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Abstract

Background
Ethnicity has a significant impact on coronary artery disease (CAD). This study investigated the long-term outcomes of Japanese patients undergoing revascularization compared with US patients belonging to multiple ethnic groups.

Methods and Results
We evaluated clinical outcomes, based on ethnicity, of patients included in the Coronary Revascularization Demonstrating Outcome (CREDO-Kyoto) and the Texas (US) Heart Institute Research Database (THIRDBase) registries. For the analysis, we included 8871 patients from the CREDO-Kyoto registry (median follow-up period [FU], 3.5 years; interquartile range [IQR], 2.6–4.3) and 6717 patients from the THIRDBase registry (FU, 5.2 years; IQR, 3.8–6.5) who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention or bypass surgery. Cox proportional hazard models were constructed to compare the adjusted long-term outcomes for each ethnic group. A total of 8871 Japanese, 5170 Caucasians, 648 African-Americans, 817 Hispanics, and 82 Asian-Americans were identified. When adjusted, Japanese patients had significantly better outcomes than US patients, classified by ethnicity (Caucasians: hazard ratio [HR], 1.56; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.35–1.79; Hispanics: HR, 1.53; 95% CI, 1.22–1.93; African-Americans: HR, 2.03; 95% CI, 1.62–2.56), except for Asian-Americans (HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.38–1.89) who had outcomes similar to Japanese patients.
Conclusion

Our findings indicate better survival outcomes in re-vascularized Japanese CAD patients compared to major ethnic groups in the US, including Caucasian, Hispanic, and African-American CAD patients. The characteristics and outcomes of Japanese CAD patients were similar to those of Asian-Americans, despite the sample size limitations in the US dataset.

Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is one of the leading causes of death in both Eastern and Western countries (e.g., Japan and the US), and a large number of revascularization procedures, including percutaneous coronary interventions (PCIs) and coronary artery bypass grafts (CABGs) are performed. However, the lifestyles of the patients in the two regions differ greatly, and little is known about post-revascularization outcomes of Japanese CAD patients or about how these patients compare with their counterparts in the US.

Traditional risk factors, such as age and the presence of comorbid conditions (e.g., diabetes or renal insufficiency), are considered to be predictive of long-term outcomes in both countries. Notably, race and ethnicity are known to greatly influence CAD outcomes, and individuals undergoing coronary revascularization. Previously, a trend towards fewer cardiovascular events in stable and ambulatory CAD patients has been reported for East Asians compared with Western populations. Although previous studies have accounted for ethnic differences, most have focused on Caucasians, Hispanics, and African-Americans. Furthermore, these studies were conducted in individual countries and without international comparisons. Therefore, how the demographics and outcomes of Asian patients compare with those of other ethnic groups in the US remains unclear. Given the current situation, it will be difficult to interpret the clinical trials or studies performed in Western countries. Including East Asians along with the various ethnic groups in Western countries in these studies will help determine whether the results are applicable to East Asian countries.

To partially fill this void, we assessed the clinical characteristics and long-term outcomes between Japanese and US patients, from different ethnic groups, using a comparison of information from 2 large databases of patients undergoing (PCIs) and (CABGs) in these countries. The present study structure enabled unique opportunity to describe the long-term outcome of Japanese patients undergoing revascularization strategy in comparison to multiple ethnic groups in the US, and to evaluate which of the US ethnical group would be closest to Japanese patients.

