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Vacuolar processing enzyme in plant programmed cell death

Noriyuki Hatsugai†1, Kenji Yamada2†, Shino Goto-Yamada2 and Ikuko Hara-Nishimura2*

1 Department of Plant Biology, Microbial and Plant Genomics Institute, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, USA,
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Vacuolar processing enzyme (VPE) is a cysteine proteinase originally identified as the proteinase responsible for the maturation and activation of vacuolar proteins in plants, and it is known to be an ortholog of animal asparaginyl endopeptidase (AEP/VPE/legumain). VPE has been shown to exhibit enzymatic properties similar to that of caspase 1, which is a cysteine protease that mediates the programmed cell death (PCD) pathway in animals. Although there is limited sequence identity between VPE and caspase 1, their predicted three-dimensional structures revealed that the essential amino-acid residues for these enzymes form similar pockets for the substrate peptide YVAD. In contrast to the cytosolic localization of caspases, VPE is localized in vacuoles. VPE provokes vacuolar rupture, initiating the proteolytic cascade leading to PCD in the plant immune response. It has become apparent that the VPE-dependent PCD pathway is involved not only in the immune response, but also in the responses to a variety of stress inducers and in the development of various tissues. This review summarizes the current knowledge on the contribution of VPE to plant PCD and its role in vacuole-mediated cell death, and it also compares VPE with the animal cell death executor caspase 1.
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Introduction

Unlike necrotic cell death, which results from accidental and physical damage, programmed cell death (PCD) is a genetically regulated physiological process of cell suicide that is integral to the development and survival of eukaryotes. In animal cells, apoptosis, the most characterized form of PCD, is executed by a family of highly conserved proteinases known as caspases (Cohen, 1997). Caspases are cysteine proteases that cleave their substrates after P1 position aspartic acid residues. The amino acids preference at the P2–P4 positions differs among caspase family members, including the peptide sequence of YVAD for caspase 1, DEVD for caspase 3, VEID and VKMD for caspase 6, and IETD for caspase 8.

In 1998, a proteolytic activity toward a synthetic caspase-1 substrate (N-acetyl-YVAD-MCA) was detected in tobacco plants and the activity was required for bacterially induced PCD (del Pozo and Lam, 1998). This was the first research to suggest that the presence of a caspase-like proteinase was related to plant cell death. However, because no caspase orthologs had been identified in plant genomes, the plant proteinase catalyzing the caspase-1 substrate was unknown until the vacuolar processing enzyme (VPE) was identified as a plant proteinase with a caspase-1-like activity (Hatsugai et al., 2004).
Homology searches have uncovered the existence of several metacaspases in plants that contain the caspase-conserved domains, and they have been proposed to play a role in plant PCD (Uren et al., 2000; Coll et al., 2011) (Table 1). However, metacaspases lack the aspartic acid specificity of caspases, and they cleave their substrates after arginine and lysine residues (Tsitsianis et al., 2011). In the past decade, several groups have identified plant proteinases that exhibit caspase-like activities responsible for PCD (Table 1). The subtilisin-like serine proteinases, saspase, and phytaspe have caspase-6-like activities that are associated with pathogen-induced PCD in *Avena sativa* (Coffeen and Wolpert, 2004; Chichkova et al., 2010; Vartapetian et al., 2011). Additionally, in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the 26S proteasome β subunit PBA1 catalyzes caspase-3 substrates and mediates PCD during bacterial infection (Hatsugai et al., 2009; Hatsugai and Hara-Nishimura, 2010; Hara-Nishimura and Hatsugai, 2011) and xylem development (Han et al., 2012). Plant proteinases, as related to caspase-like activities, have been extensively reviewed (Lam and del Pozo, 2000; Wollering et al., 2002; Sanmartin et al., 2005; Bonneau et al., 2008; Wolltering, 2010).

VPE was originally discovered as a cysteine proteinase responsible for the maturation of seed storage proteins in maturing pumpkin seeds, and it was named after its role in the proteolytic processing of various vacuolar proteins (Hara-Nishimura and Nishimura, 1987; Hara-Nishimura et al., 1991). The primary structure of VPE was deduced from the VPE cDNA of castor bean (Hara-Nishimura et al., 1993). The molecular characterization of the VPE of *Arabidopsis* showed that VPE is expressed not only in seeds but also in vegetative organs (Hara-Nishimura et al., 1998; Yamada et al., 2005): αVPE and γVPE mainly in vegetative organs (Kinoshiba et al., 1995a), βVPE in embryos (Kinoshiba et al., 1995b), and δVPE specifically and transiently in the two cell layers of the seed coat at an early stage of seed development (Nakaune et al., 2005). Genome databases showed that VPE homologs are widely distributed in land plants, from moss (*Physcomitrella patens*) and fern (*Ceratopteris richardii*) to seed plants. In this review, we focus on recent advances in the understanding of the role of VPE in plant PCD. VPE functions in various types of plant PCD are summarized in Table 2 and Figure 1.

