TITLE:

Life cycle assessment of hydrogenated biodiesel production from waste cooking oil using the catalytic cracking and hydrogenation method.

AUTHOR(S):

Yano, Junya; Aoki, Tatsuki; Nakamura, Kazuo;
Yamada, Kazuo; Sakai, Shin-ichi

CITATION:

Yano, Junya ...[et al]. Life cycle assessment of hydrogenated biodiesel production from waste cooking oil using the catalytic cracking and hydrogenation method.. Waste management 2015, 38: 409-423

ISSUE DATE:

2015-04

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/201849>

RIGHT:

© 2015. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>; The full-text file will be made open to the public on 01 May 2017 in accordance with publisher's 'Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving'.

Life Cycle Assessment of Hydrogenated Biodiesel Production from Waste Cooking Oil Using the Catalytic Cracking and Hydrogenation Method

Author names and affiliations

Junya YANO*, Tatsuki AOKI*, Kazuo NAKAMURA**, Kazuo YAMADA***, Shin-ichi SAKAI *

*Environment Preservation Research Center, Kyoto University, Yoshida-Honmachi, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

**Advanced Scientific Technology & Management, 134 Chudoji Minamimachi, Shimogyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8813, Japan

*** Kyoto City Environmental Policy Bureau, 384 Ichinofunairicho, Nakagyo-ku, Kyoto 604-0924, Japan

Corresponding author

Junya YANO

Environment Preservation Research Center, Kyoto University, Yoshida-Honmachi, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

E-mail: yano@eprc.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Phone: +81-75-753-7709

Highlights

- LCA with uncertainty analysis was conducted for BDF production from waste cooking oil.
- HBD production scenario reduced total environmental impacts by 50–71% compared with incineration.
- FAME-type BDF provides limited future benefit compared with fossil-derived diesel.
- A shift from FAME-type BDF to HBD would more effectively reduce total environmental impacts.

Abstract

There is a worldwide trend towards stricter control of diesel exhaust emissions, however presently, there are technical impediments to the use of FAME (fatty acid methyl esters)-type biodiesel fuel (BDF). Although hydrogenated biodiesel (HBD) is anticipated as a new diesel fuel, the environmental performance of HBD and its utilization system have not been adequately clarified. Especially when waste cooking oil is used as feedstock, not only biofuel production but also the treatment of waste cooking oil is an important function for society. A life cycle assessment (LCA), including uncertainty analysis, was conducted to determine the environmental benefits (global warming, fossil fuel consumption, urban air pollution, and acidification) of HBD produced from waste cooking oil via catalytic cracking and hydrogenation, compared with fossil-derived diesel fuel or FAME-type BDF. Combined functional unit including “treatment of waste cooking oil” and “running diesel vehicle for household waste collection” was established in the context of Kyoto city, Japan. The calculation utilized characterization, damage, and integration factors identified by LIME2, which was based on an endpoint modeling method. The results show that if diesel vehicles that comply with the new Japanese long-term emissions gas standard are commonly used in the future, the benefit of FAME-type BDF will be relatively limited. Furthermore, the scenario that introduced HBD was most effective in reducing total environmental impact, meaning that a shift from FAME-type BDF to HBD would be more beneficial.

Keywords

Waste-to-fuel, Biodiesel fuel (BDF), Hydrogenated biodiesel (HBD), Waste cooking oil, Catalytic cracking method, Life cycle assessment (LCA)

1. Introduction

To develop a low-carbon society, it is important to promote the production of biofuels such as biodiesel fuel (BDF). Biofuels are produced worldwide: Biofuel consumption in road transport accounted for 1.3 Mboe/day (million barrels of oil equivalent per day) as of 2011, and is expected to increase to 4.1 Mboe/day in 2035, an increase from 3% of road transport fuel demand in 2011 to 8% in 2035. Of this, biodiesel consumption accounted for 0.4 Mboe/day and is estimated to be 1.1 Mboe in 2035 (IEA, 2013). The fuels that are currently under development utilize non-food feedstock, including waste (Naik et al., 2010; Sims et al., 2010; Takamizawa et al., 2013). Such fuels are thought to be more environmentally desirable, because biofuels derived from food crops such as soybeans are associated with a number of problems: competition with food agriculture for land and water use, and widely varying assessments of net greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions once land-use change is taken into account (Fargione et al., 2008; Searchinger et al., 2008).

In Kyoto city, Japan, waste cooking oil has been collected from households since 1998, and used to produce BDF since 2004. The BDF production facility operated by Kyoto city has a capacity of 5 kL/day (1,500 kL/yr), and is the largest facility managed by a local government in Japan. The alkali catalysis method is commonly used to convert waste cooking oil to BDF, which consists of fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) (Meher, 2006; Salvo, 2012). As of fiscal year (FY) 2012, approximately 1,300 kL of FAME-type BDF has been produced annually from waste cooking oil (approximately 196 kL from households and 1,110 kL from businesses). Considering that the generation of waste cooking oil from households was estimated to be approximately 1,140 kL within Kyoto city, this represents a collection rate of 17% waste cooking oil from households for BDF production. The produced BDF has been used as fuel for city buses (B20) and household waste collection vehicles (B100) within Kyoto city.

From the standpoint of air pollution, there has been an increasing focus globally on the control of gas emissions from diesel vehicles (EC, 2007). As shown in Table 1, Japan has also established and enforced gas emission standards that regulate pollutants such as NO_x and particulate matter (PM) emissions in a number of stages (DELPHI, 2014). For instance, in the case of NO_x emissions, the standard value for vehicles of gross weight more than 3.5 tons is 3.38 g-NO_x/kWh for the new short-term emissions gas standard (2002–2004), 2.00 g-NO_x/kWh for the new long-term standard (2005–2008), and 0.700 g-NO_x/kWh for the post new long-term standard (2009 onward). However, FAME-type BDF is occasionally problematic when used in diesel vehicles (Fukuda et al., 2008; WFCC, 2013). In particular, some technical problems have arisen in terms of the suitability of BDF for new-model diesel vehicles equipped with diesel particulate filters and NO_x reduction devices after implementation of the new long-term emissions standard.

Table 1

These problems, which include the mixing of fuel with engine oil and the poor performance of NO_x reduction devices, have become major impediments to BDF use. Therefore, there are some challenges involved in producing new diesel fuels. HVO (hydrotreating of vegetable oils) has been developed and commercially supplied to mainly EU regions. HVO is also known as renewable diesel or HDRD (hydrogenation derived renewable diesel) in the USA, and as HBD (hydrogenated biodiesel) in the Far East including Japan (Neste Oil, 2014). HVO consists mainly of paraffins and is free of aromatics, oxygen, and sulfur. HVO generally shows higher cetane index and higher oxidation stabilities compared to FAME-type BDF (Bezergianni et al., 2013). HVO can be applied not only to vegetable oil but also to animal fats. Therefore, the HVO production method is expected to contribute to the expanding feedstock of BDF and resulting increases in fuel supplies. Neste Oil is the world's largest producer of HVO, which it supplies under the brand name "NExBTL." Its production capacity is approximately 2 million ton/yr from four facilities. HVO is made by hydrotreatment of vegetable oils and animal fats, but additionally, waste and residues such as waste animal fat accounted for over half of feedstocks (Neste Oil, 2013). The OPTIBIO project operated for 3.5 years, between autumn 2007 and December 2010, to demonstrate the use of NExBTL for city buses in Helsinki. The project confirmed that HVO can replace fossil-derived diesel without any modifications to the vehicles or refueling system (Nylund et al., 2011). The Worldwide Fuel Charter (WWFC) now evaluates HVO as being highly suited as a blendstock for diesel fuel (WWFC, 2013).

