Resolvin E1 inhibits dendritic cell migration in the skin and attenuates contact hypersensitivity responses
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Resolvin E1 (RvE1) is a lipid mediator derived from \( \omega \)3 polyunsaturated fatty acids that exerts potent antiinflammatory roles in several murine models. The antiinflammatory mechanism of RvE1 in acquired immune responses has been attributed to attenuation of cytokine production by dendritic cells (DCs). In this study, we newly investigated the effect of RvE1 on DC motility using two-photon microscopy in a contact hypersensitivity (CHS) model and found that RvE1 impaired DC motility in the skin. In addition, RvE1 attenuated T cell priming in the draining lymph nodes and effector T cell activation in the skin, which led to the reduced skin inflammation in CHS. In contrast, leukotriene B4 (LTB4) induced actin filament reorganization in DCs and increased DC motility by activating Cdc42 and Rac1 via BLT1, which was abrogated by RvE1. Collectively, our results suggest that RvE1 attenuates cutaneous acquired immune responses by inhibiting cutaneous DC motility, possibly through LTB4–BLT1 signaling blockade.
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into the inflammatory foci through a blockade of LTB4–BLT1 signaling in neutrophils (Haas-Stapleton et al., 2007). In contrast, few studies have been conducted on the effect of RvE1 on acquired immune responses, in which DCs and T cells play major roles in the development. In these studies, the attenuated cytokine production, such as IL-12 and IL-23, from DCs is considered as the major mechanism by which RvE1 exerts the antiinflammatory effects (Arita et al., 2005a; Haworth et al., 2008). However, the effect of RvE1 on DC motility has not been investigated in the context of acquired immunity.

In the peripheral tissues such as the skin, DCs migrate in an amoeboid movement that requires actin polymerization via activation of the Rho family of small GTPases, such as Cdc42, Rac, and Rho A (Lämmermann and Germain, 2014). In acquired immunity such as contact hypersensitivity (CHS), upon uptake of foreign antigens, DCs migrate to the draining LNs (dLNs) via lymphatic vessels to establish sensitization by inducing the antigen-specific T cell differentiation (Honda et al., 2013). In elicitation, DC migration to form DC–T cell clustering is required for efficient antigen presentation in situ (Natsuaki et al., 2014). Thus, active DC motility is an essential factor for acquired immunity.

In this study, we investigated the effects and underlying mechanisms of RvE1 on DC motility using a CHS model, which is a prototype of delayed-type hypersensitivity in the skin mediated by IFN-γ (Mori et al., 2008; Honda et al., 2013). RvE1 inhibited cutaneous DC migration into the dLNs and suppressed antigen-specific T cell induction in the sensitization phase. In addition, live imaging analysis revealed that RvE1 inhibited cutaneous DC motility and cluster formation in the skin, which subsequently attenuated activation of effector T cells in the skin in the elicitation phase of CHS. Intriguingly, LTB4 induced actin filament reorganization in DCs and increased DC motility by activating Cdc42 and Rac1 via BLT1, which was abrogated by RvE1. These results suggest that RvE1 exerts its antiinflammatory effects in cutaneous acquired immunity by inhibiting DC motility, possibly through an LTB4–BLT1 signaling blockade.

**RESULTS**

**RvE1 inhibits DC migration from skin to dLNs**

To examine the effect of RvE1 on cutaneous DC migration to dLNs, we used an FITC-induced cutaneous DC migration assay in the presence or absence of RvE1. Mice treated with RvE1 exhibited a significantly reduced number of migrated DCs in the dLNs, suggesting that RvE1 regulates cutaneous DC migration (Fig. 1A and B). We next sought to identify the receptors through which RvE1 exerts the inhibitory effects on cutaneous DC migration. RvE1 is known to elicit its functions on cutaneous DC migration, RvE1 is known to elicit its functions via two receptors, BLT1 and ChemR23 (Arita et al., 2007). We first examined the involvement of ChemR23 signaling on cutaneous DC migration using chemerin, a ChemR23 agonist, with two different doses (500 ng/body and 5 µg/body) according to previous studies (Luangsay et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2014). However, the ChemR23 agonist did not affect cutaneous DC migration in these conditions (not depicted).

