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Molecular dynamics simulations of Si etching in Cl- and Br-based plasmas: Cl\(^{+}\) and Br\(^{+}\) ion incidence in the presence of Cl and Br neutrals
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Classical molecular dynamics (MD) simulations have been performed for Cl\(^{+}\) and Br\(^{+}\) ions incident on Si(100) surfaces with Cl and Br neutrals, respectively, to gain a better understanding of the ion-enhanced surface reaction kinetics during Si etching in Cl- and Br-based plasmas. The ions were incident normally on surfaces with translational energies in the range \(E_t = 20–500\) eV, and low-energy neutrals of \(E_n = 0.01\) eV were also incident normally thereon with the neutral-to-ion flux ratio in the range \(\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i = 0–100\), where an improved Stillinger–Weber potential form was employed for the interatomic potential concerned. The etch yields and thresholds presently simulated were in agreement with the experimental results previously reported for Si etching in Cl\(_2\) and Br\(_2\) plasmas as well as in Cl\(^+\), Cl\(_2^{+}\), and Br\(^{+}\) beams, and the product stoichiometry simulated was consistent with that observed during Ar\(^{+}\) beam incidence on Si in Cl\(_2\). Moreover, the surface coverage of halogen atoms, halogenated layer thickness, surface stoichiometry, and depth profile of surface products simulated for \(\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i = 100\) were in excellent agreement with the observations depending on \(E_t\) reported for Si etching in Cl\(_2\) plasmas. The MD also indicated that the yield, coverage, and surface layer thickness are smaller in Si/Br than in Si/Cl system, while the percentage of higher halogenated species in product and surface stoichiometries is larger in Si/Br. The MD further indicated that in both systems, the translational energy distributions of products and halogen adsorbates desorbed from surfaces are approximated by two Maxwellians of temperature \(T_1 \approx 2500\) K and \(T_2 \approx 7000–40\,000\) K. These energy distributions are discussed in terms of the desorption or evaporation from hot spots formed through chemically enhanced physical sputtering and physically enhanced chemical sputtering, which have so far been speculated to both occur in the ion-enhanced surface reaction kinetics of plasma etching. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC

I. INTRODUCTION

Plasma etching is still and will continue to be a key technology for manufacturing nanometer-scale microelectronic devices.\(^1\)–\(^3\) As the device dimensions continue to be scaled down to much less than several 10 nm,\(^4\) increasingly strict requirements are being imposed on plasma etching technology, including the precise control of profile, critical dimension (CD), roughness, and their microscopic uniformity (or aspect-ratio dependence), along with that of etch rate, selectivity, and damage. The atomic- or nanometer-scale control of Si etching in Cl- and Br-based plasmas is indispensable for the recent fabrication of transistor gate and fin structures and shallow trench isolation.\(^5\),\(^6\) In gate and fin fabrications, the CD loss/gain on the order of 1 nm is required for sidewall profiles,\(^5\),\(^7\) and the roughness on sidewalls and bottom surfaces of the feature is required to be less than 1 nm,\(^6\),\(^8\) to suppress the variability in transistor performance. To meet these requirements, a deeper understanding of plasma-surface interactions underlying the processing is increasingly important on the atomic scale. A number of experiments have been concerned with Si etching in Cl\(_2\), Br\(_2\), and HBr-containing plasmas to reveal the surface reaction kinetics during etching such as ion-enhanced etching and passivation layer formation through investigating the etch yield and threshold\(^9\),\(^10\) and the microscopic surface structures of reaction\(^11\)–\(^14\) and passivation\(^15\)–\(^18\) layers, e.g., their thickness, coverage, and depth profile of halogen and other atoms adsorbed, and stoichiometry and depth profile of reaction products contained therein. However, the surface reaction kinetics during plasma etching are not yet fully understood owing to the plasma environments of enormous complexity.

Classical molecular dynamics (MD) simulations have been developed in the last two decades to clarify the surface reaction kinetics on the atomic scale during plasma etching of Si, SiO\(_2\), and low dielectric constant (low-\(k\)) films with F- and Cl-based chemistries, giving fundamental etching characteristics such as etch yield, threshold, product stoichiometry, and microscopic surface structures.\(^19\) In particular, a number of MD studies have been concerned with Cl\(^{+}\) and/or Cl\(_2^{+}\) ion impacts onto Si surfaces, using the interatomic potential models of Stillinger–Weber (SW)\(^20\)–\(^28\) and Tersoff–Brenner (TB)\(^30\)–\(^32\) types. We have also developed MD simulations for Si/Br and Si/HBr as well as Si/Cl systems,\(^33\)–\(^39\) along with an improved SW interatomic potential
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model for Si/halogen interactions,37–39 because of a poor understanding of plasma-surface interactions for Br-based plasma etching of Si in experiments as well as simulations. Moreover, we have recently developed MD simulations of incidence of ionized etch products SiCl$_x^+$ ($x = 0–4$) as well as feed gas ions Cl$_x^+$ ($x = 1, 2$) during Si etching in Cl-based plasmas, revealing the etch fundamentals of deposite SiCl$_x^+$ ($x = 0–2$) ions as well as those of reactive SiCl$_x^+$ ($x = 3, 4$) and Cl$_x^+$ ($x = 1, 2$) ions;40 in practice, the experiments showed that SiCl$_x^+$ ions might play a crucial role in the evolution of surface roughness during plasma etching of Si in Cl-based plasmas.41,42 However, most of these MD studies have been concerned with incidence of energetic ions, and few studies have so far been concerned with ion incidence in the presence of incoming low-energy neutrals, which is generally appreciated to occur in real plasma etching environments.43 Humbird and Graves reported MD simulations of spontaneous etching of Si by Cl$_i^{0,1}$ as well as F$_i^{-0,1,2}$ atoms, to give their surface coverage, depth profile, etch reaction probability, and product stoichiometry, where the TB type potential was employed, and thermal neutrals were incident on Si surfaces amorphized by energetic Ar$^+$ ion impacts. Tinck et al. have recently reported MD simulations of cryogenic etching of Si by SiF$_i$ ($x = 0–4$) and F$_i$ ($x = 1, 2$) neutrals with thermal velocities and the corresponding energetic ions, using a combination of a Tersoff interatomic potential and a long-range Lennard–Jones type van der Waals interaction potential;45 they gave sticking probabilities, thermal desorption rates, surface diffusion speeds, and sputter yields of the species concerned on Si and on SiF$_i$ ($x = 1–3$) surfaces, both at cryogenic and near room temperature.

This paper presents MD simulations of Cl$^+$ and Br$^+$ ions normally incident on Si(100) surfaces in the presence of incoming low-energy Cl and Br atoms, respectively, with emphasis being placed on a better understanding of the ion-enhanced surface reaction kinetics during Si etching in Cl- and Br-based plasmas. The ion incidence energy concerned was in the range $E_i = 20–500$ eV, where the incoming neutral-to-ion flux ratio was taken to be in the range $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i^0 = 0–100$; preliminary results for Si/Cl system with $E_i = 100$ eV and $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i^0 = 100$ were reported in our previous paper.34 Section II describes the simulation model and numerical procedures used in this study. Numerical results are then given in Sec. III for the etch fundamentals such as etch yield and threshold, product stoichiometry, desorption yield of halogen adsorbates, energy and angular distributions of products and adsorbates desorbed, and microscopic structures of surface reaction layers (thickness, coverage, stoichiometry, and depth profile). A comparison is made with the experiments of Si etching in Cl$_2$ and Br$_2$ plasmas as well as those in Cl$^+$, Cl$_2^+$, and Br$^+$ beams previously reported, to verify these MD simulations. Finally, Sec. IV summarizes the conclusions of this paper.

II. SIMULATION MODEL

Figure 1(a) shows a schematic of the classical MD simulation for etching that is similar to that in our previous studies.33–40 Briefly, substrate or target Si atoms are placed in the simulation cell, initially having a structure of a diamond lattice the top surface of which corresponds to the Si(100) plane. The Si(100) surface concerned is a square 32.58 Å on one side and contains target atoms of 20 monolayer (ML) or 1440 Si atoms in a depth of 26.0 Å. Note that an ML contains 72 Si atoms ($= 6.78 \times 10^{14}$ atoms/cm$^2$).

![Figure 1](image)

**FIG. 1.** (a) Schematic and (b) calculation scheme of the classical MD simulation for plasma etching with exposure of energetic ions and low-energy neutrals, where every after injection of an energetic ion, a set of neutrals are supplied onto the surface. The ions of interest are Cl$^+$ and Br$^+$ with translational energies in the range $E_i = 20–500$ eV (unless otherwise stated), being normally incident on Si(100) surfaces; Cl and Br neutrals of translational energy $E_n = 0.01$ eV are also incident normally thereon with the neutral-ion-flux ratio in the range $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i^0 = 0–100$. Substrate or target Si atoms are placed in the simulation cell, which has a square of 32.58 Å on one side and contains target atoms of 20 monolayer (ML) or 1440 Si atoms in a depth of 26.0 Å. Note that an ML contains 72 Si atoms ($= 6.78 \times 10^{14}$ atoms/cm$^2$).