Methods

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. For THIRDBase, the current study was approved by the institutional review board (Institutional Review Board-Texas Health Resource). Written informed consent was obtained from all enrollees at the time of hospital admission, and all analyzed data were stripped of personal identifiers. For CREDO-Kyoto, Kyoto University Hospital Institutional Review Board approved the research protocol. Due to the retrospective nature of enrollment, written informed consent was not obtained from the patients; however, when later contacted for follow-up evaluation, 73 patients were excluded from the analysis because of their refusal to participate in the study. This process is concordant with the guidelines for epidemiologic studies issued by the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare of Japan.
We evaluated clinical characteristics and outcomes, based on ethnicity, using the Coronary Revascularization Demonstrating Outcome (CREDO-Kyoto) registry in Kyoto and the Texas Heart Institute Research Database (THIRDBase). CREDO-Kyoto was a multicenter (n = 29) registry based on the network of one tertiary academic hospital (Kyoto University Hospital) and its affiliated urban academic hospitals, and THIRDBase is an ongoing single tertiary center registry maintained at the Texas Heart Institute, in Houston, TX, USA. Designed to evaluate the associated periprocedural and late events, both registries, prospectively registered consecutive patients who underwent elective revascularization by either PCI or CABG. Details concerning the designs of CREDO-Kyoto and THIRDBase have been previously reported.[8, 12] CREDO-Kyoto enrolled patients between 2000 and 2002, whereas THIRDBase is a continuous, on-going registry since 1993. For the current analysis, we included THIRDBase patients enrolled between 1999 and 2003 to match the total number of patients in the CREDO-Kyoto registry. The series comprised 15,588 patients, including 8871 patients from the CREDO-Kyoto registry (median follow-up period, 3.5 years; interquartile range [IQR], 2.6–4.3) and 6717 patients from the THIRDBase registry (median follow-up period, 5.2 years; IQR, 3.8–6.5). In order to focus on patients who had undergone initial, elective, isolated revascularization procedures, those who had undergone a previous PCI or CABG operation, required concomitant valve surgery or peripheral vascular revascularization, or were undergoing primary PCI for an acute myocardial infarction (MI), were excluded (Fig 1).

Since drug-eluting stents were not available for use during the study period, all PCI patients underwent placement of a bare-metal stent. Patient histories were obtained through an interview when the patient arrived at the hospital or clinic, and the details were entered into the database. The following variables were documented: left ventricular ejection fraction, number of diseased vessels, urgency of the revascularization procedure, presence of hypertension (characterized by a blood pressure of >130/90 mmHg for THIRDBase and >140/90 for CREDO) or current use of antihypertensive medication, angina severity (Canadian Cardiovascular Society classification), congestive heart failure severity (New York Heart Association [NYHA] functional status), family history of CAD, previous MI, renal function, need for hemodialysis, and presence of peripheral vascular disease (occlusive or aneurysmal vascular disease in the aorta.
or other peripheral vessels). Coronary anatomic and procedural characteristics, in-hospital outcomes, and vital status as of December 31, 2006, were assessed for all patients.

In the THIRDBase registry, survivorship was determined from the US Department of Vital Statistics Database. For CREDO-Kyoto, follow-up data were obtained from hospital charts or by contacting patients or referring physicians. Both registries had remarkably high follow-up completion rates (THIRDBase, 100%; CREDO-Kyoto, 98% at 1 year and 95% at 2 years). Survival analyses included both in-hospital and long-term survival data; these survival rates were not considered separately.

For the present study, we analyzed the patients in each registry according to their ethnicity. To compare the two registries, we assessed patient demographic characteristics using Pearson’s chi-square test for discrete variables and Student’s t-test for continuous variables. We then used the Kaplan-Meier method to draw the survival curve and the log-rank test to identify significant differences in unadjusted survival rates for each ethnicity.

Multivariable Cox proportional hazards models were constructed to control possible confounding factors affecting long-term cardiovascular outcomes, according to each ethnicity. Age ≥65, gender, obesity, history of myocardial infarction, diabetes, heart failure, NYHA functional class, peripheral vascular disease, renal function, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, family history of CAD, smoking, the number of diseased vessels, and ethnicity were included as covariates in the multivariate analysis. Because the proportional hazards assumption did not hold for the mode of coronary revascularization, we applied a stratified Cox proportional hazards model using the selected revascularization procedure as the stratification variable. Thus, the variables included in the multivariable model were age ≥65, sex, obesity, history of MI, diabetes, heart failure, NYHA functional class, peripheral vascular disease, renal function, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, family history of CAD, smoking, number of diseased vessels, and ethnicity (Japanese as a reference). Renal function was categorized using a serum creatinine level of ≤179 μmol/L, a serum creatinine level of >179 μmol/L without hemodialysis, and a serum creatinine level of >179 μmol/L with hemodialysis. Cerebrovascular disease was excluded from the multivariable analyses because of the considerable differences in the definitions used by the registries. To assess the validity of the proportional hazard assumption, we plotted-log [-log (survival)] curves for each category of a nominal or ordinal covariate versus log (analysis time).