### VPE has a Caspase-1-like Activity

VPE is a vacuole-localized cysteine proteinase responsible for the maturation and activation of vacuolar proteins, which are synthetized on the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) as a proprotein precursor and are then transported to vacuoles (Hara-Nishimura and Nishimura, 1987; Hara-Nishimura et al., 1991). VPE itself is also synthetized as an inactive proprotein precursor. The proprotein precursor of VPE is self-catalytically converted into the active mature form and no other factor is necessary for activating VPE molecules (Hiraiwa et al., 1999; Kuroyanagi et al., 2002). Therefore, VPE is an initiator of the vacuolar-processing system. The pleiotropic functions of the VPE family have been reviewed extensively (Yamada et al., 2005). The self-catalytic conversion of the inactive precursor protein into functional VPE resembles the processing and activation of caspase 1 (Hiraiwa et al., 1999; Nicholson, 1999; Kuroyanagi et al., 2005).

VPEs cleave peptide bonds at the C-terminal sides of not only asparagine residues but also aspartic acids exposed on the surface of proprotein precursors to generate the respective mature proteins (Hara-Nishimura and Nishimura, 1987; Hara-Nishimura et al., 1991, 1993; Becker et al., 1995; Hara-Nishimura, 1998). VPE activity toward a synthetic VPE substrate, benzlyoxycarbonyl-AAN-MCA, in virus-infected tobacco plants is inhibited by not only the VPE inhibitor ESEN-CHO but also caspase-1 inhibitors (Hatsugai et al., 2004). In VPE-silenced *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants, the reduced VPE activity parallels the reduction of caspase-1-like activity (Hatsugai et al., 2004). In addition, *Arabidopsis* γVPE binds to caspase-1 inhibitors that block the self-maturation of this enzyme and the activation of its downstream enzyme (Rojo et al., 2004). Further experiments showed that an *Arabidopsis* vpe-null mutant, which lacks all four VPE genes (αVPE, βVPE, γVPE, and δVPE) in the genome, shows neither VPE activity nor caspase-1-like activity (Kuroyanagi et al., 2005). In addition, recombinant γVPE recognized a VPE substrate with a *Km* = 30.3 μM and a caspase-1 substrate with a *Km* = 44.2 μM but not a caspase-3 substrate (Kuroyanagi et al., 2005). Thus, VPE recognizes aspartic acid when it is part of the YVAD sequence of a caspase-1 substrate, but does not necessarily recognize other aspartic acid residues, such as the DEVD sequence of a caspase-3 substrate.

The similarity of the substrate specificity between VPE and caspase 1 is consistent with several structural similarities between the two enzymes, such as similar substrate pockets and similar active sites (Stennicke and Salvesen, 1998; Earnshaw et al., 1999; Hara-Nishimura et al., 2005; Hatsugai et al., 2006). Figure 2A shows essential amino acid residues forming the substrate pocket of human caspase 1 and the corresponding residues of *Arabidopsis* γVPE and human AEP/VPE/legumain.

