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ABSTRACT: We suggest a discriminant analysis of new physics beyond the standard model through a detection of photon polarization in a radiative B meson decay. This analysis is investigated in SUSY SU(5) GUT with right-handed neutrino and left-right symmetric models. New physics search via CP asymmetry in the same process are also evaluated in each model for comparison. We show that new physics can be found via detecting the photon polarization in a parameter space of TeV energy scale.
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1 Introduction

In this decade, we have never seen an exotic elementary particle except for the most likely to the standard model (SM) Higgs boson which was discovered at the LHC experiment. This fact might imply that a scale of new physics (NP) is higher than the energy scale that the LHC can reach. Thus, it is important to consider the possibility that such exotics are hard to be directly produced by collider experiments even though the next LHC will become 14 TeV.

On the other hand, indirect searches become powerful way to explore the existence of NP and related phenomena beyond the SM even if a new particle is impossible to be produced directly. One popular approach is flavor physics. For instance, flavor changing processes such as $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ retain much information about NP. Models of supersymmetric grand unified theory (SUSY GUT) predict relatively large branching ratios...
of $\text{Br}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-15}-10^{-13})$ for the NP scale (the right-handed selectron mass) of $M_{\text{NP}} \simeq (100-300) \text{ GeV}$ in an SU(5) case and $\text{Br}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-13}-10^{-11})$ in an SO(10) case [1, 2]. Then, ref. [3] suggested that the measurement of the angular distribution of $e$ with respect to the spin direction of the muon in the $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ process might distinguish among several extensions of the SM if the signal could be detected. This implies that the precise determination of the chirality of the final $e$ state in the $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ process might become a clue to obtain the evidence of NP. This situation is adopted to the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ process, i.e. one would be able to discriminate among the SM and NP such as SUSY GUT models, the left-right symmetric standard model (LRSM), and the Pati-Salam models and so on, if one could precisely determine the chirality of the final $s$ quark. The $b$ quark can radiative decay into the $s$ quark in the $B$ meson and the chirality of the $s$ quark is almost determined as left-handed in the SM. Accordingly, if we find more right-handed $s$ quarks in the process than ones expected in the SM, we can expect that some kind of NP must cause this phenomena.

How about the measurement of the chirality of the $s$ quark in the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ process for the NP search? One may naively think that the determination of chirality of quarks except for the top quark is impossible (the top quark can decay before the hadronization). The $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ process occurs through the dipole type operators, $\bar{s}_L\sigma_{\mu\nu}bF^{\mu\nu}$ ($\bar{s}_R\sigma_{\mu\nu}bF^{\mu\nu}$) which induce left- (right-) handed photon. The information on the chirality of the $s$ quark is imprinted on the photon polarization. In addition, there is no parity violation in QCD, the relation between the chirality of the $s$ quark and the photon polarization is unchanged even if the hadronization is taken into account. Therefore, one can determine the chirality of $s$ quark in the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ process from the measurement of photon polarization [4, 5]. In refs. [6, 7], the authors mentioned that the higher order correction may induce the right-handed photon even though it is in the SM.

At $e^+e^-$ colliders, such as Belle and BaBar, $B_d$ mesons, which are spin 0 particles, are produced from the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance. The photon polarization of the $B_d \rightarrow X_s\gamma$ decay is determined from measurements of hadronic angular distributions due to the conservation of angular momentum. The LHCb collaboration actually reported the result of observation of photon polarization by measuring the angular distribution of produced mesons in the $B \rightarrow K\pi\pi\gamma$ process [8]. In addition to the $B \rightarrow K\pi\pi\gamma$ process, there is another possibility to determine the photon polarization by the $B \rightarrow K^*l^+l^-$ process. Although there exists a box diagram in the process, the radiative decay diagram (penguin) becomes dominant (the box diagram is suppressed) in a low invariant mass region of dileptons [9, 10] (see also [11]). The chirality of the $s$ quark in the $K^*$ meson can be lead by the chirality of photon due to the conservation of the spin. Then, it is important to discuss the possibility of the detection of the photon chirality. In this work, we will consider the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ process. In particular, a ratio of the Wilson coefficients of a dipole operator and a polarization parameter of photon will be firstly evaluated at a typical point in a model of SUSY SU(5) GUT with the right-handed neutrino ($N_R$) and LRSM in order to clarify whether one can find an evidence of NP or distinguish among the SM and NP, or not.

\footnote{This issue tells us that the theorists have to clarify the prediction of photon polarization in each model.}
In addition to the determination of the photon chirality, the CP asymmetry in the $b \to s\gamma$ process which are direct CP asymmetry, $A_{CP}(b \to s\gamma)$ and time-dependent CP asymmetry, $S_{CP}(B \to K_s\pi^0\gamma)$, is also a sensitive observable to NP [12]. Actually, the CP violating effects from NP can be enough larger than the SM expectation as $A_{CP}(b \to s\gamma) \simeq -0.5\%$. However, this CP violation is constrained by the other experiment, e.g. the chromo electric dipole moment (CEDM) [13], and it can be negligibly smaller than the SM one when the CP violating phase depending on the $b \to s\gamma$ process is accidentally small.

We will also evaluate the magnitude of $A_{CP}(b \to s\gamma)$ in the SUSY SU(5) GUT with $N_R$ and the LRSM although the magnitude strongly depends on CP violating phases in the models. Furthermore, $S_{CP}(B \to K_s\pi^0\gamma)$ can also become larger than the SM expectation as $S_{CP}(B \to K_s\pi^0\gamma) \simeq -0.3$. We will show this value is insensitive to the CP phase. Then, we will compare experimental detectability for the models of NP between the determination of photon polarization and the observation of both CP asymmetry in the $b \to s\gamma$ process.

We will suggest that one can discriminate NP beyond the SM by the detection of photon polarization in $b \to s\gamma$ process. We will point out that time-dependent CP asymmetry is the most stringent constraint in our sample model point at the moment. However, it will actually turn out that the LHCb with 2 fb$^{-1}$ for the determination of photon polarization may check the existence of NP scale up to several TeV in both models.

