose agar (LCA) modified by Miura and Kudo
83 (1970) for isolation. LCA contains glucose 0.1%, KH_2PO_4 0.1%, $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 0.02%,
84 KCl 0.02%, NaNO_3 0.2%, yeast extract 0.02%, and agar 1.3% (w/v). Note that the
85 modified LCA described by Miura and Kudo (1970) does not contain lignin or other

recalcitrant compounds. Isolates were maintained on slants of 1% malt extract agar medium [MEA, malt extract 1% and agar 2% (w/v)] at 20°C in darkness until the tests were performed.

Fungal isolates

A total of 75 isolates were used in the decomposition test to compare the decomposing ability of multiple fungal species from each study site, including 37 isolates from ST, 16 from CT, and 22 from SA (see Electronic Supplementary Material). These fungal isolates from ST, CT, and SA were inoculated to litter types collected from ST, CT, and SA, respectively (denoted as ST, CT, and SA tests). Seventy-one of the 75 isolates were obtained from mass spores or tissues of fruiting bodies during the field survey as described above. One isolate of *Marasmius* sp.ST3 was isolated from decomposing *Castanopsis sieboldii* leaves by the surface disinfection method and used for ST tests. The identification of all ST and several SA isolates to species level was not successful (Osono 2014b), and the isolates were analyzed for base sequences of the rDNAs ITS1, 5.8S, ITS2, and 28S

103 D1/D2 and assigned mostly to genus level by comparing the base sequences with
104 the GenBank database using BLAST (see ESM for the accession numbers in NIAS
105 Genbank). Three isolates (*Mycena polygramma* IFO33011, *Ampulloclitocybe*
106 *clavipes* IFO30524, and *Rhodocollybia butyracea* IFO30747) were obtained from
107 the culture collection (IFO, Osaka, Japan) and used for CT tests. These three
108 fungal species are commonly encountered in temperate regions (Imazeki and
109 Hongo 1987; Osono 2014b).

110

111 Litter materials

112

113 A total of eight litter types were used as substrata for the decomposition tests,
114 including freshly fallen leaves of seven tree species and one forest floor material.
115 The seven tree species were dominant components of forest stands and major
116 substrates for LDM in each study site (Osono 2014b). Newly shed leaves of
117 *Castanopsis sieboldii* and *Schima wallichii* without obvious fungal or faunal
118 attack were collected from the forest floor of ST in March 2008, a peak period of
119 litterfall, and used for ST tests. Newly shed leaves of *Fagus crenata* and *Quercus*

120 *crispula* without obvious fungal or faunal attack were collected from the forest
121 floor of CT in November 2002, a peak period of litterfall, and used for CT tests.
122 Specifically, leaves of *F. crenata* from the upper and lower parts of the forest slope
123 were collected separately and used for CT tests. These leaves differed in nitrogen
124 (N) content (1.32% w/w for the upper litter versus 1.75% for the lower litter),
125 mainly due to soil N availability and N use by *F. crenata* (Tateno and Takeda
126 2010). At the same time, partly decayed materials were collected from F layer at
127 the lower slope and used for CT tests. Hence, four litter types [*Fagus* (upper),
128 *Fagus* (lower), *Quercus*, and partly decomposed material] were used for CT tests.
129 Newly shed leaves of *Abies mariesii* and *Betula ermanii* without obvious fungal or
130 faunal attack were collected from the forest floor of SA in October 2008 and used
131 for SA tests. Leaves of broadleaved tree species were cut into strips 1 cm wide.
132 The leaves were oven-dried at 40°C for one week and preserved in vinyl bags until
133 the experiment was started. Tree species used as substrata are referred to as their
134 genus names in the present study for the sake of simplicity.

135

136 Pure culture decomposition test

137

138 An individual pure culture decomposition test consisted of one fungal isolate
139 inoculated to one litter type, making 74 tests (37 isolates $\times$ 2 litter types) for ST,
140 64 tests (16 isolates $\times$ 4 litter types) for CT, and 44 tests (22 isolates $\times$ 2 litter
141 types) for SA. Litters (0.3 g) were sterilized by exposure to ethylene oxide gas at
142 60°C for 6 hours and used in the tests according to the methods described in
143 Osono and Hirose (2011). The sterilized litters were placed on the surface of Petri
144 dishes (9-cm diameter) containing 20 ml of 2% agar. Inocula for each assessment
145 were cut out of the margin of previously inoculated Petri dishes on 1% MEA with
146 a sterile cork borer (6 mm diameter) and placed on the agar adjacent to the litters,
147 one plug per plate. The plates were incubated for 12 weeks in the dark at 20°C.
148 The plates were sealed firmly with laboratory film during incubation so that
149 moisture did not limit decomposition on the agar. After incubation, the litters
150 were retrieved, oven-dried at 40°C for 1 week, and weighed. The initial,
151 undecomposed litters were also sterilized, oven-dried at 40°C for 1 week, and
152 weighed to determine the original mass. Four plates were prepared for each test,
153 and four uninoculated plates served as a control. Mass loss of litter was

determined as a percentage of the original mass, taking the mass loss of litter in the uninoculated and incubated control treatment into account, and the mean values were calculated for each plate. The original data are listed in ESM. Prior to the tests, the sterilized litters were placed on 1% MEA, and after 8 weeks of incubation at 20°C in darkness, no microbial colonies had developed on the plates. Thus, the effectiveness of the sterilization method used in the present study was verified. The initial litter, the control litter, and the litters with more than or equal to 5.0% mass loss were used for chemical analyses as described below.

Chemical analyses

Litter materials from four replicate plates were combined to make one sample for each test and ground in a laboratory mill (0.5-mm screen). The amount of acid-unhydrolyzable residue (AUR) in the samples was estimated by means of gravimetry as acid-insoluble residue, using hot sulfuric acid digestion (King and Heath 1967). Samples were extracted with alcohol-benzene at room temperature (15-20°C), and the residue was treated with 72% sulfuric acid (v/v) for 2 h at room

171 temperature with occasional stirring. The mixture was diluted with distilled
172 water to make a 2.5% sulfuric acid solution and autoclaved at 120°C for 60 min.
173 After cooling, the residue was filtered and washed with water through a porous
174 crucible (G4), dried at 105°C and weighed as AUR. This AUR fraction contains a
175 mixture of organic compounds in various proportions, including condensed
176 tannins, phenolic and carboxylic compounds, alkyl compounds such as cutins, and
177 true lignin (Preston et al. 1997).

