Dual Graphs of Curves on Surfaces of Class  ${\tt VII}_0$ 

College of General Education
Hokkaido University
Iku NAKAMURA

if the first Betti number of it is equal to one and if it is minimal. We know many examples of surfaces of class VII<sub>0</sub> (in short VII<sub>0</sub> surfaces) with curves — minimal surfaces with global spherical shells [2],[6],[7]. Some of them are characterized by the existence of certain curves [1],[4],[5]. Here we recall a table of classification of VII<sub>0</sub> surfaces from [4],[5].

(0.1) Table.

	curves	structure of surfaces
1)	(more than) 3 elliptic curves	elliptic VII <sub>0</sub> surfaces
2)	two elliptic curves	Hopf surfaces
3)	an elliptic curve, no cycles	Hopf surfaces
4)	an elliptic curve and a cycle	parabolic Inoue surfaces
5)	two cycles	hyperbolic Inoue surfaces
ó)	a cycle C with $C^2=0$	$S_{n,\beta,t}$ (see [1])
7)	a cycle C with C <sup>2</sup> <0	
7-3	1) $b_2(S) = b_2(C)$	half Inoue surfaces
7-2	2) b <sub>2</sub> (S)>b <sub>2</sub> (C)	examples exist
8)	no elliptic curves, no cycles	?

It is necessary to study the class 7) in the table in more detail in order to complete the classification of VII, surfaces. The purpose of this note is to complete the LHS of 7) in (0.1) under the assumption that the VII, surfaces has at least (in fact, then exactly) b, rational curves. Here we make a brief review on curves on VII, surfaces. An irreducible curve on a VII o surface is either a nonsingular rational curve, or an elliptic curve, or a rational curve with a node. If a VII of surface has a cycle of rational curves, then it has positive b, and no meromorphic functions except constants. The number of elliptic curves and cycles of rational curves on a VII, surface is at most two in total if the surface has no meromorphic functions except constants. If a VII, surface has a cycle C of rational curves with C<sup>2</sup><0, then there are no elliptic curves on the surface. If moreover it has another cycle of rational curves, then (0.1) 5) asserts that it is a hyperbolic Inoue surface. Therefore in (0.1) 7), any VII, surface has a unique cycle C with C<sup>2</sup><0, but no elliptic curves.

Let S be a VII $_0$  surface in (0.1) 7). Assume moreover that S has  $b_2$  rational curves. If the unique cycle C on S has no branches (see (2.1)), then S is a half Inoue surface (see (2.4)). If C has branches, then our main theorem asserts that the dual graph of all the curves on S is the same as one of those of known surfaces — minimal surfaces with global sphericall shells. We also give a uniform characterization of Inoue surfaces with  $b_2 > 0$  by the Dloussky invariant (§3).

Table of contents.

## Notations

- §1 Smoothability of cycle by deformations
- § 2 Dual graphs of curves
- §3 A theorem

Bibliography

## Notations.

the sheaf of germs over S of holomorphic functions
the sheaf of germs over S of holomorphic one forms
the canonical line bundle of S
the first Chern class of a line bundle L
#(irreducible components of a reduced divisor E)
the i-th Betti number of S
q-th cohomology group of S with F coefficients
$\dim_{\infty} H^{q}(S,F)$
$\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q h^q(s,F)$

§1 Smoothability of a cycle by deformations

(1.1) Theorem. Let S be a VII<sub>0</sub> surface with  $b_2>0$ . Then  $H^2(S, \theta_S) = 0$ .

<u>Proof.</u> Assume the contrary to derive a contradiction. By Serre duality,  $\mathrm{H}^0(S,\Omega_S^1(K_S)) \neq 0$ ,  $\mathrm{K}_S$  being the canonical line bundle of S. Let D be the maximum effective divisor of S such that  $\mathrm{H}^0(S,\Omega_S^1(K_S^{-D}))\neq 0$  and let  $\omega$  be a nonzero element of  $\mathrm{H}^0(S,\Omega_S^1(K_S^{-D}))$ . By definition, zero( $\omega$ ) is isolated. Then we have,

(1.1.1) Lemma. The following is exact;

$$0 \rightarrow O_{S}(K_{S}-D) \rightarrow \Omega_{S}^{1} \rightarrow O_{S}(2K_{S}-D)$$
where f(a) = a\omega, g(b) = b\cdot\omega.