2 Photon polarization

We investigate the photon polarization in the radiative rare decay, $b \to s\gamma$, process in a SUSY SU(5) GUT with $N_R$ and the LRSM for the search of NP. The Wilson coefficients $C_7$ and $C'_7$ of the dipole operator for the $b \to s\gamma$ process are important for the analyses of photon polarization. The effective Hamiltonian reads

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} \supset -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb}V_{ts}^* (C_7 O_7 + C'_7 O'_7),$$

with the magnetic operator,

$$O_7 = \frac{e}{16\pi^2} m_b (\bar{s}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_R b) F_{\mu\nu},$$

where $G_F$ is the Fermi constant, $m_b$ is the bottom quark mass, $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$, and $P_{R,L} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma_5)$ (e.g., see [14]). $O'_7$ is obtained by replacing $L \leftrightarrow R$ in $O_7$. Because left-handed $s$ quark comes from $O_7$ and right-handed one comes from $O'_7$, we might be able to determine the chirality of $s$ quark by the difference of Wilson coefficients.

When one considers physics beyond the SM, there might be additional contributions to $C_7$ and $C'_7$ from NP. In these cases, we can generically describe $C_7$ and $C'_7$ as $C_7 = C_7^{\text{SM}} + C_7^{\text{NP}}$ and $C'_7 = C'_7^{\text{SM}} + C'_7^{\text{NP}}$, respectively. The coefficients at the $b$ quark mass scale $\mu_b$ are given by the leading logarithmic calculations with QCD corrections to the $b \to s\gamma$ process,

$$C_7(\mu_b) = \frac{16}{3} C_7(m_W) + \frac{8}{3} (\eta^{16}_{23} - \eta^{16}_{25}) C_8(m_W) + \sum_{i=1}^{8} h_i \eta^a_i,$$

$$C'_7(\mu_b) = \frac{16}{3} C'_7(m_W) + \frac{8}{3} (\eta^{16}_{23} - \eta^{16}_{25}) C'_8(m_W),$$
at the leading order where $\eta = \alpha_s(m_W)/\alpha_s(\mu_b)$, $\alpha_s \equiv g_s^2/(4\pi)$, $g_s$ is the strong coupling constant, $m_W$ is the $W$ boson mass, and $h_i$ and $a_i$ are numerical coefficients [15–17]. $C_8$ is the coefficient of chromomagnetic operator

$$O_8 = \frac{g_s}{16\pi^2}m_b(\bar{s}\sigma^{\mu\nu}T^A P_R b)G^A_{\mu\nu},$$

in the $\Delta F = 1$ effective Hamiltonian,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} \supset -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}}V_{tb}V^*_{ts}(C_8 O_8 + C_8' O_8'),$$

where $T^A$ are the generators of SU(3)$_C$ and $O_8'$ is also obtained by replacing $L \leftrightarrow R$ in $O_8$. And, we also describe $C_8 = C_8^{\text{SM}} + C_8^{\text{NP}}$ and $C_8' = C_8'^{\text{SM}} + C_8'^{\text{NP}}$ including contributions from NP.

### 2.1 Case of SUSY SU(5) with the right-handed neutrinos

#### 2.1.1 Model

At first, we give a brief review of a model of SUSY SU(5) GUT with $N_R$. In a simple SU(5) GUT model, the final $s$ quark must have the same chirality as in the SM. When there is $N_R$, a neutrino Yukawa coupling induces additional flavor mixings in the right-handed down squark which derives the opposite chirality of $s$ quark. Thus, we adopt the model of SUSY SU(5) GUT with $N_R$. The superpotential in this model is given by

$$W = \frac{1}{4}f^u_{ij}\Psi_i\Psi_j H + \sqrt{2}f^d_{ij}\Psi_i\bar{\Phi}_j H + f^\nu_{ij}\Phi_i\bar{N}_j H + M_{ij}\bar{N}_i\bar{N}_j,$$

where $\Psi_i$ are 10-dimensional multiplets, $\Phi_i$ are 5-dimensional ones, $N_i$ denote the right-handed neutrino superfields, and $H$ ($\bar{H}$) is 5- (5-) dimensional Higgs multiplets. $i$ and $j$ mean the generation of the fermions, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. $f^u$, $f^d$, and $f^\nu$ are Yukawa coupling matrices for the up-type quarks, down-type quarks (charged leptons) and neutrinos, respectively. These are given by

$$f^u_{ij} = V_{ki}f_{uk}e^{i\varphi_u}V_{kj},$$

$$f^d_{ij} = f_{di}\delta_{ij},$$

$$f^\nu_{ij} = e^{i\varphi_d}U_{ij}^\ast f_{\nu j},$$

without a loss of generality, where $V$ and $U$ are the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) and Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) matrices, respectively. $\varphi_u$ and $\varphi_d$ are CP-violating phases, and $f_{uk}$ and $f_{di}$ are Yukawa couplings of the up- and down-type quarks (charged leptons), respectively. For the neutrinos sector, the light neutrino masses are given by the seesaw mechanism $m_{\nu_i} = f^\nu_{ij}v_u^2/M_{N_j}$, where $v_u$ is the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of the up-type Higgs in $H$, and $M_{N_j}$ are the mass eigenvalues of the right-handed neutrinos. Here, we assume a diagonal right-handed Majorana mass matrix $M_{ij}$ for simplicity.
2.1.2 Photon polarization in SUSY SU(5) with right-handed neutrino