178 Mass loss of AUR was determined as a percentage of the original mass,
179 taking the mass loss of AUR in the uninoculated and incubated control treatment
180 into account. AUR/litter mass (AUR/L) loss ratio is a useful index of the selective
181 delignification caused by each fungal species (Osono and Hirose 2009). AUR/L loss
182 ratio of each fungal species was calculated according to the equation:

183
$$\text{AUR/L loss ratio} = \frac{\text{mass loss of AUR (\% of original AUR mass)}}{\text{mass loss of litter (\% of original litter mass)}}$$

185

186 Statistical analysis

187

188 Effects of fungal family, litter type, and the fungal family $\times$ litter type interaction
189 on the mass loss of litter and AUR and AUR/L loss ratio were analyzed with
190 generalized linear models (GLMs) with a Gaussian distribution for each of ST, CT,
191 and SA tests. Only the fungal family was used as an independent variable in the
192 GLMs to test the mass loss of AUR and AUR/L loss ratio for *Betula* litter in SA
193 tests, because the mass loss of *Abies* litter was less than 5% for all fungal isolates
194 tested and no AUR analysis was conducted. The GLMs were performed with the
195 *glm* function of R version 3.0.2 for Mac (<http://www.r-project.org>) and with the *glht*
196 function of the R multcomp package for multiple comparisons with Tukey's test.
197 Paired t-test was also used to compare the mass loss of litter and AUR and AUR/L
198 loss ratio between *Fagus* (lower) and *Fagus* (upper) litter, using JMP 6.0 for
199 Macintosh.

200

201 Results

202

203 Litter mass loss

204

The mean mass loss of the litter caused by 37 isolates of ST tests ranged from 2.3% to 34.3% of the original litter mass for *Castanopsis* litter, and from -0.4% to 30.3% for *Schima* litter; that caused by 16 isolates of CT tests ranged from 4.1% to 30.2% for *Fagus* (upper) litter, from 2.3% to 29.3% for *Fagus* (lower) litter, from 0.1% to 42.8% for *Quercus* litter, and from 2.9% to 34.1% for partly decomposed material; and that caused by 22 isolates of SA tests ranged from -3.1% to 0.6% for *Abies* litter and from 0.0% to 54.5% for *Betula* litter (Fig. 1). The largest mean mass loss was found for *Marasmius androsaceus* inoculated to *Betula* litter in the SA test, whereas all SA isolates caused negligible mass loss of *Abies* litter.

In ST tests, the mass loss of litter was significantly larger for Mycenaceae than for Marasmiaceae (GLM, d.f.=3, deviance=625.0, $P<0.05$; Table 1) and was not significantly different between *Castanopsis* and *Schima* litter (GLM, d.f.=1, deviance=135.6, $P=0.17$; Fig. 1). The effect of fungal family $\times$ litter type interaction was not significant (GLM, d.f.=3, deviance=81.1, $P=0.77$). In CT tests, the mass loss of litter was not significantly different among fungal families (GLM, d.f.=3, deviance=733.7, $P=0.08$; Table 1), four litter types (GLM, d.f.=3, deviance=548.5, $P=0.17$; Fig. 1), or the fungal family $\times$ litter type interaction

(GLM, d.f.=9, deviance=973.2, P=0.46). When analyzed separately, the mean mass loss caused by the 16 CT isolates was not significantly different between *Fagus* (upper) and *Fagus* (lower) litter (paired t-test, d.f.=15, t=0.176, P=0.86), indicating that the initial N level in litter had no significant effect on fungal decomposition of the whole litter. In SA tests, the mass loss of litter was significantly affected by fungal family (GLM, d.f.=4, deviance=1007.6, P<0.05; Table 1), litter type (GLM, d.f.=1, deviance=4577.5, P<0.001; Fig. 1), and the fungal family × litter type interaction (GLM, d.f.=4, deviance=1071.5, P<0.05). The mass loss of *Betula* litter was generally larger for Mycenaceae than for Hymenogasteraceae (Table 1).

232

233 AUR loss

234

The mean mass loss of acid-unhydrolyzable residues (AUR) caused by ST isolates ranged from 0.7% to 62.6% of the original AUR mass for *Castanopsis* litter and from 0.5% to 41.0% for *Schima* litter; that caused by CT isolates ranged from 20.1% to 70.5% for *Fagus* (upper) litter, from 17.2% to 64.8% for *Fagus* (lower)

litter, from 7.6% to 69.4% for *Quercus* litter, and from 12.7% to 70.4% for partly decomposed material; and that caused by SA isolates ranged from 0.2% to 70.6% for *Betula* litter (Fig. 2). *Abies* litters inoculated with SA isolates were not analyzed for AUR loss because the values of mass loss of litter caused by SA isolates were negligible (Fig. 1).

In ST tests, the mass loss of AUR was significantly larger for Mycenaceae and Marasmiaceae than for Xylariaceae (GLM, d.f.=3, deviance=2561.0, $P<0.001$; Table 1) and was not significantly different between *Castanopsis* and *Schima* litter (GLM, d.f.=1, deviance=45.8, $P=0.59$; Fig. 2). The effect of fungal family $\times$ litter type interaction was not significant (GLM, d.f.=3, deviance=239.8, $P=0.68$).

In CT tests, the mass loss of AUR was significantly larger for Marasmiaceae than for Mycenaceae and Tricholomataceae (GLM, d.f.=3, deviance=5584.1, $P<0.001$; Table 1) and was not significantly different among four litter types (GLM, d.f.=3, deviance=83.7, $P=0.94$; Fig. 2). The effect of fungal family $\times$ litter type interaction was not significant (GLM, d.f.=7, deviance=640.6, $P=0.91$). When analyzed separately, however, the mean mass loss of AUR caused by CT isolates was significantly lower in *Fagus* (lower) than in *Fagus* (upper) litter (paired t-test,

d.f.=14, $t=2.15$, $P<0.05$), suggesting that the higher initial N level in the lower litter suppressed fungal decomposition of AUR. Specifically, this reduction was attributed to the isolates of Mycenaceae, as the mean mass loss of AUR caused by six isolates of Mycenaceae was significantly lower in the lower litter than in the upper litter (paired t-test, d.f.=5, $t=2.65$, $P<0.05$). In contrast, no significant difference was found for the AUR mass loss between the upper and lower litter inoculated with six isolates of Marasmiaceae (paired t-test, d.f.=5, $t=1.06$, $P=0.337$). In SA tests, the mass loss of AUR in *Betula* was not significantly different among fungal families (GLM, d.f.=4, deviance=2612.1, $P=0.07$; Table 1).