To simulate plasma etching environments with simultaneous exposure of energetic ions and neutral reactants, low-energy Cl and Br atoms are supplied normally ($\theta_i = 0^\circ$) onto the surface also from random horizontal locations above the target prior to every ion impact. The number of neutrals supplied per ion impact corresponds to the incoming neutral-to-ion flux ratio in plasma environments, which is taken to be in the range $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i^0 = 0–100$; in practice, $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i^0 = 0$ simulates the etching by energetic ions only, and $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i^0 = 100$ the etching by typical high-density plasmas such as inductively coupled and electron cyclotron resonance plasmas. The translational energy of neutrals is taken to be typically 0.0–100 eV.
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\[ E_n = 0.01 \text{ eV}, \] which would be low enough not to etch Si but to stick on the surface.

The species concerned are \(^{28}\text{Si}, \ ^{35}\text{Cl}, \ \text{and} \ ^{80}\text{Br}\) with the respective atomic masses of 28.0855, 35.4529, and 79.9035 g/mol. The interatomic potential presently employed has a form of the SW potential function for covalent bonds: \[ \Phi = \sum_{i<j<k}v_2(i,j) + \sum_{i<j<k}v_3(i,j,k), \] where the total potential energy is expressed by the summation of the two- and three-body potential functions. In practice, the repulsive interaction is overestimated in the original SW model, when a halogen atom is surrounded by more than three Si atoms, and/or when a high-energy halogen penetrates deeper into substrates. Thus we employ an improved potential form of the SW model, which involves a correction term for the three-body potential function, to overcome this disadvantage and to predict surface reaction kinetics more accurately. A known parameter set is used for the interatomic potential of the Si/Cl system, \(^{28}\text{Si}/\ ^{35}\text{Cl}\) while a parameter set developed by the authors is used for that of the Si/Br system. \(^{35}\)

Figure 1(b) shows a calculation scheme of the MD simulation for plasma etching that is in a sense similar to that of a so-called digital or atomic layer etching of Si consisting of a repetition of \(\text{Ar}^+\) ion incidence followed by that of neutral reactants. \(^{55-57}\) After every injection of an energetic ion (\(\text{Cl}^+, \ \text{Br}^+\)), we let the system evolve for 0.7 ps with the total energy being constant and then artificially cool the system for 0.3 ps down to the initial temperature (300 K) of target atoms, where the temperature is taken to be the mean kinetic energy over the target atoms contained in the simulation cell. The artificial cooling of the system is made by employing the Berendsen heat removal scheme \(^{58}\) with a coupling constant of \(2.0 \times 10^9 \text{s}^{-1}\). \(^{23,26,29}\) Similarly, after every introduction of a set of low-energy neutrals (\(\text{Cl}, \ \text{Br}\)), we let the system evolve for 4.0 ps and then cool it for 0.2 ps. In addition, we sometimes add a layer of Si atoms at the bottom of the simulation cell to maintain the number of target atoms above \(\approx 20\) ML therein during etching. The etch fundamentals, such as etch yield and threshold, product stoichiometry, desorption yield of halogen adsorbates, energy and angular distributions of products and adsorbates desorbed, and microscopic structures of surface reaction layers (thickness, coverage, stoichiometry, and depth profile), are analyzed after every ion impact; then they are averaged over 1000 ion impacts after the etching characteristics and surface structures have become statistically stable, unless otherwise stated.

It should be noted that in high-density plasma etching environments, the ion flux onto substrate surfaces is often \(<10\text{ mA/cm}^2\) (or \( \Gamma_n^0 < 6.2 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\)). \(^{41,42,47-50}\) in which energetic ions impact the surface presently concerned at most approximately once every \(10^{-4}\) s, and so individual ion impacts may be virtually assumed to be temporally isolated events. On the other hand, the MD simulations are limited to times of the order of \(10^{-12}\) s, and so longer time-scale events such as thermal diffusion, reaction, and desorption (that are undoubtedly occurring in surface layers after ion impact) are not likely to occur intrinsically during relatively short times of the simulation. We took the simulation time of 1 ps (evolving and cooling times of 0.7 and 0.3 ps, respectively, as mentioned in the preceding text) based on the transit time for a “shock wave” to traverse the distance from the top surface to the fixed bottom layer of the simulation cell and back, \(^{23,26,29}\) which is slightly less than that of 1–2 ps generally taken in other MD studies. \(^{19}\) No significant change was confirmed in the etching characteristics and surface structures obtained for varying the evolving and cooling times in the range 0.7–2.8 and 0.3–2.1 ps, respectively (or the simulation time in the range 1–5 ps).

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Overview

Figure 2 shows typical side views of the Si(100) surface, together with the depth profiles of Ha and Si atoms therein, simulated for Si/Ha (Ha = Cl, Br) systems with different ion energies of \(E_i = 50, 100, \ \text{and} \ 300\text{ eV}\) and neutral-to-ion flux ratios of \(\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0 \ \text{and} \ 100\). The side views shown are typical snapshots of the surface taken after 2000 ion impacts and the following evolving-cooling procedure, while the depth profiles are averages over more than ten hundred snapshots of the surface thereafter. Note that the depth profile of interest here is the vertical distribution of the laterally averaged concentration of the respective atoms, and that the depth of zero in the figure is taken to be the position giving the mean (volume averaged) value of the concentration of Ha atoms contained. The results indicate that in both systems, the thickness of surface SiHa layers increases (or the distribution of Ha atoms contained therein broadens) with increasing \(E_i\), owing to the increased penetration depth of incident ions into substrates. Moreover, at a given \(E_i\), the SiHa layer thickness tends to be slightly decreased for increased \(\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0\), owing to the enhanced desorption of surface reaction products or SiHa compounds therefrom; correspondingly, the concentration of Ha atoms in the upper half layers tends to be increased for increased \(\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0\), being comparable to or higher than that of Si atoms therein, owing to the increased supply of neutrals onto the surface. A comparison between Si/Cl and Si/Br systems indicates that Br atoms penetrate shallower into substrates or the thickness of surface SiHa layers is smaller in Si/Br, which is attributed to the larger atomic radius of Br; in effect, the equilibrium distance (or the interatomic distance at the minimum) of the two-body potential function is known to be \(r_0 = 2.06\ \text{Å}\) for Si–Cl, \(^{20,35}\) 2.32 Å for Si–Br, \(^{35}\) and 2.35 Å for Si–Si. \(^{20,35}\) In addition, in Si/Br, the concentration of Br atoms in the upper half layers is comparable to or higher than that of Si atoms therein even for \(\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0\) at lower \(E_i = 50\) and 100 eV.

Figure 3 shows the Si yield \(Y_{\text{Si}}\) per ion impact, halogen yield \(Y_{\text{Ha}}\) per ion impact, surface coverage of Ha atoms adsorbed or the total number of Ha atoms contained per unit area in surface SiHa layers, and their thickness as a function of ion impact, simulated for Si/Ha (Ha = Cl, Br) systems with an ion energy of \(E_i = 100\text{ eV}\) and different neutral-to-ion flux ratios of \(\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0\) and 100. These are instantaneous values after every ion impact. Here the Si yield \(Y_{\text{Si}}\) (per ion) or the etch yield is defined as the total number of Si atoms desorbed from substrate surfaces per ion impact, and
the halogen yield \( Y_{Ha} \) (per ion) as that of \( \text{Ha} \) atoms desorbed from surfaces per ion impact (including the contribution of incident \( \text{Ha}^+ \) ion reflected or scattered from the surface on incidence); in practice, the yields \( Y_{Si} \) and \( Y_{Ha} \) are counted during the evolving-cooling period following ion impact.

Note that 1 ML corresponds to the areal density of \( 6.78 \times 10^{14} \) atoms/cm\(^2\) (integrated throughout the layer) for coverage, and to 72 incident ions for ion impact.

FIG. 2. Side views of the Si(100) surface, together with the depth profiles of \( \text{Ha} \) and Si atoms therein (\( \text{Ha} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br} \)), simulated for (a) Si/Cl and (b) Si/Br systems with different ion energies of \( E_i = 50, 100, \) and 300 eV and neutral-to-ion flux ratios of \( \Gamma_n/\Gamma_i = 0 \) and 100. The side views shown are typical snapshots of the surface taken after 2000 ion impacts and the following evolving-cooling procedure, while the depth profiles are averages over more than ten hundred snapshots of the surface thereafter. Note that the depth profile of interest here is the vertical distribution of the laterally averaged concentration of the respective atoms, and that the depth of zero in the figure is taken to be the position giving the mean (volume averaged) value of the concentration of \( \text{Ha} \) atoms contained.