Then, we examined the involvement of BLT1 in the effects of RvE1 using BLT1-deficient mice. BLT1-deficient mice exhibited impaired cutaneous DC migration, which was similar to the extent of RvE1-treated WT mice (Fig. 1C). No additional inhibitory effect of RvE1 on DC migration was observed in BLT1-deficient mice (Fig. 1C), suggesting the involvement of BLT1 in the inhibitory effect of RvE1.
Because there are at least three DC subsets in the skin (Langerhans cells, CD103+ dermal DCs [Langerin-positive dermal DCs], and CD103− dermal DCs [Langerin-negative dermal DCs]; Honda et al., 2010), we examined the effect of RvE1 on each DC subset in an FITC-induced cutaneous DC migration assay. We found that RvE1 inhibited the migration of each DC subset similarly (Fig. 1 D). These results indicate that the effect of RvE1 may not be restricted to a particular DC subset.

To ascertain the aforementioned findings, we generated BMDCs and compared the effects of RvE1, BLT1 antagonist U-75302, and ChemR23 agonist chemerin on TNF-stimulated BMDC migration. First, we observed that BMDCs produced LTB4, which was significantly augmented by TNF stimulation (Fig. 1 E). The TNF-induced chemotaxis toward CC chemokine ligand 21 (CCL21) was significantly impaired by RvE1 and BLT1 antagonist treatment, but not by ChemR23 agonist treatment (Fig. 1 F). In addition, the attenuated chemotaxis in BLT1-deficient BMDCs was not further impaired by RvE1 treatment (Fig. 1 F). These results suggest that RvE1 regulates cutaneous DC migration through a blockade of autonomous LTB4–BLT1 signaling pathway.

**RvE1 suppresses the sensitization in CHS**

Next, we evaluated the impact of impaired DC migration by RvE1 on acquired cutaneous immune response using a CHS model. We first examined the effect of RvE1 in the sensitization phase of CHS because dermal DC migration to dLNs is an essential step for sensitization (Honda et al., 2013). WT mice treated with RvE1 exhibited a significantly reduced number of CD44+CD62L+ central memory T cells and CD44+CD62L− effector memory T cells in dLNs after 0.5% 2,4-dinitrofluorobenzene (DNFB) application (Fig. 2A). In addition, antigen-specific T cell proliferation and IFN-γ production by dLN cells were significantly reduced in RvE1-treated WT mice (Fig. 2 B and C). Consistently, RvE1 significantly decreased the ear swelling response, an indicator of skin inflammation, in WT mice (Fig. 2 D).

In contrast, BLT1-deficient mice exhibited a significantly reduced ear swelling response similar to that of RvE1-treated WT mice. RvE1 treatment did not impair the CHS response further in BLT1-deficient mice (Fig. 2 D), providing evidence that RvE1 regulates cutaneous DC migration and subsequent sensitization in CHS, possibly through a blockade of LT4–BLT1 signaling.

**RvE1 inhibits BLT1-induced cutaneous DC migration in the steady state**

Cutaneous DCs (Kaede-red DCs) from the skin to dLNs was significantly reduced in RvE1- or BLT1 antagonist–treated mice than that in vehicle–treated mice (Fig. 3 B and C). The motility of the three skin DC subsets was similarly affected by RvE1 or the BLT1 antagonist (Fig. 3 D). These results indicate that LT4–BLT1 signaling regulates cutaneous constitutive DC migration to dLNs in the steady state skin as in the hapten-induced inflammatory skin.

**LT4–BLT1 signaling is essential for cutaneous DC motility**

Next, we examined the in vivo mechanisms by which RvE1 regulates cutaneous DC migration to dLNs. CD11c-YFP mice were used for the visualization of cutaneous DCs (Lindquist et al., 2004), and the ear skin of CD11c-YFP mice were subjected to two-photon microscopy observation for intravital imaging of cutaneous DCs (Honda et al., 2014; Natsuaki et al., 2014). 1 h after the initiation of the observation, mice were treated with vehicle, RvE1, or a BLT1 antagonist, and DC morphology and motility were observed for another 3 h. Before RvE1 and/or BLT1 antagonist treatment, cutaneous DCs exhibited active motility with polarized morphology, filopodia- and lamellipodia-like structures at the leading edge.
The migration ratio (migrated DCs/skin-resident DCs) was significantly higher in WT DCs (0.0193 ± 0.0024 [mean ± SEM; n = 4]) than that in BLT1-deficient DCs (0.0048 ± 0.0006 [mean ± SEM; n = 4]). These findings strongly support that LTB4-BLT1 signaling is intrinsically essential for cutaneous DC motility and that RvE1 inhibits DC motility in the skin, possibly by antagonizing the LTB4-BLT1 signaling in both the steady and the inflammatory states.

