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SUMMARY

Self-renewal potential and multipotency are hallmarks of a stem cell. It is generally accepted that acquisition of such stemness requires rejuvenation of somatic cells through reprogramming of their genetic and epigenetic status. We show here that a simple block of cell differentiation is sufficient to induce and maintain stem cells. By overexpression of the transcriptional inhibitor ID3 in murine hematopoietic progenitor cells and cultivation under B cell induction conditions, the cells undergo developmental arrest and enter a self-renewal cycle. These cells can be maintained in vitro almost indefinitely, and the long-term cultured cells exhibit robust multi-lineage reconstitution when transferred into irradiated mice. These cells can be cloned and re-expanded with 50% plating efficiency, indicating that virtually all cells are self-renewing. Equivalent progenitors were produced from human cord blood stem cells, and these will ultimately be useful as a source of cells for immune cell therapy.

INTRODUCTION

Somatic tissues with high turnover rates, such as skin, intestinal epithelium, and hematopoietic cells, are maintained by the activity of self-renewing stem cells, which are present in only limited numbers in each organ (Barker et al., 2012; Copley et al., 2012; Fuchs and Chen, 2013). For example, the frequency of hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) in the mouse is about 1 in 10^5 of total bone marrow (BM) cells (Spangrude et al., 1988). Once HSCs begin the differentiation process, their progeny cells have hardly any self-renewal capacity, indicating that self-renewal is a special feature endowed only to stem cells.

Cells such as embryonic stem (ES) cells that retain self-renewal potential and multipotency only in vitro can also be included in the category of stem cells. Such stemness of ES cells is thought to be maintained by formation of a core transcriptional network and an epigenetic status unique to ES cells (Lund et al., 2012; Meissner, 2010; Ng and Surani, 2011). A stem cell equivalent to ES cells, called induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells, can be produced from somatic cells by overexpression of only a few specific transcription factors (OCT3/4, SOX2, KLF4, and C-MYC), which are thought to be the essential components in forming the core network of transcriptional factors that define the status of ES cells (Takahashi et al., 2007; Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006; Yamanaka, 2012). It is thus generally conceived that acquisition of such a network for a somatic cell depends on the reprogramming of the epigenetic status of that cell.

On the other hand, it could be envisioned that the self-renewing status of cells represents a state in which their further differentiation is inhibited. It is known, for example, that to maintain ES/iPS cells, factors such as leukemia inhibitory factor and basic fibroblast growth factor, for mouse and human cultures, respectively (Williams et al., 1988; Xu et al., 2005), are required, and these factors are thought to block further differentiation of the cells. In this context, it has previously been shown that systemic disruption of transcription factors essential for the B cell lineage, such as PAX5, E2A, and EBF1, leads to the emergence of self-renewing multipotent hematopoietic progenitors, which can be maintained under specific culture conditions (Ikawa et al., 2004a; Nutt et al., 1999; Pongubala et al., 2008). It has recently been shown that the suppression of lymphoid lineage priming promotes the expansion of both mouse and human hematopoietic progenitors (Mercer et al., 2011; van Galen et al., 2014). Therefore, it would seem theoretically possible to make a stem cell by inducing inactivation of these factors at particular developmental stages. Conditional depletion of PAX5 in B cell lineage committed progenitors, as well...
as mature B cells, resulted in the generation of T cells from the B lineage cells (Cobaleda et al., 2007; Nutt et al., 1999; Rolink et al., 1999). These studies, however, were mainly focused on the occurrence of cell-fate conversion by de-differentiation of target cells. Therefore, the minimal requirement for the acquisition of self-renewal potential remains undetermined.

Our ultimate goal is to obtain sufficient number of stem cells by expansion to overcome the limitation of cell numbers for immune therapies. We hypothesize that stem cells can be produced by simply blocking differentiation. As mentioned earlier, self-renewing multipotent progenitors (MPPs) can be produced by culturing E2A-deficient hematopoietic progenitors in B cell-inducing conditions (Ikawa et al., 2004a). Because it remains unclear at which developmental stage the acquisition of self-renewing potential has occurred in the case of such a systemic deletion, we thought to develop a method in which E2A function could be inactivated and reactivated in an inducible manner. We decided to use the ID3 protein for this purpose, because it is known that ID proteins serve as dominant-negative inhibitors of E proteins (Norton et al., 1998; Sayegh et al., 2003). Here we show that the overexpression of ID3 into HSCs or hematopoietic progenitor cells (HPCs) in both mouse and human induces the stemness of the progenitors and that the cells acquire the self-renewal activity. The ID3-expressing cells can be maintained in vitro as MPPs with T, B, and myeloid lineage potentials.