Degree of selective decomposition of AUR

The mean AUR/L loss ratio for ST isolates ranged from 0.04 to 3.17 for *Castanopsis* litter and from 0.04 to 2.21 for *Schima* litter; that for CT isolates ranged from 1.33 to 3.70 for *Fagus* (upper) litter, from 0.93 to 3.17 for *Fagus* (lower) litter, from 1.00 to 2.00 for *Quercus* litter, and from 1.39 to 2.57 for partly decomposed material; and that for SA isolates ranged from 0.03 to 2.00 for *Betula*

273 litter (Fig. 2).

274 In ST tests, AUR/L loss ratio was significantly different among fungal
275 families (GLM, d.f.=3, deviance=11.7, $P<0.001$; Table 1) and was not significantly
276 different between *Castanopsis* and *Schima* litter (GLM, d.f.=1, deviance=0.02,
277 $P=0.75$; Fig. 3). That is, AUR/L loss ratio was significantly larger for
278 Marasmiaceae than for Mycenaceae and was significantly lower for Xylariaceae
279 than for Marasmiaceae and Mycenaceae. The effect of fungal family $\times$ litter type
280 interaction was not significant (GLM, d.f.=3, deviance=0.52, $P=0.50$). In CT tests,
281 AUR/L loss ratio was significantly larger for Marasmiaceae than for Mycenaceae
282 (GLM, d.f.=3, deviance=4.2, $P<0.001$; Table 1) and was significantly larger in
283 *Fagus* (upper) and partly decomposed material than in *Quercus* litter (GLM,
284 d.f.=3, deviance=3.4, $P<0.01$; Fig. 3). The effect of fungal family $\times$ litter type
285 interaction was not significant (GLM, d.f.=7, deviance=1.04, $P=0.77$). When
286 analyzed separately, the mean AUR/L loss ratio for CT isolates was significantly
287 lower in *Fagus* (lower) than in *Fagus* (upper) litter (paired t-test, d.f.=14, $t=2.45$,
288 $P<0.05$), indicating that the higher initial N level in the lower litter reduced the
289 degree of selective decomposition of AUR. In SA tests, AUR/L loss ratio in *Betula*

was significantly larger for Mycenaceae than for Tricholomataceae (GLM, d.f.=4, deviance=2.7, $P<0.001$; Table 1).

Discussion

Decomposing ability of litter

The mass loss values of litter-decomposing macrofungi (LDM) in the present study (Fig. 1) are within the range in previous reports of pure culture decomposition by basidiomycetes (Miyamoto et al. 2000; Boberg et al. 2011; Žifčáková et al. 2011) and by xylariaceous Ascomycetes (Osono et al. 2011b). The results also demonstrated the stronger decomposition of litter and acid-unhydrolyzable residues (AUR) by LDM than non-ligninolytic microfungi on leaf litter of subtropical and tropical (Osono et al. 2008, 2009), temperate (Osono and Takeda 2002; Osono et al. 2003; Koide et al. 2005; Osono et al. 2006), and subalpine forests (Osono and Takeda 2006). The negligible mass loss values of *Abies* needles caused by SA isolates are possibly attributable to essential oils in

307 needles that can inhibit fungal growth (Bağci and Diğrak 1996).

308

309 Fungal taxa and the decomposition of recalcitrant compounds

310

311 Decomposing activity for AUR was found in many macrofungal isolates in the

312 three sites (Figs 2 and 3), and has previously been primarily attributed to the

313 production of extracellular ligninolytic enzymes (Steffen et al. 2007; Valášková et

314 al. 2007). My data indicated that the isolates of Marasmiaceae were generally

315 better able to cause selective decomposition of AUR than those of Mycenaceae

316 (Table 1), although there was a degree of variation among the isolates. The ability

317 of Marasmiaceae to decompose AUR from partly decomposed material in CT tests

318 appeared unique as it contrasted with the abilities of Mycenaceae, which

319 exhibited reduced mass loss in partly decomposed material compared to freshly

320 fallen leaves of *Fagus* and *Quercus* (Table 1). This suggested that species in

321 Marasmiaceae are physiologically adapted to the partly decomposed materials

322 enriched in AUR, as proposed by Osono et al. (2011a). The isolates of Xylariaceae

323 in ST tests had lower ligninolytic activity than Basidiomycetes and caused

selective decomposition of components other than AUR (Table 2), in accordance with previous findings that xylariaceous fungi prefer cellulose to lignin (Nilsson and Daniel 1989). Fukasawa et al. (2009) also showed that the production by *Xylaria* species of pseudosclerotinal plates, which are insoluble to hot acid and registered as AUR, could lead to a net increase of AUR (i.e., an apparent decrease in mass loss of AUR) during pure culture decomposition.

Effect of litter quality

In CT tests, the mean value of AUR mass loss was lower in N-rich *Fagus* (lower) litter than in N-poor *Fagus* (upper) litter (Fig. 2, Table 1), suggesting a retarding effect of N on AUR decomposition. The lack of significant changes in the mass loss of whole litter (Fig. 1) indicated the enhanced decomposition of other organic components (possibly polymer carbohydrates, such as cellulose; Osono and Takeda 2001) than AUR. Such a retarding effect of N seemed more obvious for the isolates of Mycenaceae than for those of Marasmiaceae (Table 1), supporting my previous discussion that the ligninolytic system of Mycenaceae appears to be more

341 sensitive to litter quality (i.e. the content of AUR and N) than that of
342 Marasmiaceae. Laboratory experiments documented the suppression of
343 ligninolytic enzyme activities produced by basidiomycetes due to N amendments
344 (Fenn et al. 1981; Reid 1991). Similarly, excess N supply often suppressed the
345 decomposition of recalcitrant components, such as lignin, in the field (Berg and
346 Laskowski 2006; Hagiwara et al. 2012), and the activity of ligninolytic enzymes in
347 soil (Sinsabaugh et al. 2005).

