Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences，Kyoto University

| Title | Laplacian and the Jacobi＇s inversion problem for the simple elliptic <br> singularity |
| :---: | :--- |
| Author（s） | Satake，Ikuo |
| Citation | 代数幾何学シンポジウム記録，1997：199－208 |
| Issue Date |  |
| URL |  |
| Right | Departmental Bulletin Paper |
| Type | publisher |
| Textversion |  |

# Laplacian and the Jacobi＇s inversion problem for the simple elliptic singularity 

Ikuo SATAKE<br>Department of Mathematics，Graduate School of Science，Osaka University<br>Toyonaka－city Osaka 560，Japan

## §1．Introduction．

We construct explicitly（up to 1 unknown constant factor $\in \mathbf{C}^{*}$ ）the inversion mapping of the period mapping（for the primitive form ）for the semi－universal deformation of the hypersurface simple elliptic singularity（ $\tilde{E}_{l}$ type）by using the theta functions or the characters of an affine Lie algebra of type $E_{l}^{(1)}(l=6,7,8)$ ．

## §2．Review of the theory of period mapping for the primitive form．

In $\S 2$ and $\S 3$ ，we review the theory of primitive forms．For the notations and definitions， see［ S ］．Let $Z, X, S, T \delta_{1}$ ，be a Hamiltonian system with the primitive form in the sense of Saito［S］obtained by the semi－universal deformation of the hypersurface simple elliptic singularity．We remind the notations：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& *: \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}: \text { commutative associative } \mathcal{O}_{T} \text {-algebra structure } \\
& w: \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Der}_{S}(-\log D) \\
& \nabla: \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}: \text { flat connection } \\
& J: \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T}: \text { non-degenerate } \mathcal{O}_{T} \text {-bilinear form } \\
& N: \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}
\end{aligned}
$$

For our cases，$n$ ：the dimension of the Milnor fiber $=2, r-1$ ：the degree of the primitive form $=0, \mu$ ：the Milnor number of the $\tilde{E}_{l}$ type（ $l=6,7,8$ ）simple elliptic singularity
$=l+2$ in the notation of $[\mathrm{S}]$. The exponents for the primitive form are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{E}_{6} \text { case }: 1, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{5}{3}, 2, \\
& \tilde{E}_{7} \text { case }: 1, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{6}{4}, \frac{6}{4}, \frac{6}{4}, \frac{7}{4}, \frac{7}{4}, 2 \\
& \tilde{E}_{8} \text { case }: 1, \frac{7}{6}, \frac{8}{6}, \frac{8}{6}, \frac{9}{6}, \frac{9}{6}, \frac{10}{6}, \frac{10}{6}, \frac{11}{6}, 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The period mapping in the usual sense (integral of the primitive form) degenerates by the existence of the integral exponents. In fact, it maps the $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-orbit in $S$ to 1 point. In order to construct the reasonable period mapping (i.e. separating the different points in the $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-orbit ), we need to add a new function as a period. This was done (Saito[S]) in the following formulation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M^{(s)}:=\mathcal{D}_{S} / \sum_{\delta, \delta^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}} \mathcal{D}_{S} P\left(\delta, \delta^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{G}} \mathcal{D}_{S} Q_{s}(\delta) \quad(s \in \mathbf{C}) \\
& P\left(\delta, \delta^{\prime}\right):=\delta \delta^{\prime}-\left(\delta * \delta^{\prime}\right) \delta_{1}-\nabla_{\delta} \delta^{\prime}\left(\delta, \delta^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}\right) \\
& Q_{s}(\delta):=w(\delta) \delta_{1}-(N-s-1) \delta(\delta \in \mathcal{G}) \\
& \operatorname{Sol}\left(M^{(s)}\right):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{S}}\left(M^{(s)}, \mathcal{O}_{S}\right) \quad(s \in \mathbf{C})
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the morphism: $\mathcal{D}_{S} \longrightarrow M^{(s)} \longrightarrow 0$ induces