**RESULTS**

**Generation of ID3-Transduced Hematopoietic Progenitors**

Murine hematopoietic progenitors isolated as LIN–C–KIT–SCA-1+ (LKS) cells from the fetal liver (FL) were transduced with a retroviral vector containing Id3 or a control vector, and the transduced cells were cultured under B cell-inducing conditions (Figure 1A). The LKS cells transfected with the control vector differentiated into CD19+ B cells, but the ID3-overexpressing cells showed developmental arrest at the B220lowCD19+ stage (Figure 1B). Transcript levels of B lineage-associated genes (e.g., Cd79a, Cd79b, and Vpreb1) in ID3-induced hematopoietic progenitor (IdHP) cells were at least 10-fold lower than those in control cells (Figure S1A). Instead, the IdHP cells prominently express genes associated with other lineages (e.g., Gata3, Gata1, and Cebpα) (Figure S1B). Moreover, only diversity-joining (D-J) but not variable-DJ (V-DJ) of immunoglobulin heavy chain (Igh) gene was detectable in IdHP cells (Figure S1C). These data indicate that the IdHP cells are phenotypically similar to the earliest B cell progenitors, so-called pre-pro B cells, and are almost indistinguishable from the previously reported E2A-deficient MPPs (Ikawa et al., 2004a).

The IdHP cells are relatively large blastic cells, morphologically similar to pre-pro B cells (Figures 1C and 1D), and their gene expression profile is comparable to E2A-deficient progenitors (Figure 1E). The IdHP cells expanded exponentially, i.e., 103-fold in 1 month (Figure 1F), and could be maintained for several months or longer. When transferred to culture conditions inductive for myeloid, B, or T lineages, the IdHP cells exhibited the potential to produce all these cell types (Figures 1G–1K); however, erythroid potential was hardly detected, probably because the ID3 suppressed not only E2A activity but all E-protein activities (data not shown).

**IdHP Cells Are Multipotent, Maintaining T, B, and Myeloid Lineage Potentials**

We then tested the developmental potential of IdHP cells in vivo. A total of 1 × 106 IdHP cells (CD45.1)/mouse were transferred intravenously into sublethally irradiated RAG1-deficient mice (CD45.2) (Figure 2A). After 4 weeks, myeloid cells (MAC1+CD19– cells), natural killer (NK) cells (CD3–NK1.1+ cells), and T cells (CD3+NK1.1– cells) were observed in the CD45.1+ fraction in peripheral blood of the recipient mice (Figure 2B). A substantial number of B cells were also detected in these recipients. In these B cells, downregulated expression of the retroviral reporter human CD25 (hCD25) was seen (Figures S2A and S2B), which often occurs with a retrovirally introduced gene. The generation of B cells in vitro shown in Figure 1H could be similarly explained. Such B cell generation indicates that IdHP cells have the potential to produce B cells, a clear difference from E2A-deleted progenitors. T, B, and myeloid cells were generated in the thymus, spleen, and BM of mice reconstituted with the IdHP cells at 7 weeks of transplantation (Figures 2C and 2D). The CD4+ T cells in the spleen generated from the IdHP cells normally proliferated upon anti-CD3/CD28 stimulation (Figure 2E), confirming the multilineage differentiation potential and functionality of the IdHP cells. To test whether the IdHP cells exhibit self-renewal activity in vivo, the BM cells in NOD/Shi-scid, IL2Rγnull (NOG) mice derived from IdHP cells were further transferred into NOG mice. The mice were analyzed at 8 weeks of secondary transplantation. The results demonstrated that the IdHP cells derived from BM cells exhibited only residual myeloid, but not T and B cell potential (Figures S2C and S2D). The data ruled out the possibility that the IdHP cells might contain MPPs that acquired self-renewal activity or ID3-induced HSC property in vivo.
IdHP Cells Are Multipotent at a Clonal Level