<u>Proof.</u> Clearly f is injective. Take  $b \in Ker g$ . Then  $b \wedge \omega = 0$ . Hence  $b = h\omega$  locally for a germ h of a meromorphic function. Then  $pole(h) \subset zero(\omega) = isolated$ , whence h is holomorphic. Hence h is contained in Im f.  $\square$ 

Let # be Coker g. Then the following is exact;

$$0 \rightarrow O_S(K_S-D) \rightarrow \Omega_S^1 \rightarrow O_S(2K_S-D) \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$$

We remark that  $\mathrm{supp}(H)$  is isolated points, so that  $\mathrm{H}^1(S,H)=0$ . We also see  $\mathrm{h}^0(S,\Omega_S^1)=\mathrm{h}^2(S,\Omega_S^1)=0$ ,  $\mathrm{h}^1(S,\Omega_S^1)=\mathrm{h}_2$  by [3],or [5,p.405]. Therefore by taking Euler-Poincare characteristics, we see

$$\begin{split} b_2 &= -\chi(S,\Omega_S^1) = -\chi(S,-K_S+D) - \chi(S,2K_S-D) + h^0(S,H) \\ &= -2\chi(S,-K_S+D) + h^0(S,H) \\ &= -2K_S^2 + 3K_SD - D^2 + h^0(S,H) \\ &= 2b_2 + 3K_SD - D^2 + h^0(S,H) \quad (by -K_S^2 = b_2) \;, \end{split}$$

and therefore,

 $b_2 + 3K_S D - D^2 + h^0(S, H) = 0.$ 

(1.1.2) Lemma.  $K_S D \ge 0$ ,  $D^2 \le 0$ .

See [3] or [5,p. 399, (2.1.2) and (2.2)].

Consequently,  $b_2 = 0$ ,  $K_SD = D^2 = h^0(SH) = 0$ . This contradicts the assumption  $b_2 > 0$ . q.e.d.

(1.2) Theorem. Let S be a VII surface with C a cycle of rational curves. Let E be a reduced divisor containing C.  $H^2(S,\Theta_S(-\log E)) = 0.$ 

Assume the contrary. Then as in (1.1), we see  $4 \ge b_2(E) + (K_cE + E^2)/2$ .

> Let E = C+H, H =  $\sum_{i}$  H<sub>i</sub>, H<sub>i</sub> irreducible. Then  $b_2(E) + (K_SE + E^2)/2 = b_2(C) + CH + b_2(H) + (K_SH + H^2)/2$

 $=b_{2}(C)+CH+\sum\limits_{i\leq j}H_{i}H_{j}$ Take a fivefold unramified covering  $4 \ge b_2(C)$ . whence  $\pi: S^* \to S$ . Then since  $H^2(S^*, \Theta_{S^*}(-\log E^*)) \neq 0$  for  $E^* = \pi^* E$ , we see  $4 \ge b_2(C^*)$ ,  $C^*$  being  $\pi^*C$ . However  $b_2(C^*) = 5b_2(C)$  since  $\pi$  is fivesheeted. This is a contradiction. q.e.d.

A geometric consequence of this theorem is (1.3) Theorem. Let S be a VII surface with C a cycle of rational curves, H a reduced divisor with no common components with C, E = C+H. Then there is a smooth proper family  $\pi:S \to \Delta$ with  $\pi$ -flat divisors C and H of S such that  $(1.3.1) (S_0, C_0, H_0) \cong (S, C, H),$ (1.3.2)  $H_t = H$  (together with embeddings) for any t  $\Delta$ (1.3.3)  $\omega := \pi|_{C} : C \to \Delta$  is a versal deformation of C with natural isomorphism  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\Omega_{C}^{1}, O_{C}) \cong \operatorname{T}_{0}(\Delta)$ .

primary (1.3.4)  $S_{t}$  is either a blown-up Hopf surface or a blown-up parabolic Inoue surface if  $C_{t}$  is an elliptic curve.

