#### 1 Abstract

2 Objective: To evaluate the outcome and to confirm the validity of cochlear implantation

3 for syndromic deafness in patients with mitochondrial disease.

- 4 Study design: Retrospective case review
- 5 Setting: Tertiary referral center

Patients: We reviewed medical charts of 367 cochlear implantation cases at Kyoto
University Hospital between 1987 and 2012. We identified 5 patients with syndromic
mitochondrial disease who underwent cochlear implantation surgery. The mean age of
the patients (4 women and 1 man) when they underwent surgeries was 44.4 years
(range 30-64years, median 41 years).
Interventions: Therapeutic and rehabilitative
Main outcome measure: In 4 out of 5 patients, speech perception performance was

13 measured using Japanese vowels, consonant-vowel syllables, and short sentences.

14 Results: Only 1.4% (5/367) of cochlear implantation cases at Kyoto University Hospital

- 15 underwent cochlear implantation surgery due to syndromic mitochondrial diseases.
- 16 Four of those patients showed significantly improved speech perception outcomes, and
- 17 the beneficial effects of the intervention continued long after surgery. One patient could
- 18 not perform speech perception test presumably due to poor cognitive function.

19 Conclusions: Mitochondrial disease patients who underwent cochlear implantation 20 surgery sustained gains in hearing performance even long after surgery. A single 21 patient showed poor postoperative speech perception associated with cognitive problems. 22 Cochlear implantation for mitochondrial disease patients appears to be a viable 23 treatment option in the absence of significant cognitive impairment.

24

### 25 INTRODUCTION

26Mitochondrial diseases are caused by mutation of either mitochondrial DNA or of 27nuclear DNA that encodes genes related to mitochondrial function. Mitochondrial 28diseases result in dysfunction of the respiratory chains that are important for producing 29adenocine triphosphate (ATP) in eukaryotic cells (1). More than half of the known 30 mitochondrial diseases cause various levels of sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) (2) 31that is classified into either non-syndromic or syndromic hearing loss. Mitochondrial 32diseases with syndromic hearing loss include mitochondrial encephalomyopathy, lactic 33 acidosis, and stroke-like episodes (MELAS), myoclonic epilepsy with ragged-red fibers (MERRF), maternally inherited diabetes with deafness (MIDD), Kearns-Sayre 3435syndrome (KSS), and chronic progressive external ophthalmoplegia (CPEO). Some 36 mitochondrial diseases can cause severe to profound SNHL, necessitating the use of 37 cochlear implants for syndromic (3-15), as well as non-syndromic (16-18), mitochondrial
38 deafness.

39Most previous case reports suggested that cochlear implantation (CI) has favorable 40 effects in both types of mitochondrial diseases, based solely on the outcome at 1 time 41point or within at most 2 years after surgery. Although these results are supported by 42the fact that the SNHL in mitochondrial diseases is attributed to cochlear dysfunction 43(19,20), mitochondrial diseases with syndromic deafness can affect the central nervous 44system, including the central auditory pathway, or cause psychomotor regression after 45CI (1). Thus, the beneficial effects of cochlear implants may further change even after 46determination of the initial treatment outcome or within several years after surgery, 47since continued normal function of the central auditory pathway and stable 48psychological conditions are necessary for successful CI. In this study, we present the 49outcomes of CI on a longitudinal basis at several time points in cases of syndromic 50mitochondrial deafness that presented at Kyoto University Hospital and discuss the 51validity to perform CI for syndromic mitochondrial deafness.

52

#### 53 MATERIALS AND METHODS

54

55	Patients	

56	This study was approved by Kyoto University Graduate School and Faculty of Medicine,
57	Ethics Committee (E2359). Medical records of 367 patients who underwent CI at Kyoto
58	University Hospital between 1987 and 2012 were reviewed. Among these, 5 patients
59	were diagnosed with MELAS (3 patients), MIDD (1 patient), and unclassified (1 patient)
60	mitochondrial disease in the Department of Neurology at Kyoto University Hospital.
61	
62	Data collection
63	Medical records of 5 patients who underwent CI surgery were reviewed and the
64	following information was extracted: age, sex, perioperative complications, and
65	postoperative speech perception performance at several time points.
66	
67	Diagnosis of mitochondrial diseases
68	Mitochondrial diseases were diagnosed by neurologists at Kyoto University Hospital
69	based on genetic tests, muscle biopsies, MRI imaging of the brain, and clinical
70	symptoms—seizures, stroke-like symptoms, recurrent headache, dementia, ataxia,
71	muscle weakness, hemianopsia, diabetes mellitus, conduction disorders of the heart,
72	etc.

