PTEP

Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2015**, 033B06 (17 pages)
DOI: 10.1093/ptep/ptv011

Weak scale from the maximum entropy principle

Yuta Hamada*, Hikaru Kawai*, and Kiyoharu Kawana*

Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
*E-mail: hamada@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp, hkawai@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp, kiyokawa@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Received November 19, 2014; Accepted January 8, 2015; Published March 19, 2015

The theory of the multiverse and wormholes suggests that the parameters of the Standard Model (SM) are fixed in such a way that the radiation of the S^3 universe at the final stage $S_{\rm rad}$ becomes maximum, which we call the maximum entropy principle. Although it is difficult to confirm this principle generally, for a few parameters of the SM, we can check whether $S_{\rm rad}$ actually becomes maximum at the observed values. In this paper, we regard $S_{\rm rad}$ at the final stage as a function of the weak scale (the Higgs expectation value) v_h, and show that it becomes maximum around $v_h = \mathcal{O}(300\,\text{GeV})$ when the dimensionless couplings in the SM, i.e., the Higgs self-coupling, the gauge couplings, and the Yukawa couplings are fixed. Roughly speaking, we find that the weak scale is given by $v_h \sim T_{BBN}^2/(M_{pl}\,y_e^5)$, where y_e is the Yukawa coupling of electron, $T_{\rm BBN}$ is the temperature at which the Big Bang nucleosynthesis starts, and $M_{\rm pl}$ is the Planck mass.

Subject Index B57, B59

1. Introduction and review of the history of the universe

The theory of the multiverse and wormholes [1–5] suggests that the parameters of our universe are fixed in such a way that the radiation of the universe $S_{\rm rad}$ at the final stage becomes maximum, which we call the maximum entropy principle or the Big Fix. Here, "the final stage" means that the curvature term balances with the other contents of the universe, and the radius of the universe a is getting close to the critical value a_*, taking an infinite time. See, e.g., Fig. 1, and Refs. [2–5] for the details. This assertion can be checked in principle by changing the parameters of the Standard Model (SM) one by one, if we know how $S_{\rm rad}$ is determined by those parameters. In general, this procedure is difficult to do because of the lack of our understanding of the history of the universe. However, for a few couplings of the SM, we can estimate their effects on $S_{\rm rad}$ under some assumptions, and we can actually check the principle. As a concrete example, we consider the Higgs expectation value v_h. Although there are many possibilities for the final stage of the universe, we assume the following scenario:

Assumptions for the final stage of the universe

(I): The dark matter (DM) decays much earlier than the baryons. This guarantees that the radiation produced by the DM is negligible compared with that of baryons.

(II): The cosmological constant (CC) at the final stage of the universe is fixed at the critical value $\Lambda_{\rm cri}$ so that the curvature term balances with the radiation produced by the baryon decay (see Fig. 1). The maximum entropy principle predicts that the dark energy should decrease from the present value to $\Lambda_{\rm cri}$ in the future.

© The Author(s) 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Physical Society of Japan.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
Funded by SCOAP[3]

Downloaded from http://ptep.oxfordjournals.org/ at Library of Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University on October 22, 2015



Fig. 1. The potential of the S^3 universe. If the cosmological constant Λ is chosen so that the maximum of $V(a)$ becomes zero, the universe takes an infinite time to grow up to the size a_*. The blue line is required by the maximum entropy principle.

(III): Baryons decay with the lifetime τ_B, and the radiation $S_{\rm rad}$ is produced. In this paper, we assume that

$$\tau_B = 10^{36}\ \text{year}. \tag{1}$$

After that, the radiation and the CC balance with the curvature term while electrons, positrons, and neutrinos annihilate into photons.

Based on these assumptions, we show evidence of the Big Fix: When the Higgs self-coupling, gauge couplings, and Yukawa couplings are fixed, $S_{\rm rad}$ becomes maximum around $v_h = \mathcal{O}(300\,\text{GeV})$.[1] We first review how $S_{\rm rad}$ is produced through the history of the universe. In the following argument, we denote the photon temperature by T.

- *Stage 1*: The baryon number N_B is produced by the sphaleron process if we assume the standard leptogenesis scenario. Here we briefly summarize how N_B is produced in the early universe. The number density of a particle species i is given by

$$n_i = \frac{g_i}{(2\pi)^3}\int \frac{dp^3}{\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{p^2+m_i^2}-\mu_i}{T}\right)\pm 1}, \tag{2}$$

where g_i is the degree of freedom, μ_i is the chemical potential, and the sign $\pm$ is $-$ for bosons and $+$ for fermions. Then the difference between a particle and an anti-particle is given by

$$n_i - \bar{n}_i := g_i\mu_i\frac{T^2}{6}\begin{cases} K_f\left(\frac{m_i}{T}\right) & \text{(for fermions)},\\ K_b\left(\frac{m_i}{T}\right) & \text{(for bosons)},\end{cases} \tag{3}$$

[1] In our previous paper [5], we showed that $S_{\rm rad}$ becomes maximum around $v_h = \mathcal{O}(300\,\text{GeV})$ when the Higgs self-coupling, the gauge couplings, and the current quark and lepton masses are fixed.