HVO has been also developed in Japan, where it is often called HBD. Attention has been given to the production method, namely catalytic cracking and hydrogenation (Tani et al., 2011a, 2011b), and a three-year demonstration project for this new method, involving Kyoto city, ASTEM, and other companies, was operational between April 2012 and March 2015 (ASTEM, 2013; Kakuta, 2014; Takasuga, 2014). Unlike the general methods, the catalytic cracking process promotes decarbonization, which has the notable benefit of reducing the consumption of energy and H₂. Hydrogenation after cracking requires normal pressure and temperature conditions (1.0 MPaG at 150°C) and less H₂, whereas direct hydrogenation requires high pressure and temperature (4–6 MPaG at 300–350°C) and consumes 10 times the amount of H₂. Therefore, hydrogenation after cracking is suitable for small- and mid-sized production facilities that utilize regional feedstocks such as waste cooking oil. The produced HBD has similar characteristics to those of diesel fuel, including calorific content and boiling point. The characteristics of some fuels, including HBD produced in the demonstration project at Kyoto city (ASTEM, 2013), are summarized in the electronic supplementary material.

A life cycle assessment (LCA) was performed to evaluate the effectiveness of BDF use in reducing negative environmental impacts. Liang et al. (2013) quantitatively showed that feedstocks had different environmental performances by comparing seven feedstocks including waste cooking oil. Dufour et al. (2012) used LCA to evaluate six environmental impacts for four types of free fatty acid-rich wastes (used cooking oil, animal fats, sewage sludges), and concluded that biodiesel fuel from used cooking oil potentially achieved the most favorable environmental performance. On the other hand, when waste cooking oil was used for BDF production, the treatment (BDF production) method was also an important factor in environmental performance (Morais, 2010; Varanda, 2011). The environmental performance of HBD and its utilization system has not been adequately clarified. Garraín et al. (2014) showed that HBD blend diesel (13% blend) from soybean oil could reduce fossil fuel consumption by 2% and GHG emissions by 9% at well-to-tank stage compared with FAME blend diesel. Neste Oil (2014) estimated that the GHG reduction effects of NExBTL compared with fossil-derived diesel were 47%, 49%, and 91% for palm oil, rapeseed oil, and animal fat feedstocks, respectively; and, by conducting exhaust gas emission tests, showed that emissions of PM were reduced by approximately 30–40%. Evaluating exhaust gas emissions such as NO_x and PM from HBD production and its utilization system by means of a life cycle approach is also necessary to determine environmental performance compared with the FAME-type BDF that is generally used. Arvidsson et al. (2011) conducted an LCA of HBD production from rapeseed oil, palm oil, and Jatropha considering four environmental impacts: fossil fuel consumption, global warming, acidification, and eutrophication. The functional unit of the analysis focused on fuel supply (1 kWh of energy output). However, biofuel production and the treatment of waste cooking oil are important functions for society, especially when waste cooking oil is used as feedstock.

The purpose of this study was to clarify the effects of HBD on reducing environmental impacts compared with fossil-derived diesel fuel, or FAME-type BDF. An LCA including characterization, damage assessment, integration assessment, and uncertainty analysis was conducted to evaluate a number of environmental impacts including global warming and air pollution.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Functional unit and system boundary

Table 2 shows the characteristics of the waste cooking oil considered in this study. Combined functional unit including “treatment of waste cooking oil” and “running diesel vehicle for household waste collection” was established. The first functional unit was assumed to be the treatment of 1,142 kL/yr and 1,108 kL/yr of waste cooking oil from households and businesses, respectively. The second functional unit assumed that 41.1 TJ of

diesel fuel (fossil-derived diesel fuel, FAME-type BDF, and HBD) was consumed by diesel vehicles used to collect household waste within Kyoto city. Both amounts reflected the actual situation in Kyoto city as of FY2012. Only waste cooking oil was considered as feedstock, although animal fats could be also used to produce HBD.

The system boundary included the collection of waste cooking oil, treatment or recycling (BDF production), and fossil-derived diesel fuel and/or BDF consumption of a diesel-powered collection vehicle. With regard to fossil fuel consumption, the system boundary considered the stages from raw material extraction to final use (combustion), and associated environmental impacts were allocated to the process that consumed the fossil fuel.

Table 2

2.2. Environmental impacts

LIME1, a Japanese life-cycle impact assessment method, was developed for the first term (1998–2003) of a national LCA project, whereas LIME2 was developed for the second term (2003–2006) (JLCA, 2012). LIME2 was based on an endpoint modeling method, and considered environmental conditions, such as weather and population density, in Japan such as weather and population density. Therefore, LIME2 is mainly applied in Japan (European Commission, 2010).

LIME2 comprises 19 category endpoints that are connected by 15 environmental impact categories (JLCA, 2012). These environmental impact categories are assessed by integration, following characterization and damage assessment. Characterization is the first step, in which potential environmental impacts are assessed for each impact category. It is possible to compare or integrate the impacts of two or more environmentally damaging substances on the specific impact category. Damage assessment is the second step in assessing the amount of damage that can occur for each object of protection. In LIME, four items were defined as objects of protection: human health, social assets, biodiversity, and primary production. The Disability Adjusted Life Year (DALY), which is used internationally for health statistics, was defined as the damage index for human health. An economic index in Japan, that can comprehensively measure the impact on various components (agricultural products, forests, marine products, and resources), was defined as the damage index for social assets. EINES, which was originally based on the methodology for assessing extinction risk in the field of conservation ecology, was defined as the damage index for biodiversity. Net primary production (NPP), which is widely used as an index of ecosystem richness in the fields of biology and landscape architecture, was defined as the damage index for primary production. Integration is the final step, in which the results of the four objects of protection are converted into a single index by means of weighting factors. In LIME2, conjoint, analysis was adopted for weighting the area of protection.

The considered environmental impacts in this study were fossil fuel consumption, global warming, urban area air pollution, and acidification. The calculation utilized characterization, damage, and integration factors identified by LIME2. These factors were retrieved from the website of the Life Cycle Assessment Society of Japan (JLCA). The impact categories and their objects are listed in Table 3. With regards to global warming, CO₂ emissions derived from biomass are regarded as carbon-neutral, and were therefore excluded from the calculation. Table 4 shows a list of impact categories and corresponding damage factors.

Table 3

Table 4

2.3. Scenario setting

The scenarios in this study are listed in Table 5. As a base scenario, all waste cooking oil from households and businesses was assumed to be collected with mixed waste and then incinerated, while diesel fuel was used to operate household waste collection vehicles. With regard to a baseline scenario, two sub-scenarios were considered, based on the diesel vehicle types covered by the Japanese emission gas standard: one where diesel vehicles complied with the new short-term emissions gas standard (scenario S1-short), and the other where diesel vehicles complied with the new long-term emissions gas standard (scenario S1-long). S1-short is the scenario that reflects the general, current situation in Japan, while S1-long reflects the future exhaust gas control situation.