74 Postoperative speech perception performance test

75Vowels, consonant-vowel (CV) syllables, and short sentences were phonated by a male 76professional announcer and digitized at a sampling rate of 44.1 kHz. These speech 77samples were presented via speakers at 70 dB SPL using a PowerMac PM-7300/166 computer (Apple Inc., Cupertino, California, USA) in random order; the percentage of 7879correct answers was recorded. In the vowel perception test, 5 Japanese vowels were 80 presented to patients. In the CV syllable perception test, 13 CV syllables—composed of 81 13 Japanese consonants and the vowel /a/— were presented to patients. In the phrase 82perception test, 10 short Japanese sentences were arranged to contain 40 different 83 phrases. The vowel and CV syllable perception test used closed sets and the phrase 84 perception test used an open set. These tests were administered at least 6 months after 85implantation. Unpaired t tests were performed for the statistical analysis and p values 86 below 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

87

88 **RESULTS** 

89

90 Patient characteristics (Table)

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

91	Only 1.4% (5/367) of CI cases at Kyoto University Hospital underwent CI due to
92	mitochondrial diseases. The patients-1 male and 4 females- ranged from 30 to 64
93	years in age. Four of the patients had m.3243A>G mutation. Three patients were
94	diagnosed with MELAS and one patient was diagnosed with MIDD. One patient (case 4)
95	was not diagnosed with a specific mitochondrial disease because he presented with an
96	atypical set of symptoms (deafness, ataxia, mild cognitive deficits, and paroxysmal
97	supraventricular tachycardia). However, he was diagnosed with a general
98	mitochondrial disease because he showed the m.3243A>G mutation and ragged-red
99	fibers were observed in his muscle biopsy specimens. Another patient (case 3) refused to
100	undergo a genetic test and a muscle biopsy test. However, she was clinically diagnosed
101	with MELAS due to typical symptoms (stroke-like episodes, seizures, hemiplegia,
102	cognitive deficits, ataxia, short stature, and deafness) and typical MRI imaging findings
103	(basal ganglia calcification, cerebellar atrophy, and chronic infarcts involving multiple
104	vascular territories). Patients in cases 1, 2, and 3 died 12, 4, and 2 years after CI surgery
105	respectively.

106

107 Surgical findings

108 We did not find any inner ear anomalies and smooth and complete electrode insertion

109	was achieved in all patients. Electrically evoked compound action potential (ECAP) was
110	detected in 3 cases. The implants used in the other 2 cases (cases 1 and 3) were
111	incompatible with ECAP measurements.
112	Although susceptibility to malignant hyperthermia in patients with mitochondrial
113	diseases has been reported (21), none of the patients in our series showed malignant
114	hyperthermia. While most inhalation anesthetics and propofol can suppress complexes I
115	and II of mitochondrial respiratory chains (22), none of our patients suffered from any
116	problems.
117	
118	Postoperative speech perception performance test
119	Four out of the five patients showed good performance in the speech perception
120	performance test after CI. The average performance of these 4 patients (92.5% for
121	vowels, $45.0\%$ for CV syllables, and $78\%$ for sentences, Figure 1) was comparable to that

122 of the other CI patients with post-lingual deafness at Kyoto University Hospital (23)

123 (85.2% for vowels, 41.1% for CV syllables, and 80.1% for sentences, Figure 1). The mean

124 speech perception results were not significantly different between those of a

- 125 mitochondrial disease patients group and those of a control group with *p* values of 0.23
- 126 for vowels, 0.71 for CV syllables, and 0.90 for sentences. This good performance

127	persisted for at least 8 and 3 years after surgery in case 1 and case 2, respectively
128	(Figures 2 and 3). Case 4 and case 5, in which the tests were conducted only once, also
129	showed good results in the speech perception performance test 2 years and 1.5 years
130	after surgery, respectively (Figure 4). In case 3 the patient could not participate in the
131	speech perception performance test even 2 years after CI surgery presumably due to the
132	poor cognitive function. This patient's average threshold in the sound field pure-tone
133	audiometry was 30–45 dB hearing level from 250–4000 Hz at 1 year after CI surgery.

134

## 135 Discussion

136Possible causes of SNHL by mitochondrial diseases with syndromic hearing loss are 137cochlear dysfunction and retrocochlear impairment. Several studies suggested that 138 cochlear dysfunction was the more probable cause of SNHL in mitochondrial diseases 139with syndromic hearing loss (19,20). However, other reports suggested the involvement 140 of retrocochlear impairment based on the increased latency in auditory brain stem response (ABR) (24,25). Favorable outcomes of CI (3-15), including the preserved 141142retrocochlear function shown by an electrically induced middle latency response (MLR) 143(5,10,12), supported the cochlear origin of SNHL in mitochondrial diseases theory. Our results were consistent with these previous reports (Figure 2-4). However, most of the 144

145 previous reports evaluated outcomes only once within 2 years after surgeries.