LTB4-BLT1 signaling mediates DC cluster formation in the skin

The aforementioned results suggest that BLT1-dependent DC motility plays a key role in CHS during both the sensitization and elicitation phases because increased DC motility and subsequent DC cluster formation around the postcapillary venules after the hapten application are essential for effector T cell activation in the skin during the elicitation phase (Natsuaki et al., 2014). In light of this, we next evaluated the involvement of LTB4-BLT1 signaling in DC cluster formation. The ear skin was subjected to whole-mount staining with anti–MHC class II mAbs, and subjected to flow cytometric analysis. The numbers of each DC subset (EpCAM+ Langerhans cells, CD103+ dermal DCs, and CD103− dermal DCs among Kaede-red+ CD11c+ MHC class II+ cells in dLNs; n = 3). Results are expressed as the mean ± SEM. All p-values were obtained by Student’s t test: *, P < 0.05. All data are representative of three independent experiments with reproducible results.
with DNFB on the ears, where WT-derived memory T cells interact with WT or BLT1-deficient DCs. BLT1-deficient recipient mice, which lacked BLT1 in skin DCs, exhibited fewer IFN-γ-producing CD8+ T cells in the skin and a reduced ear swelling response when compared with WT recipient mice (Fig. 5, E–G).

These results suggest that LTB4-BLT1 signaling facilitates DC cluster formation in the skin, which is abrogated by RvE1 treatment.

Next, we investigated whether the reduced DC cluster formation in RvE1-treated WT mice and BLT1-deficient mice led to impaired effector T cell activation in the skin. Administration of RvE1 or a BLT1 antagonist during the elicitation phase significantly suppressed the number of IFN-γ-producing CD8+ T cells in the skin, accompanied by reduced ear swelling response (Fig. 5, C and D). The effect of RvE1 in combination with the BLT1 antagonist on the CD8+ T cell activation and the ear swelling response were similar to those induced by each drug treatment alone (Fig. 5, C and D).

We also sought to examine the physiological role of BLT1 in cutaneous DCs. To address this issue, we purified LN cells from DNFB-sensitized WT mice, which contained DNFB-sensitized memory T cells, and transferred into either naïve WT or BLT1-deficient mice. Then, the mice were challenged with DNFB on the ears, where WT-derived memory T cells interact with WT or BLT1-deficient DCs. BLT1-deficient recipient mice, which lacked BLT1 in skin DCs, exhibited fewer IFN-γ-producing CD8+ T cells in the skin and a reduced ear swelling response when compared with WT recipient mice (Fig. 5, E–G).

These results indicate that LTB4-BLT1 signaling facilitates DC motility to form DC cluster and memory T cell activation in the skin after hapten application. Although we could not completely exclude the possibility of the effect of RvE1 on other cells such as T cells and neutrophils, RvE1 seems to exert antiinflammatory roles in the elicitation phase of CHS, possibly through a blockade of LTB4-BLT1 signaling on DCs.

**LTB4 induces actin polymerization in DCs and promotes DC chemokinesis**

The actin cytoskeleton is essential to the regulation of cell formation, and the rates of polymerization/dem polymerization...
Next, we examined the effect of LTB4 on Rho family protein activation in DCs because Rho family proteins, including Cdc42, Rac1, and Rho, play essential roles in actin polymerization (Lämmermann and Germain, 2014). Among the three Rho family proteins, LTB4 induced the conversion of the GDP-bound inactivated form of Cdc42 and Rac1 into their GTP-bound activated form in WT BMDCs, which was canceled by pretreatment with RvE1 or a BLT1 antagonist (Fig. 6, D and E). Consistently, LTB4-induced activation of Cdc42 and Rac1 was not observed in BLT1-deficient BMDCs.