We discuss $C_7$ and $C_7'$, which determine the magnitude of the photon polarization, in the model of SUSY SU(5) GUT with $N_R$. In supersymmetric models, the dominant contributions to $C_{7,8}$ and $C_{7,8}'$ arise from loop diagrams of the charged Higgses, charginos, and gluinos. Thus, $C_{7,8}^{NP} = C_{7,8}^{H^\pm} + C_{7,8}^{\tilde{\chi}^\pm} + C_{7,8}^{\tilde{g}}$ and $C_{7,8}^{NP} = C_{7,8}^H + C_{7,8}^{\tilde{\chi}^\pm} + C_{7,8}^{\tilde{g}}$ in the SUSY SU(5) model with $N_R$ where $C_{7,8}^{H^\pm} (C_{7,8}^{H^\pm})$, $C_{7,8}^{\tilde{\chi}^\pm} (C_{7,8}^{\tilde{\chi}^\pm})$, and $C_{7,8}^{\tilde{g}} (C_{7,8}^{\tilde{g}})$ are the contributions to $C_{7,8}$ ($C_{7,8}'$) from the charged Higgses, charginos, and gluinos, respectively. These contributions are calculated as [18]

$$C_{7}^{H^\pm} = C_{7}'^{H^\pm} \simeq \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_7}{1 + \epsilon_7}\right)\frac{1}{2} h_7(y_t),$$

(2.11)

$$C_{7}^{\tilde{\chi}^\pm} = \frac{4GF}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{g_2^2}{m_t^2} \left[ \frac{(\delta_{ul})_{32} \mu M_2}{V_{tb}V_{ts}^*} f_1^L(x_2, x_\mu) + \frac{m_t^2}{M_W^2} \frac{A_{\mu}}{m_t^2} f_1^R(x_2, x_\mu) \right] \frac{t_\beta}{1 + \epsilon_7},$$

(2.12)

$$C_{7}'^{\tilde{\chi}^\pm} = \frac{4GF}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{g_2^2}{m_t^2} \left( \frac{(\delta_{ul})_{32} \mu M_2}{V_{tb}V_{ts}^*} f_1^L(x_2, x_\mu) + \frac{m_t^2}{M_W^2} \frac{A_{\mu}}{m_t^2} f_1^R(x_2, x_\mu) \right) t_\beta \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon_7},$$

(2.13)

$$C_{7}^{\tilde{g}} = \frac{4GF}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{g_2^2}{m_t^2} \left[ \frac{M_3 (\delta_{ul})_{32}}{m_t^2} g_{71}^L(x_2, x_\mu) + \frac{m_t^2}{M_W^2} \frac{A_{\mu}}{m_t^2} g_{71}^R(x_2, x_\mu) \right],$$

(2.14)

$$C_{7}'^{\tilde{g}} = \frac{4GF}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{g_2^2}{m_t^2} \left[ \frac{M_3 (\delta_{ul})_{32}}{m_t^2} g_{71}^L(x_2, x_\mu) + \frac{m_t^2}{M_W^2} \frac{A_{\mu}}{m_t^2} g_{71}^R(x_2, x_\mu) \right] t_\beta \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon_7},$$

(2.15)

and

$$C_{8}^{H^\pm} = C_{8}'^{H^\pm} \simeq \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_7}{1 + \epsilon_7}\right)\frac{1}{2} h_8(y_t),$$

(2.16)

$$C_{8}^{\tilde{\chi}^\pm} = \frac{4GF}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{g_2^2}{m_t^2} \left[ \frac{(\delta_{ul})_{32} \mu M_2}{V_{tb}V_{ts}^*} f_1^L(x_2, x_\mu) + \frac{m_t^2}{M_W^2} \frac{A_{\mu}}{m_t^2} f_1^R(x_2, x_\mu) \right] \frac{t_\beta}{1 + \epsilon_7},$$

(2.17)

$$C_{8}'^{\tilde{\chi}^\pm} = \frac{4GF}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{g_2^2}{m_t^2} \left( \frac{(\delta_{ul})_{32} \mu M_2}{V_{tb}V_{ts}^*} f_1^L(x_2, x_\mu) + \frac{m_t^2}{M_W^2} \frac{A_{\mu}}{m_t^2} f_1^R(x_2, x_\mu) \right) t_\beta \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon_7},$$

(2.18)

$$C_{8}^{\tilde{g}} = \frac{4GF}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{g_2^2}{m_t^2} \left[ \frac{M_3 (\delta_{ul})_{32}}{m_t^2} g_{71}^L(x_2, x_\mu) + \frac{m_t^2}{M_W^2} \frac{A_{\mu}}{m_t^2} g_{71}^R(x_2, x_\mu) \right],$$

(2.19)

$$C_{8}'^{\tilde{g}} = \frac{4GF}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{g_2^2}{m_t^2} \left[ \frac{M_3 (\delta_{ul})_{32}}{m_t^2} g_{71}^L(x_2, x_\mu) + \frac{m_t^2}{M_W^2} \frac{A_{\mu}}{m_t^2} g_{71}^R(x_2, x_\mu) \right] t_\beta \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon_7},$$

(2.20)

at the weak scale and $\epsilon \simeq \alpha_s/(3\pi) \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ for a degenerate SUSY spectrum, $t_\beta = \tan \beta \equiv v_u/v_d, \nu_q$ is the VEV of down-type Higgs, $g_2$ is the SU(2)$_L$ gauge coupling constant, $\bar{m}$ is an averaged squark mass, $(\delta_{XY})_{ij}$ (q = u, d and X, Y = L, R) are mass insertion parameters, $\mu$ is the supersymmetric Higgs mass, $M_x$ (x = 2, $\tilde{g}$) are the gaugino masses, and $A_t$ is the soft scalars coupling for the top quark. $h_7, f_{7,8}^{(1,2)}$, and $g_{7,8}^{(1,2)}$ are loop functions, which are given in appendix A. The mass insertion parameters are given in appendix B. And, we define $y_t \equiv m_t^2/M_{H^\pm}^2, x_2 \equiv |M_2|^2/\bar{m}^2, x_\mu \equiv |\mu|^2/\bar{m}^2$, and $x_g \equiv M_{\tilde{g}}^2/\bar{m}^2$ where $m_t$ and $M_{H^\pm}$ are the top quark and charged Higgs masses, respectively. The contributions from the charged Higgs and chargino to $C_{7,8}^{NP}$ are suppressed by $m_s/m_t$.