146 Since impairment of retrocochlear function may occur many years after CI surgeries 147and may cause deterioration of CI outcomes, repeated evaluations over a longer period 148are imperative. In this study, we performed the postoperative speech perception 149performance test on 2 patients (cases 1 and 2) several times over 8 and 3 years, 150respectively. These results showed the preservation of retrocochlear function in both 151MELAS and MIDD patients over extended periods after their CI surgeries. While 5 152years of follow-up of the CI outcome has been reported for MIDD patients (8), the 153audiological evaluation in our study showed that even in MELAS, which is considered 154more severe mitochondrial disease than MIDD (12), the retrocochlear function was 155preserved over an extended period of time.

In addition to the dysfunction of central auditory pathways, cognitive problems should be considered when deciding the indications for CI especially in severe mitochondrial diseases such as MELAS. The cognitive deficit sometimes causes the limited usage of a cochlear implant. The patient in case 3 had a strong desire to recover her hearing ability prior to her CI surgery. However, she could not recognize the importance of using her implant for the establishment of speech perception; she used her implant only several hours per day. As a result, she could not undergo the speech perception performance test 163 2 year after CI surgery. This was despite her sound field pure-tone audiometry result

164 being comparable to that for the other CI users.

165	Among the 5 patients, 3 died 12, 4, and 2 years after their CI surgeries. The poor
166	prognosis of MELAS (26) raises the problem of cost-effectiveness of CI for syndromic
167	deafness due to mitochondrial diseases. Nevertheless, the long-term preferable
168	outcomes of CI in mitochondrial diseases shown in this study, and the possibility of
169	other severe symptoms caused by mitochondrial disease such as visual disturbance,
170	support the validity of CI for mitochondrial disease patients with hearing loss.

171

## 172 CONCLUSION

173

174 Mitochondrial disease patients who underwent cochlear implantation surgery sustained 175 gains in hearing performance even long after CI surgery. A single patient had poor 176 postoperative speech perception associated with cognitive problems. Cochlear 177 implantation for mitochondrial disease patients appears to be a viable treatment option 178 in the absence of significant cognitive function.

#### 180 **REFERENCES**

- DiMauro S, Schon EA. Mitochondrial disorders in the nervous system. Annual review of neuroscience 2008;31:91-123.
- 183 2. Chinnery PF, Elliott C, Green GRet al. The spectrum of hearing loss due to
  184 mitochondrial DNA defects. *Brain* 2000;123 (Pt 1):82-92.
- 185 3. Yamaguchi T, Himi T, Harabuchi Yet al. Cochlear implantation in a patient with
  186 mitochondrial disease--Kearns-Sayre syndrome: a case report. Adv Otorhinolaryngol
  187 1997;52:321-3.
- Cullington HE. Cochlear implantation of a deaf blind patient with mitochondrial
   cytopathy. *J Laryngol Otol* 1999;113:353-4.
- 190 5. Rosenthal EL, Kileny PR, Boerst Aet al. Successful cochlear implantation in a
  191 patient with MELAS syndrome. Am J Otol 1999;20:187-90; discussion 90-1.
- Counter PR, Hilton MP, Webster Det al. Cochlear implantation of a patient with a
  previously undescribed mitochondrial DNA defect. *J Laryngol Otol* 2001;115:730-2.
- 194 7. Hill D, Wintersgill S, Stott Let al. Cochlear implantation in a profoundly deaf
  195 patient with MELAS syndrome. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2001;71:281.
- 196 8. Raut V, Sinnathuray AR, Toner JG. Cochlear implantation in maternal inherited
  197 diabetes and deafness syndrome. *J Laryngol Otol* 2002;116:373-5.
- 198 9. Sinnathuray AR, Raut V, Awa Aet al. A review of cochlear implantation in
  199 mitochondrial sensorineural hearing loss. *Otol Neurotol* 2003;24:418-26.
- 200 10. Yasumura S, Aso S, Fujisaka Met al. Cochlear implantation in a patient with
  201 mitochondrial encephalopathy, lactic acidosis and stroke-like episodes syndrome.
  202 Acta Otolaryngol 2003;123:55-8.
- 203 11. Karkos PD, Anari S, Johnson IJ. Cochlear implantation in patients with MELAS
  204 syndrome. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2005;262:322-4.
- 205 12. Pijl S, Westerberg BD. Cochlear implantation results in patients with Kearns-Sayre
  206 syndrome. *Ear Hear* 2008;29:472-5.
- Li JN, Han DY, Ji Fet al. Successful cochlear implantation in a patient with MNGIE
  syndrome. Acta Otolaryngol 2011;131:1012-6.
- 209 14. Scarpelli M, Zappini F, Filosto Met al. Mitochondrial Sensorineural Hearing Loss: A
  210 Retrospective Study and a Description of Cochlear Implantation in a MELAS
  211 Patient. *Genet Res Int* 2012;2012:287432.
- 15. Nishizaki K, Fukushiama K, Oda Yet al. Cochlear implantation for symptomatic
  hereditary deafness. Acta oto-laryngologica. Supplementum 1999;540:34-7.
- 214 16. Mancuso M, Filosto M, Forli Fet al. A non-syndromic hearing loss caused by very low