Downloaded from http://ptep.oxfordjournals.org/ at Library of Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University on October 22, 2015

where

$$K_f(y) = \frac{3}{2\pi^2}\int_0^\infty dx\, x^2 \cosh^{-2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}{2}\right),$$
$$K_b(y) = \frac{3}{2\pi^2}\int_0^\infty dx\, x^2 \sinh^{-2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}{2}\right). \tag{4}$$

We can eliminate the chemical potentials $\{\mu_i\}$ by using the conservations of the total electromagnetic charge Q and the difference between the baryon number and the lepton number $N_B - N_L$. As a result, the baryon number N_B is given as a function of $\frac{m_i}{T}$:

$$N_B = N_B\left(\frac{m_i}{T}\right). \tag{5}$$

See Appendix B in Ref. [5] for the explicit formula and detailed calculations. When T reaches the sphaleron decoupling temperature $T_{\rm sph}$, N_B is fixed at $N_B\left(\frac{m_i}{T_{\rm sph}}\right)$. We can obtain $T_{\rm sph}$ by using the recent numerical result [6]:

$$T_{\rm sph} = \frac{7}{8} \times \frac{160 v_h}{246}\,\text{GeV}. \tag{6}$$

Here, we have assumed that the Higgs self-coupling and the gauge couplings are fixed. Thus, N_B at $T_{\rm sph}$ is given by

$$N_B = N_B\left(\frac{8m_i}{7v_h} \times \frac{246}{160}\right). \tag{7}$$

Note that, if we fix the gauge couplings and the Yukawa couplings, N_B is just a constant because the quark masses m_q and the gauge boson mass m_W are given by

$$m_q = \frac{y_q v_h}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad m_W = \frac{g_2 v_h}{2}. \tag{8}$$

- *Stage 2*: The ratio of neutrons to all nucleons X_n is fixed by the following processes:
  (1) For $T > 1\,\text{MeV}$, protons and neutrons are in thermal equilibrium through the weak interactions, and X_n at that time is given by

$$X_n = \frac{1}{1+\exp\left(\frac{Q}{T}\right)}, \tag{9}$$

  where $Q := m_n - m_p$ is the mass difference between a neutron and a proton.
  (2) The weak interactions are frozen out, and X_n decreases through the beta decay until T reaches the temperature $T_{\rm BBN}$, at which the Big Bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) starts. Here, note that the lifetime of a neutron τ_n depends strongly on v_h, m_e, and $Q - m_e$, where m_e is the electron mass. After $T_{\rm BBN}$, neutrons are rapidly converted to atomic nuclei. We discuss these processes in more detail in Sect. 3.2. See also Ref. [5].
- *Stage 3*: The radiation at the early universe becomes dilute, and the matter-dominated era starts. After that, the dark energy becomes dominant. This is the era in which we live. As discussed before, we assume that the dark energy becomes very small in the future.
- *Stage 4*: The DM decays sufficiently earlier than baryons.

Downloaded from http://ptep.oxfordjournals.org/ at Library of Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University on October 22, 2015

- *Stage 5*: Baryons decay, and the radiation $S_{\rm rad}$ is produced. $S_{\rm rad}$ depends on X_n, the masses of protons and helium nuclei m_p, $m_{m_{\rm He}}$, and their lifetimes τ_p, $\tau_{\rm He}$. Moreover, we must take into account the possibility that a pion produced by the decay of a helium nucleus is scattered by the remaining nucleons, and loses its energy. We denote the energy loss through this process by a dimensionless parameter ϵ.

From the above argument, it is clear that we need to know the v_h dependence of the following quantities in order to evaluate $S_{\rm rad}$ as a function of v_h:

$$m_p, \quad m_{\rm He}, \quad Q(\text{or } m_n), \quad X_n, \quad T_{\rm BBN}, \quad \tau_p, \quad \tau_{\rm He}, \quad \epsilon. \tag{10}$$

In this paper, we use the phenomenological equations for m_p, Q, and τ_p:

$$m_p = \alpha\Lambda_{\rm QCD} + \beta(2m_u + m_d), \quad Q = \beta(m_d - m_u) - M_{\rm em}, \quad m_\pi^2 = \gamma\Lambda_{\rm QCD}\frac{m_u + m_d}{2}, \tag{11}$$

$$\Gamma_p = \tau_p^{-1} \propto \frac{m_p^5}{M_G^4}G. \tag{12}$$

The meanings of the parameters and the factor G are as follows.

- m_u and m_d are masses of an up quark and a down quark. Their typical values are $(m_u, m_d) = (2.3\,\text{MeV}, 4.8\,\text{MeV})$ [9].
- $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ is the scale where the QCD coupling becomes $\mathcal{O}(1)$, which we fix at 300 MeV in this paper.
- $M_{\rm em}$ is the electromagnetic energy of a neutron, and α, β, γ are numerical constants that should be determined by QCD. In principle, they can also be found from observations. The typical values that we use in this paper are

$$\alpha = 3.1, \quad \beta = 1.4, \quad \gamma = 16, \quad M_{\rm em} = 2.2\,\text{MeV}, \tag{13}$$

  which explain the experimental results [9] well.
- G can be approximately calculated by using the effective interaction of the proton decay as

$$G = \text{constant} \times \left(1 - \left(\frac{m_\pi}{m_p}\right)^2\right)^2. \tag{14}$$

  Here, we neglect the electron mass m_e in the formula for the proton lifetime (see Appendix B). In the limit $m_{u,d} \ll m_p$, we expect that G depends linearly on $\frac{m_{u,d}}{m_p}$:

$$G \simeq 1 + \xi\frac{m_{u,d}}{m_p} \quad (\text{for } m_{u,d} \ll m_p). \tag{15}$$

On the other hand, for $m_{\rm He}$, $\tau_{\rm He}$, and ϵ, it is difficult to determine their v_h dependence because of the complicated effects of nuclear physics. However, by the numerical calculations (see Sect. 2 and also Ref. [5]), we can show that these quantities effectively contribute to $S_{\rm rad}$ through a single function $c\left(\epsilon, \frac{\tau_{\rm He}}{\tau_p}, \frac{m_{\rm He}}{m_p}\right)$ whose typical value is of $\mathcal{O}(0.01)$. In this paper, we assume that c is a constant; namely, we neglect the v_h dependence of $m_{\rm He}$, $\tau_{\rm He}$, and ϵ. The details are given in Sects. 2 and 5. Finally, we also assume that $T_{\rm BBN}$ does not depend on v_h in the main part of this paper, and fix it at 0.1 MeV. The case where it depends on v_h is discussed in Appendix A.