For the BDF utilization scenarios, 196 kL/yr of waste cooking oil from households (17% as collection efficiency) and 1,108 kL/yr from businesses were collected separately, from which BDF was produced by the alkali catalysis method (scenario S2-short), or the catalytic cracking and hydrogenation method (scenario S3-long). The remaining 947 kL/yr from households was incinerated with mixed waste. Because of the above-mentioned technical problems for diesel particulate filters required for the long-term emissions gas standard, it was assumed that FAME-type BDF was used only for diesel vehicles that complied with the new short-term emissions gas standard (S2-short). It could be said that S2-short reflects the current situation in Kyoto city; on the other hand, HBD could be used for diesel vehicles that comply with both the new short-term and the new long-term emission gas standards. However, HBD was used for diesel vehicles that only complied with the new long-term emissions gas standard in S3-long, in order to evaluate the more desirable HBD utilization system.

Fig. 1 shows the system flow of each scenario, and each process setting is explained in section 2.4.

Table 5

Fig. 1

2.4. Unit processes and data collection

Important parameters used in this study are listed in Table 6, and each process is briefly introduced below. The later sections also discuss the uncertainties that were considered for some parameters.

2.4.1. Collection

A grid city model (Ishikawa, 1996) was used for calculating the annual collection distance and diesel fuel consumption. Direct emissions of CO₂ and CH₄, N₂O, SO_x, NO_x, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀ from household waste collection vehicles were then estimated.

In S1-short and S1-long, all waste cooking oil from households was assumed to be collected twice a week with mixed waste, and the weight of waste cooking oil accounted for 0.50% of household waste. Therefore, the estimated amount of diesel fuel consumption was allocated based on weight. In S2-short and S3-long, 947 kL/yr of waste cooking oil, which was failed to be collected separately, was also assumed to be collected with mixed waste.

In S2-short and S3-long, FAME-type and HBD could both be used to power household waste collection vehicles. However, this benefit was not included in the “collection” process, but rather in the “running household waste collection vehicle” process, as will be explained later. Only fossil-derived diesel fuel was consumed in this process.

2.4.2. Incineration with energy recovery

In this process, it was assumed that waste cooking oil and waste glycerin, which was co-generated by the FAME-type BDF production process using an alkali-catalyzed method (S2-short), were incinerated with household waste in the incineration facility within Kyoto city. Direct emissions of CH₄, N₂O, SO_x, NO_x, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀ from waste combustion were calculated. CO₂ emissions from fossil-derived carbon were also counted because waste glycerin contained unreacted methanol. It was assumed that there was no residue, as nearly all the waste cooking oil was combustible.

Electricity was considered as energy consumption and calculated using an empirical formula based on household waste composition (NIES, 2008). At the same time, an electricity production facility with an efficiency of 15% was assumed to be associated with the incineration facility. Both consumption and substituted electricity refer to commercial electricity from utility companies. The weighted average emission factors for 10 companies in Japan were used in this analysis.

2.4.3. FAME-type BDF production by alkali catalysis method

Waste cooking oil and methanol were used for BDF production by an alkali-catalyzed method. Electricity and paraffin oil were considered as energy consumption. Inventory data were obtained from the BDF production facility in Kyoto city. It should be noted that fossil-derived carbon in the produced FAME-type BDF accounted for approximately 7.0% of the total carbon content because methanol, which was produced from natural gas, was used for FAME-type BDF. Co-generated waste glycerin contained KOH as a catalyst, unreacted methanol, and waste cooking oil. Waste glycerin was assumed to be treated during incineration with the energy recovery process mentioned previously. The characteristics of waste glycerin are presented in the electronic supplementary material.

2.4.4. HBD production by catalytic cracking and hydrogenation method

The HBD production process can be described as follows: Firstly, waste cooking oil was degraded at around 400–500°C, and the organic acids contained in the decomposed oil were decomposed for conversion into hydrocarbons at the reactor. Offgas consisting of CO₂, CO, H₂, CH₄, and other hydrocarbons, was also produced at this stage. Secondly, the produced hydrocarbons were separated into high-, intermediate- (biodiesel), and low-boiling-point oils using two condensers. Thirdly, a minor amount of acid contained in the biodiesel was removed, and the biodiesel was refined for long-term stability. Finally, the refined biodiesel was hydrogenated using H₂ at 150–250°C in order to improve stability for oxidation and heat. This study refers to hydrogenated diesel fuel as HBD. In total, 90.7% of the input waste cooking oil on an energy basis could be recovered in the form of HBD, other oils, and offgas. The HBD showed an energy yield of 52.8%, which was lower than that of FAME-type BDF.

Inventory data were obtained from a demonstration project by ASTEM. Electricity was considered as energy consumption. Offgas, and high- and low-boiling-point oils, which were co-generated in this process, were combusted for heating supply. Of these types, only the low-boiling-point oil could be exported from the facility as surplus energy after heating. Therefore, it was assumed that naphtha was substituted by surplus low-boiling-point oil on a heating value basis.

2.4.5. Diesel fuel production

The production of diesel fuel as commercially used in Japan was assumed in this process. Inventory data provided by JLCA (JLCA, 2012) were used to calculate CO₂, SO₂, NO₂, and PM₁₀ emissions. All PM emissions were regarded as PM₁₀ in this process because of a lack of data.

2.4.6. Running household waste collection vehicle

Direct emissions of CO₂, SO_x, NO_x, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀ were calculated in this process. CO₂

and SO_x emissions were calculated using the elemental composition of the fuels. The other emission factors (EFs) were assumed to differ according to the types of diesel vehicles covered by the Japanese emission gas standards: the new short-term emissions gas standard (S1-short, S2-short), and the new long-term emissions gas standard (scenario S1-long, S3-long). The type of fuel also affected the EFs. Therefore, the results of emission testing from the vehicles currently used for household waste collection by Kyoto city, which considered the type of fuel, were adopted as EFs (Kyoto city) after converting the data from g/kWh to g/L. The proportions of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} were based on a previous study by Motoshita (2008), since these data were absent from the emission testing in Kyoto city. However, it was assumed that there was no difference between EFs in HBD and those in diesel fuel, because there were no actual data for HBD. The demonstration project by ASTEM plans to include a fleet running test.