---

**Table 1** | Proteinases related to PCD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proteinases</th>
<th>Organisms</th>
<th>Types</th>
<th>Substrate specificity (residue at P1 position)</th>
<th>Cleavable caspase substrates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VPE/AEP/legumain</td>
<td>Plants and animals</td>
<td>Cysteine proteinase</td>
<td>Asparagine, aspartic acid</td>
<td>YVAD (caspase-1 substrate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26S proteasome β1 subunit</td>
<td>Eukaryotes</td>
<td>Threonine proteinase</td>
<td>Glutamic acid, aspartic acid</td>
<td>DEVQ (caspase-3 substrate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saspase</td>
<td>Plants</td>
<td>Serine proteinase</td>
<td>Aspartic acid</td>
<td>VKMD (caspase-6 substrate), IETD (caspase-8 substrate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytaspe</td>
<td>Plants</td>
<td>Serine proteinase</td>
<td>Aspartic acid</td>
<td>VEID (caspase-6 substrate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metacaspase</td>
<td>Plants, Fungi, and protists</td>
<td>Cysteine proteinase</td>
<td>Arginine, lysine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 2 | VPE functions in plant PCD and the related processes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Tissues</th>
<th>PCD inducer</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Nicotiana benthamiana</em></td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>Virus</td>
<td>Vacuolar collapse-mediated PCD; Suppression of PCD and increased viral proliferation in VPE-silenced line</td>
<td>Hatsugai et al., 2004, [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Arabidopsis thaliana</em></td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>Virus, bacteria, fungi</td>
<td>Partial PCD suppression and increased susceptibility to virus and fungi in vpe mutant</td>
<td>Rojo et al., 2004, [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nicotiana benthamiana</em></td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>A1TCNGC11/12 overexpression</td>
<td>Vacuolar collapse-mediated PCD; Suppression of PCD in VPE-silenced line and by caspase-1 inhibitors</td>
<td>Urquhart et al., 2007, [3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Malus</em> spp. (apple cultivars)*</td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Upregulation of VPE gene</td>
<td>Iakimova et al., 2013, [4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Arabidopsis thaliana</em></td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>Oomycete</td>
<td>Reduction of oomycete sporulation in vpe-null mutant (compatible interactions presumably independent of PCD); Increase of γVPE activity during oomycete infection</td>
<td>Misas-Villamil et al., 2013, [5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nicotiana benthamiana</em></td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>Bacterial elicitor</td>
<td>Suppressions of PCD and elicitor-induced stomatic closure in VPE-silenced line</td>
<td>Zhang et al., 2010, [6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nicotiana tabacum</em></td>
<td>Suspension cultured cell</td>
<td>Oomycete elicitor</td>
<td>PCD suppression by caspase-1 inhibitors; Upregulation of VPE genes</td>
<td>Gauthier et al., 2007, [7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Arabidopsis thaliana</em></td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>Fungal toxin</td>
<td>PCD suppressions in vpe-null mutant and by inhibitors of VPE and caspase-1; Inhibition of VPE activity by recombinant p35 protein</td>
<td>Kuroyanagi et al., 2005, [8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nicotiana umbratica</em></td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>Fungal toxin</td>
<td>PCD suppression in VPE-silenced line</td>
<td>Mase et al., 2012, [9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Arabidopsis thaliana</em></td>
<td>Seed coat</td>
<td>Developmental</td>
<td>PCD delay in the two cell layers of inner integument of lyve mutant; VPE-gene upregulation and VPE-protein induction</td>
<td>Nakaune et al., 2005, [10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Solanum tuberosum</em></td>
<td>Tuber apical bud meristem</td>
<td>Developmental</td>
<td>Upregulation of VPE gene</td>
<td>Tran et al., 2014, [12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nicotiana tabacum</em></td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>Senescence, developmental</td>
<td>Vascular collapse-mediated PCD; Suppression of PCD by caspase-1 inhibitor; Increase of VPE activity</td>
<td>Mino et al., 2007, [14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Arabidopsis thaliana</em></td>
<td>Leaf, lateral root</td>
<td>Senescence, developmental</td>
<td>Vascular collapse-mediated PCD; Suppression of PCD by caspase-1 inhibitor; Increase of VPE activity</td>
<td>Teper-Bamnoelker et al., 2012, [15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nicotiana tabacum</em></td>
<td>Petal</td>
<td>Senescence</td>
<td>Vascular collapse-mediated PCD; Suppression of PCD in vpe-null mutant; γVPE-gene upregulation and increase of VPE activity</td>
<td>Miller et al., 2010, [16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nicotiana tabacum</em></td>
<td>Suspension cultured cell</td>
<td>Heat stress</td>
<td>Vascular collapse-mediated PCD; Suppression of PCD by caspase-1 inhibitor; Increase of VPE activity</td>
<td>Li et al., 2012, [17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Oryza sativa</em></td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>Hydrogen peroxide stress</td>
<td>Vascular collapse-mediated PCD; Suppression of PCD by caspase-1 inhibitor; Increase of VPE activity</td>
<td>Deng et al., 2011, [18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Arabidopsis thaliana</em></td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>Salt stress</td>
<td>Vascular collapse-mediated PCD; Suppression of PCD by caspase-1 inhibitor; Increase of VPE activity</td>
<td>Deng et al., 2011, [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lycopersicon esculentum</em></td>
<td>Suspension cultured cell</td>
<td>Ozone exposure</td>
<td>Vascular collapse-mediated PCD; Suppression of PCD by caspase-1 inhibitor; Increase of VPE activity</td>
<td>Kadono et al., 2010, [20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nicotiana tabacum</em></td>
<td>Suspension cultured cell</td>
<td>Ultraviolet radiation</td>
<td>Vascular collapse-mediated PCD; Suppression of PCD by caspase-1 inhibitor; Increase of VPE activity</td>
<td>Danon et al., 2004, [21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Arabidopsis thaliana</em></td>
<td>Leaf protoplast</td>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>Vascular collapse-mediated PCD; Suppression of PCD by caspase-1 inhibitor; Increase of VPE activity</td>
<td>Iakimova et al., 2007, [22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nicotiana tabacum</em></td>
<td>Suspension cultured cell</td>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>Vascular collapse-mediated PCD; Suppression of PCD by caspase-1 inhibitor; Increase of VPE activity</td>
<td>Kariya et al., 2013, [23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nicotiana tabacum</em></td>
<td>Root</td>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>Vascular collapse-mediated PCD; Suppression of PCD by caspase-1 inhibitor; Increase of VPE activity</td>
<td>Wang et al., 2009, [24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lycopersicon esculentum</em></td>
<td>Suspension cultured cell</td>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>Vascular collapse-mediated PCD; Suppression of PCD by caspase-1 inhibitor; Increase of VPE activity</td>
<td>Yamaguchi et al., 2006, [25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Arabidopsis thaliana</em></td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>ER stress</td>
<td>Vascular collapse-mediated PCD; Suppression of PCD by caspase-1 inhibitor; Increase of VPE activity</td>
<td>Qiang et al., 2012, [26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glycine max</em></td>
<td>Leaf protoplast</td>
<td>ER stress</td>
<td>Vascular collapse-mediated PCD; Suppression of PCD by caspase-1 inhibitor; Increase of VPE activity</td>
<td>Mendes et al., 2013, [27]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
which are conserved in Opisthokonta. Our predictions of three-dimensional (3D) structures reveal an interesting feature of the enzymes (Figure 2B). Surprisingly, γVPE Arg74, which has been thought to correspond to caspase-1 Arg179, accurately overlaps with caspase-1 Arg341. Two guanido groups of Arg341 and Arg179 of caspase 1 make strong affinity with the carboxylate group of the Asp residue in the substrate peptide YVAD (Nicholson, 1999). On the other hand, γVPE has only one guanido group of Arg74, which makes the substrate pocket of γVPE less positively charged than that of caspase 1. This is consistent with the fact that γVPE has broader substrate specificity toward Asp and Asn, while caspase 1 has narrow substrate specificity toward Asp.