FIG. 3. Si yield \( Y_{Si} \) per ion impact, halogen yield \( Y_{Ha} \) per ion impact, surface coverage of \( \text{Ha} \) atoms adsorbed or the total number of \( \text{Ha} \) atoms contained per unit area in surface Si\( \text{Ha}_x \) layers (\( \text{Ha} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br} \)), and their thickness (FWHM) as a function of ion impact, simulated for (a) Si/Cl and (b) Si/Br systems with an ion energy of \( E_i = 100 \) eV and different neutral-to-ion flux ratios of \( \Gamma_n/\Gamma_i = 0 \) and 100. These are instantaneous values after every ion impact. Here the Si yield \( Y_{Si} \) (per ion) or the etch yield is defined as the total number of Si atoms desorbed from substrate surfaces per ion impact, and the halogen yield \( Y_{Ha} \) (per ion) as the total number of \( \text{Ha} \) atoms desorbed from surfaces per ion impact (including the contribution of incident \( \text{Ha}^+ \) ion reflected or scattered from the surface on incidence); in practice, the yields \( Y_{Si} \) and \( Y_{Ha} \) are counted during the evolving-cooling period following ion impact. Note that 1 ML corresponds to the areal density of \( 6.78 \times 10^{14} \) atoms/cm\(^2\) (integrated throughout the layer) for coverage, and to 72 incident ions for ion impact.
concerned correspond to the processing time of 1.88 s in typical high-density plasma etching environments with $\Gamma^0_i = 1.0 \times 10^{16} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. In addition, the SiHa layer thickness is defined as the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the depth profile of Ha atoms therein.

The results indicate that in both systems, the yields $Y_{Si}$ and $Y_{Ha}$ increase and then reach quasi-steady state at the initial stage of ion impact up to about several ML, along with the Ha coverage and SiHa layer thickness; moreover, at steady state, the $Y_{Si}$ and $Y_{Ha}$ values are increased and the SiHa layer thickness is slightly decreased for increased $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$, while the Ha coverage remains almost unchanged over the $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$ range investigated. A comparison between Si/Cl and Si/Br systems indicates that the Si/Br system evolves to reach quasi-steady state sooner after the start of ion impact and that at steady state, the yields $Y_{Si}$ and $Y_{Ha}$, Ha coverage, and SiHa layer thickness tend to be smaller in Si/Br. It is further noted that similar evolutions were also observed for different $E_i = 20$–500 eV in both systems, although more ion impacts tend to be required for the system to reach quasi-steady state at increased $E_i$.

### B. Etch yield and product stoichiometry

Figure 4 shows the Si yields $Y_{Si}$ per ion impact, together with the stoichiometry of Si-containing product species Si$_{x}$Ha$_{y}$ $(x \geq 1, y \geq 0)$ desorbed, simulated for Si/Cl (Ha = Cl, Br) systems with different ion energies of $E_i = 20$–500 eV and neutral-to-ion flux ratios of $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0$–100. The results indicate that in both systems, the yield $Y_{Si}$ increases with increasing $E_i$, along with the percentage of elemental Si and low-halogenated Si$_{x}$Ha$_{y}$ species; moreover, at a given $E_i$, the yield $Y_{Si}$ is increased for increased $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$ along with the percentage of higher-halogenated Si$_{x}$Ha$_{y}$ species. In Si/Cl system, e.g., for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0$, the predominant product species is SiCl and then SiCl$_2$ at $E_i = 50$ eV, while it is elemental Si and then SiCl at $E_i = 500$ eV; and for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 100$, the predominant species is SiCl$_2$ and then SiCl$_4$ at $E_i = 50$ eV, while it is SiCl and then SiCl$_2$ at $E_i = 500$ eV. The $E_i$ and $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$ dependences of the yield and product stoichiometry presently simulated are consistent with the experiments of Ar$^+$ beam incidence on Si in Cl$_2$ and Cl$_2$/Cl$_6$ previously reported, being ascribed to the increased effects of physical sputtering or the decreased chemical effects in sputtering with increasing $E_i$ and to the increased surface halogenation/chlorination for increased $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$. The yield of fully chlorinated species SiCl$_4$ is extremely small with a percentage < 0.05% of the total for any $E_i$ and $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$.

A comparison between Si/Cl and Si/Br systems indicates that the yield $Y_{Si}$ is smaller in Si/Br, owing to the less chemical reactivity of Br on Si, as has been observed in Si etching experiments with Cl$_1^+$ and Br$_1^+$ beams and Cl$_2$ and Br$_2$ plasma beams, and high-temperature Cl$_2$ and Br$_2$ gases. Moreover, higher-halogenated Si$_{x}$Ha$_{y}$ species tend to be formed and desorbed in Si/Br, while fully brominated species SiBr$_4$ occurs with a percentage < 5% of the total for any $E_i$ and $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$. This is attributed partly to higher
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**FIG. 4.** Si yields $Y_{Si}$ per ion impact, together with the stoichiometry of Si-containing product species Si$_{x}$Ha$_{y}$ $(x \geq 1, y \geq 0)$ desorbed (Ha = Cl, Br), simulated for (a) Si/Cl and (b) Si/Br systems with different ion energies of $E_i = 20$–500 eV and neutral-to-ion flux ratios of $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0$–100. Note that “Others” in the legend indicates Si$_{x}$Ha$_{y}$ species $(x \geq 4, y \geq 0)$. 
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concentrations of Ha atoms in the near-surface region of thinner SiHa layers in Si/Br system (as seen in Fig. 2) and thus to higher percentages of high-halogenated surface products such as SiHa3 and SiHa4 therein (as will be seen later in Figs. 9 and 10).

Figure 5 shows the Si yield Y_{Si} per ion impact as a function of the square root of the ion incident energy, $\sqrt{E_i}$, simulated for Si/Cl (Ha = Cl, Br) systems with different ion energies of $E_i = 10–500$ eV and neutral-to-ion flux ratios of $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i = 0–100$. Also shown are the MD simulations of Cl$^+$ incidence on Si performed by Hanson et al.\textsuperscript{23} using the SW potential and by Gou et al.\textsuperscript{32} using the TB potential, along with the experiments for Si/Cl and Si/Br systems performed by Tachi and Okudaira\textsuperscript{67} using Cl$^+$ and Br$^+$ beams, by Balooch et al.\textsuperscript{54} using Cl$_2^+$ beams (including $\leq 30%$ Cl$^+$), by Chang and Sawin\textsuperscript{65} using Cl$^+$ beams with and without neutral Cl, and by Vitale et al.\textsuperscript{32} and Jin et al.\textsuperscript{10} using Cl$_2$ and Br$_2$ plasma beams. Our MD simulations for Si/Cl system using the improved SW potential are in agreement with these MD and experimental data previously reported; in particular, the yields Y_{Si} for Si/Cl obtained with $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i = 0$ fit rather well with the MD simulations of Gou et al.\textsuperscript{32} and the beam experiments of Chang and Sawin\textsuperscript{65}, while those with $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i = 100$ are roughly two times smaller than the beam-plus-neutral experiments of Chang and Sawin ($\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i \approx 100–300$)\textsuperscript{65} and the plasma beam experiments of Vitale et al.\textsuperscript{32} ($\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i \approx 900–4400$)\textsuperscript{9} and Jin et al.\textsuperscript{10}.

The present MD results indicate that in both systems, the yield Y_{Si} increases with increasing $E_i$ and $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i$; in more detail, for any $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i$, the yield Y_{Si} is approximately proportional to $\sqrt{E_i}$, Y_{Si} = A($E_i^{1/2}$ - $E_{th}^{1/2}$), where A is a proportional constant or scaling factor, and $E_{th}$ is the threshold energy concerned. The nonlinear dependence of the yield Y_{Si} on $\sqrt{E_i}$ reflects the universal energy dependence of the yield for ion bombardment-induced etch processes such as physical sputtering and ion-enhanced etching,\textsuperscript{64,65,71} implying that the sputtering or etching is limited by the momentum transfer from energetic ions to substrate atoms through the collision-cascade mechanism. The constant A is larger for higher flux ratios $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i$. In Si/Cl system, the yield Y_{Si} at a given $E_i$ (or the constant A) tends to be saturated for increased $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i > 10$; this implies that the system is limited by the availability of neutral reactants on the surface (neutral flux limited) for low $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i < 10$, while it is saturated with neutrals (ion flux limited) for higher $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i$, as has been observed in Si etching experiments using Ar$^+$ beams with Cl$_2$/Cl.\textsuperscript{59,64} The threshold for Si/Cl is estimated to be $E_{th} \approx 9$ eV almost independently of $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i$, by extrapolating the Y_{Si} to zero, being in agreement with the previous Si etching experiments using Cl$^+$ beams with Cl$_2$/Cl.\textsuperscript{65}

It is further noted that for any $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i$ in Si/Cl system, the curve of Y_{Si} versus $\sqrt{E_i}$ tends to exhibit a transition point at around $E_i \approx 150–300$ eV (increased for increased $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i$), above which the Y_{Si} increases more slowly with increasing $E_i$, although it remains nearly proportional to $\sqrt{E_i}$; in practice, the slope or proportional constant A of the curve above the point is estimated to be decreased significantly by about a factor of more than 3–5, implying some change in reaction kinetics on surfaces being etched at increased $E_i$. Such a transition point has so far been observed in the Cl$^+$ and Br$^+$ beam experiments of Si etching (at $E_i \approx 500$ eV);\textsuperscript{67,68} however, the mechanisms concerned are not understood at present. In some cases, the energy dependence Y_{Si} $\propto \sqrt{E_i}$ may not be universal, requiring the constant A depending on $E_i$ and/or some other fitting curves such as $Y_{Si} = A(E_i^{b} - E_{th}^{b})$ with $b \neq 1/2$.\textsuperscript{78}