348

349 Comparison of macrofungi originated from different climates

350

351 Overall, the decomposing ability for leaf litter was similar at the level of
352 macrofungal assemblage among the three study sites. This appeared
353 contradictory to the hypothesis that the decomposition of AUR in leaf litter is
354 more active in warmer than in cooler climates. This discrepancy may be explained
355 by differences in the assemblage composition of LDM, in the soil layer which LDM
356 colonized, and in temperature. First, the richness and frequency of occurrence of
357 Mycenaceae were similar among the three sites, whereas those of Marasmiaceae,

which included active decomposers of AUR (Table 1), were higher at warmer than at cooler sites (Osono 2014b). The relative dominance of ligninolytic fungi in Marasmiaceae in the macrofungal assemblage at warmer sites may be associated with the more active decomposition of recalcitrant compounds in warmer than in cooler climates. This is not contradictory with the finding of Osono (2011) that non-ligninolytic microfungi in Ascomycetes were more frequent in surface litter at cooler sites.

Secondly, field observations indicated that LDM mainly colonized the surface L layer in ST, whereas they mainly colonized the deeper layers in CT and SA (Osono 2014b). The present study demonstrated that AUR decomposition by major macrofungal species in Mycenaceae was suppressed when such species were inoculated to partly decomposed materials from F layer, compared to freshly fallen leaves (Table 1), potentially leading to the retarded decomposition of recalcitrant compounds in cooler climates. Thirdly, the higher temperatures in warmer climates can enhance AUR decomposition by some ligninolytic fungi (Adaskaveg et al. 1995; Osono et al. 2011c). However, how the decomposition of AUR by LDM used in the present study responds to temperature and to what

375 extent the temperature-dependent response varies among the LDM isolates of
376 different origins remain unclear and should be examined in the future.

377

378 Conclusion

379

380 The pure culture decomposition tests in the present study demonstrated that
381 LDM included isolates that were capable of decomposing litter actively and
382 removing recalcitrant compounds selectively. An array of LDM thus play major
383 roles in decomposition processes and nutrient recycling on the forest floor and are
384 probably major determinants of forest productivity and matter cycling within
385 forest ecosystems of the study sites. Litter-decomposing macrofungi originated
386 from forests of different climatic regions exhibited similar decomposing abilities,
387 but the decomposing ability of LDM varied with their taxonomic position (at the
388 family level) and the type of substrate (i.e., tree species, nutrient level, and the
389 degree of decomposition). In most cases, the effect of fungal family was more
390 significant than that of litter type, suggesting that possible changes in the
391 composition of LDM assemblages influence the functioning of LDM on the forest

floor more than possible changes in the quality of substrates. This result is in accordance with the finding of Osono (2014c) and emphasizes that studying the species composition of fungal assemblages and decomposing abilities of individual fungal species is crucial for predicting the response of fungal decomposition to possible climate changes.

Acknowledgments I thank Ms. K. Koide for help with pure culture tests; and Dr. Elizabeth Nakajima for critical reading of the manuscript. This study received partial financial support from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan (MEXT) (No. 19780114), National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences (NIAS) Japan, The Sumitomo Foundation, Nissan Global Foundation, Nippon Life Inst. Foundation, and the Grants for Excellent Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan (12-01) to Kyoto University.

References

Adaskaveg JE, Gilbertson RL, Dunlap MR (1995) Effects of incubation time and

- 409 temperature on in vitro selective delignification of silver leaf oak by
- 410 *Ganoderma colossum*. Appl Environ Microbiol 61:138-144
- 411 Bağci E, Diğrak M (1996) Antimicrobial activity of essential oils of some *Abies*
- 412 (Fir) species from Turkey. Flavour Fragr J 11:251-256
- 413 Berg B, Laskowski R (2006) Litter decomposition: a guide to carbon and nutrient
- 414 turnover. Amsterdam: Academic Press
- 415 Boberg JB, Ihrmark K, Lindahl BD (2011) Decomposing capacity of fungi
- 416 commonly detected in *Pinus sylvestris* needle litter. Fungal Ecol
- 417 4:110-114
- 418 Fenn P, Choi S, Kirk TK (1981) Ligninolytic activity of *Phanerochaete*
- 419 *chrysosporium*: physiology of suppression by NH₄⁺ and L-glutamate.
- 420 Arch Microbiol 130:66-71
- 421 Fukasawa Y, Osono T, Takeda H (2009) Effects of attack of saprobic fungi on twig
- 422 litter decomposition by endophytic fungi. Ecol Res 24:1067-1073
- 423 Hagiwara Y, Osono T, Ohta S, Wicaksono A, Hardjono A (2012) Colonization and
- 424 decomposition of leaf litter by ligninolytic fungi in *Acacia mangium*
- 425 plantations and adjacent secondary forests. J For Res 17:51-57

- 426 Hirobe M, Sabang J, Bhatta BK, Takeda H (2004) Leaf-litter decomposition of 15
427 tree species in a lowland tropical rain forest in Sarawak: dynamics of
428 carbon, nutrients, and organic constituents. J For Res 9:347-354
- 429 Imazeki R, Hongo T. 1987. Colored Illustration of Mushrooms of Japan. Vol. I.
430 Hoikusha, Tokyo, Japan (in Japanese)
- 431 King HGC, Heath GW (1967) The chemical analysis of small samples of leaf
432 material and the relationship between the disappearance and
433 composition of leaves. Pedobiologia 7:192-197
- 434 Koide K, Osono T, Takeda H (2005) Fungal succession and decomposition of
435 *Camellia japonica* leaf litter. Ecol Res 20:599-609
- 436 Lindahl B, Boberg J (2008) Distribution and function of litter basidiomycetes in
437 coniferous forests. In: Boddy L, Frankland JC, van West P (eds) Ecology
438 of Saprotrophic Basidiomycetes. Academic Press, London, pp. 183-196
- 439 Miura K, Kudo M (1970) An agar-medium for aquatic hyphomycetes. Trans Mycol
440 Soc Japan 11:116-118 (in Japanese with English abstract)
- 441 Miyamoto T, Igarashi T, Takahashi K (2000) Lignin-degrading ability of
442 litter-decomposing basidiomycetes from *Picea* forests of Hokkaido.