VPE Roles in Developmental PCD

Reproductive Development

In angiosperm seeds, the embryo and endosperm are surrounded by the seed coat. The Arabidopsis seed coat consists of two integuments, the outer and inner, of maternal tissues, and multiple cell layers of these integuments develop after fertilization, resulting in the specialized structures of the seed coat. During the early stage of seed development, δVPE has caspase-1-like activity and is specifically expressed in two cell layers of the inner integuments of the Arabidopsis seed coat (Nakaune et al., 2005). This indicates that δVPE is responsible for the PCD of limited cell layers during the formation of the seed coat.

In barley (Hordeum vulgare), seven VPE homologs (HvVPEs) have been identified and some may be involved in PCD during the development of maternal seed tissues, including the nucellus and pericarp (Linnestad et al., 1998; Radchuk et al., 2010; Julian et al., 2013). HvVPE4, which is weakly similar to Arabidopsis SVPE, is exclusively expressed in the deteriorating pericarp associated with apoptotic DNA degradation. This correlative evidence suggested that HvVPE4 is involved in the PCD of the pericarp (Radchuk et al., 2010). HvVPE2a, known as nucellain, is reported to be localized in nucellar cell walls that degenerate in developing cereal grains (Linnestad et al., 1998; Dominguez et al., 2001). HvVPE2a may play a role in the processing and/or turnover of cell wall proteins. Further evidence supporting the involvement of HvVPE2a in nucellar PCD was provided by reports of an increased caspase-1-like activity in the nucellus and nucellar projection during the development of maternal seed tissues in barley (Tran et al., 2014). Additional genetic and biochemical investigations are required to validate the contribution of HvVPE to PCD.

Hybrid Lethality

Hybrid lethality is a common post-zygotic incompatibility and is associated with PCD (Bomblies and Weigel, 2007). The interspecific F1 hybrid of Nicotiana gossei and Nicotiana tabacum exhibits
hybrid lethality at the seedling stage. The cell death in the hybrid seedling is preceded by vacuolar collapse (Mino et al., 2007). The vacuolar collapse and cell death were suppressed by the inhibition of VPE activity, and there was a correlation between VPE activity and the breakdown of the vacuolar membrane. These results suggest that this protease is involved in the cell death underlying hybrid lethality.

**Bud Development and Senescence**

Furthermore, in the developmental program, VPE is associated with PCD in tuber apical bud meristems and the release of apical dominance in potato tubers (Teper-Bammolker et al., 2012). VPE may also be related to petal and leaf senescence (Kinoshita et al., 1999; van Doorn and Woltering, 2008; Muller et al., 2010). Promoter-GUS analyses showed the up-regulation of αVPE and γVPE in dying cortex cells located next to the emerging lateral root (Kinoshita et al., 1999) and in dying circular-cell clusters of anthers during the later stage of pollen development (Hara-Nishimura, 2012), respectively.