To examine whether IdHP cells retain self-renewal potential, 96 IdHP cells were individually seeded and cultured in the same conditions (Figure 3A). Each clone was expanded with a plating efficiency of 50%. All clones were able to proliferate unlimitedly like IdHP cells as long as the cells were properly cultured. Three randomly selected clones were further expanded, and the cells (1 x 10^6 cells/mouse) were subsequently transferred into sublethally irradiated recipient mice. After 4 weeks, reconstitution of myeloid cells, B cells, NK cells, and T cells derived from the transferred cells was observed in the peripheral blood of these recipients (Figure 3B). Mice transplanted with clone 1 cells were sacrificed 8 weeks after the transfer, and cells from the thymus, spleen, and BM were analyzed. In the BM, MAC1^+GR1^+ myeloid cells were observed among the CD45.1^+ cells (Figures 3C and 3D). CD45.1^+ CD4^+CD8^+DP cells, as well as CD45SP and CD8SP cells, were seen in the thymus, and CD45.1^+B220^+IGM^+ mature B cells were found in the spleen (Figures 3C and 3D). These data indicate that the production of several lineages of cells is ongoing in recipient mice. Because IdHP cells are originally derived from pre-pro B stage cells, they usually bear at least a single allele of D_{H1}HIJ rearrangements of the Igh genes. Clone 1 and 2 had a rearrangement involving the J_{H3} gene segment, and all lineage of cells from various
tissues in recipient animals transferred with clone 1 and 2 cells had the same rearrangement (Figures 3E and S3), indicating that all progeny cells were derived from clone 1 and 2, respectively. These results indicate that the IdHP cells were multipotent at the clonal level.

Generation of IdHP Cells from Mouse BM
To determine whether IdHP cells can also be generated from adult BM progenitors, the LKS cells in the BM of B6CD45.1 mice were transduced with a retroviral vector containing ID3 and the transduced cells were cultured under B cell-inducing conditions. The IdHP cells were generated in 1 month, just like the FL-derived IdHP cells (Figure 4A). The BM-derived IdHP cells exponentially expanded and could be maintained for several months or longer, similar to the FL-IdHP cells. To determine the developmental potential of the BM-IdHP cells in vivo, $1 \times 10^6$ IdHP cells/mouse were transplanted into the peripheral blood of mice transplanted with control or IdHP cells 4 weeks after injection. Donor derived PBMCs (CD45.1+) were analyzed for the expression of MAC1 versus CD19 and CD3 versus NK1.1.

(B) Flow cytometric analysis of cells in the peripheral blood of mice transplanted with control or IdHP cells 4 weeks after injection. Donor derived PBMCs (CD45.1+) were analyzed for the expression of MAC1 versus CD19 and CD3 versus NK1.1.

(C) Flow cytometric analysis of cells in thymus, spleen, and BM of mice transplanted with IdHP cells 7 weeks after injection.

(D) The number of T (CD4+CD8+) cells in thymus, B (IgM+) cells in spleen, and myeloid (MAC1+GR1+) cells in BM generated from the IdHP cells.

(E) CD4+T cells in spleen generated from the IdHP cells were sorted and labeled with CFSE. The labeled cells were stimulated with plate-coated anti-CD3/28 for 4 days. Flow cytometric analysis of the cells after stimulation is shown (n = 3). ***p < 0.001. Data are shown as mean ± SD from three independent experiments.

See also Figure S2.
sublethally irradiated immunodeficient (NOG) mice. After 7 weeks, thymic populations, as well as MAC1+GR1+ myeloid cells in the BM, were found to be nicely reconstituted (Figures 4B and 4C). The CD4+ T cells in the spleen generated from BM-IdHP cells proliferated and secreted various cytokines in response to anti-CD3/28 stimulation in vitro (Figure S4).

To determine from which stage IdHP cells can be generated, we transfected CD34\(^+\)/C0 LKS (HSC), CD34+LKS (MPP), common lymphoid progenitor (CLP), and common myeloid progenitor (CMP) cells from the BM with ID3 and control retroviruses. The transfected cells were cultured on TSt-4 stromal cells in the presence of stem cell factor (SCF), interleukin-7 (IL-7), and FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 ligand (FLT3-L) in a same manner as IdHP cells from LKS cells. While virtually all control cells from HSC, MPP, and CLP cells became CD19+ cells after 2 weeks of culture, the control cells from CMP became myeloid cells (Figure 4D). Id3-transduced cells from HSC and MPP, but not from other types of progenitors, exhibited similar expansions as IdHP cells from LKS cells. The finding that MPP cells can generate IdHP cells may indicate that the self-renewal activity can be endowed to a non-self-renewing progenitor by ectopic expression of ID3. The failure in inducing IdHP cells from CLP cells does not necessarily mean that self-renewal activity is acquired before the CLP stage, because expression of ID3 will take some time after transfection. These data indicate that the self-renewing IdHP cells with developmental potential and functionality similar to those of the FL-IdHP cells can be generated from adult BM.