Table 6

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization

The estimated characterization results are shown in Fig. 2. With regard to global warming and fossil fuel consumption, there are no differences between S1-short and S1-long. In every scenario, CO₂ emission was the dominant contributor to global warming compared with other emissions, CH₄, and N₂O. The running household waste collection vehicle process contributed to increases in GHG emissions in S1 and S3-long, because the process consumed fossil-derived diesel fuel. GHG emissions from the incineration process in S2-short exceeded those in S1 and S3-long, because the production of FATE-type BDF in S2-short incinerated waste glycerin containing carbon from fossil-derived methanol. Nevertheless, net total GHG emissions from S2-short showed a negative value (-150 t-CO₂ eq/yr), indicating that the use of waste cooking oil for FAME-type BDF could help reduce net GHG emissions. On the contrary, in S1 and S3-long, net total GHG emissions were estimated to be 1561 t-CO₂ eq/yr and 547 t-CO₂ eq/yr respectively. GHG reduction effects from energy recovery in incineration and substituted naphtha were not sufficiently large to cancel out the GHG emissions, which were mainly derived from the running of household waste collection vehicles. GHG emissions in S3-long were reduced by 65% compared with S1, which used fossil-derived diesel. On the other hand, RED (European Commission, 2009: Directive 2009/28/EC) introduced GHG reduction effects of 47% and 65% by HVO from rapeseed oil and sunflower, respectively. NExBTL from palm oil, and rapeseed oil reduced GHG emissions by 47% and 49%, respectively, compared with fossil-derived diesel (Neste Oil, 2014). It was implied that HBD production from waste cooking oil and its utilization system in this study could achieve equivalent GHG reduction, despite the different system boundaries employed by the two

studies.

Fossil fuel consumption in S2-short and S3-long could be reduced by 120% and 58%, as compared with S1. The FAME-type BDF production process consumed more fossil fuels than HBD, because the latter could use by-products such as offgas for heating supply. Surplus low-boiling-point oil also contributed to reductions in the net consumption of fossil fuel.

Urban area air pollution and acidification showed similar results, which indicated that the type of diesel vehicle covered by the Japanese emission gas standard had the largest impact on urban area air pollution. Although NO_x emission was the dominant contributor to urban area air pollution and acidification in every scenario, the emission amounts differed by the type of diesel vehicle. In S1-short and S2-short, NO_x emissions from household waste collection vehicles that complied with the new short-term emissions gas standard were dominant. On the contrary, it was apparent that a shift to vehicle types that complied with the new long-term emissions gas standard could dramatically reduce NO_x emissions. As net results, urban area air pollution and acidification in S1-long were decreased by 90% and 78% respectively, compared with S1-short. Energy recovery during incineration also contributed to reducing emissions of air pollutants especially SO_x , which was one factor that made S1-short and S1-long superior to S2-short and S3-long, respectively, in terms of urban area air pollution and acidification. In S3-long, reductions of 76% in urban area air pollution and 75% in acidification were achieved compared with S1-short.

Fig. 2

3.2. Damage assessment

Fig. 3 shows the estimated damage for the four endpoints (in all endpoints, lower values indicate less damage). NO_x emissions from household waste collection vehicles affected human health. NO_x emissions accounted for 42% and 67% of overall damage in the S1-short and S2-short scenarios, compared with 18% and 34% in S1-long and S3-long. $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ emission from incineration also affected human health in all scenarios. SO_x emissions showed negative value as a result of the substitution effect of the electricity production in incineration with energy recovery process, although their effect was small. In S2-short, CO_2 emission also showed negative value because this scenario consumed no fossil-derived diesel to operate the household waste collection vehicles. These results imply that, as a treatment for waste cooking oil, a shift from incineration to BDF production contributes to protecting human health, mainly as a result of avoiding the emission of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$.

Crude oil extraction for diesel production, and subsequent emission of NO_x by operating household waste collection vehicles, were the dominant sources of damage to social assets. In S2-short, NO_x emissions accounted for 92% of the total impact on social assets. The result indicated that shifting from incineration of waste cooking oil as in S1-short to FAME-type

BDF production as in S2-short had more benefits than shifting from diesel vehicles compliant with the new short-term gas standard (such as S1-short) to those compliant with the new long-term gas standard (such as S1-long).

Biodiversity was affected only by coal consumption. Electricity produced via incineration with energy recovery process was the dominant factor even if the electricity consumed in the other processes was considered.

There were two major factors in primary production: one was the coal reduction effect achieved by electricity substitution at incineration with energy recovery process, and the other was NO_x emission associated with operating household waste collection vehicles. Because S1-long could benefit from both these factors, the scenario showed the least impact on biodiversity.

The results are also summarized in Table 7. Comparing the four scenarios, S3-long showed the best environmental performance for human health and social assets; S1-short and S1-long were the best for biodiversity. S1-long also showed the best performance for net primary production. It is important to evaluate these four endpoints in combination, which is discussed in the next section.

Fig. 3

Table 7

3.3. Integration

The estimated integration results from each scenario are shown in Fig. 4. Compared with S1-short, S1-long could reduce urban area air pollution and acidification, whereas S2-short could reduce global warming and fossil fuel consumption. In terms of the net results, the use of FAME-type BDFs in S2-short could reduce environmental impacts by 42% compared with S1-short, while S1-long also reduced environmental impact by 42%.

These results imply that if diesel vehicles compliant with the new long-term emissions gas standard are commonly used in the future, as was considered in S1-long, the benefit of using FAME-type BDF (S2-short) will apparently be relatively low. This is because FAME-type BDF cannot be used for vehicles that comply with the long-term emissions gas standard. However, if HBD is produced using the catalytic cracking and hydrogenation method, environmental impacts could be reduced by 71% and 50% compared with those in S1-short and S1-long, respectively. Therefore, it was concluded that a shift from FAME-type BDFs to HBD in the future would be effective in reducing environmental impacts, including not only global warming but also fossil fuel consumption, urban air pollution, and acidification.

Fig. 4

3.4. Uncertainty analysis

Some parameters include uncertainties, which must therefore be considered in the LCA. Clavreul et al. (2012) reviewed and categorized uncertainties in LCAs for waste management systems into three uncertainties using the framework introduced by Huijbregts et al. (1998), one of which is parameter uncertainty. In this study, uncertainty analysis was conducted for the four parameters in Table 8, which strongly influenced the results.

Electricity was the largest energy source, followed by diesel fuel. The range was taken as the minimum to maximum EFs of electricity consumption during 5 years (FY2008–2012). The default value was accordingly the maximum value in 5 years.

The efficiency of energy recovery is one of the fundamental factors for determining the environmental performance of incineration processes (Gentil et al., 2010). The limitations imposed by technical issues or treatment capacity mean that an incineration facility might not include an electricity production facility. In Japan, approximately 310 of 1,100 incineration facilities include electricity production facilities, and electricity production efficiency was 11.7% as of FY2011 (MOE, 2013a). The Japanese Ministry of the Environment (MOE, 2013b) aims to achieve 21% average electricity production efficiency for incineration facilities that will be constructed during FY2013–2017. Therefore, 0% (no electricity production facility) and 20% were considered as minimum and maximum values.

The EF of NO_x derived from exhaust gas from household waste collection vehicles depends not only on fuel type but various factors: carrying capacity, running speed, etc. The actual EF of HBD produced by the catalytic cracking and hydrogenation method will be clarified via the proposed fleet running test during the demonstration project by ASTEM. Therefore, to establish the tendency of uncertainty derived from the EF, the minimum and maximum EFs of NO_x were determined by comparing the average value reported in some previous studies (JPEC, 2005; Koyano et al., 2009). Due to lack of data, it was assumed that the EF of HBD equaled that of diesel fuel.