**VPE Roles in Biotic Stimuli-Induced PCD**

**Responses to Pathogens**

Plants are continuously challenged by a wide variety of pathogens, such as viruses, bacteria, fungi, and oomycetes. In most cases, however, the spread of disease is limited by plant immune responses, including the hypersensitive response (HR), which is characterized by a rapid and localized PCD known as hypersensitive cell death (Greenberg, 1997). The HR is controlled by multiple signal transduction pathways that are initiated upon the recognition of a pathogen avirulence (Avr) factor by a plant resistance (R) gene product (Dangl and Jones, 2001; Jones and...
Caspase peptide inhibitors suppress the HR in response to infection with an avirulent *Pseudomonas syringae pv phaseolicola* strain (del Pozo and Lam, 1998). In addition, caspase-like activity was detected in tobacco plants in response to tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) (del Pozo and Lam, 1998). This work was the first report of the involvement of caspase-like activity in plant PCD.

Studies using a virus-induced gene silencing strategy provided evidence that VPE is a proteinase exhibiting caspase-1-like activity and that, by controlling vacuolar rupture in *N. benthamiana*, it was essential for TMV-induced hypersensitive cell death (Hat- sugai et al., 2004). The vacuolar collapse has been proposed to be the crucial event in plant cell death (Jones, 2001). An ultrastructural analysis and a viability assay showed that TMV-induced cell death was preceded by the disintegration of vacuolar membranes and that membrane disintegration continued, resulting in complete vacuolar collapse (Hatsugai et al., 2004). In contrast, VPE-silenced plants did not undergo vacuolar membrane disintegration or cell death (Hatsugai et al., 2004). These silenced plants also failed to show any PCD hallmarks, such as DNA fragmentation, when challenged with TMV. This observation suggests that VPE functions as a key molecule in PCD triggered by vacuolar collapse. Although the VPE deficiency does not interfere with the induction of defense genes, virus proliferation is markedly increased in the plants. These observations support the idea that PCD during the HR is critical for the removal of biotrophic pathogens, whose growth depends on the living host tissues (Greenberg and Yao, 2004).

The chimeric *Arabidopsis* cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channels, AtCNGC11 and AtCNGC12, act as positive regulators of *R* gene-mediated resistance responses (Yoshioka et al., 2006; Moeder et al., 2011). AtCNGC11/12 can induce hypersensitive cell death when transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* (Urquhart et al., 2007). A microscopic analysis of dying cells revealed that the cell death exhibits morphological and biochemical features of PCD, and involves vacuolar membrane rupture and vacuole collapse (Urquhart et al., 2007). Interestingly, in VPE-silenced plants, the development of cell death induced by AtCNGC11/12 was much slower and weaker compared with in control plants (Urquhart et al., 2007). These results indicated the involvement of VPE in AtCNGC11/12-induced cell death.

Recently, it was suggested that VPE is related to the HR induced by *Erwinia amylovora* in apple leaves (Iakimova et al., 2013). In addition, a role for VPE during compatible interactions between *Arabidopsis* and the obligate biotrophic oomycete pathogen *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis* has been demonstrated, but is presumably independent of PCD (Misas-Villamil et al., 2013). Using an activity-based probe for Arabidopsis VPE, the γVPE activity was shown to increase during an *H. arabidopsidis* infection. Interestingly, the Arabidopsis vpe-null mutant decreased *H. arabidopsidis* sporulation, indicating that VPEs are beneficial for *H. arabidopsidis* pathogenicity. This suggested that, as an obligate biotrophic pathogen, *H. arabidopsidis* takes advantage of the increased VPE activity in the host cells. The enhanced resistance is only partial in the vpe single mutant, suggesting that other VPEs also contribute to *H. arabidopsidis* sporulation.

Three elicitors, harpin, Nep1, and boehmerin, which are produced by bacteria, fungi and oomycete, respectively, induce hypersensitive cell death in *N. benthamiana* (Wei et al., 1992; Wang et al., 2003; Gijzen and Nurnberger, 2006). A study examined whether VPE contributed to elicitor-induced cell death (Zhang et al., 2010). After infiltration with each of the three elicitors, only harpin-induced cell death was compromised in *NbVPE1a*- and *NbVPE1a/1b*-silenced plants, suggesting that NbVPE1a contributes to harpin-induced cell death (Zhang et al., 2010). However, hypersensitive cell death was not impaired in the gene-silenced plants in response to Nep1 and boehmerin. This was consistent with the hypersensitive cell death triggered by Nep1 in *Phytophthora sojae* not requiring caspase-like activity (Qutob et al., 2006). These results suggest that the molecular mechanism for hypersensitive cell death triggered by harpin differs from that triggered by Nep1 or boehmerin. Additionally, VPE may be associated with the hypersensitive cell death triggered by the oomycete elicitor, cryptogein (Gauthier et al., 2007).