A comparison between Si/Cl and Si/Br systems indicates that although similar trends occur in Si/Br, there are some other differences between them, in addition to smaller

FIG. 5. Si yield Y_{Si} per ion impact as a function of the square root of the ion incident energy, $\sqrt{E_i}$, simulated for (a) Si/Cl and (b) Si/Br systems with different ion energies of $E_i = 10–500$ eV and neutral-to-ion flux ratios of $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i = 0–100$. Also shown are the MD simulations of Cl$^+$ incidence on Si performed by Hanson et al.\textsuperscript{23} using the SW potential and by Gou et al.\textsuperscript{32} using the TB potential, along with the experiments for Si/Cl and Si/Br systems performed by Tachi and Okudaira\textsuperscript{67} using Cl$^+$ and Br$^+$ beams, by Balooch et al.\textsuperscript{54} using Cl$_2^+$ beams (including $\leq 30%$ Cl$^+$), by Chang and Sawin\textsuperscript{65} using Cl$^+$ beams with and without neutral Cl, and by Vitale et al.\textsuperscript{32} and Jin et al.\textsuperscript{10} using Cl$_2$ and Br$_2$ plasma beams.
Si yields $Y_{\text{Si}}$ in Si/Br, as mentioned in the preceding text with respect to Fig. 4. In practice, for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 \geq 1$ (with incoming neutrals) in Si/Br system, the yield $Y_{\text{Si}}$ exhibits an almost linear increase with $\sqrt{E_i}$ over the $E_i$ range investigated (there is no transition point); and the yield $Y_{\text{Si}}$ at a given $E_i$ (or the constant $A$) is increased for increased flux ratios $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$ without saturation, implying that the system is limited by the availability of neutral reactants on the surface (neutral flux limited) over the $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$ range concerned, probably owing to the less chemical reactivity of Br on Si; moreover, the threshold is estimated to be $E_{th} \approx 4$ eV almost independently of $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$. On the other hand, for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0$ (without neutrals) in Si/Br, the curve of $Y_{\text{Si}}$ versus $\sqrt{E_i}$ appears to exhibit a transition point at around $E_i \approx 20$ eV, decreasing more sharply than $\sqrt{E_i}$ with decreasing $E_i$ at low $E_i < 20$ eV to give also the threshold $E_{th} \approx 4$ eV.

It should be noted that the threshold $E_{th} \approx 4$ eV thus estimated for Si/Br system is much lower than the $E_{th} \approx 40$ eV obtained from the extrapolation of the experimental data for Br beam and Br$_2$ plasma beam ($\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 \approx 4000–8000$) etching of Si; correspondingly, the slopes or constants $A$ of the $Y_{\text{Si}}$ versus $\sqrt{E_i}$ curves for Si/Br trend to be relatively small as compared to those obtained from the fitting of the data of beam and plasma experiments. These suggest that the reactivity of Br on Si at low $E_i$ (<several tens of eV) may be slightly large in our MD simulations for Si/Br system, or there may still remain some overestimation of the repulsive interaction in the improved SW potential for Si/Br; this is also inferred from the $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$ dependence of the yield $Y_{\text{Si}}$ at a given $E_i$ in Si/Br as in Fig. 4(b), where the $Y_{\text{Si}}$ at $E_i = 20$ eV is lower for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 1$ and 10 than for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0$, and the $Y_{\text{Si}}$ at $E_i = 50$ eV is also lower for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 1$ than for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0$.

C. Desorption yield of halogen adsorbates

Figure 6 shows the halogen yields $Y_{\text{Ha}}$ per ion impact, together with the stoichiometry of Ha-containing species Ha$_x$ ($x = 1, 2$) and Si$_y$Ha$_x$ ($x \geq 1, y \geq 1$) desorbed, simulated for Si/Br (Ha = Cl, Br) systems with different ion energies of $E_i = 20–500$ eV and neutral-to-ion flux ratios of $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0–100$. The yield or stoichiometry concerned includes the contribution of incident Ha$^+$ ion reflected from the surface on incidence as well as that of adsorbates and surface reaction products desorbed: $Y_{\text{Ha}} = R_{\text{Ha}} + \sum_{i=1,2} xX(Ha_i) + \sum_{i=1,2, y>1} yY(Si_{y}Ha_i)$, where $R_{\text{Ha}}$ is the reflection probability for incident Ha$^+$ ions, the latter $Y_{\text{Ha}} = \sum_{i=1,2} xX(Ha_i)$ and $Y_{\text{Si}_{y}Ha_i} = \sum_{i=1, y>1} yY(Si_{y}Ha_i)$ are the ion-induced desorption yields for adsorbates Ha$_x$ and reaction products Si$_y$Ha$_x$, respectively, and the Si yield as in Figs. 3–5 is given by $Y_{\text{Si}} = \sum_{i=1,2, x>0} xY(Si_{x}Ha_i)$. The results indicate that for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0$ in both systems, the Ha yield remains almost unchanged at unity ($Y_{\text{Ha}} \approx 1$) for varying $E_i$ because of the steady state achieved under Ha$^+$ ion incidence without incoming Ha neutrals; on the other hand, for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 \geq 1$, it is assumed to be $Y_{\text{Ha}} > 1$ at steady state because the total

![FIG. 6. Halogen yields $Y_{\text{Ha}}$ per ion impact, together with the stoichiometry of Ha-containing species Ha$_x$ ($x = 1, 2$) and Si$_y$Ha$_x$ ($x \geq 1, y \geq 1$) desorbed (Ha = Cl, Br), simulated for (a) Si/Cl and (b) Si/Br systems with different ion energies of $E_i = 20–500$ eV and neutral-to-ion flux ratios of $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0–100$. Note that “Si$_y$Ha$_x$” in the legend indicates Si$_y$Ha$_x$ species ($x \geq 2, y \geq 1$). The yield or stoichiometry concerned includes the contribution of incident Ha$^+$ ion reflected from the surface on incidence, as well as that of adsorbates and surface reaction products desorbed through ion incidence: $Y_{\text{Ha}} = R_{\text{Ha}} + \sum_{i=1,2} xX(Ha_i) + \sum_{i=1, y>1} yY(Si_{y}Ha_i)$. Note that the Si yield as in Figs. 3–5 is given by $Y_{\text{Si}} = \sum_{i=1,2, x>0} xY(Si_{x}Ha_i)$.)
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number of incoming Ha atoms is >1 (a Ha$^+$ ion and a set of Ha neutrals) during one cycle of the present MD calculation, where a part of incident neutrals stick on surfaces, while the remaining is reflected or reemitted from surfaces on incidence. For $\Gamma_n$/$\Gamma_i > 1$, the Ha yield $Y_{\text{ha}}$ increases with increasing $E_i$ and $\Gamma_n$/$\Gamma_i > 1$, corresponding to the increase of the Si yield $Y_{\text{Si}}$ and thus the increased desorption of products Si$_n$Ha$_x$ (as in Fig. 4).

It is further noted that for any $\Gamma_n$/$\Gamma_i > 1$ in Si/Cl system, the Cl yield $Y_{\text{Cl}}$ at low $E_i = 20$ eV consists primarily of the contribution of incident Cl$^+$ ion reflected from the surface on incidence; and at increased $E_i$, the reflection is reduced, the desorption of adsorbates Cl$_x$ is increased, and the desorption of surface reaction products Si$_n$Cl$_x$ is further increased. A comparison between Si/Cl and Si/Br systems indicates that for any $E_i$ and $\Gamma_n$/$\Gamma_i > 1$, the contribution of reflected Ha$^+$ ion to the yield $Y_{\text{ha}}$ is relatively small in Si/Br, as compared to that in Si/Cl, owing to relatively small reflection probabilities $R_{\text{fs}}$ for heavier Br$^+$; moreover, for $\Gamma_n$/$\Gamma_i > 1$, the Ha yield $Y_{\text{ha}}$ is smaller in Si/Br, similarly to the Si yield $Y_{\text{Si}}$, as in Fig. 4. In Si/Br system, the Br yield is $Y_{\text{Br}} < 1$ at $E_i = 20$ eV for $\Gamma_n$/$\Gamma_i = 1$ and 10 and at $E_i = 50$ eV for $\Gamma_n$/$\Gamma_i > 1$; this also suggests some deficiency that may still remain in the improved SW potential for Si/Br$^{35,37}$ at low $E_i$ (<several tens of eV), as mentioned in the preceding text for the Si yield $Y_{\text{Si}}$ with respect to Figs. 4 and 5.