**Results**

The series included 15,588 patients; 8871 patients from the CREDO-Kyoto registry (median follow-up period, 3.5 years; IQR, 2.6–4.3) and 6717 patients from the THIRDBase registry (median follow-up period, 5.2 years; IQR, 3.8–6.5). Table 1 summarizes the patient baseline characteristics, by ethnicity. Overall, the Japanese patients were older and were more likely to be smokers and to have diabetes mellitus. The US patients were more obese and had a greater body mass index. In general, the US patients had a greater prevalence of MI, renal insufficiency, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and family histories of CAD. Among the US patients, African-Americans tended to have more comorbidities than the other ethnicities. Multi-vessel disease was seen more frequently in the Japanese patients than in the US patients, and a similar tendency was recognized among Asian-American patients.

Fig 2 shows the Kaplan–Meier survival curves for each major ethnic group (Japanese, Caucasians, Hispanics, African-Americans, and Asian-Americans). The long-term outcomes for Caucasian and Hispanic patients were similar to those for the Japanese patients (Japanese vs. Caucasian p = 0.48, and Japanese vs. Hispanics p = 0.86), whereas the significantly different outcomes were recognized between the Japanese patients and both African-Americans.
Japanese vs. African-Americans, p < 0.001) and Asian-Americans (Japanese vs. Asian-Americans, p = 0.036).

After adjustment, Cox-proportional Hazard Models identified age (>65 years), body mass index (>25 kg/m²), a history of MI or heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, renal insufficiency, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, and a family history of CAD as significant predictors of mortality (Table 2). Multi-vessel disease was an independent predictor of mortality.

The risk of long-term mortality was significantly lower among Japanese patients than among other ethnicities except for Asian-Americans (Caucasians: HR, 1.56; 95% CI, 1.35–1.79; p < 0.001; Hispanics: HR, 1.53; 95% CI, 1.22–1.93; p < 0.001; and African-Americans: HR, 2.03; 95% CI, 1.62–2.56; p < 0.001). There was no statistically significant difference in the mortality risk for Asian-Americans when compared with Japanese patients.

**Discussion**

Differences have been noted in the long-term outcomes of revascularized patients when comparing patients in Japan and the US. For the patients, from two large-scale registries, who were included in the current analysis, the Japanese patients had significantly better outcomes compared to any ethnic group in the US, except for Asian-Americans, who had outcomes similar to those of the Japanese patients.

Precise reasons remain unclear; however, several explanations can be given for the better cardiovascular outcomes among Japanese patients compared to American patients. First, the prevalence of risk factors might contribute to the different cardiovascular outcomes. In the
Japanese population, the risk profiles, (excluding age, smoking, and diabetes mellitus), were consistently better than those of the US population. Moreover, their unique features could overcome any disadvantages in the risk profiles. The Japanese population has one of the highest life expectancies in the world, a lower prevalence of chronic obstructive lung disease despite the higher smoking rate,[3, 13] and a weaker impact of diabetes on cardiovascular outcomes compared to the US population.[14] Second, the characteristics of the coronary artery plaques also vary among ethnic groups. A previous study used intravascular ultrasound to compare the coronary artery plaque morphology between Caucasian and Japanese patients with left main CAD. The study demonstrated that Caucasians had more coronary artery plaque calcification than their Japanese counterparts, even after adjusting for traditional risk factors.[15] Because coronary artery calcification is considered to be a maker of the overall atherosclerotic plaque burden and provides incremental prognostic information,[16] this morphological difference might contribute to the better prognosis for the Japanese population. Recently, a sub-analysis from the Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography Evaluation for Clinical Outcomes: An International Multicenter Registry demonstrated that East-Asian patients with obstructive CAD, as observed using coronary computed tomography angiography, had lower rates of all-cause mortality and nonfatal MI compared to Caucasians and African-Americans during a 2-year follow-up.[17] This result might also indicate a reduced vulnerability of coronary artery plaque development among East-Asian populations. Third, differences in diet, especially the intake of marine-derived n-3 fatty acids, may contribute to the better outcomes in the Japanese population. Compared to the Caucasians and Japanese-Americans in the US, the Japanese
have two higher levels of marine-derived n-3 fatty acids, which have anti-atherogenic properties.[18] In addition to n-3 fatty acids, Japanese population consumed higher percentages of total calories from carbohydrates, vegetable protein, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), docosapentanoic acid (DPA), compared to the US population.[19] Especially in these components, DHA had an inverse association with intima-medial thickness even after adjusting for other risk factors.[20] Furthermore, on the basis of the studies comparing native Japanese subjects and Japanese-Americans living in the US (mostly Hawaii), the strong impact of westernized food habit on unfavorable lipid profiles and progression of atherosclerosis was clarified.[21–23] Despite the genetically identical cohorts, the mean serum cholesterol, and serum triglyceride levels were significantly higher in the Japanese-Americans,[21] the prevalence of type 2 diabetes and death from ischemic heart disease among Japanese-American patients were higher than those among Japanese patients,[22] and IMT was significantly greater in Japanese-Americans than native Japanese.[23] Finally, ethnicity also affects the clinical outcomes. In both clinical registries and trials focused on PCI, the rate of application of optimized medical therapy was not superior to that in the US.[24, 25] This indicates that the better
outcomes in Japanese patients are related to genetics or ethnicity rather than to better quality of care.