### Generation of Inducible IdHP Cells Using ID3-ER Retrovirus

To examine whether IdHP cells are really arrested early in B cell development, we used the ID3 protein fused with estrogen receptor, ID3-ER, a more controllable system for the expression of ID3 in which ID3-ER protein normally resides in the cytoplasm but goes into the nucleus and functions as a transcriptional inhibitor for E proteins only when 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) is added (Sayegh et al., 2003). LKS cells from the FL of B6CD45.1 mice that had been transduced with the ID3-ER retrovirus and cultured in the presence of 4-OHT showed a similar developmental arrest and entered a self-renewal cycle similar to that of IdHP cells (Figures 5A–5C and S5A–S5D). Removal of 4-OHT did not have any impact on cell growth for at least 10 days, but virtually all cells became CD19+ within 7 days (Figure 5D), indicating that arrested cells restarted differentiation toward B cells upon removal of 4-OHT. These results indicate that IdHP cells represent cells differentiating toward the B cell lineage but are arrested just before the B cell lineage.
determination step, waiting for appropriate developmental cues.

To determine the stability of the ID3-ER-transduced HPCs, the cell-cycle status of fresh (2-month cultured) and old (10-month cultured) progenitor cells were analyzed. Although the proportion of cells at G1, S, and G2/M phases in fresh and old IdHP cells was slightly different, two histograms showed almost complete overlap (Figure S5E). These results indicate that the ID3-ER-transduced progenitor cells are stable and can be maintained without any phenotypic changes for several months or longer.

Thus, by definition, IdHP cells satisfy the criteria of stem cells, which are restricted to the production of leukocytes. We therefore designate these cells as iLS (induced leukocyte stem) cells as a more general term. The iLS cells are not reprogrammed or de-differentiated but only developmentally arrested. Therefore, we propose that the blockage of differentiation due to the absence of developmental cues is sufficient to make stem cells.

**Generation of IdHP Cells from Human Cord Blood Hematopoietic Progenitors**

Self-renewing progenitor cells whose development can be controlled are a potential source for human immune cell therapy. We therefore attempted to produce human iLS cells. CD34+ cord blood (CB) cells were transduced with a retrovirus encoding the human ID3 gene and cultured on Tst-4 stromal cells in the presence of SCF, IL-7, and FLT3-L for 2 weeks. Flow cytometric profiles of CD19 versus B220 gated on MAC1+ SCR1+ cells are shown.

(B) BM IdHP cells (1 × 10^6) were intravenously injected into sublethally irradiated NOG mice. Flow cytometric analysis of cells in thymus, spleen, and BM of mice transplanted with BM IdHP cells 7 weeks after injection is shown.

(C) The number of T (CD4+CD8+) cells in thymus, B (IGM+) cells in spleen, and myeloid (MAC1+GR1+) cells in BM generated from the IdHP cells (n = 3) is shown. Data are shown as mean ± SD from three independent experiments (n = 3).

(D) Id3 and control viruses were infected with HSC (CD34+ LKS), MPP (CD34+LKS), CLP (LIN-C-KIT+IL7R+), and CMP (LIN-C-KIT+SAC-1+CD16/32+CD34+) cells in BM B6CD45.1 mice, and the infected cells were sorted and cultured on Tst-4 stromal cells in the presence of SCF, IL-7, and FLT3-L for 2 weeks. Flow cytometric profiles of CD19 versus B220 gated on MAC1+ SCR1+ cells are shown. See also Figure S4.
weeks or longer (Figure 6C), although with a slower growth rate than that of the mouse case. In addition, human iLS cells exhibited a gene expression pattern similar to that of murine iLS cells (Figures S1A and S6A). These human iLS cells retained the potential to give rise to NK cells and dendritic cells (DCs) or macrophage-like cells in vitro (Figures 6D and 6E). When transferred into sublethally irradiated NOG mice (Figure 6F), human iLS cells gave rise to B cells (CD19<sup>+</sup>CD33<sup>−</sup>/C<sup>0</sup>−) and monocytes (CD33<sup>+</sup>CD14<sup>+</sup>) in the BM of the reconstituted mice (Figures 6G and S6B).