Figure 7 shows the ion-induced halogen desorption yield ($Y_{\text{ha}} + Y_{\text{ha/Si}}$) per ion impact as a function of the square root of the ion incident energy, $\sqrt{E_i}$, simulated for Si/Br (Ha = Cl, Br) systems with different ion energies of $E_i = 10–500$ eV and neutral-to-ion flux ratios of $\Gamma_n$/$\Gamma_i = 0–100$. The results indicate that in both systems, the ion-induced Ha yield exhibits similar behavior to that of the ion-induced Si yield $Y_{\text{Si}}$ in Si/Cl, as in Fig. 5: in practice, the yield ($Y_{\text{ha}} + Y_{\text{ha/Si}}$) increases almost linearly with $\sqrt{E_i}$, where a transition point occurs at around $E_i \approx 100–300$ eV (increased for increased $\Gamma_n$/$\Gamma_i$) in Si/Cl. Also shown in the figure are the ion-induced desorption yields $Y_{\text{ha}} = \sum_{x=1,2} \alpha Y_{\text{ha}}$ for adsorbates Ha$_x$, which are appreciated to be important for the site balance of halogen adsorbed on surfaces being etched at low $E_i < 50$ eV, in plasma-surface interactions and the feature profile evolution during plasma etching.$^{72,73}$ Although the known data on ($Y_{\text{ha}} + Y_{\text{ha/Si}}$) and $Y_{\text{ha}}$ are remarkably limited, the present MD results agree approximately with the data previously estimated in plasma etching experiments of Si in Cl$_2$ at $E_i \approx 50$ eV$^{74,75}$ ($Y_{\text{ha}} + Y_{\text{ha/Si}}$) $\approx 0.4$, which gives $Y_{\text{ha}} \approx 1.4$ assuming that the etch products desorbed are SiCl$_4$.

D. Surface coverage, stoichiometry, and depth profile

Figure 8 shows the surface coverage of Ha atoms adsorbed and SiHa$_x$ layer thickness (FWHM) as a function of the square root of the ion incident energy, $\sqrt{E_i}$, simulated for Si/Br (Ha = Cl, Br) systems with different ion energies of $E_i = 10–500$ eV and neutral-to-ion flux ratios of $\Gamma_n$/$\Gamma_i$. Note that 1 ML for coverage corresponds to the areal density of $6.78 \times 10^{14}$ atoms/cm$^2$.
$\Gamma_i^0 = 0 - 100$. Here 1 ML for coverage corresponds to the areal density of $6.78 \times 10^{14}$ atoms/cm$^2$. The results indicate that in both systems, the Ha coverage increases with increasing $E_i$, while it remains almost unchanged for varying $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$ at a given $E_i$ (as partly seen in Fig. 3); on the other hand, the SiHa layer thickness increases with increasing $E_i$, while it tends to be slightly decreased for increased $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$ at a given $E_i$ (as partly seen in Figs. 2 and 3). In effect, the thickness of halogenated surface SiHa layers is generally expected to be determined primarily by the Ha$^+$ incident energy $E_i$ because the penetration depth of incident Ha$^+$ ions into substrates is determined by $E_i$, increasing with increasing $E_i$; and the neutral-to-ion flux ratio $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$ is assumed to have an incidental effect on the SiHa layer thickness because the desorption of surface reaction products SiHa therefrom is enhanced for increased $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$ as a result of enhanced etching reactions. Moreover, the surface coverage of Ha is also expected to be determined primarily by $E_i$ because the amount of Ha atoms contained in surface SiHa layers is determined by the following: the surface reflection probability of incident Ha$^+$ ions, the amount of incident Ha neutrals that stick on surfaces without reflection/reemission therefrom (per ion impact, or per one cycle of the MD calculation), and the ion-induced desorption yield for Ha atoms adsorbed ($Y_{ha} + Y_{ha/Si}$ as in Fig. 7); in practice, the first one would depend primarily on $E_i$, the second one on coverage and $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$, and the last one on $E_i$ and coverage.

A comparison between Si/Cl and Si/Br systems indicates that for any $E_i$ and $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$, the Ha coverage and SiHa layer thickness are both smaller in Si/Br (as partly seen in Figs. 2 and 3), which is attributed to the shallower penetration depth of Br into substrates, arising from its larger atomic radius. The mean (volume averaged) concentration of Ha atoms in surface SiHa layers simulated remains almost unchanged for varying $E_i$, while it increases slightly with increasing $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$; e.g., at $E_i = 100$ eV for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0$ and 100, the respective Ha concentrations are estimated to be $\sim 1.0$ and $1.2 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-3}$ in Si/Cl and $\sim 0.6$ and $1.2 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-3}$ in Si/Br (the density of crystalline Si is $n_{Si} \approx 5.0 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-3}$). Thus it follows that in a sense, the increase in Ha coverage is primarily a reflection of the increase in SiHa layer thickness; in effect, the former increases with increasing $E_i$ almost independently of $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$, while the latter increases with increasing $E_i$ and decreases slightly with increasing $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$. It is further noted that in Si/Cl system, the Cl coverage presently simulated, e.g., $\sim 2.5$ and $3.7 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$ at $E_i = 50$ and $300$ eV (for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 100$), respectively, is in excellent agreement with the previous laser-induced thermal desorption$^{11}$ and x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)$^{12}$ analyses of Si surfaces etched in Cl$_2$ plasmas (typically $\sim 1.8$ and $3.5 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$ at $E_i = 40$ and $280$ eV, respectively, in XPS). Moreover, the SiCl$_x$ layer thickness simulated, e.g., $\sim 15$ and $30$ Å at $E_i = 50$ and $300$ eV (for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 100$), respectively, is also in excellent agreement with the XPS$^{12,14}$ and spectroscopic ellipsometry$^{13}$ analyses of Si surfaces etched in Cl$_2$ plasmas (typically $\sim 13$ and $25$ Å at $E_i = 40$ and $280$ eV, respectively, in XPS).

Figure 9 shows the total numbers of Si–Ha bonds ($x \geq 1$) contained per unit area in surface SiHa layers, together with the stoichiometry of them, simulated for Si/Ha (Ha = Cl, Br) systems with different ion energies of $E_i = 20$–500 eV and

![FIG. 9. Total numbers of Si–Ha bonds ($x \geq 1$) contained per unit area in surface SiHa layers (Ha = Cl, Br), together with the stoichiometry of them, simulated for (a) Si/Cl and (b) Si/Br systems with different ion energies of $E_i = 20$–500 eV and neutral-to-ion flux ratios of $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0$–100. Note that 1 ML corresponds to the areal density of $6.78 \times 10^{14}$ bonds/cm$^2$.](image-url)
neutral-to-ion flux ratios of $\Gamma_n^{0}/\Gamma_i^{0} = 0$–100. Here 1 ML corresponds to the areal density of $6.78 \times 10^{14}$ bonds/cm$^2$. The Si–Ha bonds are assumed to be counted when there are $x$ Ha atoms within a sphere of $1.2r_0$ radius surrounding the Si atom concerned, where $r_0$ is the equilibrium distance of the two-body potential function for Si–Ha (as given earlier with respect to Fig. 2); the number of Si–Ha bonds or SiHa$_x$ species in surface SiHa layers thus counted is a little smaller than that of Ha atoms therein as in the preceding Fig. 8. The results indicate that for any $E_i$ and $\Gamma_n^{0}/\Gamma_i^{0}$ in both systems, the predominant surface product species is SiHa$_x$ followed by SiHa$_2$ and then SiHa$_3$, where the number of higher halogenated species SiHa$_4$ is very small, and that of fully halogenated SiHa$_4$ is extremely small (SiCl$_4$ was not observed, and SiBr$_4$ accounted for <0.2% of the total) in the present simulations. The percentage of surface products SiHa$_x$ containing fewer Ha atoms increases with increasing $E_i$, while that of SiHa$_x$ containing more Ha atoms is increased for increased $\Gamma_n^{0}/\Gamma_i^{0}$ at a given $E_i$, similarly to the stoichiometry of product species SiHa$_x$ ($x \geq 1$, $y \geq 1$) desorbed as in Figs. 4 and 6: in effect, higher halogenated species SiHa$_2$ and SiHa$_3$ in surface SiHa$_x$ layers are expected to be more abundant for higher $\Gamma_n^{0}/\Gamma_i^{0}$, where the increased supply of neutral reactants onto surfaces would further increase the surface halogenation/chlorination.

However, the stoichiometry of surface SiHa$_x$ layers is seen to not correlate directly with that of product species SiHa$_x$ desorbed from surfaces; in effect, the surface stoichiometry remains relatively unchanged for varying $E_i$ and $\Gamma_n^{0}/\Gamma_i^{0}$, and the percentage of low halogenated SiHa is relatively large in SiHa$_x$ layers for any $E_i$ and $\Gamma_n^{0}/\Gamma_i^{0}$, as compared to the product stoichiometry. This may be attributable to the so-called chemically enhanced physical sputtering in the presence of ion-induced chemical reactions: the surface SiHa$_x$ layers are formed primarily by chemical reactions of Ha atoms adsorbed, while the desorption of surface reaction products SiHa$_x$ is enhanced by the sputtering through ion incidence, where larger molecules including higher-halogenated product species would be formed at or near the surface.

A comparison between Si/Cl and Si/Br systems indicates that for any $E_i$ and $\Gamma_n^{0}/\Gamma_i^{0}$, the total number of Si–Ha$_y$ bonds ($x \geq 1$) contained in surface SiH$_x$ layers is smaller in Si/Br, owing to the less chemical reactivity of Br on Si. Moreover, high-halogenated surface product species SiHa$_x$ and SiHa$_y$ are more abundant in Si/Br, similarly to the product stoichiometry as in Figs. 4 and 6; this would correlate with higher concentrations of Ha atoms in the near-surface region of thinner SiHa$_x$ layers in Si/Br (as seen earlier in Fig. 2) and thus with higher percentages of high-halogenated surface products SiHa$_3$ and SiHa$_4$ therein (as clearly seen in the following text in Fig. 10).