Downloaded from http://ptep.oxfordjournals.org/ at Library of Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University on October 22, 2015

Summary: Assuming that

$$\alpha, \quad \beta, \quad \gamma, \quad c\left(\epsilon, \frac{\tau_{\rm He}}{\tau_p}, \frac{m_{\rm He}}{m_p}\right), \quad M_{\rm em} \tag{16}$$

are fixed at phenomenologically reasonable values, we find that $S_{\rm rad}$ has a global maximum around $v_h = \mathcal{O}(300\,{\rm GeV})$ when the Higgs self-coupling, the gauge couplings, and the Yukawa couplings are fixed. Here, we consider such a region in which a neutron is heavier than a proton.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we briefly review how the radiation of the universe is determined, and give a qualitative expression for it. In Sect. 3, we discuss the v_h dependence of $S_{\rm rad}$. In Sect. 4, we consider the Big Fix. In Sect. 5, we give a summary and discussion.

2. Radiation of the universe at the final stage

The radiation of the universe at the final stage can be obtained in principle by solving the following equations:

$$\frac{dN_p(t)}{dt} = -\tau_p^{-1} \cdot N_p(t) + 3\tau_{\rm He}^{-1} \cdot N_{\rm He}(t), \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{dN_{\rm He}(t)}{dt} = -\tau_{\rm He}^{-1} \cdot N_{\rm He}(t), \tag{18}$$

$$H^2(t) := \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{3M_{\rm pl}^2} \cdot \left(\frac{M(t)}{a^3} + \frac{S_{\rm rad}(t)}{a^4} - \frac{M_{\rm pl}^2}{a^2} + M_{\rm pl}^2\Lambda\right), \tag{19}$$

$$M(t) = m_p \cdot N_p(t) + m_{\rm He} \cdot N_{\rm He}(t), \tag{20}$$

$$\frac{dS_{\rm rad}(t)}{dt} = a(t)m_p \times \left(\tau_p^{-1} \cdot N_p(t) + (1-2\epsilon) \cdot \tau_{\rm He}^{-1} \cdot N_{\rm He}(t)\right). \tag{21}$$

Here $N_p(t)$ and $N_{\rm He}(t)$ are the numbers of protons and helium nuclei, and ϵ represents the following effect: a pion produced by the nucleon decay of a helium nucleus is scattered by the remaining nucleons, loses its kinetic energy, and produces less radiation after it decays [5]. The initial values of $N_p(t)$, $N_{\rm He}(t)$ are given by

$$N_p(0) = N_B(1-2X_n), \tag{22}$$

$$N_{\rm He}(0) = N_B\frac{X_n}{2}, \tag{23}$$

where N_B is the total baryon number and X_n is the ratio of neutrons to all nucleons. By numerical calculations, we have obtained a qualitative expression for $S_{\rm rad}$ [5]:

$$S_{\rm rad} = {\rm constant} \times \left(\frac{1}{M_{\rm pl}^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \times (N_B m_p)^{\frac{4}{3}} \tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}} \times \left\{1 - c\left(\epsilon, \frac{\tau_{\rm He}}{\tau_p}, \frac{m_{\rm He}}{m_p}\right) X_n\right\}. \tag{24}$$

The qualitative meaning of this equation is as follows: First, if there are no atomic nuclei, baryons are all protons with the lifetime τ_p. If we simplify the situation so that these protons decay simultaneously, we can obtain the radiation $S_{\rm rad}$ by the energy conservation

$$\frac{N_B m_p}{a^3\left(\tau_p\right)} = \frac{S_{\rm rad}}{a^4\left(\tau_p\right)}, \tag{25}$$

from which we have

$$S_{\rm rad} = N_B m_p a\left(\tau_p\right). \tag{26}$$

Downloaded from http://ptep.oxfordjournals.org/ at Library of Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University on October 22, 2015

In our scenario, because the universe is matter-dominated until τ_p, the Friedmann equation indicates

$$H^2(\tau_p) \simeq \frac{1}{\tau_p^2} \simeq \frac{N_B m_p}{M_{\rm pl}^2 a^3(\tau_p)}. \tag{27}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$S_{\rm rad} \simeq (N_B m_p)^{\frac{4}{3}} \tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}}. \tag{28}$$

This expression is modified by the existence of the atomic nuclei. The $1 - c \cdot X_n$ term in Eq. (24) represents such effects. If c is positive, the radiation decreases and vice versa.

As discussed in Sect. 1, N_B and X_n depend on the SM parameters as

$$N_B = N_B \left(\frac{m_i}{v_h} \right), \tag{29}$$

$$X_n = X_n \left(v_h, m_e, Q, T_{\rm BBN} \right). \tag{30}$$

If the Higgs self-coupling, the gauge couplings, and the Yukawa couplings are fixed,[2] the v_h dependence of $S_{\rm rad}$ comes from m_p, τ_p, and X_n in Eq. (24):

$$S_{\rm rad} = \text{constant} \times m_p^{\frac{4}{3}}(v_h) \tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}}(v_h)(1 - c X_n(v_h)). \tag{31}$$

Here, note that, because the baryon number N_B depends on the SM parameters through $\frac{m_i}{v_h}$ (see Eq. (7)), it is just a constant in this case. While the analytic expressions of m_p, m_π, and τ_p are given by Eqs. (11) and (12), it is difficult to give the counterparts to $m_{\rm He}$, $\tau_{\rm He}$, and ϵ. However, because c varies by only a few percent for $v_h < 1$ TeV,[3] the v_h dependence of c is not so important compared with that of X_n. In this paper, we assume that c is a constant such as 1/50 or 1/100 [5]. We discuss this point in Appendix C.

3. v_h dependence of $S_{\rm rad}$

In this section, we discuss how $S_{\rm rad}$ depends on v_h. First, we consider $m_p^{\frac{4}{3}} \times \tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}}$, and then discuss X_n.