The EFs of PM_{2.5} and NO_x at an incineration facility depend on the flu gas treatment system installed at the facility (Møller et al., 2011; Shiota et al., 2011). The EF range of PM_{2.5} was determined using the range of four facilities (Shiota et al., 2011). The EF of NO_x was not included in uncertainty analysis because NO_x emissions during incineration had smaller impacts compared with other emissions.

Table 8

The results are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. With regard to the EF of electricity consumption, CO₂ emission factor affected global warming, human health, and integration, whereas the other EFs (NO_x and SO_x) had smaller effect. The EFs had significant impact on the ranking between S1-long and S2-short.

Electricity production efficiency had a large effect on all impact categories except acidification, and on all endpoints and the integration result. It had larger impact on the results for S1-short and S1-long than in the other scenarios because there was greater use of incineration. It was also indicated that the inclusion of an electricity production facility contributed to reducing environmental impacts in all scenarios. In the absence of an electricity production facility, the integration results in each scenario increased by 30%, 53%, 28%, and 45%, respectively.

The EF of exhaust gas from household waste collection vehicles compliant with the new long-term standard had a bigger impact on the results than vehicles compliant with the new short-term standard. This was because the range (3.32–10.1 g/L) was wider in S1-long and S3-long than those in S1-short (16.2–17.3 g/L) and S2-short (16.2–18.3 g/L).

The EFs of PM_{2.5} in incineration facilities tended to give wider ranges for the integration results in all scenarios compared with the other three parameters used in the uncertainty analysis. This was because the EF had a large effect on human health. It should be noted that the EF might include sufficient uncertainty to reverse the ranking between the S1-long and S2-short scenarios.

Electricity production efficiency and EF of PM_{2.5} at incineration facility had larger impacts than the other parameters on result of integration. Therefore, the treatment of waste cooking oil is the significant factor in determining the results. The results of uncertainty analysis indicated that ranking between S1-long and S2-short might be reversed. However, it could be also said that S1-short, which reflects the current situation in Japan, was the worst scenario, and that S3-long was the desired scenario.

This study focused on four parameters for uncertainty analysis. However, there remain further uncertainties, as follows:

- Actual EFs of exhaust gas from household waste collection vehicles using HBD are currently unknown. Exhaust gas sampling and analysis will therefore be required during the proposed fleet running test.
- The exhaust gas emission standard has been getting stricter than that in the new long-term standard in Japan. Therefore, when those diesel vehicles currently in use are exchanged for vehicles using HBD that meet stricter performance criteria than the new long-term standard, further reduction of the environmental impacts will be possible. On the other hand, blending FAME-type BDF with fossil-derived diesel fuel such as B5 is one solution to extend the future use of diesel vehicles that comply with the new short-term standard. However, in such case, the environmental impacts associated with the exhaust gas emissions will not be reduced.
- Due to salting during cooking, waste cooking oil might contain higher Cl content than other feedstock such as unused vegetable oil and animal fats. Cl contents in both waste

cooking oil and in cracking oil before hydrogenation are less than 10 ppm. Because the Cl content could contribute to acidification, more detailed Cl behavior will also need to be considered in future studies.

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

4. Conclusions

There is a worldwide trend towards stricter control of exhaust emissions from diesel vehicles. Certain technical issues have become major impediments to the use of FAME-type BDF in diesel vehicles that comply with the new long-term emissions standard. The purpose of this study was to clarify the reduction effects of HBD produced by the catalytic cracking and hydrogenation method on environmental impacts, as compared with fossil-derived diesel fuel or FAME-type BDF. An LCA including uncertainty analysis was conducted to evaluate four environmental impacts: global warming, fossil fuel consumption, urban area air pollution, and acidification. Both the operation of diesel vehicles and the treatment of waste cooking oil were also considered as functional units.

Our conclusions are as follows:

- If diesel vehicles that comply with the new long-term emissions gas standard are commonly used in the future, the benefit of using FAME-type BDF will be relatively modest.
- The results including uncertainty analysis indicate that S1-short, which reflects the current situation in Japan, was the least optimal scenario, and that S3-long, which introduced HBD, was most effective in terms of reducing environmental impact.
- Therefore, a shift from FAME-type BDF to HBD in the future would be more effective in reducing total environmental impacts comprising not only global warming but also fossil fuel consumption, urban air pollution, and acidification.

Abbreviations list

BDF	: biodiesel fuel
DALY	: disability-adjusted life year
DAP	: deposition-oriented acidification potential
EINES	: expected increase in number of extinct species
FAME	: fatty acid methyl esters
FY	: fiscal year
GHG	: greenhouse gas
GWP	: global warming potential
HBD	: hydrogenated biodiesel

- 508 HDRD : hydrogenation derived renewable diesel
509 HVO : hydrotreating of vegetable oils
510 LCA : life cycle assessment
511 NPP : net primary productivity
512 PM : particulate matter
513 UAF : urban air pollution characterization factor
514

515 Acknowledgements

516 This research was supported by the Low-Carbon Technology Research and Development
517 program of the Ministry of the Environment, Japan. The demonstration project entitled “R&D
518 towards the utilization of 2nd generation biodiesel suitable for vehicles” between FY2012–
519 FY2014 was managed by ASTEM (Advanced Scientific Technology & Management
520 Research, Institute of KYOTO); City of Kyoto; and research partners: Toyota Motor
521 Corporation; Japan Organics Recycling Association. The author would like to express
522 gratitude for their cooperation.
523

524 REFERENCES

- 525 Arvidsson R., Persson S., Fröling M., Svanström M., 2011. Life cycle assessment of
526 hydrotreated vegetable oil from rape, oil palm. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 19 (2-3),
527 129-137.
- 528 ASTEM, 2013. Report on R&D towards the utilization of 2nd generation biodiesel suitable
529 for vehicles. Kyoto, Japan (in Japanese).
- 530 Bezergianni S., Dimitriadis A., 2013. Comparison between types of renewable diesel.
531 *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 21, 110-116.
- 532 Clavreul J., Guyonnet D., Christensen T. H., 2012. Quantifying uncertainty in LCA-modelling
533 of waste management systems. *Waste Management*, 32, 2482-2495.
- 534 DELPHI, 2014. Worldwide Emissions Standards Heavy Duty and Off-Highway Vehicles
535 2014-2015.
- 536 Dufour J., Iribarren D., 2012. Life cycle assessment of biodiesel from free fatty acid-rich
537 wastes. *Renewable Energy*, 38, 155-162.
- 538 European Commission, 2007. Regulation (EC) No. 715/2007 of the European Parliament and
539 of the Council of 20 June 2007 on type approval of motor vehicles with respect to
540 emissions from light passenger and commercial vehicles (Euro 5 and Euro 6) and on
541 access to vehicle repair and maintenance information.
- 542 European Commission, 2009. Directive 2009/28/EC of the European Parliament and of the
543 Council on the promotion of the use of energy from renewable sources and amending and
544 subsequently repealing Directives 2001/77/EC and 2003/30/EC.