In order to see the magnitude of contributions from NP, we estimate the ratio $|C_7/C_7'|$ at the b quark mass scale, which determines the size of polarization of photon as seen
Figure 1. The magnitude of $|C'_7/C_7|$ in the SM and SUSY SU(5) GUT with $N_R$ which are depicted by the black and red curves, respectively. The contours with $n\%$ correspond to cases that there is $n\%$ misidentification in the $C_7$ measurement at experiments, i.e. each of the contours denotes $|C'_7(1+n/100)/(C_7(1-n/100))|$. The value of the ratio in the model of SUSY SU(5) GUT with $N_R$ is shown by the red solid curve in figure 1. The black solid line indicates the SM case $|C'_7^{SM}/C_7^{SM}|$ which can be approximated as $|C'_7^{SM}/C_7^{SM}| \approx m_s/m_b$. One can see that $|C'_7/C_7|$ in the SUSY SU(5) with $N_R$ case is enhanced from the SM. This means that the final state of $s_R$ in $b \to s\gamma$ increases compared to the SM while the most of final state of $b \to s\gamma$ in the SM is $s_L$ due to the suppression proportional to $m_s/m_b$.

In figure 1, the horizontal axis is a typical scale of NP, which is a SUSY breaking scale $M_{\text{SUSY}}$ in the SUSY SU(5) GUT with $N_R$ case. One can see that at a large limit of $M_{\text{SUSY}}$, the ratio $|C'_7/C_7|$ closes to the SM case, $|C'_7/C_7| \to |C'_7^{SM}/C_7^{SM}|$. The contours with $n\%$ correspond to case that there is $n\%$ misidentification in the $C_7$ measurement at experiments, i.e. the contours denote $|C'_7(1+n/100)/(C_7(1-n/100))|$. This misidentification corresponds to a mismatch in the conversion of left-handed helicity to the left-handed chirality. (The helicity is determined in experiments.) For instance, if one identifies the left-handed helicity with the left-handed chirality with $10\%$ misidentification, the right-handed chirality is overestimated as $110\%$ of the true value. Thus, the contours go above as $n$ increases in figure 1.

In the calculation, we take

\begin{align*}
t_\beta &= 10, \\
\mu &= 1.01m_0, \\
M_{H_c} &= 10^{16}\text{ GeV}, \\
m^2_{Q_L} &= m_0^2 + 6.86M^2_{\text{SUSY}}, \\
f_{\nu_L} &= 1, \\
M_{\text{SUSY}} &= m_{1/2}, \\
M_2 &= 0.822M_{\text{SUSY}}, \\
\tilde{m}_u^2 &= \sqrt{m^2_{Q_L} - m^2_{\tilde{u}_R}}, \\
\tilde{m}_d^2 &= \sqrt{m^2_{Q_L} - m^2_{\tilde{d}_R}}, \\
m^2_{\tilde{u}_R} &= m_0^2 + 6.44M^2_{\text{SUSY}}, \\
\varphi_{u_{23}} &= 0.01, \\
m^2_{\tilde{d}_R} &= m_0^2 + 6.39M^2_{\text{SUSY}}, \\
\varphi_{d_{23}} &= \pi, \\
m_0 &= A_0 = A_t = A_b = 1\text{ TeV,} \\
M_{\tilde{g}} &= 2.86M_{\text{SUSY}},
\end{align*}

(2.21)
Figure 2. The polarization parameter $\lambda_\gamma$ in $b \to s\gamma$ in the SM and SUSY SU(5) GUT with $N_R$ which are depicted by the black and red curves, respectively. We also show $\varphi_{d_{23}} = 3\pi/2$ case by the red dashed curve and 10% error in the SM prediction by the black dashed curve for comparison.

as a typical point where CP phases are given in the radian unit. We take other values of parameters in the SM and the neutrino sector (PMNS mixing angles) as the best fit values given in [19, 20].

Next, we consider the polarization parameter of photon $\lambda_\gamma$ at the $b$ quark mass scale defined as

$$
\lambda_\gamma \equiv \frac{\text{Re}[C_7'/C_7]^2 + \text{Im}[C_7'/C_7]^2 - 1}{\text{Re}[C_7'/C_7]^2 + \text{Im}[C_7'/C_7]^2 + 1}.
$$

(2.22)

In order to measure $\lambda_\gamma$ we need to consider a parity-odd observable in the $B_d \to X_s\gamma$ decay since the photon polarization is parity-odd. In refs. [4, 5] they proposed that $\lambda_\gamma$ can be measured from the up-down asymmetry of the photon direction relative to the $K\pi\pi$ decay plane in the $K_1(1400)$ rest frame. We show $\lambda_\gamma$ in figure 2 and the SM and SUSY SU(5) GUT with $N_R$ cases are depicted by the black and red curves, respectively. We also show $\varphi_{d_{23}} = 3\pi/2$ case by the red dashed curve for comparison. The SM prediction for the photon polarization still have roughly 10% error due to $O_2$ operator [11], then we depict it by the black dashed curve.

The superKEKB with an integrated luminosity of 75 ab$^{-1}$ and the LHCb with 2 fb$^{-1}$ might reach the 20 ($|\lambda_\gamma| = 0.8|\lambda_\gamma^{\text{SM}}|$) and 10% ($|\lambda_\gamma| = 0.9|\lambda_\gamma^{\text{SM}}|$) precision, respectively [11, 21]. Thus, the future experiment will be able to check the NP scale up to about 1700 GeV (which corresponds to $M_2 \simeq 1400$ GeV and $M_3 \simeq 4900$ GeV) in this model of SUSY SU(5) with $N_R$.