Previous publications investigating cardiovascular outcome differences, among ethnic groups, have placed a disproportionate emphasis on comparing Caucasians and African-Americans.[7, 26–31] Multiple baseline risk factors and lower accessibility to medical care are generally cited as major factors for the worse cardiovascular outcomes among African-Americans.[31, 32] However, only a few previous PCI outcome studies involving East-Asians have been published, [7, 8, 27] despite the fact that East-Asians have one of the highest increasing rates among various US ethnicities.[33] Thus, further investigation into the prognostic impact of East-Asian ethnicity on cardiovascular outcomes should be undertaken. Our results indicated that the better prognostic value associated with Asian ethnicity was persistent, regardless of the place of patient residence. This is in agreement with a recent study involving the National Cardiovascular Data Registry’s CathPCI Registry, which is linked to Medicare claims data. In that study, Asian patients, revascularized in the US, demonstrated a lower adjusted risk for adverse cardiovascular events than did Caucasian patients.[7]

Our results expand the favorable cardiovascular outcomes associated with Asian ethnicity to post-revascularization patients. The fact that the outcomes of either PCI or CABG revascularizations are different among ethnicities may have an effect on the choice of CAD management strategy for patient of different ethnicity, and may influence the interpretation of trials or studies performed in Western countries when applied to East-Asian populations. As such, the effects of a particular intervention or treatment may differ considerably among different ethnic groups.

Limitation

Our study has several limitations. First, this study was based on registry data and only included patients who were referred for coronary revascularization. The resulting clinical outcomes of these patients might be quite different depending on any referral bias. Second, generalizability is a major problem since the US dataset was derived from a single institute. In order to demonstrate the generalizability of the US dataset, we compared our US dataset with the National Cardiovascular Data Registry (NCDR), which is the largest national clinical registry program for PCI with more than 1500 centers currently participating across the US (S1 Table).[3] Although the percentages of Hispanics differed significantly (12.2% for THIRDBase vs. 4.9% for NCDR, respectively) between the 2 registries, the background characteristics of the patients appeared identical between the two groups. In order to establish the robustness of this study, it is necessary to utilize a nationwide multicenter dataset for further investigation. Nevertheless, we expect this study to be hypothesis generating, rather than a proof-of-concept. Third, the conclusions were based on the results of a study with a small sample size of Asian Americans in the US dataset. Although the statistical robustness of this conclusion was confirmed, larger registries are needed for further investigation. Forth, statistical adjustment was only made for variables that were collected in both registries, and several variables remained unadjusted. Genetic and pharmacogenomic differences might also contribute to the observed outcome differences, but were beyond the scope of this study. Fifth, technological advances may quickly render our findings out-of-date. One such advance is the advent of drug-eluting stents, which have dramatically reduced the rate of restenosis after stent implantation. However, previous reports have indicated that there is little impact of ethnicity on cardiovascular outcomes after revascularization with different types of stents (bare-metal versus drug-eluting stents).[7] Finally, we are unable to obtain information on the 5% of patients that were lost to follow-up after 2 years in the CREDO-dataset. Although this group might have a slight impact on the result of this study, the conclusion should be statistically robust due to its excellent follow-up rate.
Conclusion
The adjusted long-term mortality of Japanese patients undergoing revascularization was lower than those for Caucasians, Hispanics, and African-Americans and comparable to that of Asian-American patients in the US.
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