3.1. $m_p^{\frac{4}{3}} \times \tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}}$

In Fig. 2, we show the graphs of

$$m_p^{\frac{4}{3}} \times \tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}} \propto \frac{1}{m_p^2} G^{-\frac{2}{3}}. \tag{32}$$

Here, for G we have used Eq. (14). We can understand Fig. 2 qualitatively as follows. In the large v_h region, because the current quark masses are larger than $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$, G becomes a constant, and we have

$$m_p^{\frac{4}{3}} \times \tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}} \propto \frac{1}{m_p^2} \simeq \frac{1}{m_{u,d}^2}. \tag{33}$$

[2] On the other hand, if the Higgs self-coupling, the gauge couplings, and the current quark masses are fixed, the v_h dependence of $S_{\rm rad}$ comes from X_n and N_B:

$$S_{\rm rad}|_{\rm mass\ fix} \propto \text{constant} \times (1 - c X_n(v_h)) \times N_B^{\frac{4}{3}}(v_h).$$

This is because m_p, $m_{\rm He}$, τ_p, $\tau_{\rm He}$, and ϵ are determined once $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ and the current quark masses are fixed. The conclusion in the previous paper [5] is that $S_{\rm rad}|_{\rm mass\ fix}$ becomes maximum around $v_h = 246$ GeV.

[3] This can be understood intuitively: because $m_{\rm He}$, $\tau_{\rm He}$, and ϵ depend on v_h through the current quark masses, the changes of $\frac{m_{\rm He}}{m_p}$, $\frac{\tau_{\rm He}}{\tau_p}$, and ϵ are essentially $\mathcal{O}(\frac{m_{u,d}}{m_p}) \simeq 1\%$ when we vary v_h in the region $v_h < 1$ TeV.

Downloaded from http://ptep.oxfordjournals.org/ at Library of Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University on October 22, 2015



Fig. 2. $m_p^{\frac{4}{3}} \times \tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}}$ as a function of v_h. Here, the parameters are fixed at phenomenologically reasonable values, and we have rescaled $m_p^{\frac{4}{3}} \times \tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}}$ so that it becomes one at $v_h = 246\,\text{GeV}$. The upper left panel shows the case where $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} = 300\,\text{MeV}$, $\alpha = 3.1$, $\beta = 1.4$, and $\gamma = 16$ for $v_h < 100$ TeV. One can see that $m_p^{\frac{4}{3}} \times \tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}}$ decreases monotonically. The upper right, lower left, and lower right panels show the v_h dependence in the region $v_h < 1$ TeV for various values of α, β, and γ, respectively.

Therefore, $m_p^{\frac{4}{3}} \times \tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}}$ simply decreases in this region. On the other hand, in the $v_h < 1$ TeV region, because the v_h dependence appears through the current quark masses $m_{u,d}$, and they are very small compared with Λ_{QCD}, we can approximate $m_p^{\frac{4}{3}} \times \tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}}$ linearly as a function of $m_{u,d}$:

$$m_p^{\frac{4}{3}} \times \tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}} \propto \left(1 + \eta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \xi) \cdot \frac{m_{u,d}}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}\right), \tag{34}$$

where η is given by (see Appendix B)

$$\eta = \left(\frac{4\gamma}{3\alpha^2} - \frac{6\beta}{\alpha}\right). \tag{35}$$

As one can see from Fig. 2, for the typical values of α, β, and γ as in Eq. (13), $m_p^{\frac{4}{3}} \times \tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}}$ decreases monotonically as a function of v_h, which is crucial for obtaining the maximum of

$$S_{\text{rad}} \propto m_p^{\frac{4}{3}} \times \tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}} \times (1 - cX_n) \tag{36}$$

around $v_h = \mathcal{O}(300\,\text{GeV})$. This is because, as we will see in the next subsection, $1 - cX_n$ rapidly increases around $v_h = \mathcal{O}(200\text{–}300\,\text{GeV})$, and becomes almost constant in the $v_h > \mathcal{O}$ (200–300 GeV) region.

Downloaded from http://ptep.oxfordjournals.org/ at Library of Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University on October 22, 2015

3.2. *Neutron-to-nucleon ratio X_n*

In this subsection, we discuss the v_h dependence of X_n. At a temperature $T \gg 1$ MeV, neutrons and protons are in thermal equilibrium through the following six processes:

$$n + \nu \leftrightarrow p + e^-, \quad n + e^+ \leftrightarrow p + \bar{\nu}, \quad n \leftrightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}, \tag{37}$$

and X_n is given by

$$X_n = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{Q}{T}\right)}. \tag{38}$$

The total reaction rate of the $p \to n$ process is given by [7]

$$\Gamma(p \to n) = 0.400\,\mathrm{sec}^{-1} \times \left(\frac{T}{1\,\mathrm{MeV}}\right)^5 \times \left(\frac{246\,\mathrm{GeV}}{v_h}\right)^4 \times \frac{P\left(\frac{m_e}{T}, \frac{Q}{T}\right)}{P(0,0)}. \tag{39}$$

Here,

$$P\left(\frac{m_e}{T}, \frac{Q}{T}\right) := \int_0^\infty dx \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{m_e/T}{Q/T + x}\right)^2} \cdot \frac{(Q/T + x)^2 x^2}{\left(1 + e^{-xT/T_\nu}\right)\left(1 + e^{Q/T + x}\right)}, \tag{40}$$

and T_ν is the neutrino temperature

$$T_\nu = T \times \frac{\mathcal{S}\left(\frac{m_e}{T}\right)}{\mathcal{S}(0)}, \tag{41}$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}(x) := 1 + \frac{45}{2\pi^4} \cdot \int_0^\infty dy\, y^2 \cdot \left(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + \frac{y^2}{3\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}\right)}. \tag{42}$$