Note that $\varphi_{d_{23}}$ is sensitive to the CEDM. The allowed minimal and maximal values by the CEDM constraint are $\pi$ and $3\pi/2$ (or $\pi/2$), respectively. In the calculation of photon polarization, we take the minimal value. The photon polarization is not so sensitive to the value of $\varphi_{d_{23}}$ but the difference between these values appears in the calculation of direct CP asymmetry as we will show in section 3. We have numerically checked that another phase, $\varphi_{u_{23}}$, is not sensitive to our evaluation.
2.2 Case of Left-right symmetric standard model

2.2.1 Model

Next, we consider the case in the left-right symmetric standard model (LRSM) [22–25]. The model is based on the gauge group $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{\tilde{Y}}$. In the model, the SM left-handed doublet fermions are $SU(2)_R$ singlets, and the right-handed fermions including the neutrinos are $SU(2)_R$ doublets and $SU(2)_L$ singlets. For the Higgs sector, the model includes a bi-doublet scalar $\Phi$ under the $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ transformation, an $SU(2)_R$ triplet $\Delta_R$, and an $SU(2)_L$ triplet $\Delta_L$ in order to realize a realistic symmetry breaking, $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{\tilde{Y}} \rightarrow SU(2)_L \times U(1)_{\tilde{Y}} \rightarrow U(1)_{\text{em}}$. The symmetry breaking can be undertaken by the VEVs of $\Phi$, $\Delta_R$, and $\Delta_L$ as

$$
\langle \Phi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa' e^{i\omega} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \Delta_R \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ vr & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \Delta_L \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & vl e^{i\theta_L} \\ vL & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$

with six real numbers $\kappa$, $\kappa'$, $\omega$, $vr$, $vL$, and $\theta_L$. Regarding the magnitude of the VEVs, $v_R$ should be much larger than the electroweak (EW) scale to suppress the right-handed currents at low energy, and the EW $\rho$-parameter limits $v_L$ to be $v_L \lesssim 10$ GeV [26]. In this work, we take $v_L = 0$ for simplicity, which is usually taken in literatures (e.g., [27, 28]). Since the VEV of $\Phi$ leads to the standard EW symmetry breaking, we define $v \equiv \sqrt{\kappa^2 + \kappa'^2} = 174$ GeV, $\tan \beta_{LR} \equiv \kappa / \kappa'$, and $\epsilon_{LR} \equiv v/v_R$.

The charged gauge bosons are given by the admixture of the mass eigenstates as

$$
\begin{pmatrix} W_L^- \\ W_R^- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \zeta & -\sin \zeta e^{i\omega} \\ \sin \zeta e^{i\omega} & \cos \zeta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W_1^- \\ W_2^- \end{pmatrix}.
$$

(2.24)

The masses of charged gauge bosons are approximated as

$$
M_{W_1} \simeq \frac{g_L}{\sqrt{2}} (1 - \epsilon_{LR}^2 \sin^2 \beta_{LR} \cos^2 \beta_{LR}), \quad M_{W_2} \simeq g_R v_R \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{LR}^2 \right),
$$

(2.25)

where $g_{L,R}$ are the gauge couplings of $SU(2)_{L,R}$ and we take $g_R / g_L = 1$ for simplicity in the numerical analysis. The mixing angle is given as $\sin \zeta \approx (M_{W_1}^2 / M_{W_2}^2) \sin 2\beta_{LR}$. $M_{W_2}$ is identified with $M_{NP}$ in the LRSM. There are also charged and heavy neutral Higgs bosons in the LRSM. And their masses are nearly the same, $M_{H^\pm} \simeq M_{H^0} \simeq M_{A^0}$, where $M_{H^\pm}$ are the masses of the charged Higgs bosons and $M_{H^0,A^0}$ are the neutral Higgs bosons masses [27, 29]. In this work, we represent both the charged and neutral Higgs bosons masses as $M_H$ for simplicity. Regarding the flavor mixing matrices, we assume $V = V_L = V_R$, where $V_L$ and $V_R$ are the mixing matrices for the left- and right-handed quarks, respectively. $V = V_L = V_R$ is taken in the so-called the manifest LRSM [30, 31] and we also take the equality in this work. In our numerical analyses, we have three free parameters, i.e. $M_H$, $M_{NP} = M_{W_2}$, and $\tan \beta_{LR}$.

\[3\]The value of $M_{W_2}$ is not exactly determined even if one takes $g_R / g_L = 1$ and fixes the value of $M_H$, because the heavy Higgs masses depend on scalar quartic couplings, which can be in region from 0 to $4\pi$, and/or trilinear couplings. Thus, one can generally take both $M_{W_2}$ and $M_H$ as free parameters in this model.
\[ C^\alpha_7 = -\sin^2 \zeta \left( D'_0(x_t) - \frac{M^2_{W_1}}{M^2_{W_2}} D'_0(\tilde{x}_t) \right) \]
\[
+ \frac{m_t}{m_b} \frac{g_R}{g_L} \frac{V^R_{ts}}{V^R_{tb}} \sin \zeta \cos \zeta e^{i\omega} \left( A_{LR}(x_t) - \frac{M^2_{W_1}}{M^2_{W_2}} A_{LR}(\tilde{x}_t) \right) \]
\[
+ \frac{m_c}{m_b} \frac{g_R}{g_L} \frac{V^*_{cs} V^R_{tb}}{V^*_{ts} V^R_{tb}} \sin \zeta \cos \zeta e^{i\omega} \left( A_{LR}(x_c) - \frac{M^2_{W_1}}{M^2_{W_2}} A_{LR}(\tilde{x}_c) \right) + \frac{m_t}{m_b} \tan(2\beta_{LR}) e^{i\omega} \frac{V^R_{tb}}{V^R_{tb}} V^R_{tb} h_7(y) \]
\[
+ \tan(2\beta_{LR}) A_H^2(x), \quad (2.26) \]
\[ C^\alpha_7 = \frac{g_R}{g_L} \frac{V^R_{ts} V^R_{tb}}{V^R_{ts} V^R_{tb}} \left( \sin^2 \zeta D'_0(x_t) + \cos^2 \zeta \frac{M^2_{W_1}}{M^2_{W_2}} D'_0(\tilde{x}_t) \right) \]
\[
+ \frac{m_t}{m_b} \frac{g_R}{g_L} \frac{V^R_{ts} V^R_{tb}}{V^R_{ts} V^R_{tb}} \sin \zeta \cos \zeta e^{-i\omega} \left( A_{LR}(x_t) - \frac{M^2_{W_1}}{M^2_{W_2}} A_{LR}(\tilde{x}_t) \right) \]
\[
+ \frac{m_c}{m_b} \frac{g_R}{g_L} \frac{V^R_{cs} V^R_{tb}}{V^R_{ts} V^R_{tb}} \sin \zeta \cos \zeta e^{-i\omega} \left( A_{LR}(x_c) - \frac{M^2_{W_1}}{M^2_{W_2}} A_{LR}(\tilde{x}_c) \right) \]
\[
+ \frac{m_t}{m_b} \tan(2\beta_{LR}) e^{-i\omega} \frac{V^R_{ts} V^R_{tb}}{V^R_{ts} V^R_{tb}} h_7(y) + \frac{V^R_{ts} V^R_{tb}}{V^R_{ts} V^R_{tb}} \frac{1}{\cos^2(2\beta_{LR})} A_H^2(x), \quad (2.27) \]