- 443 Mycoscience 41:105-110
- 444 Nilsson T, Daniel G (1989) Chemistry and microscopy of wood decay by some
445 higher ascomycetes. *Holzforschung* 43:11-18
- 446 Osono T (2006) Fungal decomposition of lignin in leaf litter: comparison between
447 tropical and temperate forests. In: Meyer W, Pearce C (eds) *Proceedings*
448 for the 8th International Mycological Congress, August 20-25, 2006.
449 Cairns, Australia. Medimond, Italy, pp. 111-117
- 450 Osono T (2007) Ecology of ligninolytic fungi associated with leaf litter
451 decomposition. *Ecol Res* 22:955-974
- 452 Osono T (2011) Diversity and functioning of fungi associated with leaf litter
453 decomposition in Asian forests of different climatic regions. *Fungal Ecol*
454 4:375-385
- 455 Osono T (2014a) Mycelial biomass in the forest floor and soil of subtropical,
456 temperate, and subalpine forests. *J For Res*
- 457 Osono T (2014b) Diversity, resource utilization, and phenology of fruiting bodies of
458 litter-decomposing macrofungi in subtropical, temperate, and subalpine
459 forests. *J For Res*

- 460 Osono T (2014c) Effects of litter type, origin of isolate, and temperature on
461 decomposition of leaf litter by macrofungi. J For Res
- 462 Osono T, Takeda H (2001) Effects of organic chemical quality and mineral
463 nitrogen addition on lignin and holocellulose decomposition of beech leaf
464 litter by *Xylaria* sp. Eur J Soil Biol 37:17-23
- 465 Osono T, Takeda H (2002) Comparison of litter decomposing ability among diverse
466 fungi in a cool temperate deciduous forest in Japan. Mycologia
467 94:421-427
- 468 Osono T, Fukasawa Y, Takeda H (2003) Roles of diverse fungi in larch needle litter
469 decomposition. Mycologia 95:820-826
- 470 Osono T, Takeda H (2006) Fungal decomposition of *Abies* needle and *Betula* leaf
471 litter. Mycologia 98: 172-179
- 472 Osono T, Hobara S, Koba K, Kameda K (2006) Reduction of fungal growth and
473 lignin decomposition in needle litter by avian excreta. Soil Biol Biochem
474 38:1623-1630
- 475 Osono T, Ishii Y, Takeda H (2008) Fungal colonization and decomposition of
476 *Castanopsis sieboldii* leaves in a subtropical forest. Ecol Res 23:909-917

- 477 Osono T, Hirose D (2009) Effects of prior decomposition of *Camellia japonica* leaf
478 litter by an endophytic fungus on the subsequent decomposition by
479 fungal colonizers. *Mycoscience* 50:52-55
- 480 Osono T, Ishii Y, Takeda H, Seramethakun T, Khamyong S, To-Anun C, Hirose D,
481 Tokumasu S, Kakishima M (2009) Fungal succession and lignin
482 decomposition on *Shorea obtusa* leaves in a tropical seasonal forest in
483 northern Thailand. *Fungal Div* 36:101-119
- 484 Osono T, Hirose D (2011) Colonization and lignin decomposition of pine needle
485 litter by *Lophodermium pinastri*. *For Path* 41:156-162
- 486 Osono T, Hobara S, Hishinuma T, Azuma JI (2011a) Selective lignin
487 decomposition and nitrogen mineralization in forest litter colonized by
488 *Clitocybe* sp. *Eur J Soil Biol* 47:114-121
- 489 Osono T, To-Anun C, Hagiwara Y, Hirose D (2011b) Decomposition of wood, petiole
490 and leaf litter by *Xylaria* species from northern Thailand. *Fun Ecol*
491 4:210-218
- 492 Osono T, Hagiwara Y, Masuya H (2011c) Effects of temperature and litter type on
493 fungal growth and decomposition of leaf litter. *Mycoscience* 52:327-332

- 494 Preston CM, Trofymow JA, Sayer BG, Niu J (1997) ^{13}C nuclear magnetic
495 resonance spectroscopy with cross-polarization and magic-angle spinning
496 investigation of the proximate-analysis fractions used to assess litter
497 quality in decomposition studies. Can J Bot 75:1601-1613
- 498 Reid I (1991) Nutritional regulation of synthetic lignin (DHP) degradation by
499 *Phlebia (Merulius) tremellosa*: effect of nitrogen. Can J Bot 69:156-160
- 500 Sinsabaugh RL, Gallo ME, Lauber C, Waldrop MP, Zak DR (2005) Extracellular
501 enzyme activities and soil organic matter dynamics for northern
502 hardwood forests receiving simulated nitrogen deposition. Biogeochem
503 75:201-215
- 504 Steffen KT, Cajthaml T, Šnajdr J, Baldrian P (2007) Differential degradation of
505 oak (*Quercus petraea*) leaf litter by litter-decomposing basidiomycetes.
506 Res Microbiol 158:447-455
- 507 Tateno R, Takeda H (2010) Nitrogen uptake and nitrogen use efficiency above and
508 below ground along a topographic gradient of soil nitrogen availability.
509 Oecol 163:793-804
- 510 Valášková V, Šnajdr J, Bittner B, Cajthaml T, Merhautová V, Hofrichter M,

- 511 Baldrian P (2007) Production of lignocellulose-degrading enzymes and
512 degradation of leaf litter by saprotrophic basidiomycetes isolated from a
513 *Quercus petraea* forest. Soil Biol Biochem 39:2651-2660
- 514 Van der Wal A, Geydan TD, Kuyper TW, de Boer W (2013) A thready affair:
515 linking fungal diversity and community dynamics to terrestrial
516 decomposition processes. FEMS Microbiol Rev 37:477-494
- 517 Žifčáková L, Dobiášová P, Kolářová Z, Koukol O, Baldrian P (2011) Enzyme
518 activities of fungi associated with *Picea abies* needles. Fungal Ecol
519 4:427-436

Osono Table 1

Table 1. Mass loss (% original mass) of litter and AUR and AUR/litter mass (AUR/L) loss ratio caused *in vitro* by isolates of macrofungi from subtropical (ST), cool temperate (CT), and subalpine forests (SA) at 20°C for 12 weeks in darkness. Fungal isolates were inoculated to litter collected from the respective forest sites. Values are means $\pm$ standard errors for individual fungal families. Numbers of fungal isolates examined are indicated in parentheses. Nd, not determined. My, Mycenaceae; Mr, Marasmiaceae; Tr, Tricholomataceae; Hg, Hygrophoraceae; Hm, Hymenogasteraceae; Xy, Xylariaceae; Un, unidentified.