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sol}\left(M^{(s)}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}
$$

The exterior derivative : $d: \mathcal{O}_{S} \longrightarrow \Omega_{S}^{1}$ induces

$$
d S o l\left(M^{(s)}\right) \longrightarrow \Omega_{S}^{1}
$$

For $p_{0} \in S \backslash D$, the period mapping :

$$
P: \tilde{S} \longrightarrow E:=\left\{x \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(S o l\left(M^{(1)}\right)_{p_{0}}, \mathbf{C}\right) \mid x\left(1_{S}\right)=1, \operatorname{Im} x(\tau)>0\right\}
$$

(for the definitions of $P$ and $\tilde{S}$, see $[\mathrm{S}]$ ) gives the isomorphism of the analytic spaces, and is equivariant with the monodromy group action. Here the following diagram holds:

where $\widetilde{S \backslash D}$ is a monodromy covering of $S \backslash D$ for the local system $\left.\operatorname{Sol}\left(M^{(1)}\right)\right|_{S \backslash D}$. We remark that $\tilde{S} \backslash(\widetilde{S \backslash D})$ is a divisor in $\tilde{S} . \tau \in S o l\left(M^{(1)}\right)$ is a degree 0 flat coordinate given by the classical period.

## §3. Prepotential and the definition of the tensor $I$.

In order to study the period mapping $P$, we review the integrable structures on $S$.
Proposition 3.1. (Saito [S] see also Matsuo[M]).

1) The following $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{O}_{S}$ exists: $v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} \mathcal{F}=J\left(v_{1} * v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$ for $v_{i} \in \mathcal{G}$ s.t. $\nabla v_{i}=0$ ( horizontal section ). We call $\mathcal{F}$ the prepotential.
2) The prepotential $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the WDVV equations.

Proposition 3.2. (Saito [S]).

1) The following :

$$
\left.I: \Omega_{S}^{1} \times \Omega_{S}^{1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}:\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\mu-1}<\delta_{i} \omega\right\rangle\left\langle w\left(\delta^{i *}\right), \omega^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

gives a non-degenerate symmetric $\mathcal{O}_{S}$-bilinear form, where $\delta_{i}, \delta^{i *}$ are both $\mathcal{O}_{T}$-free basis of $\mathcal{G}$ s.t. $J\left(\delta_{i}, \delta^{j *}\right)=\delta_{i j}, w$ is a morphism : $w: \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Der}_{S}(-\log D)$ introduced in §2.
2) By the morphism $\left.\left.d \operatorname{Sol}\left(M^{(1)}\right)\right|_{S \backslash D} \longrightarrow \Omega_{S}^{1}\right|_{S \backslash D}$, the following is induced:

$$
I:\left.d \operatorname{Sol}\left(M^{(1)}\right)\right|_{S \backslash D} \times\left. d \operatorname{Sol}\left(M^{(1)}\right)\right|_{S \backslash D} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_{S \backslash D} .
$$

This gives a non-degenerate symmetric $\mathbf{C}_{S \backslash D}$-bilinear form.
3) $I$ induces the $\mathbf{C}$-bilinear form $I_{p_{0}}$ on $\left(d \operatorname{Sol}\left(M^{(1)}\right)\right)_{p_{0}}$. Since the cotangent space of $E$ is canonically identified with $\left(d \operatorname{Sol}\left(M^{(1)}\right)\right)_{p_{0}}, I_{p_{0}}$ defines an $\mathcal{O}_{E}$-bilinear form:

$$
I_{E}: \Omega_{E}^{1} \times \Omega_{E}^{1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E}
$$

4) By the period mapping: $P: \tilde{S} \longrightarrow E$, we have

$$
P^{*} I_{E}=\psi^{*} I
$$

Proposition 3.3. (Saito [S][S1]). The space $E$ with the monodromy group acion and with the tensor $(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{-2} I_{E}$ for the singularity of type $\tilde{E}_{l}$ is identified with $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$, hyperbolic Weyl group $\tilde{W}_{R}$, and the tensor $\tilde{I}_{\tilde{\mathbf{E}}}$ of the elliptic root system of type $E_{l}^{(1,1)}$.