We note that (1.3.4) is a consequence of (0.1). See [5,(12.1) and (12.2)]. Since any parabolic Inoue surface primary is deformed into a blown-up Hopf surface, we may assume that  $S_t$  in (1.3.4) is a blown-up primary Hopf surface for generic t.

(1.4) Corollary. Let S,C and  $H_j$  be the same as in (1.3).

Assume moreover that S is not a half Inoue surface, and  $C^2 < 0$ .

Then there are line bundles  $L_j \in H^1(S, O_S^*), E_j = c_1(L_j) \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}),$   $(1 \le j \le n), n = b_2(S)$  such that

- (1.4.1)  $E_{j}$   $(1 \le j \le n)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$  basis of  $\mathbb{H}^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ ,
- (1.4.2)  $K_{S}^{L_{j}} = -1$ ,  $L_{j}^{L_{k}} = -\delta_{jk}$ ,
- (1.4.3)  $C = -(L_{r+1} + ... + L_n)$ ,  $K_S = L_1 + ... + L_n$  for some  $1 \le r \le n-1$ .
- (1.4.4)  $c_1(H_j) = E_{j,1}(E_{j,2}, \dots + E_{j,k_j}), E_{j,k} \xrightarrow{\text{being one of } E_i} (1 \le i \le n), \text{ all distinct for } j \text{ fixed.}$
- (1.5) Remark. If  $C^2=0$ ,  $c_1(C)=0$ , but  $C \neq 0$  in  $H^1(S,O_S^*)$ . If S is a half Inoue surface, then  $C^2<0$ ,  $c_1(C)=-c_1(K_S)=-(E_1+...+E_n)$ , r=0, but  $C\neq -K_S$ . In fact,  $K_S+C$  is a line bundle of order two. See [5,§9].

§2 Dual graphs of curves

(2.1) Definition. A VII $_0$  surface S with  $b_2>0$  is said to be special if S has at least  $b_2$  rational curves.

It is still unknown whether there are un-special  ${\rm VII}_0$  surfaces with  ${\rm b_2}{>}0$ .

We notice that the maximum number of (possibly singular) rational curves on a  $VII_0$  surface is  $b_2(=b_2(S))$ , the secondBetti number of S) (Ma. Kato, see [5,(3.5)] for the proof.)

Any special VII, surface has a cycle of rational curves.

Any (minimal) surface with a global spherical shell is special.

Let C be a cycle of rational curves. A branch D of C is by definition a reduced connected divisor with CD = 1 having no common irreducible components with C.

As a simplest case, we shall prove the following

(2.2) Theorem. If a specail VII<sub>0</sub> surface S has a rational curve C with a node having branches, then the dual graph of b<sub>2</sub> rational curves is

$$-(n-1)$$
  $-2$   $-2$   $-2$   $(n-1)$ 

where  $n=b_2(S)$ ,  $\bullet$  (resp. 0) denotes C (resp. a nonsingular  $\mathbb{P}^1$ ). Proof. By (1.4),  $C = -(L_{r+1} + \ldots + L_n)$ ,  $K_S = L_1 + \ldots + L_n$ ,  $1 \le r \le n-1$ . By the assumption, there is a branch of C. So we take a nonsingular rational curve D with CD=1. We assume  $c_1(D) = a_1 E_1 + \ldots + a_n E_n$ . Since  $2 + K_S D + D^2 = 0$ , we have  $\sum_i (a_i^2 + a_i) = 2$ . Hence there is a unique i such that  $a_i^2 + a_i = 2$  and except i,  $a_i^2 + a_i = 0$ .

By CD=1, we have  $a_{r+1}+\ldots+a_n=1$ . Therefore up to permutation,  $(a_{r+1},\ldots,a_n)=(1,0,\ldots,0)$ , and  $a_j=0$  or -1 for  $j\leq r$ . Since  $D^2\leq -2$  by the minimality of S, we may assume  $a_1=-1$ . Since  $L_1C=L_1(-L_{r+1}-\ldots-L_n)=0$ , there is a flat line bundle F on S such that  $L:=L_1+F$  is trivial on C, namely,  $L\otimes O_C=O_C$  (see [5,(2.14)]).