	ST				CT								SA			
	<i>Castanopsis</i>		<i>Schima</i>		<i>Fagus</i> (upper)		<i>Fagus</i> (lower)		<i>Quercus</i>		Partly decomposed material		<i>Abies</i>		<i>Betula</i>	
Mass loss%																
My	18.0 $\pm$ 2.9	(15)	14.3 $\pm$ 2.9	(15)	18.2 $\pm$ 3.2	(6)	19.0 $\pm$ 3.2	(6)	22.6 $\pm$ 5.1	(6)	5.2 $\pm$ 1.2	(6)	-1.2 $\pm$ 0.3	(10)	26.7 $\pm$ 4.3	(10)
Mr	12.3 $\pm$ 1.3	(17)	9.4 $\pm$ 1.4	(17)	18.0 $\pm$ 4.2	(7)	16.9 $\pm$ 3.8	(7)	22.8 $\pm$ 6.2	(7)	16.7 $\pm$ 4.6	(7)	-0.2	(2)	29.2	(2)
Tr	nd		nd		14.2	(2)	14.1	(2)	1.6	(2)	7.3	(2)	-0.5 $\pm$ 0.3	(5)	11.7 $\pm$ 7.0	(5)
Hg	nd		nd		10.5	(1)	11.0	(1)	1.4	(1)	13.8	(1)	nd		nd	
Hm	nd		nd		nd		nd		nd		nd		-0.7 $\pm$ 0.5	(4)	4.0 $\pm$ 2.9	(4)
Xy	10.3 $\pm$ 2.6	(4)	13.3 $\pm$ 3.6	(4)	nd		nd		nd		nd		nd		nd	
Un	25.7	(1)	19.0	(1)	nd		nd		nd		nd		-0.5	(1)	29.9	(1)

AUR loss%															
My	26.2±4.3	(14)	27.0±3.7	(11)	29.7±2.6	(6)	26.7±3.0	(6)	26.5±4.6	(6)	14.4±1.6	(3)	nd	43.4±6.1	(10)
Mr	26.7±3.2	(15)	21.7±3.1	(12)	46.3±7.9	(6)	43.5±8.0	(6)	45.3±9.5	(6)	49.9±7.9	(5)	nd	70.6	(1)
Tr	nd		nd		28.3	(2)	26.0	(2)	nd		14.9	(1)	nd	19.8±18.7	(3)
Hg	nd		nd		20.4	(1)	22.7	(1)	nd		26.3	(1)	nd	nd	
Hm	nd		nd		nd		nd		nd		nd		nd	22.5	(1)
Xy	3.5±1.3	(4)	7.9±4.4	(3)	nd		nd		nd		nd		nd	nd	
Un	30.3	(1)	17.2	(1)	nd		nd		nd		nd		nd	46.2	(1)
AUR/L loss ratio															
My	1.35±0.14	(14)	1.51±0.09	(11)	1.81±0.23	(6)	1.54±0.17	(6)	1.26±0.15	(6)	2.18±0.28	(3)	nd	1.63±0.18	(12)
Mr	1.93±0.13	(15)	1.70±0.16	(12)	2.59±0.34	(6)	2.30±0.23	(6)	1.77±0.08	(6)	2.33±0.11	(5)	nd	1.29	(1)
Tr	nd		nd		2.08	(2)	1.89	(2)	nd		1.39	(1)	nd	0.59±0.44	(3)
Hg	nd		nd		1.95	(1)	2.06	(1)	nd		1.91	(1)	nd	nd	
Hm	nd		nd		nd		nd		nd		nd		nd	1.84	(1)
Xy	0.41±0.14	(4)	0.43±0.20	(3)	nd		nd		nd		nd		nd	nd	
Un	1.18	(1)	0.90	(1)	nd		nd		nd		nd		nd	1.54	(1)

1 Figure legends

2

3 Fig. 1. Mass loss of leaf litter caused by multiple macrofungal isolates. Note that
4 the y-axis for *Abies* litter is expanded. M, the mean value.

5

6 Fig. 2. Mass loss of acid unhydrolyzable residue (AUR) caused by multiple
7 macrofungal isolates.

8

9 Fig. 3. Acid unhydrolyzable residue-litter loss ratio (AUR/L) of multiple
10 macrofungal isolates.

11

1 Osono Fig. 1

2

1 Osono Fig. 2

2

1 Osono Fig. 3

Electronic Supplementary Material

Decomposing ability of diverse litter-decomposer macrofungi in subtropical, temperate, and subalpine forests

Takashi Osono

S1: Mass loss (% original mass) of litter and AUR, and AUR/litter mass loss ratio (AUR/L) caused by isolates of macrofungi from subtropical (ST), cool temperate (CT), and subalpine forests (SA) at 20°C for 12 weeks in darkness. Values indicate means $\pm$ standard errors (n=4). Hy, Hygrophoraceae; Hm, Hymenogasteraceae; Mr, Marasmiaceae; My, Mycenaceae; Tr, Tricholomataceae; Xy, Xylariaceae; and Un, unidentified. nd, not determined.

Taxa	Accession	Family	Mass loss of litter	Mass loss of AUR	AUR/L	Mass loss of litter	Mass loss of AUR	AUR/L
Subtropical forest			<i>Castanopsis</i>			<i>Schima</i>		
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST2	MAFF241604	My	34.3 $\pm$ 3.6	41.7	1.22	30.3 $\pm$ 2.1	40.2	1.32
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST6	MAFF241594	My	34.2 $\pm$ 4.4	40.6	1.19	28.1 $\pm$ 1.9	33.1	1.18
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST1	MAFF241586	My	31.5 $\pm$ 2.2	44.8	1.42	26.7 $\pm$ 3.1	37.4	1.40
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST2	MAFF241590	My	30.6 $\pm$ 1.4	47.2	1.54	26.9 $\pm$ 1.2	40.9	1.52
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST2	MAFF241589	My	28.8 $\pm$ 2.0	49.2	1.71	26.1 $\pm$ 2.9	41.0	1.57
Unidentified ST1	MAFF241593	Un	25.7 $\pm$ 3.4	30.3	1.18	19.0 $\pm$ 1.2	17.2	0.90