- 545 European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Institute for Environment and Sustainability,
546 2010. ILCD Handbook: Analysis of existing Environmental Impact Assessment
547 methodologies for use in Life Cycle Assessment (LCA).
- 548 Fargione J., Hill J., Tilman D., Polasky S., Hawthorne P., 2008. Land clearing and the biofuel
549 carbon debt. *Science Express*, 319 (5867), 1235-1238.
- 550 Fukuda K., Kohakura M., Kaneko T., Furui K., Tsuchibashi K., Hasegawa T., Saitou K., Baba
551 H., Shibuya M., Nakamura O., Okada M., Hosono K., Hirata K., Kawatani T., Sugiyama
552 G., 2008. Impact study of high biodiesel blends on performance of exhaust aftertreatment
553 systems. SAE Technical Paper 2008-01-2494.
- 554 Garraín D., Herrera I., Lechón Y., Lago C., 2014. Well-to-Tank environmental analysis of a
555 renewable diesel fuel from vegetable oil through co-processing in a hydrotreatment unit.
556 *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 63, 239-249.
- 557 Gentil E. C., Damgaard A., Hauschild M., Finnveden G., Eriksson O., Thorneloe S., Kaplan P.
558 O., Barlaz M., Muller O., Matsui Y., Ii R., Christensen T. H., 2010. Models for waste life
559 cycle assessment: Review of technical assumptions. *Waste Management*, 30 (12),
560 2636-2648.
- 561 Hirai Y., Murata M., Sakai S., Takatsuki H., 2001. Life cycle assessment on food waste
562 management and recycling. *Journal of Japan Society and Waste Management*, 12 (5),
563 219-228 (in Japanese).
- 564 Huijbregts, M. A. J., 1998. Application of uncertainty and variability in LCA, Part I: A general
565 framework for the analysis of uncertainty and variability in life cycle assessment.
566 *International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 3 (5), 273-280.
- 567 IEA, 2013. World energy outlook 2013. Chapter 6: Renewable energy outlook.
- 568 Ishikawa M., 1996. A logistics model for post-consumer waste recycling. *Journal of*
569 *Packaging Science and Technology*, Japan, 5 (2), 119-130.
- 570 JLCA website. <<http://lca-forum.org/database/impact/>> (accessed February 2014) (in
571 Japanese).
- 572 JLCA, 2012. LIME2 Life-cycle impact assessment method based on endpoint modeling
573 summary. JLCA News English Edition, No. 2012.
574 <http://lca-forum.org/english/pdf/No12_Summary.pdf> (accessed May 2014).
- 575 JLCA, 2013. JLCA data base ver. 3 <<http://lca-forum.org/database/>> (accessed February
576 2014) (in Japanese).
- 577 JPEC (Japan Petroleum Energy Center), 2005. Diesel WG report – Focusing on effects of
578 sulfur on latest after-treatment devices, 4th JCAP Conference, Tokyo, Japan.
- 579 Kakuta Y., Nakamura K., 2014. Basic test on technology for conversion to second-generation
580 biodiesel fuel. Proceedings of the 3R International Scientific Conference on Material
581 Cycles and Waste Management 2014, Kyoto, Japan.

- 582 Koyano S., Kinoshita T., Yamazaki M., Yokota H., Okamura H., 2009. Exhaust-gas
583 characteristics such as NO_x from heavy duty diesel vehicles which met new long term
584 regulation. Annual report of the Tokyo Metropolitan Institute for Environmental
585 Protection, 101-106.
- 586 Liang S., Xu M., Zhang T., 2013. Life cycle assessment of biodiesel production in China.
587 Bioresource Technology, 129, 72-77.
- 588 Meher L. C., Vidya Sagar D., Naik S. N., 2006. Technical aspects of biodiesel production by
589 transesterification – A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 10 (3),
590 248-268.
- 591 MOE, Japan, 2009. Guideline for the estimation and its report on greenhouse gas emission Ver.
592 2.4 (in Japanese).
- 593 MOE, Japan, 2013a. Annual report on the environment and the sound material-cycle society
594 in Japan 2011 (in Japanese).
- 595 MOE, Japan, 2013b. Maintenance plan for waste treatment facilities in FY2013–2017 (in
596 Japanese).
- 597 MOE, Japan greenhouse gas inventory office of Japan (GIO), CGER, NIES, 2012. National
598 greenhouse gas inventory report of JAPAN. Ministry of the Environment, Japan.
599 <<http://www-gio.nies.go.jp/aboutghg/nir/2012/NIR-JPN-2012-v3.0E.pdf>> (accessed
600 February 2014).
- 601 Møller J., Munk B., Crillesen K., Christensen T. H., 2011. Life cycle assessment of selective
602 non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) of nitrous oxides in a full-scale municipal solid waste
603 incinerator. Waste Management, 31(6), 1184-1193.
- 604 Morais S., Mata T. M., Martins A. A., Pinto G. A., Costa C. A. V., 2010. Simulation and life
605 cycle assessment of process design alternatives for biodiesel production from waste
606 vegetable oils. Journal of Cleaner Production, 18 (13), 1251-1259.
- 607 Motoshita M., Itsubo N., Inaba A., 2008. Uncertainty analysis for LCA of passenger cars as a
608 case study. Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, Japan, 4 (3), 260-269 (in Japanese).
- 609 Naik S. N., Goud V. V., Rout P. K., Dalai A. K., 2010. Production of first and second
610 generation biofuels: A comprehensive review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy
611 Reviews, 14 (2), 578-597.
- 612 Neste Oil, 2013. Neste Oil's annual report 2013.
- 613 Neste Oil, 2014. Hydrotreated vegetable oil (HVO) – premium renewable biofuel for diesel
614 engines.
- 615 NIES, 2008. Technical development report of hydrogen production from biomass and
616 biowaste. Tsukuba, Japan (in Japanese).
- 617 Nylund N. -O., Erkkilä K., Ahtiainen M., Murtonen T., Saikkonen P., Amberla A., Aatola H.,
618 2011. Optimized usage of NExBTL renewable diesel fuel OPTIBIO. VTT Tiedotteita -

- 619 Valtion Teknillinen Tutkimuskeskus 2604.
- 620 Salvo B. L., Panwar N. L., 2012. Biodiesel resources and production technologies – A review.
- 621 Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 16 (6), 3680-3689.
- 622 Searchinger T., Heimlich R., Houghton R. A., Dong F., Elobeid A., Fabiosa J., Tokgoz S.,
- 623 Hayes D., Yu T.-H., 2008. Use of U.S. croplands for biofuels increases greenhouse gases
- 624 through emissions from land use change. Science, 319 (5867), 1238-1240.
- 625 Shiota K., Imai G., Takaoka M., Kimoto S., Matsui Y., Oshita K., Mizuno T., Morisawa S.,
- 626 2011. The effect of countermeasures for dioxin removal on the emission of fine particles
- 627 from municipal solid waste incinerators. Journal of Japan Society for Atmospheric
- 628 Environment, 46 (4), 224-232 (in Japanese).
- 629 Sims R. E. H., Mabee W., Saddler J. N., Taylor M., 2010. An overview of second generation
- 630 biofuel technologies. Bioresource Technology, 101 (6), 1570-1580.
- 631 Takamizawa K., Ishikawa E., Nakamura K., Futamura T., 2013. Bioethanol production from
- 632 enzymatically saccharified lawn clippings from a golf course. Journal of Material Cycles
- 633 and Waste Management, 15 (1), 16-24.
- 634 Takasuga T., Kuratani K., Matsushita M., Nakamura K., 2014. Detailed chemical analysis and
- 635 evaluation of 2nd generation bio-diesel fuel. Proceedings of the 3R International
- 636 Scientific Conference on Material Cycles and Waste Management 2014, Kyoto, Japan.
- 637 Tani H., Shimouchi M., Haga H., Fujimoto K., 2011a. Development of direct production
- 638 process of diesel fuel from vegetable oils. Journal of the Japan Institute of Energy, 90,
- 639 466-470.
- 640 Tani H., Hasegawa T., Shimouchi M., Asami K. Fujimoto K., 2011b. Selective catalytic
- 641 decarboxy-cracking of triglyceride to middle-distillate hydrocarbon. Catalysis Today, 164
- 642 (1), 410-414.
- 643 Varanda M. G., Pinto G., Martins F., 2011. Life cycle analysis of biodiesel production. Fuel
- 644 Processing Technology, 92 (5), 1087-1094.
- 645 Worldwide Fuel Charter Committee, 2013. Worldwide fuel charter, Fifth edition.
- 646 Yasuda K., 1997. Greenhouse emissions from waste incineration in Japan. Journal of Japan
- 647 Society and Waste Management, 8 (6), 432-437 (in Japanese).
- 648

