

# Determinantal varieties associated to rank two vector bundles on projective spaces and splitting theorems

Shigehiro Tagami  
(joint work with Hideyasu Sumihiro)

## 0. Introduction

In this paper, we work over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . Let  $E$  be a rank 2 vector bundle on  $n$ -dimensional projective spaces  $\mathbf{P}_k^n$ .

In [3], H. Sumihiro showed the following theorem in the case  $\text{char } k = 0$ .

**Theorem 0.1.** *Let  $P$  be a 4- or 5-dimensional projective linear subspace of  $\mathbf{P}_k^n$  and  $E = E|_P$  be the restriction of  $E$  to  $P$ . Then  $E$  splits into line bundles if and only if  $H^1(P, \mathcal{E}nd(\bar{E})) = 0$ .*

The aim of this article is to prove that this theorem holds affirmatively true in  $\text{char } k = p > 0$ . The proof is almost same with the one of  $\text{char } k = 0$ , namely, is obtained by studying some geometric structures of the Hilbert scheme of  $\mathbf{P}_k^n$  at determinantal subvarieties. In  $\text{char } k = p > 0$ , however, since we can not use Kodaira vanishing theorem and Le-Potier vanishing theorem, we have to observe some vanishings of cohomologies appeared in [3] carefully.

## 1. Determinantal Varieties

We first recall the definition and some properties of determinantal varieties associated to 2-bundles (cf. [3]).

**1.1. Definition of determinantal varieties.** Let  $E$  be a rank 2 vector bundle on an  $\mathbf{P}_k^n$ ,  $\pi : P(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_k^n$  the projective bundle associated to  $E$  over  $\mathbf{P}_k^n$ ,  $L_E$  the tautological line bundle on  $P(E)$  and let  $G = \text{Grass}(H^0(E), m+1)$  be the Grassmann variety which parametrizes  $(m+1)$ -dimensional linear subspaces of  $H^0(\mathbf{P}_k^n, E)$ . We assume that  $E$  is very ample, i.e.,  $L_E$  is a very ample line bundle. Then we can take  $s = \langle s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{m+1} \rangle \in G$  ( $s_i \in H^0(\mathbf{P}_k^n, E)$ ) with  $n = 2m$  (resp.  $n = 2m+1$ ) satisfying the following condition

- 1)  $Y = Y_s = D_1 \cap D_2 \cap \dots \cap D_{m+1}$  is a smooth closed subscheme of  $P(E)$
- (\*) of pure codimension  $m+1$ ,
- 2)  $W(s_1) \cap W(s_2) \cap \dots \cap W(s_{m+1}) = \emptyset$ ,

where  $D_i$  is the tautological divisor on  $P(E)$  defined by  $s_i$  and  $W(s_i)$  is the zero locus on  $\mathbf{P}_k^n$  of  $s_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m+1$ ).

Let  $X_s = \pi(Y_s)$ . Then we can show that  $X_s$  is a closed subscheme of  $\mathbf{P}_k^n$  which is isomorphic to  $Y_s$  through  $\pi$  with the following defining equations:

$$s_i \wedge s_j = 0 \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq m+1).$$

**Definition 1.1.** We call the closed subscheme  $X = X_s$  of  $\mathbf{P}_k^n$  the (smooth) determinantal variety associated to  $E$  defined by  $s \in G$ .

*Remark 1.1.*  $X$  depends on the choice of  $s \in G$  subject to the condition (\*).

As for determinantal varieties, we obtain the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let the notation be as above.*

- 1)  $U = \{s \in G \mid s \text{ satisfies the condition } (*)\}$  is a Zariski open subset of  $G$ .
- 2) There exists a closed subscheme  $\Xi$  of  $\mathbf{P}_k^n \times U$  such that the second projection  $q : \Xi \subset \mathbf{P}_k^n \times U \rightarrow U$  is faithfully flat and  $X_s = q^{-1}(s)$  for any  $s \in U$ . Thus smooth determinantal varieties associated to  $E$  form a smooth family over an open subset of  $G$  and hence they are diffeomorphic to each other.