Finally, an in vitro transwell migration assay was performed to directly examine the effect of LTB4 on DC motility. LTB4 treatment significantly enhanced BMDC migration toward CCL21, a chemokine for cutaneous DC migration to dLNs (Förster et al., 1999), and chemokine (CXC motif) ligand 2 (CXCL2), a chemokine for DC cluster formation in the skin (Natsuaki et al., 2014), when compared with vehicle-treated BMDCs. These effects of LTB4 were abolished by pretreatment with RvE1 or the BLT1 antagonist (Fig. 6 F).
Our results underscore the importance of LTB4-BLT1 signaling on cutaneous DC motility and the subsequent immune response, which is abrogated by RvE1. Previous studies suggested that the anti-inflammatory effect by RvE1 is mediated by modulation of cytokine production by DCs (Arita et al., 2005a; Haworth et al., 2008). In contrast, the significance of DC motility in acquired immunity has been demonstrated (Honda et al., 2013; Natsuaki et al., 2014). Although we could not exclude the possibility that RvE1 modulates cytokine production by cutaneous DCs and affects CHS response, we propose that regulation of DC motility is another possible key mechanism by which RvE1 exerts the anti-inflammatory effects in acquired immunity. The effect of RvE1 on DC motility in cutaneous immunity is consistent with a previous observation in the spleen that RvE1 suppresses DC migration induced by the Toxoplasma.

Furthermore, RvE1 treatment exhibited no additional effects in BLT1-deficient DCs (Fig. 6 F). Therefore, LTB4-BLT1 signaling seems to facilitate DC motility by promoting actin polymerization, which is antagonized by RvE1.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we revealed that RvE1 down-regulates DC motility in both steady state and inflammatory conditions in the skin and exerts its anti-inflammatory effects in CHS. The motility of the three major skin DC subsets is similarly affected by RvE1 and BLT1 deficiency both in the steady state and during inflammation. We propose LTB4-BLT1 signaling blockade as a possible major mechanism through which RvE1 exerts its regulatory effects. In addition, LTB4-BLT1 signaling facilitates cutaneous DC motility by inducing actin polymerization with Cdc42 and Rac1 activation. Collectively, our results underscore the importance of LTB4-BLT1 signaling on cutaneous DC motility and the subsequent immune response, which is abrogated by RvE1.

Previous studies suggested that the anti-inflammatory effect by RvE1 is mediated by modulation of cytokine production by DCs (Arita et al., 2005a; Haworth et al., 2008). In contrast, the significance of DC motility in acquired immunity has been demonstrated (Honda et al., 2013; Natsuaki et al., 2014). Although we could not exclude the possibility that RvE1 modulates cytokine production by cutaneous DCs and affects CHS response, we propose that regulation of DC motility is another possible key mechanism by which RvE1 exerts the anti-inflammatory effects in acquired immunity. The effect of RvE1 on DC motility in cutaneous immunity is consistent with a previous observation in the spleen that RvE1 suppresses DC migration induced by the Toxoplasma.
gondii–soluble tachyzoite antigens (Arita et al., 2005a). Here we demonstrated that the attenuated DC migration by RvE1 was observed in WT mice but not in BLT1-deficient mice and that a ChemR23 agonist did not significantly influence DC migration. Our current findings together with the previous study suggest that RvE1 attenuates the cytokine production by DCs and cutaneous DC migration via stimulation with ChemR23 and a blockade of BLT1, respectively.

In this study, we have demonstrated that LTB4–BLT1 signaling promotes actin polymerization in DCs by inducing Cdc42 and Rac1 activation, which was inhibited by RvE1. The aforementioned mechanism may explain the inhibitory mechanism of RvE1 on DC motility and morphological changes in the skin. In fact, BMDCs lacking Rac1 and Rac2, or Cdc42, exhibit round-shaped morphology and do not migrate to dLNs (Benvenuti et al., 2004; Lämmermann et al., 2009). Here we demonstrated that LTxB4 was produced by DCs themselves, and its production was further enhanced by DC activation. Therefore, the aforementioned findings suggest that LTxB4 produced by DCs themselves facilitates cutaneous DC motility via BLT1 to establish acquired immunity.

We recently reported that dermal DC clusters are essential structures that initiate effector T cell activation and that IL-1α is a key factor in inducing the clusters (Natsuaki et al., 2014). In the current study, we revealed that LTxB4–BLT1 signaling is another important mediator for DC cluster formation. It has been reported that IL-1 induces LTxB4 production from neutrophils (Smith et al., 1987) and that IL-1 receptor antagonist down-regulates LTxB4 production from monocytes (Conti et al., 1992, 1993). Therefore, IL-1 observed in skin inflammation (Natsuaki et al., 2014) may induce LTxB4 production in the skin, which may lead to increased DC motility and subsequent DC cluster formation in the skin.