## §4. Laplacian and the Jacobi's inversion problem.

In this section we take the flat coordinates $t_{0}, \cdots, t_{\mu-1}$ s.t. $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{0}}=\delta_{1}$. We call $f \in \mathcal{O}_{S}$ homogeneou of degree $\nu$ if $E f=\nu f$ for the Euler vector field $E:=w\left(\delta_{1}\right)$ and denote $\nu=\operatorname{deg} f$. We also assume that $t_{i}$ are homogeneous.

Notation. $\eta_{i j}:=J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{j}}\right) \in \mathbf{C}, \eta^{i j}$ is defined by the equations : $\eta_{i j} \eta^{j k}=\delta_{i}^{k}$ (Kronecker's delta).

Proposition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a prepotential. We assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is homogeneous. Then

1) The tensor $I$ on $S$ is written as follows:

$$
I\left(d t_{i}, d t_{j}\right)=\frac{\text { degt }_{i}+d e g t_{j}}{d e g t_{0}} \sum_{p, q=0}^{\mu-1} \eta^{i p} \eta^{j q} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{p}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{q}} \mathcal{F}
$$

2) The Laplacian $D$ on $\mathcal{O}_{S}$ for the tensor $I$ on $S$ is written as follows:

$$
D=\sum_{i, j=0}^{\mu-1} I\left(d t_{i}, d t_{j}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t_{i} \partial t_{j}}+\sum_{k, m=0}^{\mu-1} \frac{\operatorname{degt}_{k}}{d e g t_{0}} \eta^{k m} \frac{\partial T r}{\partial t_{m}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k}}
$$

where $\operatorname{Tr}:=\sum_{i, j=0}^{\mu-1} \eta_{i j} I\left(d t_{i}, d t_{j}\right)=\sum_{i, j=0}^{\mu-1} \eta^{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{j}} \mathcal{F}$.
3) The twisted Laplacian $D_{A_{\rho}}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{S}$ is written as follows: for homogeneous $f \in \mathcal{O}_{S}$ :

$$
D_{A_{\rho}}(f)=D(f)+\sum_{i, j=0}^{\mu-1} \eta^{i j} \frac{\partial T r}{\partial t_{j}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_{i}}-\frac{\operatorname{deg} f}{\operatorname{deg} A_{\rho}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=0}^{\mu-1} \eta^{i j} \frac{\partial^{2} T r}{\partial t_{i} \partial t_{j}} f
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{A_{\rho}}(f) & :=A_{\rho}^{-1} D\left(A_{\rho} f\right) \\
A_{\rho} & :=\left(\text { unit of } \mathcal{O}_{S}\right) \times \Delta^{1 / 2} \text { s.t. } D\left(A_{\rho}\right)=0, \\
\Delta & :=\operatorname{det}\left(\left(I\left(d t_{i}, d t_{j}\right)\right)_{i, j=0, \cdots, \mu-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$A_{\rho}$ is normalized up to constant factor by the condition $D\left(A_{\rho}\right)=0$, thus $D_{A_{\rho}}$ is well defined.

Since the prepotential can be calculated by the results of Noumi $([\mathrm{N}])$ or the results of Verlinde-Warner ([V-W]) etc., we can calculate the Laplacian and the following: we follow the notations of $t_{i}$ as in [K-T-S].