As the universe expands, the above processes, except for the beta decay, decouple at T_{dec}. We can obtain T_{dec} by solving

$$H = \Gamma(p \to n). \tag{43}$$

Below T_{dec}, X_n decreases through beta decay until T reaches T_{BBN}, where the BBN starts.[4] Thus, X_n at T_{BBN} is given by

$$X_n = \exp\left(-\tau_n^{-1}(t_{\mathrm{BBN}} - t_{\mathrm{dec}})\right) \times X_n(t_{\mathrm{dec}}) = \exp\left(-\tau_n^{-1}(t_{\mathrm{BBN}} - t_{\mathrm{dec}})\right) \times \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{Q}{T_{\mathrm{dec}}}\right)}, \tag{44}$$

where τ_n is the neutron lifetime, and the relation between T and t is given by the Friedmann equation:

$$H = \frac{1}{2t} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2\pi^2 \mathcal{N}}{45 M_{\mathrm{pl}}^2}} T^2. \tag{45}$$

Here, $\mathcal{N}$ is the degrees of freedom and is given by 43/4 when $m_e < T < m_\mu$. By using the Fermi theory, we can calculate τ_n as

$$\tau_n^{-1} = 885^{-1}\,\mathrm{sec}^{-1} \times \left(\frac{m_e}{0.51\,\mathrm{MeV}}\right)^5 \times \left(\frac{246\,\mathrm{GeV}}{v_h}\right)^4 \times \frac{F\left(\frac{Q}{m_e}\right)}{F\left(\frac{1.29}{0.51}\right)}, \tag{46}$$

[4] As discussed in Sect. 1, we assume that T_{BBN} does not depend on v_h. The v_h dependence of T_{BBN} is discussed in Appendix A.

Downloaded from http://ptep.oxfordjournals.org/ at Library of Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University on October 22, 2015



Fig. 3. X_n as a function of v_h when the Yukawa couplings are fixed. Here, $T_{\rm BBN}$ and β are fixed at 0.1 MeV and 1.4, respectively. The lines with different colors correspond to different values of $M_{\rm em}$.

where

$$F(x) := \int_1^x dy \quad y(y^2-1)^{1/2}(x-y)^2. \tag{47}$$

Figure 3 shows X_n given by Eq. (44) as a function of v_h for various values of $M_{\rm em}$. Here, $T_{\rm BBN}$ is fixed at 0.1 MeV. One can see that X_n is a monotonically decreasing function of v_h, and that X_n increases for fixed v_h when we increase $M_{\rm em}$. The latter behavior is easily understood: if we increase $M_{\rm em}$, the initial value of X_n (which is given by Eq. (38)) and the neutron lifetime τ_n become large because Q becomes small.

4. Big Fix of v_h

By using Eq. (31) and the results of the previous section, we can determine $S_{\rm rad}$ as a function of v_h. From Figs. 2 and 3, it is clear that $S_{\rm rad}$ has a maximum around $v_h = \mathcal{O}(300\,\text{GeV})$. Figure 4 shows the results for the case

$$T_{\rm BBN} = 0.1\,\text{MeV}, \quad \alpha = 3.1, \quad \beta = 1.4, \quad \gamma = 16. \tag{48}$$

In the left (right) figure, we assume $c = 1/50\,(1/100)$. $S_{\rm rad}$ has a maximum around $v_h = \mathcal{O}(300\,\text{GeV})$.

We can also check that the quantitative behavior of $S_{\rm rad}$ does not change even if α, β, and γ are varied by $\mathcal{O}(0.1)$; see Fig. 5. It would interesting to see whether QCD predicts such values of α, β, and γ that $S_{\rm rad}$ becomes maximum at precisely $v_h = 246\,\text{GeV}$.

A few comments are in order. Originally, the $c \cdot X_n$ term in $S_{\rm rad}$ came from the existence of helium nuclei, which is guaranteed by

$$m_{\rm He} = 2(m_p + m_n) - \Delta < 4m_p + 2m_e, \tag{49}$$

where Δ is the binding energy of a helium nucleus. This is equivalent to

$$2(Q - m_e) < \Delta. \tag{50}$$

However, when we change v_h with the Yukawa couplings fixed at the observed values, Δ and $2(Q - m_e)$ can become equal at some point $v_h = v_0 > 246\,\text{GeV}$. This is because $Q - m_e$ is an

Downloaded from http://ptep.oxfordjournals.org/ at Library of Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University on October 22, 2015



Fig. 4. The radiation of the universe S_{rad} as a function of v_h for various values of M_{em} when the Higgs self-coupling, the gauge couplings, and the Yukawa couplings are fixed. Here, α, β, and γ are fixed at typical values, and the scale of the vertical axis is chosen properly. Left (right) shows the $c = 1/50$ $(1/100)$ case.



Fig. 5. The parameter dependences of S_{rad} as a function of v_h. Here, T_{BBN} and M_{em} are fixed, respectively, at 0.1 MeV and 2.2 MeV, and c is chosen to be 1/50. In the upper left, upper right, and lower figures, α, β, and γ are changed, respectively.

increasing function of v_h and Δ is expected to be a decreasing function of v_h.[5] Therefore, in the $v_h > v_0$ region, atomic nuclei cannot exist (see Fig. 6). However, a simple analysis indicates that v_0

[5] The nucleon–nucleon interaction comes from the pion exchange, which becomes weak if the pion mass m_π becomes large; thus Δ is a decreasing function of v_h because m_π is an increasing function of v_h (see Eq. (11)).

Downloaded from http://ptep.oxfordjournals.org/ at Library of Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University on October 22, 2015



Fig. 6. How physics changes when the Higgs expectation value v_h is varied.

is greater than 1 TeV, where X_n is very close to zero (see Fig. 3). Therefore, we can trust the analysis done so far, even in this region.[6]

On the other hand, in the $v_h < 246\,\text{GeV}$ region, there are three critical points where $Q + m_e$, Q, and $Q - m_e$ become zero, which we denote respectively by

$$v_r, \quad v_Q, \quad v_\beta. \tag{51}$$

In the region $v_Q < v_h < v_\beta$, beta decay does not occur. This case is already included in the analysis done so far. In the $v < v_Q$ region, protons become heavier than neutrons. Even if such a universe exists, there should also be atomic nuclei because of the isospin symmetry. In the $v_h < v_r$ region, protons become unstable, and again atomic nuclei cease to exist if v_h is much smaller than v_r. As a result, S_{rad} increases as v_h gets smaller. It would be interesting to see whether the peak around $v_h = \mathcal{O}(300\,\text{GeV})$ is the global maximum or not.