<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST2	MAFF241596	My	21.9±1.9	29.6	1.35	16.3±3.6	18.0	1.10
<i>Gymnopus</i> sp. ST3	MAFF241614	Mr	20.1±2.5	26.9	1.34	9.9±1.5	21.7	2.21
<i>Marasmiellus</i> sp. ST1	MAFF241613	Mr	20.0±1.2	33.0	1.65	19.9±1.6	39.4	1.98
<i>Crinipellis</i> sp. ST1	MAFF241601	Mr	19.7±3.6	62.6	3.17	16.4±1.3	25.2	1.54
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST11	MAFF241595	My	19.3±1.9	22.9	1.19	21.2±3.0	30.6	1.44
<i>Xylaria</i> sp. ST1	MAFF241629	Xy	17.7±2.3	0.8	0.04	22.5±2.7	15.8	0.70
<i>Crinipellis</i> sp. ST1	MAFF241588	Mr	16.0±1.6	27.7	1.73	9.9±0.8	16.1	1.62
<i>Crinipellis</i> sp. ST2	MAFF241605	Mr	15.6±1.9	28.1	1.80	12.3±1.8	18.2	1.48
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST1	MAFF241606	My	15.1±2.8	12.2	0.81	10.4±2.1	13.3	1.27
<i>Gymnopus</i> sp. ST1	MAFF241616	Mr	14.7±2.0	31.5	2.15	12.8±2.8	21.8	1.71
<i>Gymnopus</i> sp. ST4	MAFF241609	Mr	14.0±0.9	32.5	2.32	17.4±0.5	37.6	2.16
<i>Marasmiellus</i> sp. ST1	MAFF241610	Mr	13.8±1.6	31.2	2.25	13.4±1.4	25.7	1.91
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST5	MAFF241625	My	11.9±0.8	20.0	1.68	6.0±1.2	11.2	1.86
<i>Marasmiellus</i> sp. ST1	MAFF241615	Mr	11.5±1.9	22.4	1.95	14.4±0.8	27.9	1.93
<i>Gymnopus</i> sp. ST2	MAFF241611	Mr	10.8±2.0	24.5	2.27	4.2±0.7	nd	nd
<i>Marasmius</i> sp. ST2	MAFF241603	Mr	10.6±2.2	19.1	1.79	6.2±0.7	12.3	1.98
<i>Marasmius</i> sp. ST3	MAFF241632	Mr	10.5±0.7	21.9	2.07	7.7±1.2	13.7	1.78
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST8	MAFF241592	My	10.4±2.3	24.3	2.34	8.3±1.1	15.9	1.93
<i>Marasmius</i> sp. ST2	MAFF241602	Mr	10.0±1.3	20.7	2.08	3.8±1.4	nd	nd
<i>Gymnopus</i> sp. ST1	MAFF241612	Mr	10.0±1.9	14.3	1.44	2.4±0.3	nd	nd
<i>Xylaria</i> sp. ST1	MAFF241599	Xy	9.5±1.6	6.9	0.73	13.3±1.0	7.4	0.55

<i>Xylaria</i> sp. ST1	MAFF241598	Xy	8.3±2.3	4.1	0.50	12.4±1.3	0.5	0.04
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST5	MAFF241626	My	8.0±2.6	10.0	1.25	2.6±2.6	nd	nd
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST5	MAFF241627	My	8.0±1.9	12.0	1.49	7.4±2.2	15.2	2.06
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST3	MAFF241617	My	7.3±1.4	0.7	0.09	2.3±1.0	nd	nd
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST7	MAFF241628	My	7.0±2.0	11.7	1.67	2.0±0.4	nd	nd
<i>Xylaria</i> sp. ST1	MAFF241600	Xy	5.7±0.8	2.1	0.37	4.9±1.2	nd	nd
<i>Marasmius</i> sp. ST1	MAFF241591	Mr	5.0±0.8	4.9	0.98	1.1±0.9	nd	nd
<i>Marasmius</i> sp. ST1	MAFF241587	Mr	4.3±1.1	nd	nd	1.8±0.5	nd	nd
cf. <i>Calyprella</i> sp. ST1	Y42_07110217	Mr	3.0±0.9	nd	nd	6.9±3.0	1.0	0.14
<i>Mycena</i> sp. ST4	MAFF241597	My	2.3±0.3	nd	nd	-0.4±0.6	nd	nd
Cool temperate forest			<i>Fagus</i> (upper)			<i>Fagus</i> (lower)		
<i>Gymnopus dryophilus</i>	20CD_020412	Mr	30.2±1.8	70.5	2.34	27.3±1.0	64.8	2.38
<i>Mycena polygramma</i>	21MP_010929	My	27.7±3.9	37.4	1.35	29.3±4.0	36.1	1.23
<i>Mycena amygdalina</i>	17MA_0110MA	My	26.4±1.6	35.2	1.33	23.1±3.8	32.3	1.40
<i>Gymnopus dryophilus</i>	NBRC100095	Mr	26.3±3.1	63.7	2.42	25.2±2.1	62.4	2.47
<i>Gerronema nemorale</i>	24GN_010914	Mr	25.9±2.9	37.9	1.46	16.0±1.9	23.0	1.44
<i>Rhodocollybia butyracea</i>	19CB_000522	Mr	24.3±2.4	53.0	2.18	27.5±2.9	55.1	2.00
<i>Mycena rorida</i>	22MR_010903	My	18.4±0.4	30.8	1.67	18.2±0.7	31.2	1.71
<i>Infundibulicybe gibba</i>	NBRC100092	Tr	17.7±5.1	30.1	1.70	16.5±3.6	26.3	1.60
<i>Mycena polygramma</i>	IFO33011	My	16.4±4.4	24.5	1.50	23.4±3.4	21.9	0.93
<i>Mycena crocata</i>	15MC_0110MC	My	12.6±0.7	29.7	2.36	11.6±0.5	21.8	1.88