## Proposition 4.2.

1) ( $\tilde{E}_{6}$ case ) Let $t_{4}, t_{5}, t_{6}$ be the lowest non-zero degree flat coordinates (degree $1 / 3$ ). Then the other flat coordinates $t_{0}, t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}$ can be explicitly written as a polynomial of $t_{4}, t_{5}, t_{6}$ with coefficients of the known degree 0 function and Laplacian $D$ (resp. $D_{A_{\rho}}$ ).
2) ( $\tilde{E}_{7}$ case ) Let $t_{6}, t_{7}$ be the lowest non-zero degree flat coordinates (degree $1 / 4$ ). Then the other flat coordinates $t_{0}, t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, t_{5}$ can be explicitly written as a polynomial of $t_{6}, t_{7}$ with coefficients of the known degree 0 function and Laplacian $D$ (resp. $D_{A_{\rho}}$ ).
3) ( $\tilde{E}_{8}$ case ) Let $t_{8}$ be the lowest non-zero degree flat coordinates (degree $1 / 6$ ). Then the other flat coordinates $t_{0}, t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, t_{5}, t_{6}, t_{7}$ can be explicitly written as a polynomial of $t_{8}$ with coefficients of the known degree 0 function and Laplacian $D$ (resp. $D_{A_{\rho}}$ ).

Also the action of the Laplacian on the lowest non-zero degree flat coordinates relates them with the theta functions or characters of an affine Lie algebras on the space $E \simeq$ $\mathbf{H} \times \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{C}} \times \mathbf{C}$ (where $\mathbf{H}:=\{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} z>0\}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{C}}$ : complex Cartan subalgebra for $E_{l}$ type for $\tilde{E}_{l}$ type singularity). For the definition of $\Theta_{\Lambda}$ : theta function and $\frac{A_{\Lambda+\rho}}{A_{\rho}}$ : normalized character for the integrable irreducible highest weight module (they are holomorphic functions on $\mathbf{H} \times \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{C}} \times \mathbf{C}$ ), see $\mathrm{Kac}[K]$.

Proposition 4.3. By choosing the suitable primitive form and the suitable identification of $E$ with $\mathbf{H} \times \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{C}} \times \mathbf{C}$ (which could be calculable), we have

1) ( $\tilde{E}_{6}$ case $)$

$$
t_{4}=c \eta^{-8} \Theta_{\Lambda_{0}}=c \eta^{-2} \frac{A_{\Lambda_{0}+\rho}}{A_{\rho}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{5}=c \eta^{-8} \Theta_{\Lambda_{1}}=c \eta^{-2} \frac{A_{\Lambda_{1}+\rho}}{A_{\rho}} \\
& t_{6}=c \eta^{-8} \Theta_{\Lambda_{5}}=c \eta^{-2} \frac{A_{\Lambda_{5}+\rho}}{A_{\rho}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c \in \mathbf{C}^{*}$ is a non-zero constant.
2) ( $\tilde{E}_{7}$ case ) By choosing the suitable primitive form, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{6}=c^{\prime} \eta^{-9} \Theta_{\Lambda_{0}}=c^{\prime} \eta^{-2} \frac{A_{\Lambda_{0}+\rho}}{A_{\rho}} \\
& t_{7}=c^{\prime} \eta^{-9} \Theta_{\Lambda_{6}}=c^{\prime} \eta^{-2} \frac{A_{\Lambda_{6}+\rho}}{A_{\rho}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c^{\prime} \in \mathbf{C}^{*}$ is a non-zero constant.
3) ( $\tilde{E}_{8}$ case ) By choosing the suitable primitive form, we have

$$
t_{8}=c^{\prime \prime} \eta^{-10} \Theta_{\Lambda_{0}}=c^{\prime \prime} \eta^{-2} \frac{A_{\Lambda_{0}+\rho}}{A_{\rho}}
$$