It is further noted that in Si/Cl system, the number of SiCl species in surface SiCl$_x$ layers presently simulated is, e.g., [SiCl]: [SiCl$_2$],[SiCl$_3$] = 1.0:0.13:0.003 and 1.0:0.08:0.002 at $E_i$ = 50 and 300 eV for $\Gamma_n^{0}/\Gamma_i^{0} = 0$, respectively, and [SiCl],[SiCl$_2$],[SiCl$_3$] = 1.0:0.26:0.07 and 1.0:0.20:0.035 at $E_i$ = 50 and 300 eV for $\Gamma_n^{0}/\Gamma_i^{0} = 100$, respectively. This surface stoichiometry simulated for $\Gamma_n^{0}/\Gamma_i^{0} = 100$ is in excellent agreement with the previous XPS analysis of Si surfaces etched in Cl$_2$ plasmas (typically, [SiCl],[SiCl$_2$],[SiCl$_3$] = 1.0:0.34:0.087 and 1.0:0.32:0.13 at $E_i$ ≈ 40 and 280 eV, respectively).\textsuperscript{12,14}

![FIG. 10. Depth profiles of Si$^-$ and Si–Ha$_y$ bonds ($x \geq 1$) contained in surface SiHa$_x$ layers (Ha = Cl, Br), simulated for (a) Si/Cl and (b) Si/Br systems with different ion energies of $E_i$ = 50, 100, and 300 eV and neutral-to-ion flux ratios of $\Gamma_n^{0}/\Gamma_i^{0} = 0$ and 100, corresponding to the side views of the Si(100) surface and the depth profiles of Ha and Si atoms therein as shown in Fig. 2. Here Si$^-$ indicates the Si atoms bound to no Ha atoms and having at least one dangling bond, and the figure properties (concentration and depth of zero) are the same as in Fig. 2.](image-url)
The infinitesimal surface of interest corresponds to that of $32.58 \times 32.58$ Å² in the present MD (Fig. 1). The polar angle $\theta$ is the angle of the desorbed velocity with respect to the surface normal, where the ion incidence is taken to be in the vertical ($-\hat{z}$) direction, and the azimuthal angle $\phi$ is the angle of it with respect to the vertical $(x, z)$ plane, where the system is azimuthally symmetric. If we assume that atoms and molecules of interest are in thermal equilibrium with surfaces of temperature $T_b$, their velocity (or speed) and angular distribution leaving the surface is known to be given by a Maxwellian (or Maxwell–Boltzmann) stream:

$$F_0(\theta, \phi) d\Omega dE dc = \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{m}{2kBT_0}\right)^2 c^3 \exp\left(-\frac{mc^2}{2kBT_0}\right) \cos \theta d\Omega dc,$$

(1)

where $c = \left(\sum_{i=x,y,z} c_i^2\right)^{1/2}$ is the speed of atoms/molecules desorbed, $m$ is the mass of them, $d\Omega = 2\pi \sin \theta \, d\theta$ is the solid angle element concerned, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and the distribution is normalized such that $\int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi F_0(\theta, \phi) d\Omega dE dc = 1$. Then the distribution is written in the form

$$F_0(\theta, \phi) d\Omega dE dc = f_0(E) g_0(\theta) d\Omega dE,$$

(2)

$$f_0(E) = \frac{1}{(k_BT_0)^3} E \exp\left(-\frac{E}{k_BT_0}\right),$$

(3)

$$g_0(\theta) = \frac{\cos \theta}{\pi},$$

(4)

where $E = (1/2) mc^2$ is the translational energy of atoms/molecules desorbed, and the energy and angular distributions are normalized such that $\int_0^\infty f_0(E) dE = 1$ and $\int_0^\pi g_0(\theta) 2\pi \sin \theta d\theta = 1$, respectively. Note that the range of the translational energy concerned is $0 < E < E_i$, practically.

1. Energy distribution

Figure 12 shows the energy distributions $f(E)/E$ of products SiHa, $(x = 1-3)$ and halogen adsorbate Ha desorbed from surfaces being etched, in the range $0 < E < 10$ eV, obtained from simulations for Si/Ha (Ha = Cl, Br) systems with different ion energies of $E_i = 50, 150,$ and $300$ eV and neutral-to-ion flux ratios of $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_i = 0$ and 100. Here the
distributions are normalized such that \( \int_0^\infty f(E) \, dE = 1 \), and each data point for a given \( E \) reflects the number (per unit energy) of atoms/molecules of interest desorbed per ion impact with translational energies between \( E - (\Delta E)/2 \) and \( E + (\Delta E)/2 \), counted with \( \Delta E = 0.2 \text{ eV} \) for more than 15 000 impacts; in addition, the data for Ha shown are those for atoms desorbed through ion incidence (not including the contribution of incident Ha⁺ ions reflected). Also shown in the figure are the two reference curves \( \sum_{i=1,2} C_i f_i(E) = C_i(k_B T_i)^{-2} \exp(-E/k_B T_i) \) \((\alpha = 1, 2)\) of temperature \( T_1 \approx 2500 \text{ K} \) and \( T_2 \approx 8000-30000 \text{ K} \) [Eq. (3)]; note that the two reference distributions \( f_i(E) \) are taken to be normalized such that \( \int_0^\infty [C_1 f_1^0(E) + C_2 f_2^0(E)] \, dE = 1 \), where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are constants corresponding to the respective fractions of the distribution, being given as numerals in parentheses in the figure [\( C_1 + C_2 = 1 \), \( \int_0^\infty f_i^0(E) \, dE = 1 \)].

The results indicate that in both systems, the energy distributions may be approximated by two Maxвелlians of low \( T_1 \) and high \( T_2 \) and high \( T_2 ; f(E) \approx C_1 f_1^0(E) + C_2 f_2^0(E) \), where the first Maxвелlian stream \( f_1^0(E) \) with \( T_1 \approx 2500 \text{ K} \) is seen to occur with a fraction \( C_1 \approx 0.6 - 0.7 \), irrespective of species as well as of \( E_i \) and \( \Gamma_i^0 / \Gamma_i^1 \). On the other hand, the second one \( f_2^0(E) \)
with high $T_2 \approx 8000–30000$ K is seen to occur with a fraction $C_2 \approx 0.3–0.4$, depending significantly on species desorbed; in effect, the temperature $T_2$ is higher for lighter species ($T_2$ is the highest for light Ha, followed by those for SiHa, SiHa2, and SiHa3 in decreasing order), while it seems to be not so sensitive to $E_i$ and $\Gamma_{\alpha}U_{\beta}^{n0}$. A comparison between SiCl and Si/Br systems indicates that the fraction $C_1$ of the distribution $f_{si}^E(E)$ is slightly smaller [or $C_2$ of $f_{si}^{10}(E)$ is slightly larger] in Si/Br and that the temperature $T_2$ for SiHa appears to be slightly lower in Si/Br, while those for light Ha are nearly the same in both systems. Similar results were also obtained for Si, SiHa, and Ha as listed in Table I (not shown in Fig. 12): $T_2 \approx 40000$ K ($C_2 \approx 0.6$) for light elemental Si is the highest, followed by those for Ha, Ha2, SiHa, SiHa2, SiHa3, and SiHa in decreasing order; and $T_2 = 7000$ K ($C_2 \approx 0.4$) for heavy SiBr2 is the lowest in all species concerned. The average energies of the species desorbed are evaluated in the table as $E_0 = C_1(3/2)k_BT_1 + C_2(3/2)k_BT_2$ [($=C_2(3/2)k_BT_2$, practically, because of $C_1 \approx C_2$ and $T_1 \ll T_2$]; note that the respective energies $E_0$ tend to be similar in both systems, owing to larger $C_2$ while lower $T_2$ in Si/Br, and that the distributions $f(E)$ of SiCl are not well obtained in the present MD because of extremely small yields of it as mentioned earlier with respect to Fig. 4.