when one does not assume \( g_R/g_L = 1 \) and \( V = V_R \), where loop functions \( D'_0(x) \) and \( A_{LR}(x) \) are given in appendix A, and \( x_t \equiv m_t^2/m_{W_1}^2 \), \( \tilde{x}_t \equiv m_t^2/m_{W_2}^2 \), \( x_c \equiv m_c^2/m_{W_1}^2 \), \( \tilde{x}_c \equiv m_c^2/m_{W_2}^2 \), and \( y \equiv m_t^2/M_R^2 \). The ratio \( |C^\alpha_7/C_7| \) in the LRSM is shown by the blue curves in figure 3. The value of the ratio in the LRSM is larger than the both cases of SM and SUSY SU(5) with \( N_R \) model because the right-handed current in the LRSM is more effective than those.
models. In the analysis, we take
\[ \frac{g_R}{g_L} = 1, \quad V = V^R, \quad \tan \beta_{LR} = 10, \quad \omega = \frac{\pi}{10}, \quad M_{H^\pm} = 15 \text{TeV}, \] (2.28)
as a sample point.\(^4\)

The polarization parameter in the LRSM is shown by the blue curve in figure 4. The SM and LRSM cases are depicted by the black and blue curves, respectively. We also show \( \omega = \pi/4 \) and \( \pi/2 \) cases by the blue dashed and dashed-dotted curves for comparison, respectively. Since \( M_{NP} \approx 3.7 \text{TeV} \) for 10% deviation from the SM prediction, the future LHCb experiment with \( 2 \text{fb}^{-1} \) will check the scale of the right-handed gauge boson up to 3.7 TeV in this case. The dependence of photon polarization on the CP phase is not strong.

3 CP asymmetry

Next, we evaluate the CP asymmetry in \( b \rightarrow s\gamma \) process in each model. The CP asymmetry can be categorized into two parts: one is the direct CP asymmetry which is induced by the CP phase in the decay amplitude, and the other is the time-dependent CP asymmetry which is induced during the meson mixing.

3.1 Direct CP asymmetry

In addition to the determination of photon polarization, the observation of CP asymmetry in the \( b \rightarrow s\gamma \) process is still sensitive to the existence of NP. Thus, we evaluate the CP asymmetry of the process in both models of SUSY SU(5) GUT with \( N_R \) and LRSM. The

\(^4\)Although there are crossing points of the NP lines with the SM prediction line in all figures afterward, they are due to the fixing of the charged Higgs mass.
asymmetry is given by

\[
A_{\text{CP}}(b \to s\gamma) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(B \to X_s\gamma) - \Gamma(\bar{B} \to X_s\gamma)}{\Gamma(B \to X_s\gamma) + \Gamma(\bar{B} \to X_s\gamma)} \\
\simeq -\frac{1}{|C_7|^2 + |C_7'|^2} (1.23 \text{ Im}[C_2 C_7^*] - 9.52 \text{ Im}[C_8 C_7^* + C_8' C_7'^*] + 0.10 \text{ Im}[C_2 C_8^*]) \\
- 0.5 \text{ (in %),}
\]

which of course strongly depends on the CP-phases in the model where \( C_2 \) is the coefficient of the operator \( O_2 = (\bar{c}^\alpha \gamma_\mu P_L b^\alpha)(\bar{c}^\beta \gamma^\rho P_L b^\beta) \) in the effective Hamiltonian of \( \Delta F = 2 \) transitions. Note that the contributions from NP to \( C_2 \) and \( C_2' \) are negligibly small while \( C_7, 8 \) and \( C_7', 8' \) include contributions from NP, i.e. \( C_2' \ll C_2 = C_{2}^{\text{SM}} = 1 \). Thus, \( A_{\text{CP}}(b \to s\gamma) \) is well approximated by eq. \((3.1)\).\(^5\)

### 3.1.1 SUSY SU(5) with right-handed neutrino case

We show \( A_{\text{CP}}(b \to s\gamma) \) in the SUSY SU(5) with \( N_R \) and SM cases by red and black solid curves in figure 5, respectively. The experimental lower bound as \(-3.7 \% \) \([19]\) is also shown by the black dashed line. We also show \( \varphi_{d_{23}} = 3\pi/2 \) case by the red dashed curve for comparison. It turns out that the magnitude of the direct CP asymmetry in the case of SUSY SU(5) with \( N_R \) highly depend on the phase \( \varphi_{d_{23}} \). The current experimental lower bound does not constrain the scale of NP, \( M_{\text{SUSY}} \) in this parameter setup. Therefore, the measurement of \( A_{\text{CP}} \) does not currently constrain on the NP scale in the SUSY SU(5) with \( N_R \) model even if one takes the maximally allowed CP phase as \( \varphi_{d_{23}} = 3\pi/2 \). But, the expected reach of Belle II with 50 ab\(^{-1} \) for \( A_{\text{CP}}(B \to X_{s+d}\gamma) \) will be \( \pm 2\% \) precision. Thus,

\(^5\)There is also an error in the SM prediction but it is enough small \([32]\). Therefore, we neglect such correction here just for simplicity.
The direct CP asymmetry in $b \to s\gamma$ in the SM and LRSM which are depicted by the black and blue solid curves. The black dashed line is same as in figure 5. We also show $\omega = \pi/4$ and $\pi/2$ cases by the blue dashed and dashed-dotted curves for comparison, respectively.

the future determination will check NP between 450-750 GeV in this typical SUSY SU(5) with $N_R$ case.