Some necrotrophic pathogens secrete mycotoxins to kill host cells and promote their own growth in susceptible host plants (Walton, 1996; Markham and Hille, 2001). A fungal pathogen, *Fusarium moniliforme*, produces fumonisin B1 (FB1) and causes disease symptoms in maize. FB1 inhibits ceramide synthase, which is responsible for sphingolipid biosynthesis (Wang et al., 1991), resulting in PCD in *Arabidopsis* plants. Kuroyanagi et al. (2005) showed that FB1-induced cell death was accompanied by the disintegration of vacuolar membranes and DNA fragmentation, followed by lesion formation (Kuroyanagi et al., 2005). The features of FB1-induced cell death were completely abolished by the caspase-1 inhibitor and in the *Arabidopsis* quadruple vpe-null mutant (Kuroyanagi et al., 2005). The γVPE expression was also increased after *Botrytis cinerea*, a necrotrophic fungi infection (Rojo et al., 2004).

Additionally, the γvpe single mutant more severely suppressed lesion formation than the other single mutants (αvpe, βvpe, and δvpe), although the suppression was not as strong as it was in the vpe-null mutant plants. The other VPEs possibly compensate for the lack of γVPE in γvpe leaves. γVPE is the most essential of the four VPE homologs for FB1-induced cell death in *Arabidopsis* leaves. The compensation among VPEs is not unique to PCD. It is also found in seed storage protein processing, where αVPE and γVPE compensate for the lack of βVPE in the βvpe mutant (Shimada et al., 2003).

The AAL-toxin produced by the fungus *Alternaria alternata* f. sp. *lycopersici* is a chemical congener of FB1 (Nelson et al., 1993) and causes disease symptoms in susceptible tomatoes (Wang et al., 1996) and some *Nicotiana* species lacking the *Alternaria stem canker gene 1* (Brandwagt et al., 2000). AAL-toxin-induced cell death was suppressed in tomato by overexpressing the anti-apoptotic baculovirus p35 (Lincoln et al., 2002), which is a caspase inhibitor. The recombinant p35 inhibited VPE activity *in vitro* (Kuroyanagi et al., 2005), suggesting that AAL-toxin-induced cell death is mediated by VPE. In fact, the cell death induced by the AAL-toxin was compromised in VPE-silenced *Nicotiana umbratica* plants (Mase et al., 2012). Additionally, the pathogenicity of *A. alternata* f. sp. *lycopersici* was abolished in...
VPE-silenced *N. umbratica* plants (Mase et al., 2012). These results suggested that VPE is involved in fungal toxin-induced cell death in plants.

**ER Stress**

The ER is an organelle in which secretory and membrane proteins are correctly folded and assembled by chaperones. When these processes do not function properly, unfolded or misfolded proteins accumulate inside the ER, causing ER stress. Such ER stress triggers the unfolded protein response (UPR), which adjusts the protein-folding capacity to the needs of the cell, to avoid cell damage. However, prolonged ER stress eventually overwhelms the cellular protective mechanisms and ultimately results in PCD. In animals, ER stress-induced PCD involves the activation of caspase (Rasheva and Domingos, 2009). In plants, there have been several reports implicating caspase-like activities in ER stress-induced PCD (Cai et al., 2014).

Qi et al. (2012) showed, using a cytological analysis of mutualistic interactions between *Arabidopsis* and *Piriformospora indica*, that caspase-1 and VPE activities regulated ER stress-induced PCD. The mutualistic fungi *P. indica* disturbs the UPR, which eventually leads to the death of root cells. An ultrastructural analysis showed that *P. indica* colonization was associated with ER swelling, which was followed by tonoplast rupture. In a *vpe*-null mutant, the tonoplast rupture was not detected and PCD was compromised, although ER swelling occurred. These results indicated that ER stress-induced vacuole-mediated cell death is dependent on VPE. VPE might additionally function downstream of UPR in the ER stress-induced PCD process. A recent report supported this hypothesis. Two NAC transcription factors, GmNAC30 and GmNAC81, that induce PCD downstream of osmotic and ER stresses, are able to interact with each other in a synergistic manner to directly activate VPE gene expression (Mendes et al., 2013).