where $c^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbf{C}^{*}$ is a non-zero constant.
For the proof, we first use the characterization of the theta function and the character of an affine Lie algebra respectively. Under the identificattion of the proposition 4.3. and by, $\left(\psi \circ P^{-1}\right)^{*}$, we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\bigoplus_{\Lambda: l e v e l ~} \mathrm{C}_{\Lambda}\right)^{W_{E_{l}}}=\operatorname{ker} D \cap\left\{f \in \Gamma\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}\right) \mid \text { deg } f=k / m_{l}\right\}, \\
& \bigoplus_{\Lambda: l e v e l ~} \neq \mathbf{C} \frac{A_{\Lambda+\rho}}{A_{\rho}}=\operatorname{ker} D_{A_{\rho}} \cap\left\{f \in \Gamma\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}\right) \mid \text { degf }=k / m_{l}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m_{l}$ is an integer corresponding to $\tilde{E}_{l}$ defined by $m_{6}=3, m_{7}=4, m_{8}=6, W_{E_{l}}$ is a Weyl group of type $E_{l}$. For $k=1$ in the above, the calculation of the action of the Laplacian on the lowest degree non-zero flat coordinates gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R H S)=(\text { known degree } 0 \text { function }) \times V, \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V:=$ the linear span of the lowest degree non-zero flat coordinates. Moreover by using the equivalence of the period mapping under the automorphism group action
of the Hamiltonian system, we have the equality (*) as an irreducible module for the automorphism group action of the Hamiltonian system. This gives the correspondence of the flat coordinates and the theta function (resp. the character) up to constant factor.

These propositions enable us to express the flat coordinates by the theta functions or character of an affine Lie algebra. Since

1) all flat coordinates are expressed by the Laplacian ( resp. the twisted Laplacian ) and the lowest degree non-zero flat coordinates,
2) the lowest degree non-zero flat coordinates are expressed by the theta functions ( resp. character of an affine Lie algebra),
3) $(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{-2} D$ can be identified with the Laplacian for elliptic root system (or the ones for affine Lie algebras ) so its action on theta functions can be calculated. Also $A_{\rho}$ can be identified (up to constant) with the Weyl-Kac denominator, so the action of $(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{-2} D_{A_{\rho}}$ on the character or the products of the character can be calculated by using the tensor product expansion of the representations of the irreducible highest weight modules.