Table 1 Exhaust gas emission standards for heavy commercial vehicles in Japan

Version of standard	Short-term	Long-term	New short-term	New long-term	Post new long-term
Implementation date	1994	1997	2003	2005	2009
Test mode	13 mode	13 mode	13 mode	JC08	JC08
Regulation value					
NO _x (g/kWh)	6.8	4.50	3.38	2.0	0.7
PM (g/kWh)	0.96	0.25	0.18	0.027	0.010
CO (g/kWh)	9.20	7.40	2.22	2.22	2.22
HC, NMHC (g/kWh)	3.80	2.90	0.87	0.17	0.17

The heavy commercial vehicle category has a gross vehicle weight > 3.5 tons (> 2.5 tons before 2005).

Implementation dates refer to new vehicle models.

Table 2 Characteristics of waste cooking oil

Density	0.92	ton/kL
Moisture content	0.2	wt%
Lower heating value	36.6	MJ/kg
Elemental composition		
C	78.1	wt%
H	11.5	wt%
O	9.8	wt%
S	2.2	ppm

Table 3 Impact categories, their objects, and units of characterization factors

Impact category	Object	Unit of characterization factor
Fossil fuel consumption	Crude oil, Coal, Natural gas	Consumption energy [MJ]
Global warming	CO ₂ , CH ₄ , N ₂ O	GWP [kg-CO ₂ eq]
Urban area air pollution	SO _x , NO _x , PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀	UAF [kg-SO ₂ eq]
Acidification	SO ₂ , NO _x	DAP [kg-SO ₂ eq]

GWP: global warming potential, UAF: urban air pollution characterization factor, DAP: deposition-oriented acidification potential.

For PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀, characterization factor was not available in LIME2.

Table 4 Impact categories and corresponding objects of protection

Impact category	Human health [DALY]	Social assets [Yen]	Biodiversity [EINES]	Primary production [NPP]
Fossil fuel consumption		✓	✓	✓
Global warming	✓	✓		
Urban area air pollution	✓			
Acidification		✓		✓

DALY: disability-adjusted life year, Yen: Japanese yen, EINES: expected increase in number of extinct species, NPP: net primary productivity

Table 5 Scenario setting

		S1-short	S1-long	S2-short	S3-long
<i>Functional unit 1: Treatment of waste cooking oil (kL/yr)</i>					
Waste cooking oil from households	Incineration	1,142	1,142	947	947
	FAME-type BDF or HBD production	—	—	196	196
	Incineration	1,108	1,108	—	—
Waste cooking oil from businesses	FAME-type BDF or HBD production	—	—	1,108	1,108
<i>Functional unit 2: Running diesel vehicle for household waste collection (kL/yr)</i>					
Diesel vehicle complied with:		short	long	short	long
Fossil-derived fuel consumption		1,157	1,157	—	502
FAME-type BDF consumption		—	—	1,252	—
HBD consumption		—	—	—	652

short: the new short-term emission gas standard

long: the new long-term emission gas standard

Table 6 Important parameters for unit processes

Process and parameters		Values	Units	Specific features	References
<i>Collection</i>					
Diesel consumption	Collected as mixed waste	0.109	L/kL of WCO	Allocated basing on weight	Estimated
	Source separation	11.4	L/kL of WCO	From households	Estimated
	Source separation	9.07	L/kL of WCO	From businesses	
<i>Incineration with energy recovery</i>					
Electricity consumption		158	kWh/ton of WCO		NIES, 2008; and calculation
Electricity production efficiency		15.0	%	Produced by steam turbine	Assumed
Emission factor	CH ₄	0.120	kg/ton of carbon		Yasuda, 1997
	N ₂ O	0.565	kg/ton of WCO		MOE, 2009
	SO _x	150	g/ton of WCO	All sulfur (S) content in waste cooking oil was assumed to be emitted in this process	Calculation
	NO _x	500	g/ton of WCO		Hirai et al., 2001
	PM _{2.5}	798	g/ton of WCO		Shiota, 2011; and calculation
	PM ₁₀	108	g/ton of WCO		Shiota, 2011; and calculation
	CO ₂	100	kg/ton of waste glycerin		Calculation
<i>FAME-type BDF production by alkali catalysis method</i>					
Waste cooking oil consumption		1.04	L/L of BDF		Data obtained from Kyoto city
Methanol consumption		0.131	kg/L of BDF		Data obtained from Kyoto city
KOH consumption		7.60	g/L of BDF		Data obtained from Kyoto city

Paraffin consumption		0.0274	L/L of BDF		Data obtained from Kyoto city
Electricity consumption		0.184	L/L of BDF		Data obtained from Kyoto city
Waste glycerin generation		0.396	L/L of BDF		Data obtained from Kyoto city
<i>HBD production by catalytic cracking and hydrogenation method</i>					
Wastewater generation		0.0400	L/L of WCO		Data by demonstration test
Electricity consumption		0.240	kWh/L of WCO		Data by demonstration test
H ₂ consumption		0.0926	m ³ /L of WCO		Data by demonstration test
N ₂ consumption		0.125	kg/L of WCO	Used as carrier gas	Data by demonstration test
Heat energy consumption		9.07	MJ/L of WCO	Supplied by high- and low-boiling oils, and offgas	Data by demonstration test
Products and produced energy	HBD	0.50	L/L of WCO		Data by demonstration test
	High-boiling-point oil	0.04	L/L of WCO		Data by demonstration test
	Low-boiling-point oil	0.16	L/L of WCO		Data by demonstration test
	Offgas	0.13	m ³ /L of WCO		Data by demonstration test
Emission factor	CH ₄	0.00590	kg/m ³ of wastewater		MOE et al., 2012
<i>Diesel fuel production</i>					
Electricity consumption		0.0075	kWh/L		JLCA, 2013
Emission factor	CO ₂	0.091	g/L		JLCA, 2013
	SO _x	0.087	g/L		JLCA, 2013
	NO _x	0.071	g/L		JLCA, 2013
	PM	9.0×10 ⁻⁵	g/L	All PM was regarded as PM ₁₀	JLCA, 2013
<i>Running household waste collection vehicle</i>					
Emission factor	CO ₂	2.62	kg/L		MOE, 2009
(diesel fuel, new short-term st.)	SO _x	1.16	g/L	All sulfur (S) content in diesel fuel was assumed to be emitted in this process	Calculation
	NO _x	18.8	g/L		Emission testing, calculation