Collectively, our results newly clarified the regulatory mechanisms of RvE1 in vivo and the effect of LTxB4–BLT1 signaling on cutaneous DC motility. RvE1 is an endogenous lipid mediator with strong antiinflammatory effects, and the increase of LTxB4 is detected in various inflammatory diseases (Honda and Kabashima, 2014); therefore, RvE1 may lead to the development of novel antiinflammatory drugs to regulate acquired immune responses. In contrast, RvE1 may attenuate the resistance to microorganisms by inhibiting BLT1 signaling. Indeed, LTxB4–BLT1 signaling contributes to the exclusion of microorganisms, such as histoplasmosis (Saccomo et al., 2014) and cytomegalovirus (Gaudreault and Gosselin, 2007). Therefore, cautious examination of the effect of RvE1 on infection is required for considering further clinical application.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. Female C57BL/6 (B6) mice were purchased from Japan SLC. All experiments were conducted on 8- to 12-wk-old mice. BLT1-deficient mice on B6 background were generated as previously described (Terawaki et al., 2005). Kaede transgenic mice on B6 background were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory. BLT1-deficient Female C57BL/6 (B6) mice were purchased from Japan SLC. All Animals.

Reagents. APC-conjugated anti-CD11c, anti-CD44, and anti–IFN-γ mAbs; APC-Cy7–conjugated anti-B220, anti-CD4, and anti-CD11c mAbs; FITC–conjugated anti–MHC class II mAbs; PB–conjugated anti–CD11c, anti–CD45, and anti–MHC class II mAbs; PE–conjugated anti–CD8, anti–CD62L, and anti–TCRβ mAbs; PE-Cy7–conjugated anti–CD45 and anti–MHC class II mAbs; and annexin V and PI were purchased from eBioscience. BLT1 antagonist ONO–4057 was provided by Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. RvE1 was synthesized as previously described (Ogawa and Kobayashi, 2009).

DNFB-induced CHS model and adoptive transfer. Mice were sensitized through the application of 25 µl of 0.5% (wt/vol) DNFB (Nacalai Tesque) in 4:1 acetone/olive oil (vol/vol) to their shaved abdomens. They were challenged on both sides of each ear with 20 µl of 0.3% (wt/vol) DNFB 5 d after sensitization. RvE1 (200 ng/mouse in 200 µl of 1.8% ethanol in PBS) or its vehicle (200 µl of 1.8% ethanol in PBS) was applied 1 d before and on the day of sensitization or 5 d after sensitization via intravenous injection, according to a previous study (Haworth et al., 2008) with some modifications. Ear thickness change was measured for each mouse before and 24 and 48 h after the challenge using a thickness gauge (Teclor), and the difference was expressed as ear swelling. For adoptive transfer, single-cell suspensions were prepared from axillary dLN of mice 5 d after sensitization with 0.5% DNFB. LN cells from one mouse were injected intravenously into one naive recipient mouse and then challenged with 0.5% DNFB.

Photoconversion. Photoconversion of the skin was performed as previously described (Tomura et al., 2010). In brief, Kaede transgenic mice were anesthetized, shaved on the abdomen, and exposed to violet light at 95 mW/cm² with a 436-nm bandpass filter using Spot UV curing equipment for 10 min (SP500; USHIO).

Immunostaining. Immunostaining for BMDCs was performed as reported previously (Tanizaki et al., 2010), with some modification. In brief, BMDCs were plated on coverslips coated with 10 µg/ml fibronectin (Sigma-Aldrich) for 16 h. The cells were fixed for 15 min with 3.7% formalin (Wako Pure Chemical Industries) and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton-X (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS for 7 min at room temperature. Next, slides were incubated with Alexa Fluor 488–conjugated phalloidin (Invitrogen) at room temperature for 1 h. The slides were mounted in ProLong Gold Antifade reagent (Invitrogen), and fluorescence images were obtained using a BIORAD BZ-9000 system (Keyence). The data were further processed using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health).