## §5. Example.

$\tilde{E}_{6}$ case : We choose the flat coordinates $t_{0}, t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, t_{5}, t_{6}, t_{7}=t=\tau$ (where $\tau$ is a function introduced in $\S 2$ and is just the uniformizing parameter of the modulus of the elliptic curve which appear in the compactification of the Milnor fiber ) s.t. the semi-universal deformation of $\tilde{E}_{6}$ singularity is given by the following equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W= & -\frac{1}{3}\left(x_{1}^{3}+x_{2}^{3}+x_{3}^{3}\right)+\alpha_{1}(t)\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right)+\alpha_{2}(t)\left(t_{4} x_{1} x_{2}+t_{5} x_{1} x_{3}+t_{6} x_{2} x_{3}\right) \\
& +\alpha_{3}(t)\left(t_{1} x_{1}+t_{2} x_{2}+t_{3} x_{3}\right)+\alpha_{4}(t)\left(t_{4} t_{5} x_{1}+t_{4} t_{6} x_{2}+t_{5} t_{6} x_{3}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{5}(t)\left(t_{6}^{2} x_{1}+t_{5}^{2} x_{2}+t_{4}^{2} x_{3}\right)+\alpha_{6}(t)\left(t_{1} t_{6}+t_{2} t_{5}+t_{3} t_{4}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{6} \alpha_{7}(t)\left(t_{4}^{3}+t_{5}^{3}+t_{6}^{3}\right)+\alpha_{8}(t) t_{4} t_{5} t_{6}+t_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}(t)=\alpha \\
& \alpha_{2}(t)=\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1-\alpha^{3}\right)^{1 / 6} \\
& \alpha_{3}(t)=\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1-\alpha^{3}\right)^{-1 / 6} \\
& \alpha_{4}(t)=-\alpha^{2} \alpha^{\prime}\left(1-\alpha^{3}\right)^{-2 / 3} \\
& \alpha_{5}(t)=-\alpha \alpha^{\prime}\left(1-\alpha^{3}\right)^{-2 / 3} \\
& \alpha_{6}(t)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{\alpha^{\prime}}+\frac{3 \alpha^{2} \alpha^{\prime}}{1-\alpha^{3}}\right) \\
& \alpha_{7}(t)=-\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(1-\alpha^{3}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \alpha_{8}(t)=-\alpha\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(1-\alpha^{3}\right)^{-1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and ' means $\frac{1}{3(-2 \pi \sqrt{-1})} \frac{d}{d \tau}$ Then these flat coordinates give the the following monodromy group invariant holomorphic functions on the period domain $E$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{4}=c \eta^{-8} \Theta_{\Lambda_{0}}=c \eta^{-2} \frac{A_{\Lambda_{0}+\rho}}{A_{\rho}} \\
& t_{5}=c \eta^{-8} \Theta_{\Lambda_{1}}=c \eta^{-2} \frac{A_{\Lambda_{1}+\rho}}{A_{\rho}} \\
& t_{6}=c \eta^{-8} \Theta_{\Lambda_{5}}=c \eta^{-2} \frac{A_{\Lambda_{5}+\rho}}{A_{\rho}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{1} & =c^{2} \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{1-\alpha^{3}}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \times\left[\frac{3}{4} D\left(\eta^{-16} \Theta_{\Lambda_{5}}^{2}\right)+\left(\frac{5}{2} \frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{\alpha^{\prime}}+4 \frac{\alpha^{2} \alpha^{\prime}}{1-\alpha^{3}}\right) \eta^{-16} \Theta_{\Lambda_{5}}^{2}-\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{1-\alpha^{3}} \eta^{-16} \Theta_{\Lambda_{0}} \Theta_{\Lambda_{1}}\right] \\
& =c^{2} \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{1-\alpha^{3}}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \times\left[\frac{3}{4} D_{A_{\rho}}\left(\eta^{-4}\left(\frac{A_{\Lambda_{5}+\rho}}{A_{\rho}}\right)^{2}\right)+\left(7 \frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{\alpha^{\prime}}+\frac{43}{4} \frac{\alpha^{2} \alpha^{\prime}}{1-\alpha^{3}}\right) \eta^{-4}\left(\frac{A_{\Lambda_{5}+\rho}}{A_{\rho}}\right)^{2}-\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{1-\alpha^{3}} \eta^{-4} \frac{A_{\Lambda_{0}+\rho}}{A_{\rho}} \frac{A_{\Lambda_{1}+\rho}}{A_{\rho}}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$t_{2}, t_{3}=$ change of the suffix of $t_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{0} & =\frac{1}{6}\left[D\left(t_{1} t_{6}+t_{2} t_{5}+t_{3} t_{4}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{6} t_{i} \frac{\partial T r}{\partial t_{i}}\right] \\
\operatorname{Tr} & =8 t_{0}+\left(t_{1} t_{6}+t_{2} t_{5}+t_{3} t_{4}\right)\left(-2 \frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{\alpha^{\prime}}-3 \frac{\alpha^{2} \alpha^{\prime}}{1-\alpha^{3}}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{6}\left(t_{4}^{3}+t_{5}^{3}+t_{6}^{3}\right)\left(2+\alpha^{3}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{1-\alpha^{3}}\right)^{3 / 2}+3 t_{4} t_{5} t_{6} \alpha\left(\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{1-\alpha^{3}}\right)^{3 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We remark that RHS of the equation of $t_{0}$ contains only $t_{1}, \cdots, t_{6}$, so substituting the equations above, we obtain the expression in terms of theta functions. Since the difference of $D$ and $D_{A_{\rho}}$ is written by $T r$ and is obtained by the above, we obtain the expression of $t_{0}$ in terms of $t_{1}, \cdots, t_{6}$ and $D_{A_{\rho}}$, thus obtain the expression of $t_{0}$ in terms of character and $D_{A_{\rho}}$.
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