**DISCUSSION**

Identification of cellular and molecular events regulating self-renewal or differentiation of the cells is a fundamental issue in the stem cell biology or developmental biology field. In the present study, we revealed that the simple inhibition of differentiation in HSCs or HPCs by overexpressing ID proteins and culturing them in suitable conditions induced the self-renewal of hematopoietic progenitors and allowed the extensive expansion of the multipotent cells. The reduction of ID proteins in MPPs resulted in the differentiation of the cells into lymphoid and myeloid lineage cells. Thus, it is possible to manipulate the cell fate by regulating E-protein or ID-protein activities. This inducible system will be a useful tool to figure out the genetic and epigenetic program controlling the self-renewal activity of multipotent stem cells.

Previous studies have shown that hematopoietic progenitors deficient for E2A, EBF1, and Pax5 maintain multilineage differentiation potential without losing their self-renewing capacity (Ikawa et al., 2004a; Nutt et al., 1999; Pongubala et al., 2008), indicating that the inhibition of the differentiation pathway at certain developmental stages leads to the expansion of multipotent stem cells. However, the MPPs were not able to differentiate into B cells because they lacked the activities of transcription factors essential for the initiation of the B lineage program. In addition, a restriction point regulating the lineage-specific patterns of gene expression during B cell specification remained to be determined because of the lack of an inducible system that regulates B cell differentiation. Here we have established the multipotent iLS cells using ID3-ER retrovirus, which can be maintained and differentiated into B cells in an inducible manner by simply adding or withdrawing 4-OHT. The data indicated the essential role of E2A for initiation of the B cell program that restricts other lineage potentials, because the depletion of 4-OHT from the culture immediately leads to the activation of E proteins, such as E2A, HEB, and E2-2, that promote B cell differentiation. This strategy is useful in understanding the cues regulating the self-renewal or differentiation of uncommitted progenitors to the B cell pathway. Analysis of genome-wide gene expression patterns and histone modifications will determine the exact mechanisms that underlie the B cell commitment process.
The iLS cells can also be generated from human CB hematopoietic progenitors. Human iLS cells exhibited differentiation potential and self-renewal activity similar to those of murine iLS cells, suggesting a similar developmental program during human B cell fate specification. Our data are consistent with a study demonstrating the critical role of the activity of ID and E proteins for controlling the status of human HSCs and progenitors (van Galen et al., 2014). This study reported that the overexpression of ID2 in human CB HSCs enhanced the myeloid and stem cell program at the expense of lymphoid commitment. Specifically, ID2 overexpression resulted in a 10-fold expansion of HSCs, suggesting that the inhibition of E-protein activities promotes the self-renewal of HSCs by antagonizing the differentiation. This raises a question about the functional differences between ID2 and ID3. Id3 seems to suppress the B cell program and promote the myeloid program more efficiently than does ID2, because the ID2-expressing HPCs appear to retain more B cell potential than ID3-expressing iLS...
cells (Mercer et al., 2011; van Galen et al., 2014). The self-renewal activity and differentiation potential of ID2-HPCs derived from murine HSCs in the BM seemed to be limited both in vivo and in vitro analysis (Mercer et al., 2011). In our study, the iLS cells retained more myeloid potential and self-renewal capacity during the culture. Strikingly, the multipotent iLS cells enormously proliferated (>10^3-fold in 1 month) as long as the cells were cultured in undifferentiated conditions. This could be due to the functional differences among Id family genes. Alternatively, combination with additional environmental signals, such as cytokines or chemokines, may affect the functional differences of ID proteins, although any ID proteins can repress the activation of the E2A targets, such as Ebf1 and Foxo1, that are essential for B cell differentiation. ID1 and ID3, but not ID2, are demonstrated to be negative regulators of the generation of hematopoietic progenitors from human pluripotent stem cells (Hong et al., 2011). Further analysis is required to determine the physiological role of ID proteins in regulating hematopoietic cell fate. It also remains to be determined whether the ID3-ER system can be applied to human progenitors. It would be informative to compare the regulatory networks that control B cell differentiation in mouse and human.

Immune cell therapy has become a major field of interest in the last two decades. However, the required high cell numbers restrain the application and success of immune reconstitution or anti-cancer treatment. For example, DCs are already being used in cell therapy against tumors. One of the major limitations of DC vaccine therapy is the difficulty in obtaining sufficient cell numbers, because DCs do not proliferate in the currently used systems. The method of making iLS cells could be applied to such cell therapies. Taken together, the simplicity of this method and the high expansion rate and retention of multilineage potential of the cells make this cell source appealing for regenerative medicine or immune cell therapy.