The temperature $T_1$ $\approx 2500$ K is surprisingly very similar to those previously evaluated from time-of-flight distributions of sputtered SiCl$_4$ ($x$ = $1–3$) in beam experiments of 100-eV Ar\textsuperscript+\ ion incidence on Si in Cl$_2$ ($T$ = 2450, 2500, and 2100 (or 2700) $\pm 150$ K for SiCl, SiCl$_2$, and Cl$_3$ (or SiCl$_4$), respectively),\textsuperscript{62} in the experiments, a transition from a collision-cascade to a Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution was shown to occur at $E_i \approx 2500$ eV as the Ar\textsuperscript+ ion energy was decreased from $E_i = 500$ to 100 eV, where the Maxwell–Boltzmann distributions of high $T > 2000$ K at low $E_i \approx 100$ eV were attributed to the desorption or evaporation of surface reaction products SiCl$_4$, from an ion-induced local hot spot.\textsuperscript{62} Note that the energy distribution of atoms/molecules sputtered by a collision-cascade mechanism is often given by $f(E) = CE/(E + U)^3$\textsuperscript{41,62,81} where $U$ is the effective surface binding energy, $C$ is a constant for normalization, and the distribution peaks at $E = U/2$. The beam experiments further showed that for heavier Xe\textsuperscript+ ion bombardment on Si in Cl$_2$, the transition occurred at higher $E_i \approx 500–2000$ eV than for Ar\textsuperscript+, and the surface temperature of the hot spot (evaluated from the distribution of SiCl$_4$) was lower than for Ar\textsuperscript+, increasing from $T = 1250$ to 1800 K as the Xe\textsuperscript+ ion energy was decreased from $E_i = 2000$ to $150$ eV.\textsuperscript{62} These were explained in terms of the effective surface binding energy $U$ which the species have to overcome to be sputtered or ejected from surfaces;\textsuperscript{62} the temperature, characterizing the Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution of sputtered species, is assumed to be correlated with $U$, which is expected to be lower for higher chlorinated molecules; moreover, $U$ is also expected to be lowered at increased $E_i$, because the energy deposition in surface layers increases there, where in turn more damage is formed, more excitation of surface-bound species takes place, and then $U$ is lowered; similarly, the energy deposition in surface layers is higher under heavier ion bombardment at the same ion energy,\textsuperscript{82–84} thus resulting in lower $U$ under that condition.

A Maxwellian stream with much higher $T \gg 2000$ K has not been reported so far numerically as well as experimentally. However, the present MD results of the temperature $T_2$ ($\approx 7000–40000$ K, depending significantly on species and adsorbates desorbed and slightly on incident species) may be consistent with the previous beam experiments of Ar\textsuperscript+ and Xe\textsuperscript+ ion incidences on Si in Cl$_2$ as concerned in the preceding text,\textsuperscript{62} to some extent: the temperature $T_2$ is higher for lighter species (or lower halogenated species) and is lower for heavier Br\textsuperscript+ incidence. Moreover, the maximum of the energy distribution is often assumed to suggest the effective surface binding energy $U$ of sputtered species;\textsuperscript{43} in the present MD, the maximum of the Maxwellian $f_{si}^{10}(E)$ occurring at $E = k_BT_1$ suggests $U_1 \approx 0.21$ eV irrespective of species, while that of $f_{si}^{0}(E)$ at $E = k_BT_2$ suggests $U_2 \approx 0.6–3.4$ eV depending on incident as well as desorbed species (as also listed in Table I). These $U_2$ values appear to be in agreement with the previous beam experiments of Ar\textsuperscript+ ion incidence on Si in Cl$_2$,\textsuperscript{59,60,62} which gave $U \approx 0.3$ eV for SiCl and SiCl$_2$ (corresponding to weakly bound molecules), while $U \approx$ a few eV for Si and Cl (expected for sputtering of strongly bound atoms).

2. Mean energy

Figure 13 shows the mean energies $E$ of products SiHa$_x$ ($x$ = 0–4) and halogen adsorbates Ha$_x$ ($x$ = 1, 2) desorbed from surfaces being etched, obtained from simulations for Si/Ha (Ha = Cl, Br) systems with different ion energies of $E_i = 50$, 150, and 300 eV and neutral-to-ion flux ratios of $\Gamma_{\alpha}/\Gamma_{\beta} = 0$ and 100. Here $E$ is the arithmetic average of the translational energy $E$ of atoms/molecules of interest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>m/e (amu)</th>
<th>$T_1$ (K)</th>
<th>$C_1$</th>
<th>$T_2$ (K)</th>
<th>$C_2$</th>
<th>$E_0$ (eV)$^a$</th>
<th>$U_2$ (eV)$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si/Cl</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>40000</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiCl</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>18000</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiCl$_2$</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>12000</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiCl$_3$</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiCl$_4$</td>
<td>168</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si/Br</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>40000</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiBr</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>15000</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiBr$_2$</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiBr$_3$</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiBr$_4$</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Br$_2$</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>16000</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Average energy evaluated as $E_0 = C_1(3/2)k_BT_1 + C_2(3/2)k_BT_2$.
$^b$Effective surface binding energy evaluated as $U_2 = k_BT_2$; the other one $U_1 = k_BT_1 \approx 0.21$ eV irrespective of species.
$^c$Distributions $f(E)$ of SiCl$_4$ were not well obtained, because of extremely small yields of it in the present MD.
desorbed through ion impact (counted for more than 15 000 impacts), and the $E$ values of SiCl$_4$ might not be well obtained statistically owing to the same reason as its distributions $f(E)$. The results indicate similar tendencies to those of the temperature $T_2$ as mentioned in the preceding text (apart from SiCl$_4$): in both systems, the mean energy $\bar{E}$ is higher for lighter species of SiHa and Ha$_n$ (n = 1, 2) desorbed from surfaces being etched (Ha = Cl, Br), obtained from simulations for (a) Si/Cl and (b) Si/Br systems with different ion energies of $E_i$ = 50, 150, and 300 eV and neutral-to-ion flux ratios of $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0$ and 100. Note that the $E$ values of SiCl$_4$ might not be well obtained statistically, owing to extremely small yields of it in the present MD.

slightly higher at higher $E_i$ for SiHa, while it appears to be slightly higher at lower $E_i$ for Ha$_2$ and SiHa$_x$ (x $\geq$ 2); however, $\bar{E}$ seems to be still not so sensitive systematically to $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$.

The mean energy $\bar{E}$ is expected to be approximately equal to the average energy $\bar{E}_0$, in the situation where the energy distribution $f(E)$ is approximated totally by two Maxwellians, $f(E) \approx C_1f_1^0(E) + C_2f_2^0(E)$ in the range $0 < E < E_i$; in effect, $\bar{E} \approx \bar{E}_0$ for all SiHa$_x$ and Ha$_n$ species of interest in both Si/Cl and Si/Br systems, apart from a marked deviation $\bar{E} > \bar{E}_0$ that is seen in Fig. 13 for light elemental Si and Ha under high-energy ($E_i > 150$ eV) ion incidence. The deviation concerned is larger for Si than for Ha and is larger at higher $E_i$, which was found to arise from high-energy tails (at $E > 10$ eV) of the distribution $f(E)$ deviating from the second Maxwellian $C_2f_2^0(E)$, thus reflecting the contribution of sputtering of strongly bound Si and Ha atoms (as inferred from the temperature $T_2$ or binding energy $U_2$ in Sec. III E 1). In practice, the high-energy tails were more significant for Si than for Ha and more significant at increased $E_i$, which required an additional collision-cascade distribution $f_c(E)$ contributing for $< 10\%$ to the total fit [note that $f_c(E)$ falls off as $E^{-2}$ at $E \gg U$, which is relatively gradual as compared to the Maxwellian $f_0(E)$ that falls off as $\exp(-E)$ at $E \gg k_BT$].

3. Angular distribution

Figure 14 shows the angular distributions $g(\theta)$ of product SiHa$_2$ and halogen adsorbate Ha$_n$ desorbed from surfaces being etched, in the range $0 < \theta < \pi/2$, obtained from simulations for Si/Cl (Ha = Cl, Br) systems with different ion energies of $E_i$ = 50, 100, and 300 eV and neutral-to-ion flux ratios of $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0$ and 100. Here the distributions are normalized such that $\int_0^{\pi/2} g(\theta) 2\pi \sin \theta \, d\theta = 1$, and each data point for a given $\theta$ reflects the number (per unit solid angle) of atoms/molecules of interest desorbed per ion impact with polar angles between $\theta - (\Delta \theta)/2$ and $\theta + (\Delta \theta)/2$, counted with $\Delta \theta = 5^\circ$ for more than 15 000 impacts; in addition, the data for Ha shown are also those for atoms desorbed through ion incidence (not including the contribution of incident Ha$^+$ ions reflected). Also shown in the figure is the reference curve $g_0(\theta) = (\cos \theta)/\pi$ that is known as the cosine law [Eq. (4)]$^{80,81}$

The results appear to indicate the following tendencies, although statistics of the distribution might be poor at small angles $\theta$ in the present MD, owing to small solid angles $d\Omega = 2\pi \sin \theta \, d\theta$ and thus few atoms/molecules desorbed thereat: in both systems, the angular distributions of SiHa$_2$ and Ha$_n$ are under-cosine at high $E_i$ = 300 eV irrespective of $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$, with a tendency to approach cosine and then become over-cosine with decreasing $E_i$. This tendency is significant for SiHa$_2$, where it is more significant for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 100$ than for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0$ and is more significant in Si/Br than in Si/Cl. On the other hand, for Ha$_n$, the tendency is slightly seen in Si/Cl system (slightly more significant for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 100$ than for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0$), while it is not seen in Si/Br (the angular distribution of Br remains almost unchanged at under-cosine for varying $E_i$ and $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$). The angular distributions of SiHa$_x$ (x = 1, 3, 4) exhibited similar tendencies to that of SiHa$_2$, while those of Si
and Ha$_2$ exhibited similar tendencies to that of Ha, where the degree of under-cosine was the largest for Si. Therefore, the present results are opposite to those generally known for sputtering, for which a number of experimental and theoretical/numerical investigations have shown a distinct under-cosine distribution at low $E_i < 1$ keV, approaching cosine with increasing $E_i$. However, a few experiments of sputtering by light H$^+$ and He$^+$ ions of 1 and 4 keV showed an over-cosine distribution; moreover, a few theoretical/numerical studies of sub-keV Ar$^+$ ion sputtering indicated that the distribution consists of a sub-cosine component produced by ion-in sputtering (sputtering by primary ions directed inside the target) and an over-cosine contribution resulting from ion-out sputtering (sputtering by backscattered ions moving in the outward direction). An anisotropic, nonequilibrium distribution like $F(c,\theta) = f_d(E)\rho(E,\theta)$ may be required to understand the mechanisms responsible, although there are no experimental and theoretical/numerical data to be compared on the angular distribution of products and adsorbates desorbed during plasma etching.