**VPE Roles in Abiotsic Stress-Induced PCD**

**Heat Stress**

Heat shock triggers PCD, with apoptotic features including cell shrinkage, chromatin condensation, and DNA fragmentation (Tian et al., 2000; Vacca et al., 2006). In heat-treated tobacco BY-2 cells, cell death has been reported to be prevented by both caspase-1 and caspase-3 inhibitors (Vacca et al., 2006), but the link between caspase-1-like and caspase-3-like activities and the signaling pathway leading to PCD remains to be investigated. Recent work using the *Arabidopsis vpe*-null mutant showed that VPE exhibited caspase-1-like activity in heat-treated leaves and promoted both vacuolar disruption and activation of caspase-3-like activity (Li et al., 2012). This may provide the first evidence for the participation of VPE in the activation of a downstream caspase-3-like activity. In further studies, MAP kinase 6 (MPK6) activity was increased after heat shock treatment, and experiments with inhibitors and mutants suggested that MPK6 was responsible for the γVPE activation and the subsequent execution of PCD. These results suggest that the activation of γVPE was mediated by MPK6 and played an important role in heat shock-induced PCD in *Arabidopsis* (Li et al., 2012).

**Oxidative and Salt Stresses**

H₂O₂ is an important signaling molecule that regulates stress-induced plant PCD (Gechev and Hille, 2005). In rice, five VPE (OsVPE) genes were found in the genome (Christoff et al., 2014), and OsVPE2 and OsVPE3 may be involved in the H₂O₂-induced PCD. The expression levels of OsVPE2 and OsVPE3 were up-regulated during H₂O₂ stress. Furthermore, the H₂O₂-induced enhancement of OsVPE2 and OsVPE3 expression levels were significantly suppressed, as was the cell death associated with vacuolar rupture in rice transgenic lines overexpressing Bcl-2, which is a potent inhibitor of human apoptosis (Deng et al., 2011). In addition, the PCD caused by high salt stress was also effectively suppressed by Bcl-2, and the salt-induced expression levels of OsVPE2 and OsVPE3 were markedly inhibited in Bcl-2-overexpressing rice lines (Deng et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2014). These results suggested that OsVPE2 and OsVPE3 are involved in H₂O₂- and salt-stress-induced PCD, and also that Bcl-2 inhibits the induced PCD by suppressing the transcriptional activation of OsVPEs in rice. H₂O₂- and salt-stress-induced PCD may share a common pathway that is suppressed by Bcl-2, thereby inhibiting the transcriptional activation of *OsVPE* in rice. Additional experiments, including the study of the effect of H₂O₂ and salt stress on VPE-deficient lines, will be necessary to test this hypothesis.

The air pollutant ozone (O₃) triggers H₂O₂ production and subsequently causes visible lesion formations on leaves, which is similar to the pathogen-induced HR. The O₃-induced cell death requires caspase-like activities (Pasqualini et al., 2003; Kangasjärvi et al., 2005; Overmyer et al., 2005). The γVPE expression level was increased early after O₃ exposure to *Arabidopsis* suspension cells (Kadono et al., 2010). The O₃-induced up-regulation of γVPE was suppressed efficiently by an NADPH oxidase inhibitor (diphenyleneiodonium) and anion channel blockers (9-anthracen carboxylic acid and glibenclamide), suggesting that anion channel activation and H₂O₂ production are involved in the signaling pathway leading to a transcriptional regulation of γVPE for the O₃-induced cell death.

**Response to Ultraviolet (UV) Radiation**

VPE has been implicated in the PCD induced by UV radiation (Danon et al., 2004). UV-induced DNA fragmentation and cell death were suppressed by caspase-1 and caspase-3 inhibitors. Furthermore, the UV-induced cell death associated with DNA fragmentation was prevented in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts overexpressing anti-apoptotic baculovirus p35. Because the recombinant p35 inhibited VPE activity *in vitro* (Kuroyanagi et al., 2005), UV-induced cell death might be mediated by VPE, as is the case with toxin-induced cell death (Lincoln et al., 2002).

**Response to Metals**

Aluminum is known to be toxic to plants as well as humans, and it inhibits cell division and root elongation, eventually resulting in cell death (Kochian, 1995). Aluminum-induced cell death is accompanied by typical apoptotic features, such as nuclear and DNA fragmentation and cytoplasmic condensation.
In tomato suspension-cultured cells, cell death was abolished by a broad-range of caspase inhibitors (Yakimova et al., 2007). This was the first evidence showing the involvement of a proteinase possessing caspase-like activity in cadmium-induced PCD.

A recent study reported that a caspase-1 inhibitor, Ac-YVAD-CHO, prevented the loss of plasma membrane integrity caused by exposure to aluminum in tobacco suspension-cultured BY-2 cells (Kariya et al., 2013). Time-course experiments indicated that the VPE activity increased after the aluminum treatment, which might cause a loss of plasma membrane integrity. In addition, fluorescence microscopic observations of a transgenic cell line expressing a tonoplast-localized GFP-AtVam3p demonstrated that vacuolar rupture occurred prior to cell death in aluminum-treated cells. These results suggested that VPE-mediated vacuolar collapse was a key factor leading to aluminum-induced PCD in plants. However, how aluminum triggers an increase in VPE activity remains to be elucidated.