When  $n = 4$  or  $5$ , let  $I_X$  be the defining ideal of a determinantal subvariety  $X$  in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . Then  $I_X$  has the following resolution of vector bundles.

**Lemma 1.2.** *In above notation, there exists on an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow E^*(-c_1) \longrightarrow \bigoplus^3 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(-c_1) \longrightarrow I_X \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $c_1$  is the first Chern number of  $E$ .

**1.2. Tangent bundle and normal bundle of determinantal varieties.** In this subsection, we consider when  $n = 4$  or  $5$ , i.e.,  $m = 2$ .

1.2.1. Let  $E$  be a very ample rank two bundle on  $\mathbf{P}_k^n$  and  $X$  a determinantal variety associated to  $E$ . Let  $H$  be the restriction of a hyperplane of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  to  $X$  and  $D$  the restriction of a tautological divisor of  $P(E)$  to  $X$  through the isomorphism  $\pi$ .

Then we can obtain the following diagram of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & T_{P(E)/\mathbf{P}^n}|Y & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{O}_X(2D - c_1H) & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & T_Y & \longrightarrow & T_{P(E)}|Y & \longrightarrow & N_{Y/P(E)} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & T_X & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathbf{P}^n}|X & \longrightarrow & N_{X/\mathbf{P}^n} & \longrightarrow & 0, \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $\alpha$  is an injection induced by the snake lemma. Since  $N_{Y/P(E)} \simeq \bigoplus^3 \mathcal{O}_X(D)$  by the condition of  $Y$ , we obtain the following.

**Proposition 1.3.** *There exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(2D - c_1H) \longrightarrow \bigoplus^3 \mathcal{O}_X(D) \longrightarrow N_{X/\mathbf{P}^n} \longrightarrow 0.$$

1.2.2. From the exact sequence of the above proposition, we have the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(2D - c_1H)) &\rightarrow \bigoplus^3 H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \rightarrow H^0(N_{X/\mathbf{P}^n}) \\ &\rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(2D - c_1H)) \rightarrow \bigoplus^3 H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)). \end{aligned}$$

Now we recall  $Y = D_1 \cap D_2 \cap D_3$ . Consider the canonical exact sequence

$$(*)_1 \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P(E)}(D - c_1H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P(E)}(2D - c_1H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(2D - c_1H) \rightarrow 0,$$

from which we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P(E)}(D - c_1H)) &\rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P(E)}(2D - c_1H)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{D_1}(2D - c_1H)) \\ &\rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_{P(E)}(D - c_1H)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_{P(E)}(2D - c_1H)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_{D_1}(2D - c_1H)) \\ &\rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_{P(E)}(D - c_1H)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $H^i(D - c_1H) = H^i(E^*)$  ( $0 \leq i \leq 4$ ) and we can show that  $H^0(E^*) = 0$  and  $H^1(E^*) = H^{n-1}(E \otimes K_{\mathbf{P}^n}) = 0$ , where  $K_{\mathbf{P}^n}$  is the canonical divisor of  $\mathbf{P}^n$ , it turns out that  $H^i(\mathcal{O}_{P(E)}(2D - c_1H)) \simeq H^i(\mathcal{O}_{D_1}(2D - c_1H))$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) if  $H^2(E^*) = H^{n-2}(E \otimes K_{\mathbf{P}^n}) = 0$ .

Considering the exact sequences similarly

$$(*)_2 \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(D - c_1H) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(2D - c_1H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_1 \cap D_2}(2D - c_1H) \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P(E)}(-c_1H) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P(E)}(D - c_1H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(D - c_1H) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_1 \cap D_2}(D - c_1H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_1 \cap D_2}(2D - c_1H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(2D - c_1H) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(*)_3 \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(-c_1H) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(D - c_1H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_1 \cap D_2}(D - c_1H) \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P(E)}(-D - c_1H) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P(E)}(-c_1H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(-c_1H) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain isomorphisms  $H^i(\mathcal{O}_{D_1}(2D - c_1H)) \simeq H^i(\mathcal{O}_{D_1 \cap D_2}(2D - c_1H))$  and  $H^i(\mathcal{O}_{D_1 \cap D_2}(2D - c_1H)) \simeq H^i(\mathcal{O}_Y(2D - c_1H))$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ). Summing up the above, we conclude that  $H^i(\mathcal{O}_X(2D - c_1H)) \simeq H^i(\mathbf{P}^n, S^2(E)(-c_1))$ .