- 215 levels of the mtDNAA3243G mutation. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2004;110:72-4.
- Tono T, Ushisako Y, Kiyomizu Ket al. Cochlear implantation in a patient with
  profound hearing loss with the A1555G mitochondrial mutation. Am J Otol
  1998;19:754-7.
- 219 18. Ulubil SA, Furze AD, Angeli SI. Cochlear implantation in a patient with profound
  220 hearing loss with the A1555G mitochondrial DNA mutation and no history of
  221 aminoglycoside exposure. J Laryngol Otol 2006;120:230-2.
- 19. Sue CM, Lipsett LJ, Crimmins DSet al. Cochlear origin of hearing loss in MELAS
  syndrome. Ann Neurol 1998;43:350-9.
- 224 20. Yamasoba T, Oka Y, Tsukuda Ket al. Auditory findings in patients with maternally
  225 inherited diabetes and deafness harboring a point mutation in the mitochondrial
  226 transfer RNA(Leu) (UUR) gene. *Laryngoscope* 1996;106:49-53.
- 227 21. Fricker RM, Raffelsberger T, Rauch-Shorny Set al. Positive malignant hyperthermia
  228 susceptibility in vitro test in a patient with mitochondrial myopathy and
  229 myoadenylate deaminase deficiency. *Anesthesiology* 2002;97:1635-7.
- 230 22. Lerman J. Perioperative management of the paediatric patient with coexisting
  231 neuromuscular disease. *British journal of anaesthesia* 2011;107 Suppl 1:i79-89.
- 232 23. Hiraumi H, Tsuji J, Kanemaru Set al. Cochlear implants in post-lingually deafened
  233 patients. Acta oto-laryngologica. Supplementum 2007:17-21.
- 234 24. Elverland HH, Torbergsen T. Audiologic findings in a family with mitochondrial
  235 disorder. Am J Otol 1991;12:459-65.
- 236 25. Zwirner P, Wilichowski E. Progressive sensorineural hearing loss in children with
  237 mitochondrial encephalomyopathies. *Laryngoscope* 2001;111:515-21.
- 238 26. Yatsuga S, Povalko N, Nishioka Jet al. MELAS: a nationwide prospective cohort
  239 study of 96 patients in Japan. *Biochimica et biophysica acta* 2012;1820:619-24.
- 240
- 241
- 242

# 243 **FIGURE Legends**

244	Figure 1. Comparison of post-operative speech perception performance test results.
245	The average scores in the post-operative speech perception performance test for vowels,
246	consonant-vowel (CV) syllables, and short sentences were compared between 4
247	mitochondrial disease patients (white boxes, case 1, 2, 4, and 5) and other post-lingually
248	deafened patients (black boxes) who underwent cochlear implantation. Error bars
249	indicate standard deviation. No significant difference in any test was detected between
250	mitochondrial disease patients and other post-lingually deafened patients. Error bars
251	indicate standard deviation.
252	
253	Figure 2. Time course of post-operative speech perception performance in case 1.
254	The performances for vowels and sentences were well maintained even 8 years after
255	surgery.
256	
257	Figure 3. Time course of post-operative speech perception performance in case 2.
258	The performances were well maintained 3 years after surgery.
259	
260	Figure 4. The outcomes of post-operative speech perception performance test for cases 4

261 and 5.

262 The tests were performed 2 years and 1.5 years after surgery, respectively.











Figure 4

## Table

Case	Sex	Age	Disease	Mutation	Duration of deafness	PR	CI
1	F	41	MELAS	m.3243A>G	6 years	-	CI22
2	$\mathbf{F}$	64	MIDD	m.3243A>G	1 years	-	CI24R
3	F	41	MELAS	N.D.	2 years	+++	Combi40+
4	М	30	Unclassified	m.3243A>G	20 years	+	Pulsar100
5	F	36	MELAS	m.3243A>G	2 years	-	CI24RE(CA)

Summary of patients

N.D.: not determined; PR: psychomotor regression