Additionally, a previous study revealed that the apoptotic suppressor, Ced-9, effectively inhibited aluminum-induced PCD and promoted aluminum tolerance in plants, possibly by inhibiting aluminum-induced VPE activity (Wang et al., 2009). It is likely that conserved negative regulators of PCD are involved in the integrated regulation of aluminum-induced PCD by an unidentified mechanism (Wang et al., 2009).

The caspase-1-like and VPE activities may also be associated with the PCD induced by cadmium, which is a widespread heavy metal pollutant (di Toppi and Gabbrielli, 1999). In tomato suspension-cultured cells, cadmium induced cell death within 24 h in a concentration-dependent manner. The cadmium-induced cell death was almost completely abolished by the caspase-1 inhibitor Ac-YVAD-CMK and the broad-range caspase inhibitor Z-Asp-CH$_2$-DCB. The cell death kinetics and morphological features were comparable to the effects of aluminum (Yakimova et al., 2006). These results suggest that cadmium-induced cell death may also proceed through a VPE-mediated vacuolar system.

**VPE-Dependent Activation of Defense Proteins**

VPE post-translationally processes precursor proteins to produce various functional proteins in vacuoles. VPE cleaves the multiple Asn-Gln bonds of the single precursor protein PV100 to produce different functional proteins, including a proteinase inhibitor, cytotoxic peptides and a storage protein, in pumpkin seeds (Yamada et al., 1999). Interestingly, VPE catalyzes not only the peptide-bond-cleavage reaction but also the peptide-ligation or transpeptidation reaction. The latter reaction generates a backbone-cyclized protein, cyclotide kalata B1, from a precursor protein in sunflower seeds (Saska et al., 2007). Kalata B1 functions in defense against insect pests by inhibiting their growth (Jennings et al., 2001). Thus, VPE produces defense proteins from the inactive precursors that have cleavable Asn residues. The defense proteins also include a proteinase inhibitor of tomato leaves (Graham et al., 1985), a proteinase inhibitor of tobacco stigmas (Atkinson et al., 1993), and a basic chitinase of tobacco leaves (Sticher et al., 1993). Hence, VPE plays a key role in generating active defense proteins against pathogens.

**Animal AEP/VPE/Legumain**

VPE family members are widely distributed in plants and animals. Mammalian VPE homologs are also referred as to asparaginyl endopeptidase (AEP/VPE/legumain), because of the substrate specificity toward asparaginyl bonds (Chen et al., 1997). The crystal structure of human AEP was recently reported to show the asparagine-specific endopeptidase activity (Dall and Brandstetter, 2013) (Figure 2B). A study using AEP-null mice showed that AEP is required for the maturation of lysosomal proteinases (cathepsins B, L, and H) and that AEP has a critical role in the degradation of cellular materials in the endosomes/lysosomes of kidney cells (Shirahama-Noda et al., 2003). The AEP-null mice also provided evidence that AEP is involved in neuronal cell death, whereby AEP appears to degrade a DNase inhibitor (SET), which is a caspase substrate, and trigger DNA damage in the brain (Liu et al., 2008). Based on these observations, a similar VPE/AEP-dependent PCD mechanism appears to function in plants and animals; processing the vacuole/lysosome degradation enzymes and then collapsing membranes to leak degradation enzymes into the cytosol during PCD.

**Concluding Remarks**

VPE is a plant counterpart of caspase 1 and is involved in the execution of a variety of plant PCDs. However, the subcellular localization of these enzymes is different: caspase 1 is a cytosolic enzyme and VPE is a vacuolar enzyme. This implies that the death mechanisms are different between plants and animals. In animal apoptosis, while caspases certainly play a central role, an increasing body of evidence suggests that lysosomal proteases, such as cathepsin B, are involved in the initiation and/or execution of the apoptotic program (Guicciardi et al., 2004). The lysosomal release of cathepsin B into the cytosol is capable of triggering mitochondrial dysfunction with subsequent caspase activation and cellular demise. The lysosomal cathepsin B is processed by AEP (Shirahama-Noda et al., 2003). Plant PCD is accompanied by the up-regulation of a variety of vacuolar hydrolytic enzymes (Fukuda, 2004). VPE could mediate the initial activation of some of these vacuolar enzymes, which then degrade the vacuolar membrane and initiate the proteolytic cascade leading to PCD. These findings suggest that the release of VPE/AEP-dependently-activated enzymes from vacuoles/lysosomes is a common event in both plant and animal PCD. The mechanism by which VPE controls vacuolar rupture is still unclear and could be addressed using the variety of PCD systems that have been described here.
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