For whole-mount staining, the ears were split into dorsal and ventral halves and then incubated for 30 min at 37°C with 0.5 M ammonium thiosulfate. Next, the dermal sheets were separated and fixed in acetone for 10 min at −20°C. After treatment with Image-iT FX Signal Enhancer (Invitrogen), the sheets were incubated with Ab to mouse MHC class II (eBioscience) followed by incubation with Ab to rat IgG conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen). The slides were mounted with a ProLong Antifade kit and were observed using a fluorescence microscope (BIORAD BZ-9000). The number and the size of DC clusters were evaluated in 10 fields of 1 mm² per ear and were assigned scores according to the previously described criteria (Natsuaki et al., 2014). Histological assessment was conducted blindly by two different dermatopathologists.

BMDC culture. For BMDC culture, 5 × 10⁶ BM cells generated from WT and BLT1-deficient mice were cultured in 10 ml cRPMI (RPMI 1640; Sigma-Aldrich), containing 10% heat-inactivated FCS (Invitrogen), 50 µM 2-mercaptoethanol, 2 mM l-glutamine, 25 mM N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N’–2-ethanesulfonic acid, 1 mM nonessential amino acids, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin supplemented with 10 ng/ml of recombinant murine GM-CSF (PeproTech) for 5–7 d.
Flow cytometry. Single-cell suspensions from skin dLNs were prepared 5 d after sensitization and stained with the indicated Abs. For the preparation of single-cell suspensions from skin, the ears were split into dorsal and ventral halves, and the cartilage was removed. The ear skin was incubated for 1 h in cRPMI containing 2 mg/ml collagenase II (Worthington Biochemical Corporation) and 100 µg/ml DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich). The cell suspensions were filtered using a 40-µm cell strainer and stained with the indicated Abs. These cells were subjected to a flow cytometry (Fortessa; BD), and the data were analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree Star).

FITC-induced cutaneous DC migration. The shaved abdomens of the mice were painted with 200 µl of 1% (wt/vol) FITC (Sigma-Aldrich) dissolved in a 1:1 (vol/vol) acetone/dibutyl phthalate mixture. RvE1 (200 ng/mouse in 200 µl of 1.8% ethanol in PBS) or its vehicle (200 µl of 1.8% ethanol in PBS) was applied intravenously 30 min before and 1 d after FITC application. Samples of axillary LN’s were taken for flow cytometric analysis 48 h after FITC application. The cutaneous DCs migrating into the axillary dLNs were then analyzed by flow cytometry using Flow-Count Fluospheres (Beckman Coulter).

Transmigration assay. Single-cell suspensions from BMDCs were incubated for 4 h with TNF (R&D Systems) or LTB4 (Cayman Chemical) and tested for transmigration across uncoated 5-µm transwell filters (Corning) to 100 nM CCL21 (R&D Systems), 50 nM CXCL2 (R&D Systems), or medium for 5 h. Migrating cells were subjected to flow cytometry. R-PMI 1640 with 0.5% fatty acid-free BSA (EMD Millipore) was used as the culture medium.

Two-photon microscopy. Two-photon imaging was performed as previously described (Natsuki et al., 2014), with some modifications. In brief, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and were positioned on the heating plate on the stage of a two-photon microscope (IX-81; Olympus), with their earlobes fixed beneath coverslips with a single drop of immersion oil. Stacks of three images, spaced 4 µm apart, were acquired every 1 min for the indicated period. Videos were further processed using Imaris software (Bitplane). To visualize cutaneous DCs, CD11c-YFP transgenic mice, in which CD11c-positive cells express cytoplasmic YFP, were used (Lindquist et al., 2004). To visualize cutaneous DCs in the observation field and tracked the DC movement in the same time spans (30 min) using Imaris software.

Western blotting. Cdc42 and Rac1 activity assays were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol. In brief, BMDCs were lysed directly in their 10-cm plates with ice-cold lysis buffer. Lysates were then incubated at 4°C with 10 µg PAK-PBD beads (Cytoskeleton, Inc.). Proteins from the total cell lysate, as well as the bead pellets, were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE gel, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, and blotted for the appropriate GTPase using a mouse monoclonal anti-Cdc42 Ab (Cytoskeleton, Inc.) or a mouse monoclonal anti-Rac1 Ab (Cytoskeleton, Inc.).

Lymphocyte proliferation assay. Single-cell suspensions were prepared from axillary dLNs of mice 5 d after sensitization with DNPB. One million LN cells were cultured with or without the different concentrations of dinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (DNBS; 50 and 100 µg/ml) and 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid-induced colitis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 102:7671–7676. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0410271102
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