(2.2.1) Lemma. Let N be a line bundle on S with  $c_1(N)=b_1E_1+\dots$   $+b_nE_n$ . Assume  $b_1+\dots+b_n>0$ . Then  $H^0(S,N)=0$ .

Proof. Assume the contrary. Then there is an effective divisor

D on S such that  $c_1(D)=b_1E_1+\ldots+b_nE_n$ . Then by (1.1.2),  $K_SD\geq 0$ . However  $K_SD=(L_1+\ldots+L_n)$   $(b_1L_1+\ldots+b_nL_n)=-(b_1+\ldots+b_n)<0$ , which is absurd.  $\square$ 

(2.2.2) Lemma.  $H^{q}(S,L)=0$  for any q.

 $\begin{array}{lll} & \underline{\text{Proof.}} & \text{By } (2.2.1) \text{, } \text{H}^0(\text{S,L}) = 0. & \text{By } (2.2.1) \text{ and Serre duality,} \\ & \text{H}^2(\text{S,L}) = \text{H}^0(\text{S,K}_S\text{-L}) *= \text{H}^0(\text{S,L}_2 + \ldots + \text{L}_n\text{-F}) *= 0} & \text{(because } 1 \leq r \leq n - 1 < n) \text{.} \\ & \text{By Riemann-Roch, } \text{h}^1(\text{S,L}) = -\chi(\text{S,L}) = (\text{K}_S\text{L}-\text{L}^2)/2 - \chi(\text{S,O}_S) = -\chi(\text{S,O}_S) \\ & = -(p_q - q + 1) = -(0 - 1 + 1) = 0. & \Box \end{array}$ 

Consider the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow 0_S(L-C) \rightarrow 0_S(L) \rightarrow 0_C(L) \rightarrow 0$ .

Taking  $L\otimes O_C = O_C$  into account, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^{0}(S, L-C) \to H^{0}(S, L) \to H^{0}(C, O_{C})$$
  
+  $H^{1}(S, L-C) \to H^{1}(S, L) \to H^{1}(C, O_{C})$   
+  $H^{2}(S, L-C) \to H^{2}(S, L) \to 0.$ 

Therefore  $h^0(S, K_S-L+C) = h^2(S, L-C) = h^1(C, O_C) = 1$ . Note that  $K_S-L+C = L_1+\ldots+L_n-(L_1+F)-(L_{r+1}+\ldots+L_n)=L_2+\ldots+L_r-F$ . Hence (2.2.3) Lemma. r = 1.

<u>Proof.</u> By (2.2.1),  $H^0(S, K_S-L+C)=0$  if  $r \ge 2$ .

Since  $c_1(D) = E_{r+1} - (E_1 + \dots)$ , we have  $c_1(D) = E_2 - E_1$ . We also have  $C = -(L_2 + \dots + L_n)$ . Let D' and D" be the other rational curves on S. Then both D' and D" are nonsingular rational curves as was explained in the introduction. By (1.4.4), we have

$$c_1(D') = E_j - (E_{j_1} + ... + E_{j_s}),$$
 $c_1(D'') = E_k - (E_{k_1} + ... + E_{k_t})$ 

for some  $j,j_h,k,k_h$ . By  $CD'\geq 0$ ,  $DD'\geq 0$ , we have  $j\neq 1,2$  and s=1 or s=2. Similarly  $k\neq 1,2$ , t=1 or 2 and moreover  $j\neq k$ . By the assumption that S is special, there are (n-2) rational curves  $D_j$   $(3\leq j\leq n)$  on S. By the above observation, we can index

 $c_1^{(D_j)} = E_j^{-E}I_j$  for a subset  $I_j$  of  $\{1,...,n\}\setminus\{j\}$ . By  $D_j^2 \le -2$ ,  $I_j$  is nonempty. (2.2.4) Lemma.  $\#I_j = 1$ .