In summary, we showed that an artificially induced block of differentiation in uncommitted progenitors is sufficient to produce multipotent stem cells that retain self-renewal activity. Once the differentiation block is released, the cells start differentiating into mature cells both in vivo and in vitro. Thus, this method could be applicable for establishing somatic stem cells from other organs in a similar manner, which would be quite useful for regenerative medicine. The relative ease of making stem cells leads us to conceive that a block in differentiation is essential not only in other types of artificially engineered stem cells, such as ES cells and IPS cells, but also in any type of physiological somatic stem cell. In this context, it is tempting to speculate that it could have been easy for a multicellular organism to establish somatic stem cells by this mechanism during evolution.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Mice**

C57BL/6 (B6) and B6CD45.1 mice were purchased from CLEA Japan. NOD/Shi-Scid, IL2Rγnull (NOG) mice were purchased from the Central Institute for Experimental Animals. We used 6- to 8-week-old female mice for the transfer experiments. Embryos at various stages of gestation were obtained from timed pregnancies. The day of observing the vaginal plug was designated as 0 dpc. Animal and human experiments were approved by the RIKEN Institutional Animal Care and Use and Human Subjects Use Committees, respectively.

**Antibodies**

The following antibodies were purchased from BD Biosciences: fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated erythroid lineage cells (TER119: 561032), MAC1 (553310), GR1 (553127), CD11C (557400), B220 (553088), THY1.2 (553004), CD8A (553031), CD4 (553651), NK1.1 (553164), CD3ε (553062), CD19 (553785), TCRγδ (553177), phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated SCA-1 (553336), CD4 (553653), CD19 (553786), GR1 (553128), NK1.1 (553165), TCRβ (553172), human CD11c (347637), human CDS (554432), human CD33 (555450), allopheocyanin (APC)-conjugated CD45.1 (558701), CD45.2 (558702), C-KIT (553556), CD19 (550992), human CD19 (554151), human CD56 (555518) and human HLA-DR (559866). FITC-IGM (11-5790-81) was purchased from eBioscience. TER119, MAC1, GR1, B220, CD19, NK1.1, CD3ε, CD4, and CD8A were used as Lin markers.

**Growth Factors**

Recombinant murine (rm) SCF, IL-1α, IL-3, IL-7, IL-15, FLT3-L, G-CSF, M-CSF, and GM-CSF and recombinant human (rh) SCF, IL-7, IL-15, FLT3-L, GM-CSF and TNP2 were purchased from R&D Systems.

**Isolation of Hematopoietic Progenitors**

Single cell suspensions of FL cells from 13 to 15 dpc embryos or BM cells of B6CD45.1 mice were prepared as described previously (Ikawa et al., 2004b). Cells were then incubated with mAbs specific for anti-lineage markers (TER119, MAC1, GR1, B220, and THY1.2) for 20 min on ice. Lin- cells were depleted with Dynabeads Sheep anti-Rat IgG (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The LIN- cells were used for cell sorting. The procedure for isolating LIN- SCA-1-CD45.1- (LKS) populations from the FL and BM and isolating pro B cells (IGM- B220+CD19-CD43+) and immature B (IGM+ B220+CD19+) cells from BM has been described elsewhere (Ikawa et al., 2004a, 2004b).

**Retroviral Constructs, Viral Supernatants, and Transduction**

The TAC retroviral vector (pCSretTAC) is based on the S-001 retrovirus construct (obtained from H. Spits) and was generated by
replacing the coding sequence of EGFP with the human IL2RA gene encoding CD25. The full-length cDNA for murine Ild3 was cloned into the pCSEtTAC vector (mId3-TAC). The Ild3-ERT2 fusion construct containing the full-length cDNA for human Ild3 fused to the mutated ligand-binding domain of the human estrogen receptor (ERT2) was cloned into the pMCS retrovirus vector (a gift from T. Kitamura). The human Ild3 cDNA was cloned into the pMX retrovirus construct (obtained from T. Kitamura). Virus was generated by transfection of the various constructs into the Plat E packaging cell line using the FuGENE 6 Transfection Reagent (Roche). For generating mouse IdHP (mIdHP) cells, Fl LKS progenitors from B6LY5.1 mice (CD45.1+) were isolated as described earlier. The LKS cells were transduced with pCSEtTAC (control) and mId3-TAC virus supernatants as described previously (Sayegh et al., 2003). After spin infection, the cells were cultured at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 2 days. The transduced cells were harvested and stained with anti-human (h)CD25 antibody, and the hCD25+ cells were sorted and cultured on Tst-4 stromal cells in the presence of 10 ng each of rmSCF, rmIL-7, and rmFLT3-L. In the case of hIdHP cells, CD34+ cells in hCB cells were used as a source of progenitors. Human CD34+ cells were transduced with pMX-hId3 retrovirus in a same manner as the mIdHP cells. After 2 days, the GFP+ cells were isolated and cultured on Tst-4 stromal cells in the presence of rhSCF, rhTPO, rhIL-7, and rhFLT3-L.