5. Summary and discussion

In this paper, we have shown that the radiation of the universe S_{rad} at the final stage has a maximum around $v_h = \mathcal{O}(300\,\text{GeV})$ when the Higgs self-coupling, the gauge couplings, and the Yukawa couplings are fixed. The v_h dependence of S_{rad} is given by Eq. (24). We have seen that $m_p^{\frac{4}{3}} \times \tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}}$ is a decreasing function for typical values of α, β, and γ, while $1 - cX_n$ increases rather rapidly for smaller values of v_h and becomes a constant of one around $v_h = 300\,\text{GeV}$. Therefore, S_{rad} becomes maximum at the scale where $1 - cX_n$ becomes one. As we have seen in Sect. 3.2, X_n is the product of

$$\frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{Q}{T_{\text{dec}}}\right)}, \tag{52}$$

and

$$\exp\left(-\tau_n^{-1}(t_{\text{BBN}} - t_{\text{dec}})\right). \tag{53}$$

[6] Although the v_h dependence of Δ is complicated, the upper bound of v_0 can be obtained by $Q - m_e = \Delta(v_h = 246\,\text{GeV})/2 = 14\,\text{MeV}$. For example, if we assume $\beta = 1.4$ and use $(m_u, m_d) = (2.3\,\text{MeV}, 4.8\,\text{MeV})$ [9], M_{em} becomes 2.2 MeV, and $v_0^{(\text{Max})}$ is given by $v_0^{(\text{Max})}(\beta = 1.4, M_{\text{em}} = 2.2\,\text{MeV}) = 1.3\,\text{TeV}$.

Downloaded from http://ptep.oxfordjournals.org/ at Library of Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University on October 22, 2015



Fig. 7. The v_h dependence of the two factors of X_n. Here, we show the case where $\beta = 1.4$ and $M_{\rm em} = 2.2$ MeV. Blue and red lines show $\exp(-\tau_n^{-1}(t_{\rm BBN} - t_{\rm dec}))$ and $1/(1 + \exp(Q/T_{\rm dec}))$, respectively.

Actually, Eq. (53) determines where X_n becomes zero (see Fig. 7) and thus $1 - cX_n$ becomes one. As a result, the maximum point of $S_{\rm rad}$ is qualitatively given by

$$\tau_n^{-1} \times t_{\rm BBN} \simeq 1, \tag{54}$$

from which we obtain

$$v_h \simeq \frac{T_{\rm BBN}^2}{M_{\rm pl} y_e^5}. \tag{55}$$

This shows a surprising and mysterious relation between v_h, $T_{\rm BBN}$, y_e, and $M_{\rm pl}$.

In conclusion, we mention the possibilities that we could make further predictions by combining the maximum entropy principle with other principles, such as the stability of the Higgs potential. For example, we could consider the U(1) gauge coupling g_Y. As seen in Fig. 4, $S_{\rm rad}$ increases if $M_{\rm em}$ is decreased. Because $M_{\rm em}$ mainly depends on g_Y, smaller values of g_Y are favored by the maximum entropy principle. Thus, if there exists a lower bound of g_Y, we can conclude that g_Y is fixed at that value. From the recent analyses [10–20] based on the observed Higgs mass, it is possible that the Higgs potential is marginally stable up to the energy scale 10^{17}–10^{18} GeV. In other words, if g_Y is smaller than the observed value, the Higgs potential is unstable. This means that the present value of g_Y is at the lower bound, which is consistent with the above argument. It would be interesting to consider various uses of the maximum entropy principle.

Acknowledgements

The work of Y.H. is supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Fellows No. 25·1107.

Funding

Open Access funding: SCOAP[3].

Appendix A. Including the v_h dependence of $T_{\rm BBN}$

In this appendix, we take the v_h dependence of $T_{\rm BBN}$ into account. $T_{\rm BBN}$ is the temperature where the ratio of deuterons to all nucleons,

$$X_d := \frac{n_d}{n_N}, \tag{A1}$$

Downloaded from http://ptep.oxfordjournals.org/ at Library of Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University on October 22, 2015

becomes $\mathcal{O}(1)$. In the following, we find

$$T_{\rm BBN} \simeq 0.03 \times B_d, \tag{A2}$$

where B_d is the deuteron binding energy B_d.

In thermal equilibrium, the number density of the particle species i having the heavy mass $m_i \gg T$ is given by

$$n_i = g_i \left(\frac{m_i T}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \times \exp\left(-\frac{m_i - \mu_i}{T}\right), \tag{A3}$$

where g_i are the internal degrees of freedom, and μ_i is the chemical potential. If $T \ll 1$ GeV, we can use Eq. (A3) for protons, neutrons, and deuterons. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{n_d}{n_p n_n} &= \frac{3(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{4} \times \left(\frac{m_d}{m_p m_n T}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{m_d - m_p - m_n}{T}\right) \\ &= \frac{3(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{4} \times \left(\frac{m_d}{m_p m_n T}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \times \exp\left(\frac{B_d}{T}\right) := f(T, B_d),\end{aligned} \tag{A4}$$

where we have used the relation between the chemical potentials[7]

$$\mu_d = \mu_p + \mu_n. \tag{A5}$$

By using

$$n_p = (1 - X_n) n_N, \quad n_n = X_n n_N, \tag{A6}$$

and the baryon-to-photon ratio

$$\frac{n_\gamma}{n_N} \simeq 6 \times 10^{10}, \tag{A7}$$

X_d is given by

$$\begin{aligned}X_d &= \frac{n_d}{n_N} = \frac{n_d}{n_p n_n} \times \frac{n_p n_n}{n_N} \\ &= f(T, B_d) \times X_n (1 - X_n) n_N \\ &= f(T, B_d) \times X_n (1 - X_n) \times \frac{10^{-10}}{6} \times n_\gamma \\ &\simeq 1.35 \times 10^{-10} \times \left(\frac{T}{1\,\text{GeV}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{B_d}{T}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{A8}$$

Here, we have put $X_n(1 - X_n) \simeq 0.1$. This will be verified by seeing that X_n has an $\mathcal{O}(0.1)$ value as a function of v_h around $v_h = 300$ GeV (see Fig. 3). By solving $X_d = 1$ numerically, we can obtain

$$T_{\rm BBN} \simeq 0.03 \times B_d. \tag{A9}$$

Although determining B_d as a function of v_h is difficult, the qualitative behavior is easily understood. Because $m_\pi^2 \propto v_h$, the strength of the nuclear force is roughly given by v_h^{-1}. Therefore, B_d

[7] Protons, neutrons, and deuterons are in thermal equilibrium through $p + n \leftrightarrow d + \gamma$.