<i>Pseudoclitocybe cyathiformis</i>	18PC_0109PC	Tr	10.8±2.6	26.5	2.47	11.7±1.1	25.6	2.18
<i>Ampulloclitocybe clavipes</i>	IFO30524	Hy	10.5±3.6	20.4	1.95	11.0±3.2	22.7	2.06
<i>Gymnopus peronatus</i>	NBRC100096	Mr	9.5±0.6	32.7	3.44	10.6±0.3	33.6	3.17
<i>Mycena amicta</i>	16MA_0109MA	My	7.8±0.5	20.7	2.66	8.3±0.4	17.2	2.08
<i>Marasmius pulcherripes</i>	23MP_010929	Mr	5.4±0.9	20.1	3.70	9.4±0.9	21.9	2.33
<i>Rhodocollybia butyracea</i>	IFO30747	Mr	4.1±1.5	nd	nd	2.3±1.0	nd	nd
			Quercus	Partly decomposed material				
<i>Gymnopus dryophilus</i>	20CD_020412	Mr	35.8±1.7	69.4	1.94	31.0±0.8	70.4	1.91
<i>Mycena polygramma</i>	21MP_010929	My	38.7±2.6	38.8	1.00	3.0±1.2	nd	2.27
<i>Mycena amygdalina</i>	17MA_0110MA	My	35.2±2.4	36.9	1.05	3.9±2.1	nd	nd
<i>Gymnopus dryophilus</i>	NBRC100095	Mr	42.8±1.5	65.4	1.53	34.1±2.0	67.5	1.98
<i>Gerronema nemorale</i>	24GN_010914	Mr	5.0±1.6	8.7	1.76	2.9±1.0	nd	2.57
<i>Rhodocollybia butyracea</i>	19CB_000522	Mr	37.3±1.5	57.9	1.55	16.4±2.3	41.8	1.39
<i>Mycena rorida</i>	22MR_010903	My	20.7±1.0	29.0	1.40	10.8±1.1	17.5	2.54
<i>Infundibulicybe gibba</i>	NBRC100092	Tr	3.0±0.6	nd	nd	10.7±2.1	14.9	2.36
<i>Mycena polygramma</i>	IFO33011	My	21.5±3.7	22.1	1.03	2.9±1.3	nd	nd
<i>Mycena crocata</i>	15MC_0110MC	My	12.6±0.9	24.6	1.96	5.1±0.9	13.0	nd
<i>Pseudoclitocybe cyathiformis</i>	18PC_0109PC	Tr	0.1±0.4	nd	nd	3.9±1.9	nd	2.55
<i>Ampulloclitocybe clavipes</i>	IFO30524	Hy	1.4±1.0	nd	nd	13.8±3.3	26.3	2.27
<i>Gymnopus peronatus</i>	NBRC100096	Mr	20.8±0.4	38.4	1.84	14.0±0.8	35.9	nd
<i>Mycena amicta</i>	16MA_0109MA	My	6.8±0.8	7.6	1.12	5.4±0.3	12.7	1.62

<i>Marasmius pulcherripes</i>	23MP_010929	Mr	1.6±0.9	nd	nd	3.7±1.0	nd	nd
<i>Rhodocollybia butyracea</i>	IFO30747	Mr	16.0±1.3	31.9	2.00	14.9±2.1	33.8	nd
Subalpine forest			<i>Abies</i>			<i>Betula</i>		
<i>Marasmius androsaceus</i>	O14_08072204	Mr	0.6±0.7	nd	nd	54.5±1.6	70.6	1.29
Tricholomataceae sp. SA1	O23_08100702	Tr	0.0±0.4	nd	nd	39.4±2.8	57.1	1.45
<i>Mycena</i> sp. SA3	O20_08091705	My	-3.1±0.2	nd	nd	37.3±1.9	56.7	1.52
<i>Mycena aurantiidisca</i>	O2_07101503b	My	-0.3±0.3	nd	nd	32.7±1.8	41.7	1.28
<i>Mycena epipterygia</i>	O9_07101508	My	-2.3±1.1	nd	nd	30.9±2.2	46.5	1.50
Unidentified SA1	O17_08081203	Un	-0.5±0.5	nd	nd	29.9±2.1	46.2	1.54
<i>Mycena epipterygia</i>	O8_07101507b	My	-2.2±0.2	nd	nd	29.0±2.8	52.5	1.81
<i>Mycena epipterygia</i>	O7_07101507a	My	-0.3±0.1	nd	nd	27.8±1.6	44.5	1.60
<i>Mycena</i> cf. <i>filopes</i>	O11_07101510	My	-1.7±0.6	nd	nd	27.5±1.4	47.2	1.71
<i>Mycena</i> sp. SA2	O13_08072202	My	-0.1±0.7	nd	nd	26.5±2.1	53.0	2.00
<i>Mycena</i> cf. <i>stipata</i>	O24_08100703a	My	-1.0±0.3	nd	nd	25.2±3.5	44.8	1.78
<i>Mycena aurantiidisca</i>	O1_07101503a	My	0.0±0.2	nd	nd	18.4±1.1	29.7	1.62
<i>Mycena</i> cf. <i>stipata</i>	O25_08100703b	My	-1.8±0.5	nd	nd	12.2±4.9	22.5	1.84
<i>Galerina atkinsoniana</i>	O15_08072207	Hm	-0.9±0.6	nd	nd	12.0±1.9	17.4	1.45
Tricholomataceae sp. SA1	O10_07101509	Tr	-0.7±0.1	nd	nd	7.8±1.1	2.2	0.28
Tricholomataceae sp. SA1	O19_08091702	Tr	-1.5±0.4	nd	nd	6.4±1.7	0.2	0.03
<i>Mycena</i> cf. <i>pura</i>	O3_07101504	Tr	0.3±0.2	nd	nd	4.6±1.1	nd	nd
<i>Clitocybe</i> sp. SA1	O16_08081201	Mr	-1.0±0.6	nd	nd	3.8±1.6	nd	nd

<i>Galerina atkinsoniana</i>	O5_07101505b	Hm	0.1±0.4	nd	nd	3.7±2.2	nd	nd
<i>Collybia cookei</i>	O18_08091701	Tr	-0.6±0.1	nd	nd	0.4±0.4	nd	nd
<i>Galerina atkinsoniana</i>	O6_07101506	Hm	0.1±0.4	nd	nd	0.3±0.7	nd	nd
<i>Galerina atkinsoniana</i>	O4_07101505a	Hm	-1.2±0.3	nd	nd	0.0±0.6	nd	nd