4. Surface reaction kinetics

The ion bombardment-induced etch processes in plasma etching have been appreciated to consist of physical sputtering and ion-enhanced etching, and the latter has been speculated to consist of the so-called chemically enhanced physical sputtering and chemical sputtering (or, physically enhanced chemical sputtering). In chemically enhanced physical sputtering, the surface reaction layers are assumed to be formed primarily by chemical reactions of reactive neutrals adsorbed in the presence of ion-induced chemical reactions, where the desorption of surface reaction products is enhanced by the sputtering through ion incidence; and the translational energy distribution of atoms/molecules desorbed is often assumed to be a collision-cascade type, where weakly bound molecules are created and desorbed during the same collision cascade. However, if they remain on the surface for a period of time and then desorb during the development of the hot spots, they are expected to have a Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution characterized by a high temperature; in these situations, different species desorbed may have Maxwell–Boltzmann distributions with different temperatures depending on the respective surface binding energies. This would correspond to the Maxwellian stream $j_0^d(E)$ with $C_2 \approx 0.3$–0.6 and $T_2 \approx 7000$–40 000 K in the present MD. On the other hand, in chemical sputtering, the surface reaction layers are assumed to be formed primarily by ion-induced chemical reactions in the presence of reactive neutrals, where the desorption of surface reaction products is caused by thermal processes; in these situations, different species are expected to be desorbed or evaporated from the hot spots established on surfaces with Maxwell–Boltzmann distributions characterized by the same temperature. This would correspond to the Maxwellian stream $j_0^d(E)$ with $C_1 \approx 0.4$–0.7 and $T_1 \approx 2500$ K in the present MD.

Hence we may conclude that the present MD results of the translational energy distribution reveal the coexistence of the chemically enhanced physical sputtering and chemical sputtering that have so far been speculated to both occur in the ion-enhanced surface reaction kinetics of plasma etching. In the MD simulations, local hot spots should be
formed on surfaces during ion bombardment, at earlier times soon thereafter, the former [concerned with $f_0^i(E)$, $T_2$] would occur, when atoms/molecules with high kinetic energies that overcome the respective surface binding energies ($U_s$) desorb from the hot spots during the development of them or during a transient, nonequilibrium state in which the hot spots are rapidly cooling down. Then the latter [concerned with $f_0^i(E)$, $T_1$] would occur at later times, when atoms/molecules with lower kinetic energies that overcome the surface binding energies lowered ($U_s$) desorb from the hot spots that have reached a state of equilibrium. The lifetime of the hot spots is assumed to be of the order of $10^{-12}$ s, being consistent with the system evolving time of 0.7 ps and the following cooling time of 0.3 ps after ion bombardment in the present MD [as mentioned earlier with respect to Fig. 1(b)].

A relevant understanding of the present MD results of the angular distribution will require a further study including experimental measurements of it.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have performed classical MD simulations of Cl$^+$ and Br$^+$ ions incident on Si(100) surfaces in the presence of incoming Cl and Br neutrals, respectively, using an improved SW potential form, to gain a better understanding of the ion-enhanced surface reaction kinetics during Si etching in Cl- and Br-based plasmas. The ions were taken to be incident normally onto surfaces with translational energies in the range $E_i = 20$–500 eV, where low-energy neutrals of translational energy $E_n = 0.01$ eV were also incident normally thereon with the neutral-to-ion flux ratio in the range $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 0$–100; in practice, the MD calculations consisted of a repetition of an ion incidence followed by that of a set of neutrals, to simulate plasma etching environments with simultaneous incidence of energetic ions and neutral reactants. The simulations gave insight into the fundamental aspects such as etch yield and threshold, product stoichiometry, desorption yield of halogen adsorbates, energy and angular distributions of products and adsorbates desorbed, and microscopic structures of surface reaction layers (thickness, coverage, stoichiometry, and depth profile).

The MD results indicated that in both Si/Cl and Si/Br systems, the etch or Si yield $Y_{Si_i}$ increases with increasing $E_i$, along with the percentage of elemental Si and low-halogenated SiHa species; and at a given $E_i$, the yield $Y_{Si_i}$ is increased for increased $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$, along with the percentage of higher-halogenated SiHa species, where the threshold $E_n$ (estimated from the $Y_{Si_i}$ versus $E_i$ curve) is almost independent of $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$. Moreover, the surface coverage of Ha atoms adsorbed increases with increasing $E_i$, while it remains almost unchanged for varying $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$ at a given $E_i$; on the other hand, the surface SiHa layer thickness increases with increasing $E_i$, while it tends to be slightly decreased for increased $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$ at a given $E_i$. The etch yields and thresholds presently simulated were in agreement with the experimental results previously reported for Si etching in Cl2 and Br2 plasmas as well as in Cl$^+$, Cl$^{2+}$, and Br$^+$ beams, and the product stoichiometry simulated was consistent with that experimentally observed during Ar$^+$ beam incidence on Si in Cl2. Moreover, the surface halogen coverage, halogenated surface layer thickness, surface stoichiometry, and depth profile of surface product species simulated for $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0 = 100$ were in excellent agreement with the experimental observations depending on $E_i$ reported for Si etching in Cl2 plasmas; in particular, the simulations fully reproduced the surface stoichiometry including the depth profiles that higher chlorides SiCl$2$ and SiCl$3$ tend to be confined to the surface region with the predominant chloride SiCl below the surface.

The MD results also indicated that the yield, coverage, and surface layer thickness are smaller in Si/Br than in Si/Cl system, owing to the larger atomic radius (or smaller penetration depth) and less reactivity of Br on Si; on the other hand, the percentage of higher halogenated species in product and surface stoichiometries is larger in Si/Br, presumably owing to higher concentrations of halogen atoms in the near-surface region of thinner surface layers in Si/Br. These etch fundamentals for Si/Br system would be useful for understanding the Si etching in Br-based plasmas, we believe, because few studies have been concerned with plasma-surface interactions or surface reaction kinetics for Si/Br in experiments as well as simulations.

The MD results further indicated that in both systems, the translational energy distributions ($0 < E < 10$ eV) of products SiHa, and halogen adsorbates Ha, desorbed from surfaces being etched are approximated by two Maxwellians of temperature $T_1 \approx 2500$ K and $T_2 \approx 7000$–$40000$ K. The temperature $T_2$ is significantly higher for lighter species (or higher halogenated species that are expected to have higher surface binding energies), while $T_1$ is almost independent of species desorbed; in addition, $T_2$ is slightly lower in Si/Br, and both $T_1$ and $T_2$ appear to be not so sensitive to $E_i$ and $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$ over the range investigated. This temperature $T_1$ was surprisingly very similar to those previously evaluated from time-of-flight distributions of sputtered SiCl$2$, ($x = 1$–3) in beam experiments of Ar$^+$ ion incidence on Si in Cl2. These results of the energy distribution would give evidence of the desorption or evaporation from hot spots formed through the so-called chemically enhanced physical sputtering and chemical sputtering (or physically enhanced chemical sputtering) that have so far been speculated to both occur in the ion-enhanced surface reaction kinetics of plasma etching. In effect, the former is assumed to correspond to the distribution of high $T_2$, which would occur at earlier times (or during a transient, nonequilibrium state) soon after ion bombardment; on the other hand, the latter is assumed to correspond to the distribution of low $T_1$, which would occur at later times (or during a state of equilibrium) after the system has reached equilibrium. In addition, the mean energies of desorbed species suggested high-energy tails ($E > 10$ eV) of the distribution deviating from the second Maxwellian of high $T_2$ for elemental Si and Ha, reflecting the contribution of sputtering of strongly bound atoms; in practice, the high-energy tails were found to be more significant for Si than for Ha and more significant at increased $E_i$ (not so sensitive to $\Gamma_n^0/\Gamma_i^0$), which required an additional collision-cascade distribution contributing for <10% to the total fit.
The angular distributions of desorbed species were also analyzed to further discuss the surface reaction kinetics concerned; however, the MD results obtained are not well understood at present. A relevant understanding of the mechanisms for the angular distribution will require a further study including experimental measurements of it, because there are no experimental as well as theoretical/numerical data to be compared on the angular distribution of products and adsorbates desorbed during plasma etching.

Further MD studies are now in progress for the effects of ion incidence angle as well as neutral reactant flux on the interaction of Cl$^-$ and Br$^-$ ions with Si surfaces.
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