On the other hand, since there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(E) \rightarrow S^2(E)(-c_1) \rightarrow 0,$$

we have a canonical isomorphism  $H^1(S^2(E)(-c_1)) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{E}nd(E))$  and  $\dim H^0(S^2(E)(-c_1)) = \dim H^0(\mathcal{E}nd(E)) - 1$ .

In addition we easily see that  $\dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = \dim H^0(E) - 3$ .

Summarizing the above, we get the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.4.** *Assume that  $n = 4$  or  $5$  and  $H^{n-2}(E \otimes K_{\mathbf{P}^n}) = 0$ . If  $H^1(\mathcal{E}nd(E)) = 0$ , then*

$$\dim H^0(N_{X/\mathbf{P}^n}) = 3(\dim H^0(E) - 3) - \dim H^0(\mathcal{E}nd(E)) + 1$$

*Remark 1.2.* When  $\text{char } k = 0$ , we get  $H^i(E^*) \simeq H^{n-i}(E \otimes K_{\mathbf{P}^n}) = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n - 2$  by Le-Potier vanishing theorem. So we do not need the assumption  $H^{n-2}(E \otimes K_{\mathbf{P}^n}) = 0$  in the above proposition. Also the proof itself becomes slightly simpler because we can use the vanishing theorems.

## 2. Hilbert Schemes

In this section, we assume that  $n = 4$  or  $5$ . Let  $\mathcal{Hilb}$  be the Hilbert scheme of  $\mathbf{P}^n$ .

2.1. Let  $\varphi : U \ni s \mapsto X_s \in \mathcal{Hilb}$  be the morphism induced by Theorem 1.1. Let  $\text{Aut}(E)$  be the automorphism group of  $E$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(E)$  is a reduced connected linear algebraic group of dimension  $\dim H^0(\mathcal{E}nd(E))$ .

For every element  $g \in \text{Aut}(E)$  and  $s = \langle s_1, s_2, s_3 \rangle \in G$ , we define

$$g \cdot s = \langle g(s_1), g(s_2), g(s_3) \rangle,$$

where  $g(s_i)$  is the composite of  $s_i$  with  $g$ . Then it defines an action of  $\text{Aut}(E)$  on  $G$  and we have

$$g \cdot s_i \wedge g \cdot s_j = \det g \, s_i \wedge s_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq 3),$$

where  $\det : \text{Aut}(E) \ni g \mapsto \det(g) \in k^* = k \setminus \{0\}$  is the determinant character. Hence  $X_{g \cdot s} = X_s$ . Therefore  $\text{Aut}(E)$  acts on  $U$  and  $\varphi$  is an orbit morphism, i.e.,  $\varphi$  is constant on any orbit  $O(s) = \{g \cdot s \mid g \in \text{Aut}(E)\}$ .

Then we have the following.

**Lemma 2.1.** *The stabilizer  $\text{Stab}(s)$  of  $s \in U$  is equal to the multiplicative group  $k^*$ .*

As a trivial corollary of the above lemma and Proposition 1.3, we observe the following.

- a) Every orbit has the same dimension  $\dim \text{Aut}(E)/k^*$ . Hence the action of  $\text{Aut}(E)$  on  $U$  is closed, i.e., every orbit is closed in  $U$ .
- b)  $\dim O(s) = \dim H^0(\mathcal{E}nd(E)) - 1$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Under the same assumptions in Proposition 1.4, if  $H^1(\mathcal{E}nd(E)) = 0$  then*

$$\dim \overline{\varphi(U)} = \dim H^0(N_{X_s/\mathbf{P}^n}).$$

*Proof.* Using the exact sequence in Proposition 1.3, we see that  $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(s))$  ( $s \in U$ ) consists of finitely many orbits. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \overline{\varphi(U)} &= \dim U - \dim O(s) \\ &= \dim \text{Grass}(H^0(E), 3) - \dim H^0(\mathcal{E}nd(E)) + 1 \\ &= 3(\dim H^0(E) - 3) - \dim H^0(\mathcal{E}nd(E)) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