Downloaded from http://ptep.oxfordjournals.org/ at Library of Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University on October 22, 2015



Fig. A1. X_n as a function of v_h in the cases where the v_h dependence of T_{BBN} is included (red), and where T_{BBN} is fixed at that of $v_h = 246\,\text{GeV}$ (blue). In the left and right panels, we assume $M_{em} = 1.8\,\text{MeV}$ and $M_{em} = 2.2\,\text{MeV}$, respectively.



Fig. A2. S_{rad} as a function of v_h in the cases where the v_h dependence of T_{BBN} is included (red), and where T_{BBN} is fixed at that of $v_h = 246\,\text{GeV}$ (blue). Here, we have chosen $c = 1/100$. In the left and right panels, we assume $M_{em} = 1.8\,\text{MeV}$ and $M_{em} = 2.2\,\text{MeV}$, respectively.

should be a decreasing function of v_h. Here, we use the recent result [8][8] in which B_d is calculated as a function of the current quark masses by using the effective chiral perturbation theory. The result in Ref. [8] agrees with the above heuristic argument.

Now we consider X_n when we take the v_h dependence of T_{BBN} into consideration. First we read off B_d as a function of v_h from Ref. [8], then, by using Eqs. (A9), (45), and (44), we obtain X_n as a function of v_h; see Fig. A1.[9]

By using Eq. (24), we obtain S_{rad} as a function of v_h; see Fig. A2.[10] We can see that the maximum of S_{rad} changes slightly.

[8] Although, in Ref. [8], B_d is calculated in the $0.5 < m_q/m_q^{(phy)} < 2$ or $123\,\text{GeV} < v_h < 492\,\text{GeV}$ region, where the chiral perturbation theory seems to be reliable, this region is enough to examine the maximum point of S_{rad}.

[9] One can see that the v_h dependence of T_{BBN} makes an effect to decrease (increase) X_n in the $v_h >$ ($<$) 246 GeV region. This can be understood intuitively: as discussed above, because B_d is a decreasing function of v_h, T_{BBN} is also a decreasing function. Thus, if v_h is large, T_{BBN} becomes small, and the time when the BBN starts becomes large. As a result, the beta decay lasts for a longer time, and X_n decreases.

[10] Qualitatively, the radiation increases (decreases) in the $246\,\text{GeV} < v_h$ ($246\,\text{GeV} > v_h$) region because X_n decreases (increases) in this region.

Downloaded from http://ptep.oxfordjournals.org/ at Library of Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University on October 22, 2015

Appendix B. The proton lifetime

In this appendix, we give the formula for the proton lifetime. We start from the effective Lagrangian [21]. The process

$$p \to e^+ + \pi_0 \tag{B1}$$

is described by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{decay}} = i\frac{m_p^2}{M_G^2}\left(c_1\pi_0\bar{e}^c p + c_2\pi_0\bar{e}^c\gamma_5 p\right) + \text{h.c.}, \tag{B2}$$

where M_G is the GUT scale. Although c_1 and c_2 may depend on the current quark masses due to the wave function of a proton and pion, we assume that they are constants in this paper.

Then, the partial width of Eq. (B1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_p &= \frac{1}{2m_p}\int\frac{d^3p'}{(2\pi)^3 2p'_0}\int\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2k_0}\frac{1}{2}\cdot\sum_{\text{spin}}|\mathcal{M}|^2 \quad (2\pi)^4\delta(p-p'-k)\\ &= \frac{m_p^5(c_1^2+c_2^2)}{2^4\pi M_G^4}\times\left(1-\left(\frac{m_\pi}{m_p}\right)^2\right)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{B3}$$

Taking into account the other process

$$p \to \pi^+ + \bar{\nu}, \tag{B4}$$

we have an approximate expression for G in Eq. (12):

$$G = \text{constant}\times\left(1-\frac{m_\pi^2}{m_p^2}\right)^2. \tag{B5}$$

Let us calculate η in Eq. (34), which has been explained in Sect. 3. We neglect the electron mass in the following argument. Because c_1 and c_2 are constants in our assumption, G becomes when $m_{u,d} \ll m_p$. Thus, by using Eqs. (11) and (B5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}m_p^{\frac{4}{3}}\times\tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}} &\propto \frac{1}{m_p^2}G^{-\frac{2}{3}}\\ &\propto\left(1+\frac{\beta(2m_u+m_d)}{\alpha\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}\right)^{-2}\times\left(1-\frac{\gamma(m_u+m_d)}{2\alpha^2\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}\right)^{-\frac{4}{3}}\\ &\simeq\left(1-\frac{2\beta(2m_u+m_d)}{\alpha\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}+\frac{2\gamma(m_u+m_d)}{3\alpha^2\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}\right)\\ &= 1-\left(\frac{4\beta}{\alpha}-\frac{2\gamma}{3\alpha^2}\right)\cdot\frac{m_u}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}-\left(\frac{2\beta}{\alpha}-\frac{2\gamma}{3\alpha^2}\right)\cdot\frac{m_d}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}.\end{aligned} \tag{B6}$$

For example, if we choose

$$\alpha = 3.1, \quad \beta = 1.4, \quad \gamma = 16, \tag{B7}$$

Eq. (B6) becomes

$$m_p^{\frac{4}{3}}\times\tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}} \propto 1 - 0.69\cdot\frac{m_u}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}} + 0.20\cdot\frac{m_d}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}. \tag{B8}$$

Downloaded from http://ptep.oxfordjournals.org/ at Library of Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University on October 22, 2015



Fig. C1. The parameter regions where $c > 0.01$ when we allow $\frac{m_{\rm He}}{m_p}$, $\frac{\tau_{\rm He}}{\tau_p}$, and ϵ to change by 10% from their natural values. One can see that only in the region where $\tau_{\rm He}$ is large can c be smaller than 0.01.