We omit the proof. See [8].

Assuming (2.2.4), it is not difficult to see that  $c_1(D_j) = E_j - E_{j-1}(2 \le j \le n)$ , where  $D_2 = D$ . The proof is done simply by  $D_j D_k \ge 0$ ,  $CD_j \ge 0$  for  $j \ne k$ . (For instance, if n=3,  $c_1(D_3) \ne E_3 - E_1$  because  $D_2 D_3 = (E_2 - E_1)(E_3 - E_1) = -1$  is absurd. Hence  $c_1(D_3) = E_3 - E_2$ .) Thus we complete the proof of (2.2). q.e.d.

After preparing various lemmas, we are able to prove

(2.3) Theorem. Let S be a special VII<sub>0</sub> surface with C a unique cycle of rational curves having branches. Then the dual graph of b<sub>2</sub> rational curves is the same as one of those of minimal surfaces with global spherical shells.

Any graph in (2.3) is given explicitly. In (2.3),  $C^2$  is

negative because  $c_1(C)=0$ , hence C has no branches, if  $C^2=0$ .

- (2.4) Theorem. Let S be a special VII<sub>0</sub> surface with C a unique cycle of rational curves. Assume that C<sup>2</sup><0 and that C has no branches. Then S is isomorphic to a half Inoue surface.
- (2.5) Corollary. Let S be a special VII<sub>0</sub> surface with C a cycle of rational curves. Then either  $C^2=b_2(C)-b_2(S)$ , or  $C^2=-b_2(C)=-b_2(S)$  and S is a half Inoue surface.

In (2.5), if S has two cycles of rational curves, the assertion is a part of the strange duality.

- (2.6) Problem. Give a direct proof of (2.5).
- (2.7) Example. Let S be a special  $VII_0$  surface with  $b_2=3$ , and a unique cycle with branches. Then there are three cases;

dual graph	homological expressions of curves (with numbering from the left)		
<b>●</b> ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	$c_1 = -E_2 - E_3$	-	
0 -2 -3 -3	$c_{1}^{=E_{1}-E_{2}}$	$c_2 = E_2 - E_1 - E_3$	$D_3 = E_3 - E_1 - E_2$
0 0 0 0 -3 -2 -2	$c_1 = E_1 - E_2 - E_3$ ,	$C_2=E_2-E_1$	$D_3 = E_3 - E_2$ ,

where • (resp. 0) stands for a rational curve with a node (resp. a nonsingular rational curve).

- §3 A theorem.
- (3.1) Definition. Let S be a  $VII_0$  surface with  $b_2>0$ . The Dloussky invariant Dl(S) of S is

 $D1(S) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} D^{2} + \#(rational curves with a node)$  where D ranges over all the irreducible curves on S.

(3.2) Theorem. Let S be a VII<sub>0</sub> surface with  $b_2>0$ . Then D1(S)  $\leq 3b_2$ (S),

equality holding if and only if S is an Inoue surface with b2>0.

## Bibliography

- [1] Enoki, I.: Surfaces of class VII<sub>0</sub> with curves, Tohoku Math.J. 33, 453-492 (1981)
- [2] Kato, Ma.: Compact complex manifolds containing "global spherical shells", I. Proc. Int. Symp. Algebraic Geometry, Kyoto, pp. 45-84 (1977)
- [3] Kodaira, K.: On the structure of compact complex surfaces, III

  Amer. J. Math. 90, 55-83 (1968)
- [4] Nakamura, I.: On surfaces of class  $VII_0$ , Proc. Japan Acad. 58,380-383 (1982),
- [5] \_\_\_: ditto. , Invent. Math. 78, 393-443 (1984)
- [6] \_\_\_: On surfaces of class VII<sub>0</sub> with global spherical shells
  Proc. Japan Acad. 59, 29-32 (1983)
- [7] \_\_\_: Rational degeneration of surfaces of class VII0,
- [8]  $\_$ : On surfaces of class VII $_0$  with curves II (preprint)