So the result follows by Proposition 1.4. □

2.2. Let  $\mathcal{Hilb}^0$  be an irreducible component of  $\mathcal{Hilb}$  containing  $\overline{\varphi(U)}$  and  $T_{X_s, \mathcal{Hilb}}$  the Zariski tangent space of  $\mathcal{Hilb}$  at  $X_s$ . Then it is known that  $T_{X_s, \mathcal{Hilb}} \simeq H^0(N_{X_s/\mathbf{P}^n})$ . So we have the following proposition from Proposition 2.2.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Under the same assumptions in Proposition 1.4, if  $H^1(\mathcal{E}nd(E)) = 0$  then*

- 1)  $\mathcal{Hilb}^0$  coincides with  $\overline{\varphi(U)}$ .
- 2)  $\mathcal{Hilb}^0$  is smooth at the determinantal subvarieties associated to  $E$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem

Let  $\mathrm{PGL}(n+1, k)$  be the automorphism group of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  and let  $T_\sigma : \mathbf{P}^n \ni x \mapsto \sigma x \in \mathbf{P}^n$  be the transformation of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  defined by  $\sigma \in \mathrm{PGL}(n+1, k)$ .

Since it is well-known that  $E$  splits if and only if  $\bar{E}$  splits, we may assume that  $E$  is a rank two vector bundle on  $\mathbf{P}^n$  ( $n$  being either 4 or 5). In addition after multiplying  $E$  by a suitable line bundle, we may assume that  $E$  is a very ample vector bundle enjoying the assumption in Proposition 1.4.

Suppose that  $H^1(\mathcal{E}nd(E)) = 0$ . Hence it follows from Proposition 2.3 that  $\overline{\sigma\varphi(U)} = \overline{\varphi(U)}$  for every element  $\sigma \in \mathrm{PGL}(n+1, k)$ . Since  $\varphi(U)$  is a constructible set, there exist two element  $s, t \in U$  satisfying  $X_{\sigma^*(s)} = X_t$ , where  $X_{\sigma^*(s)}$  is the determinantal subvariety associated to  $T_\sigma^*(E)$  defined by  $\sigma^*(s) = \langle T_\sigma^*(s_1), T_\sigma^*(s_2), T_\sigma^*(s_3) \rangle$ . Consider the resolutions of the defining ideal sheaves  $I_{X_t}$  of  $X_t$  and  $I_{X_{\sigma^*(s)}}$  of  $X_{\sigma^*(s)}$  respectively (cf. Lemma 1.2):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & E^* & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus^3 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n} & \longrightarrow & I_{X_t} \otimes \mathcal{O}(c_1) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 (** & & & & \psi \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & T_\sigma^*(E^*) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus^3 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n} & \longrightarrow & I_{X_{\sigma^*(s)}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(c_1) \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

Then it is observed that there exists an isomorphism  $\psi : \bigoplus^3 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n} \rightarrow \bigoplus^3 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}$  such that  $\psi$  makes the diagram in (\*\*) commutative and so we see that  $T_\sigma^*(E)$  is isomorphic to  $E$ , i.e.,  $E$  is a homogeneous vector bundle. Since every homogeneous bundle on  $\mathbf{P}^n$  of rank  $r < n$  is a direct sum of line bundles even if  $\mathrm{char} k = p > 0$  (cf. [2]), we can complete the proof of Theorem 0.1.

### References

- [1] J. Le-Potier, *Annulation de la cohomologie à valeurs dans un fibré vectoriel holomorphe positif de rang quelconque*, Math. Ann. **218** (1975), 35–53.
- [2] C. Okonek, M. Schneider and H. Spindler, *Vector Bundles on Complex Projective Spaces*, Progress in Math. **3**, Birkhäuser (1980).
- [3] H. Sumihiro, *Determinantal varieties associated to rank two vector bundles on projective spaces and splitting theorems*, Hiroshima Math. J. **29** (1999), 371–434.