Thus, if we use the typical values $(m_u, m_d) = (2.3\,\text{MeV}, 4.8\,\text{MeV})$ [9], the coefficient of v_h becomes negative, which means that $m_p^{\frac{4}{3}} \times \tau_p^{\frac{2}{3}}$ is a monotonically decreasing function for small v_h.

Appendix C. Parameter region where $c > 0.01$

One of the crucial assumptions in our argument is having fixed c at a small positive value such as $1/50$ or $1/100$. By solving the differential equations (17)–(21), we can examine how naturally we have $c > 0.01$ when we change $\frac{m_{\rm He}}{m_p}$, $\frac{\tau_{\rm He}}{\tau_p}$, and ϵ by 10% from their roughly estimated values $(4, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{32})$ [5]. In Fig. C1, the $c > 0.01$ region is shown in blue. One can see that a wide range of parameters gives $c > 0.01$. However, the large $\tau_{\rm He}$ region is not allowed, which comes from the fact that the lifetime of an atomic nucleon increases the radiation of the universe.[11] Thus, if the effect from $\tau_{\rm He}$ dominates in the small v_h region, c becomes negative, and the peak of $S_{\rm rad}$ obtained in Sect. 4 disappears. To make a more quantitative argument, we need to understand how $\tau_{\rm He}$ and $m_{\rm He}$ depend on the quark masses.

[11] Qualitatively, this can be understood as follows: if the matter with the energy ΔM decays and becomes radiation, we can obtain $\frac{\Delta M}{a^3(t)} = \frac{\Delta S_{\rm rad}}{a^4(t)}$, namely $\Delta S_{\rm rad} = a(t) \times \Delta M$, from the conservation of the energy density. Thus, if the decay time becomes large, because $a(t)$ becomes large, the radiation increases.

Downloaded from http://ptep.oxfordjournals.org/ at Library of Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University on October 22, 2015

References

[1] S. R. Coleman, Nucl. Phys. B **310**, 643 (1988).
[2] H. Kawai and T. Okada, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **26**, 3107 (2011) [arXiv:1104.1764 [hep-th]] [Search INSPIRE].
[3] H. Kawai and T. Okada, Prog. Theor. Phys. **127**, 689 (2012) [arXiv:1110.2303 [hep-th]] [Search INSPIRE].
[4] H. Kawai, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **28**, 1340001 (2013).
[5] Y. Hamada, H. Kawai, and K. Kawana, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **29**, 1450099 (2014) [arXiv:1405.1310 [hep-ph]] [Search INSPIRE].
[6] M. D'Onofrio, K. Rummukainen, and A. Tranberg, PoS LATTICE **2012**, 055 (2012) [arXiv:1212.3206] [Search INSPIRE].
[7] S. Weinberg, *Cosmology* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2008), 1st ed.
[8] J. C. Berengut, E. Epelbaum, V. V. Flambaum, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meissner, J. Nebreda, and J. R. Pelaez, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 085018 (2013) [arXiv:1301.1738 [nucl-th]] [Search INSPIRE].
[9] J. Beringer et al. [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **86**, 010001 (2012).
[10] M. Holthausen, K. S. Lim, and M. Lindner, J. High Energy Phys. **1202**, 037 (2012) [arXiv:1112.2415 [hep-ph]] [Search INSPIRE].
[11] F. Bezrukov, M. Y. .Kalmykov, B. A. Kniehl, and M. Shaposhnikov, J. High Energy Phys. **1210**, 140 (2012) [arXiv:1205.2893 [hep-ph]] [Search INSPIRE].
[12] G. Degrassi, S. Di Vita, J. Elias-Miro, J. R. Espinosa, G. F. Giudice, G. Isidori, and A. Strumia, J. High Energy Phys. **1208**, 098 (2012) [arXiv:1205.6497 [hep-ph]] [Search INSPIRE].
[13] S. Alekhin, A. Djouadi, and S. Moch, Phys. Lett. B **716**, 214 (2012) [arXiv:1207.0980 [hep-ph]] [Search INSPIRE].
[14] I. Masina, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 053001 (2013) [arXiv:1209.0393 [hep-ph]] [Search INSPIRE].
[15] Y. Hamada, H. Kawai, and K.-y. Oda, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 053009 (2013) [arXiv:1210.2538 [hep-ph]] [Search INSPIRE].
[16] Y. Hamada, H. Kawai, and K.-y. Oda, [arXiv:1305.7055 [hep-ph]] [Search INSPIRE].
[17] F. Jegerlehner, [arXiv:1304.7813 [hep-ph]] [Search INSPIRE].
[18] F. Jegerlehner, [arXiv:1305.6652 [hep-ph]] [Search INSPIRE].
[19] D. Buttazzo, G. Degrassi, P. P. Giardino, G. F. Giudice, F. Sala, A. Salvio, and A. Strumia, J. High Energy Phys. **1312**, 089 (2013) [arXiv:1307.3536] [Search INSPIRE].
[20] A. Kobakhidze and A. Spencer-Smith, [arXiv:1404.4709 [hep-ph]] [Search INSPIRE].
[21] M. Claudson, M. B. Wise, and L. J. Hall, Nucl. Phys. B **195**, 297 (1982).

Downloaded from http://ptep.oxfordjournals.org/ at Library of Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University on October 22, 2015