In Vitro Differentiation Culture System
To assess granulocyte/macrophage potential of mIdHP cells, 10,000 cells per well were cultured with Tst-4 stromal cells in the presence of rmG-CSF (10 ng/ml) for 14 days. Generated cells were counted, stained with anti-human (h)CD25 antibody, and the hCD25+ cells were sorted and cultured on Tst-4 stromal cells in the presence of rmG-CSF, rmIL-7, and rmFLT3-L. To assess granulocyte/macrophage potential of mIdHP cells, 10,000 cells were cultured with Tst-4 stromal cells in the presence of rmG-CSF, IL-7, and FLT3-L in a 96-well plate. The colonies of single mIdHP cells were picked up and expanded in the same condition in larger plates for approximately 4 weeks. The cloned mIdHP cells were harvested, stained with specific markers, and analyzed by flow cytometer.

Colony-Forming Unit in Culture Assay
LKS, control, and IdHP (10,000 cells per dish) were cultured in triplicate for 7 days in α-MEM (Gibco-BRL) containing 30% FCS, 1% methylcellulose, 1% BSA, 2-ME (5 × 10⁻⁵ M), L-glutamine (1 mM), rmSCF (10 ng/ml), rmIL-3 (10 ng/ml), rmGM-CSF (10 ng/ml), rmIL-1α (10 ng/ml), rmG-CSF (10 ng/ml), and rmM-CSF (10 ng/ml).

Cell Cycle Assay
Cells (1 × 10⁶) were washed with PBS twice and treated with ice-cold 70% ethanol at 4°C for 2 hr. The cells then were washed with PBS twice and incubated with 1 ml of RNase solution (0.25 mg/ml) at 37°C for 60 min. Propidium iodide (Sigma) were added to the cell suspension (final concentration: 50 μg/ml) and incubated at 4°C for 30 min. The cells were analyzed by flow cytometer.

Adaptive Transfer of mIdHP and hIdHP Cells
For mIdHP transfer, 1 × 10⁹ mIdHP cells (CD45.1+) were intravenously injected into the tail vein of sublethally irradiated (650 rad) RAG1-deficient mice (CD45.2). These mice were analyzed 4–6 weeks after reconstitution for donor chimerism in BM, spleen, and thymus. For hIdHP transfer, 1 × 10⁶ hIdHP cells were intravenously injected into the tail vein of sublethally irradiated (240 rad) NOG mice. These mice were analyzed 6–10 weeks after reconstitution for donor chimerism in BM, spleen, and thymus.

PCR Analysis of Igh Gene Rearrangement
The analysis of Igh gene rearrangement was performed as previously described (Ikawa et al., 2004a). In brief, genomic DNA was prepared from CD45.1+ cells derived from thymus, spleen, and BM in IdHP-transplanted mice using the DNeasy tissue kit (QIAGEN). The fluorescence intensities were analyzed using the 3D-Gene Mouse Oligo chip 24K (Toray Industries). The primer sequences used are shown in Table S1.

RNA Extraction and qRT-PCR
Total RNA was isolated using an RNaseasy kit (QIAGEN). cDNA synthesis was performed using Superscript III (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's protocol. Real-time PCR was performed using SYBR Premix EX Taq (Takara) and analyzed by StepOnePlus (Applied Biosystems). The reactions were performed in duplicate at 95°C for 10 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s and 55°C for 30 s. The primer sequences used are shown in Table S1.

Microarray Analysis
RNA extraction was performed as described earlier. The expression profiles were analyzed using the 3D-Gene Mouse Oligo chip 24K (Toray Industries). The fluorescence intensities were
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