3.1.2 LRSM case

For the LRSM, $C^\text{NP}_8$ and $C^\prime_8$ are

$$C_S = \rho_8 \Delta^{LR} C_S + \rho_{LR} \frac{m_c}{m_b} \sin \zeta \cos \zeta e^{i\alpha} \frac{V_{cb}^R}{V_{cb}^L}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$C'_S = \rho_8 \Delta^{LR} C'_S + \rho_{LR} \frac{m_c}{m_b} \sin \zeta \cos \zeta e^{-i\alpha} \frac{V_{cb}^{R*}}{V_{cb}^{L*}}, \quad (3.3)$$

with

$$\Delta^{LR} C_S = \frac{m_t}{m_b} \sin \zeta \cos \zeta e^{i\alpha} \frac{V_{tb}^R}{V_{tb}^L} f_{LR}^{\tilde{x}_t}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\Delta^{LR} C'_S = \frac{m_t}{m_b} \sin \zeta \cos \zeta e^{-i\alpha} \frac{V_{ts}^R}{V_{ts}^L} f_{LR}^{\tilde{x}_t}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\rho_8$ and $\rho_{LR}$ are the so-called magic number which are given in the ref. [27]. $f_{LR}(x)$ is also a loop function for the left-right symmetric model given in appendix A.

The asymmetry in the LRSM is shown by the blue curve in figure 6. We also show $\omega = \pi/4$ and $\pi/2$ cases by the blue dashed and dashed-dotted curves for comparison, respectively. One can see from figure 6 that the magnitude of the direct CP asymmetry in the LRSM is allowed for $M_{NP} \geq 2 \text{ TeV}$ for $\pi/10$. Hence, the $A_{CP}$ measurement does not give constraint on the existence of NP in this case at the moment. Furthermore, the future Belle II with 50 ab$^{-1}$ will check the LRSM model up to 3.5 TeV, 5 TeV, and 5.5 TeV for $\omega = \pi/10$, $\omega = \pi/4$, and $\omega = \pi/2$, respectively. The result is really sensitive to the phase $\omega$ as with SUSY SU(5) with $N_R$ case.
3.2 Time-dependent CP asymmetry

We also evaluate the time-dependent CP asymmetry in the $B \to K_s \pi^0 \gamma$ decay denoted as $S_{CP}$. The definition of $S_{CP}$ is same in the both model:

$$S_{CP} = 2 \frac{\text{Im}[e^{-2i\beta_{CKM}} C_7 C'_7]}{|C_7|^2 + |C'_7|^2},$$  

(3.6)

where $2\beta_{CKM} \approx 43^\circ$ is a CP phase in $B \to K_s \pi^0 \gamma$ decay.

3.2.1 SUSY SU(5) with right-handed neutrino case

We show eq. (3.6) in the SUSY SU(5) with $N_R$ and SM cases by red and black solid curves in figure 7, respectively. The current experimental lower bound as −0.35 is also shown by the black dashed line. We also show $\varphi_{d23} = 3\pi/2$ case by the red dashed curve for comparison. The current experimental bound seems to exclude up to 2 TeV of the $M_{SUSY}$ in figure 7 in this naive set up. In other words, the time-dependent CP asymmetry gives the strongest constraint within $\lambda_\gamma$, $A_{CP}$, and $S_{CP}$.

3.2.2 LRSM case

We show eq. (3.6) in the LRSM and SM cases by blue and black solid curves in figure 8, respectively. The current experimental lower bound constrains the mass of $W_R$ up to 7 TeV in this simple set up. The figure shows that the time-dependent CP asymmetry is the strongest constraint even in the LRSM.
Figure 8. The time-dependent CP asymmetry in \( B \to K_\pi \pi^0 \gamma \) in the SM and LRSM which are depicted by the black and blue solid curves. The black dashed line is same as in figure 7. We also show \( \omega = \pi/4 \) and \( \pi/2 \) cases by the blue dashed and dashed-dotted curves for comparison, respectively.

4 Comparison between Photon polarization and Measurements of CP asymmetry

As we mentioned in the Introduction, the photon polarization might become a useful way to determine NP. Actually, the ways to determine the photon chirality by measurement of angular distribution of the final state particles have been discussed in several papers [9–11] (See also [34, 35]). In this paper, we have evaluated three observables: photon polarization, direct CP asymmetry, and time-dependent CP asymmetry. We give some comments on the comparison among the results of those:

- For the determination of photon polarization in the SUSY SU(5) with \( N_R \) model, the future LHCb experiment with 2 fb\(^{-1}\) will be able to check the NP scale up to about 1700 GeV, which corresponds to \( M_2 \simeq 1400 \) GeV and \( M_\tilde{g} \simeq 4900 \) GeV, at the typical point of this model.

- For the measurement of \( A_{CP} \) in the SUSY SU(5) with \( N_R \) model, the experiment does not currently constrain on the NP scale even if one takes the maximally allowed CP phase as \( \varphi_{d_{23}} = 3\pi/2 \). The future Belle II with 50 ab\(^{-1}\) will check NP between 450–750 GeV.

- For the determination of photon polarization in the LRSM, the future LHCb experiment will check the NP scale up to 3.7 TeV in this case.

- For the measurement of \( A_{CP} \) in the LRSM, the experiment constrain up to 4.7 TeV depending on the phase \( \omega \). Furthermore, the future Belle II with 50 ab\(^{-1}\) will check up to 5.5 TeV when \( \omega \) maximize the direct CP asymmetry. On the other hand, the future experiment reach 3.5 TeV at most when \( \omega \) minimize the direct CP asymmetry.
• The time-dependent CP asymmetry is the most stringent constraint in both models and this CP asymmetry does not depend on CP asymmetry parameters so much.