Emission factor (diesel fuel, new long-term st.)	PM _{2.5}	2.85	mg/L	All sulfur (S) content in diesel fuel was assumed to be emitted in this process	Emission testing; Motoshita, 2008; and calculation
	PM ₁₀	1.29	mg/L		Emission testing; Motoshita, 2008; and calculation
	CO ₂	2.62	kg/L		MOE, 2009
	SO _x	1.16	g/L		Calculation
	NO _x	4.29	g/L		Emission testing, calculation
Emission factor (FAME-type BDF, new short-term st.)	PM _{2.5}	1.08	mg/L	Carbon derived from methanol was considered	Emission testing; Motoshita, 2008; and calculation
	PM ₁₀	4.91	mg/L		Emission testing; Motoshita, 2008; and calculation
	CO ₂	0.133	kg/L		Calculation
	SO _x	0.00405	g/L		Calculation
	NO _x	17.3	g/L		Emission testing, calculation
Emission factor (HBD, new long-term st.)	PM _{2.5}	5.92	mg/L	All sulfur (S) content in waste cooking oil was assumed to be emitted in this process	Emission testing; Motoshita, 2008; and calculation
	PM ₁₀	26.8	mg/L		Emission testing; Motoshita, 2008; and calculation
	SO _x	0.00405	g/L		Calculation
	NO _x	4.29	g/L		Assumed to be equal to the factor in fossil-derived diesel case

		PM _{2.5}	1.08	mg/L	Assumed to be equal to the factor in fossil-derived diesel case	Assumed
		PM ₁₀	4.91	mg/L	Assumed to be equal to the factor in fossil-derived diesel case	Assumed
<i>Common parameter</i>						
Emission factor for electricity consumption		CO ₂	0.570	g/kWh	Weighted average value of 10 commercial utility companies as of FY2012.	Calculation
		SO _x	0.195	g/kWh	Weighted average value of 10 commercial utility companies as of FY2012.	Calculation
		NO _x	0.225	g/kWh	Weighted average value of 10 commercial utility companies as of FY2012.	Calculation
		PM ₁₀	0.0117	g/kWh	Weighted average value of 10 commercial utility companies as of FY2012.	Calculation

WCO: waste cooking oil.

Table 7 Summary of damage assessment

		S1-short	S1-long	S2-short	S3-long
Human health	[DALY]	1.10	0.60	0.69	0.32
Social assets	[Million Yen]	5.08	3.64	2.02	1.43
Biodiversity	[$\times 10^{-10}$ EINES]	-27.4	-27.4	-9.87	-8.40
Primary Production	[$\times 10^3$ NPP]	2.49	-1.51	4.21	0.48

Table 8 Parameters considered in uncertainty analysis

	Min.	Default	Max.
A) EFs: electricity consumption (g/kWh)			
CO ₂	0.412	0.570	0.570
SO _x	0.138	0.195	0.195
NO _x	0.170	0.225	0.225
B) Electricity production efficiency at incineration facility			
	0%	15%	20%
C) EF of NO _x : exhaust gas from household waste collection vehicle (g/L)			
Diesel, the new short-term st.	16.2	18.8	-
Diesel, the new long-term st.	3.32	4.29	10.1
FAME-type BDF, the new short-term st.	16.2	17.3	-
HBD, the new long-term st.	3.32	4.29	10.1
D) EF of PM _{2.5} : incineration facility (mg/ton of WCO)			
	37.2	798	1999

EF: emission factor. WCO: waste cooking oil.

<S1-short, S1-long scenarios>

<S2-short scenario>

<S3-long scenario>

Fig. 1 System flow of each scenario

White boxes: processes, black boxes: products and energy, dotted white boxes: excluded processes.

Fig. 2 Results of characterization

(a) Human health

(b) Social assets

(c) Biodiversity

(d) Primary Production

Fig. 3 Results of damage assessment

Point sources: emissions from facilities, non-point sources: emissions from diesel vehicles.

Fig. 4 Integrated environmental impact of each scenario

WCO: waste cooking oil, Short-term st.: new short-term emissions gas standard, Long-term st.: new long-term emissions gas standard.

Fig. 5 Results of uncertainty analysis (Characterization)

Uncertainties are shown as a range (Min.–Max.).

Fig. 6 Results of uncertainty analysis (Damage assessment and integration)

Uncertainties are shown as a range (Min.-Max.).

<Electronic supplementary material>

Life Cycle Assessment of Hydrogenated Biodiesel Production from Waste Cooking Oil Using the Catalytic Cracking and Hydrogenation Method

Waste Management, <http://www.journals.elsevier.com/waste-management/>

Junya YANO, Tatsuki AOKI, Kazuo NAKAMURA, Kazuo YAMADA,
Shin-ichi SAKAI

Environment Preservation Research Center, Kyoto University,
Yoshida-Honmachi, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

E-mail: yano@eprc.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Table 1 Characteristics of fossil-derived diesel fuel and BDFs

	Fossil-derived diesel fuel	FAME	HBD	HVO
	JIS2	Produced at Kyoto city	Produced in the demonstration project at Kyoto city* (ASTEM, 2013)	NExBTL (Neste Oil, 2014)
Density at 15°C (kg/L)	0.823	0.884	0.844	0.77–0.79
Kinetic viscosity at 40°C (-)	4.3	4.59	3.2	2.0–4.0
Flash point (°C)	-	135	50	> 61
Cetane index	56.3	52.5	51.7	> 70.0
90% distillation point (°C)	329	-	360	< 320 (95% distillation)
Oxidation stability (Rancimat method)	> 48	5.9	> 36	< 25
Pour point (°C)	-	-2.5	-13.1	-
Clogging point (°C)	-	-5	-4	-
Lower heating value (MJ/kg)	44.1	37.2	42.6	44.1
C (wt%)	86.0	77.1	85.9	-
H (wt%)	12.5	11.9	12.6	-
O (wt%)	< 0.5	10.8	< 0.5	-

*Produced by the catalytic cracking and hydrogenation method

Table 2 Characteristics of waste glycerin

Moisture content	22.0	wt%
Glycerin	47.3	wt%
Methanol	5.2	wt%
Potassium (K)	3.2	wt%
Oily fraction	22.4	wt%
Elemental composition		
Biomass-based carbon (C)	93.0	wt%
Fossil-derived carbon (C)	7.0	wt%

References

- ASTEM, 2013. Report on R&D towards the utilization of 2nd generation biodiesel suitable for vehicles. Kyoto, Japan (in Japanese).
- Neste Oil, 2014. Hydrotreated vegetable oil (HVO) – premium renewable biofuel for diesel engines.