• Thus, we mention that there is a region where the determination of photon polarization is more ascendant for the NP search than that of direct CP asymmetry in both models. However, time-dependent CP asymmetry always gives us more stringent constraint than other observables.

5 Summary

One might be able to obtain the existence of NP and discriminate among the SM and NP if one can precisely determine the chirality of the $s$ quark in the $b \to s\gamma$ process. The chirality of $s$ quark can be determined by measuring the polarization of photon in the process. And, there are several ways to measure the photon polarization. In addition to the determination of photon polarization, the observation of CP asymmetry in the process is still sensitive to the existence of NP. Thus, simultaneous studies of photon polarization and CP asymmetry in the $b \to s\gamma$ process will be intriguing for the experimental search of NP.

We have investigated the $b \to s\gamma$ process in the SUSY SU(5) GUT with $N_R$ model and the LRSM. The ratio $|C'_7/C_7|$, the polarization parameter of photon, and the direct CP asymmetry in the process have been evaluated in both models. The time-dependent CP asymmetry seems to be the best way to find the NP effect. However, the combination of CP violation and photon polarization can discriminate NP beyond the SM at the end. Furthermore, there might be a region where the determination of photon polarization is more sensitive for the new physics search than that of CP asymmetry.
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6 Loop functions

We give loop functions [18] which are utilized in our analyses:

\[
\begin{align*}
    h_7(x) & = -\frac{5x^2 - 3x}{12(1-x)^2} - \frac{3x^2 - 2x}{6(1-x)^3} \log x, \\
    h_8(x) & = \frac{x^2 - 3x}{4(1-x)^2} + \frac{x}{2(1-x)^3} \log x, \\
    f^{(1)}_{7,8}(x, y) & = \frac{2}{x-y} (f^{(2)}_{7,8}(x) - f^{(2)}_{7,8}(y)), \\
    f^{(2)}_{7}(x) & = \frac{13 - 7x}{24(1-x)^3} - \frac{3 + 2x - 2x^2}{12(1-x)^4} \log x,
\end{align*}
\]
\[ f^{(2)}_s(x) = \frac{1 + 5x}{8(1 - x)^3} + x(2 + x) \frac{4(1 - x)^4}{4(1 - x)^4} \log x, \]  
\[ f_{LR}(x) = -\frac{x^2 + x + 4}{4(1 - x)^2} - \frac{3x}{2(1 - x)^2} \log x, \]  
\[ g^{(1)}_7(x) = \frac{2(1 + 5x)}{9(1 - x)^3} - \frac{4x(1 + x)}{3(1 - x)^4} \log x, \]  
\[ g^{(1)}_8(x) = \frac{11 + x}{3(1 - x)^3} + \frac{9 + 16x - x^2}{6(1 - x)^4} \log x, \]  
\[ g^{(2)}_7(x) = -\frac{2(1 + 10x + x^2)}{9(1 - x)^4} - \frac{4x(1 + x)}{3(1 - x)^5} \log x, \]  
\[ g^{(2)}_8(x) = \frac{53 + 44x - x^2}{12(1 - x)^4} + \frac{3 + 11x + 2x^2}{2(1 - x)^5} \log x, \]  
\[ D'_0(x) = \frac{-8x^3 - 5x^2 + 7x}{24(x - 1)^3} + \frac{3x^3 - 2x^2}{4(x - 1)^4} \log x, \]  
\[ A_{LR}(x) = \frac{-5x^2 + 31x - 20}{6(x - 1)^2} - \frac{3x^2 - 2x}{(x - 1)^3} \log x, \]  
\[ A_H^2(x) = \frac{22x^3 - 53x^2 + 25x}{72(x - 1)^3} - \frac{3x^3 - 8x^2 + 4x}{12(x - 1)} \log x. \]  

7 Mass insertion parameters

The mass insertion parameters are defined as (e.g., see [36])

\[ (\delta^{XX}_{ij})_f \equiv \frac{(m^2_{\tilde{q}X})_{ij}}{\tilde{m}^2_f}, \]  
\[ (\delta^{XY}_{ij})_d \equiv \frac{v_d(A_d - \mu t_{ij})}{\tilde{m}^2_f}, \]  
\[ (\delta^{XY}_{ij})_u \equiv \frac{v_u(A_u - \mu \cot)_{ij}}{\tilde{m}^2_f}, \]  

with \( X \neq Y \) where \( \tilde{m}^2_f \) denotes up- and down-type averaged squark mass, and the numerator of eq. (7.1) can be written as

\[ (m^2_{\tilde{u}_{LR}})_{ij} \simeq -V_{3i}V_{3j}^{*} \frac{f^2_d}{(4\pi^2)^2} (3m_0^2 + A_0^2) \left( 2 \log \frac{M^2_{pl}}{M^2_{H_e}} + \log \frac{M^2_{H_0}}{M^2_{SUSY}} \right), \]  
\[ (m^2_{\tilde{u}_{LR}})_{ij} \simeq -e^{-i\varphi_{u_3}} V_{3i}V_{3j}^{*} \frac{2f^2_d}{(4\pi^2)^2} (3m_0^2 + A_0^2) \log \frac{M^2_{pl}}{M^2_{H_e}}, \]  
\[ (m^2_{\tilde{d}_{LR}})_{ij} \simeq -V_{i3}V_{j3}^{*} \frac{2f^2_d}{(4\pi^2)^2} (3m_0^2 + A_0^2) \left( 3 \log \frac{M^2_{pl}}{M^2_{H_e}} + \log \frac{M^2_{H_0}}{M^2_{SUSY}} \right), \]  
\[ (m^2_{\tilde{d}_{LR}})_{ij} \simeq -e^{-i\varphi_{d_3}} U_{i3}U_{j3}^{*} \frac{f^2_d}{(4\pi^2)^2} (3m_0^2 + A_0^2) \log \frac{M^2_{pl}}{M^2_{H_e}}. \]  

Here, \( m_0 \) and \( A_0 \) are the universal scalar mass and trilinear coupling, respectively.
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