# ON CLASSIFICATION OF Q－FANO 3－FOLDS OF GORENSTEIN INDEX 2 AND FANO INDEX $\frac{1}{2}$ 
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Notation and Conventions．
$\sim$ linear equivalence
$\equiv$ numerical equivalence
ODP ordinary double point，i．e．，singularity analytically isomorphic to $\{x y+$ $\left.z^{2}+u^{2}=0 \subset \mathbb{C}^{4}\right\}$

QODP singularity analytically isomorphic to $\left\{x y+z^{2}+u^{2}=0 \subset \mathbb{C}^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}(1,1,1,0)\right\}$
$\mathbb{F}_{n}$ Hirzebruch surface of degree $n$
$\mathbb{F}_{n, 0}$ surface which is obtained by the contraction of the negative section of $\mathbb{F}_{n}$
$Q_{3}$ smooth 3－dimensional quadric．
$B_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 5) \mathbb{Q}$－factorial Gorenstein terminal Fano 3－fold of Fano index 2， and with Picard number 1 and $(-K)^{3}=8 i$ ，where $K$ is the canonical divisor
$A_{2 i}(1 \leq i \leq 11$ and $i \neq 10) \quad \mathbb{Q}$－factorial Gorenstein terminal Fano 3 －fold of Fano index 1 ，and with Picard number 1 and $(-K)^{3}=2 i$
contraction of（ $m, n$ ）－type extremal contraction whose exceptional locus has dimension $m$ and the image of the exceptional locus has domension $n$

## 0 ．Introduction

In this article，we will work over $\mathbb{C}$ ，the complex number field．
Definition 0.0 （ $\mathbb{Q}$－Fano variety）．Let $X$ be a normal projective variety．We say that $X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$－Fano variety（resp．weak $\mathbb{Q}$－Fano variety）if $X$ has only terminal singularities and $-K_{X}$ is ample（resp．nef and big）．

Let $I(X):=\min \left\{I \mid I K_{X}\right.$ is a Cartier divisor $\}$ and we call $I(X)$ the Gorenstein index of $X$ ．

Write $I(X)\left(-K_{X}\right) \equiv r(X) H(X)$ ，where $H(X)$ is a primitive Cartier divisor and $r(X) \in \mathbb{N}$ ．（Note that $H(X)$ is unique since $\operatorname{Pic} X$ is torsion free．）Then we call $\frac{r(X)}{I(X)}$ the Fano index of $X$ and denote it by $F(X)$ ．

## Remark 0．1．

（1）We can allow that a $\mathbb{Q}$－Fano variety or a weak $\mathbb{Q}$－Fano variety has worse singularities than terminal．When we have to treat such a variety in this paper，we indicate singularities which we allow，e．g．，＇a $\mathbb{Q}$－Fano 3 －fold with only canonical singularities＇；
（2）if $X$ is Gorenstein in Definition 0．0，we say that $X$ is a Fano variety（resp． a weak Fano variety）．

## HIROMICHI TAKAGI

For the classification theory of varieties, a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano variety with Picard number 1 is important because it is an output of the minimal model program. Here we mention the known result about the classification of $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3-folds:
(1) G. Fano started the classification of smooth Fano 3 -folds and it was completed by V. A. Iskovskih [I1] ~ [I4], V. V. Shokurov [Sh1], [Sh2], T. Fujita [Fu1] ~ [Fu3], S. Mori and S. Mukai [MM1] ~ [MM3];
(2) S. Mukai [Mu] classified indecomposable Gorenstein Fano 3-folds with canonical singularities by using vector bundles;
(3) T. Sano [Sanl] and independently F. Campana and H. Flenner [CF] classified non Gorenstein Fano 3 -folds of Fano indices $>1$;
(4) T. Sano [San2] classified non Gorenstein Fano 3 -folds of Fano indices 1 and with only cyclic quotient terminal singularities. Recently T. Minagawa [Mi1] proved that non Gorenstein $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3 -folds with Fano indices 1 can be deformed to one with only cyclic quotient terminal singularities;
(5) A. R. Fletcher [Fl] gave the classification of $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3 -folds which are weighted complete intersections of codimension 1 or 2. Recently S. Altinok [Al] (see also [RM2]) obtained a list of $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3-folds which are subvarieties in a weighted projective space of codimension 3 or 4 .
On the other hand K. Takeuchi [T1] simplified and amplified V. A. Iskovskih 's method of classification by using the theory of the extremal ray. In particular he reproved the Shokurov's theorem [Sh2], the existence of lines on a smooth Fano 3 -fold of Fano index 1 and with Picard number 1 by simple numerical calculations.

We formulate a slight generalization of Takeuchi's construction for a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3 -fold $X$ with $\rho(X)=1$ and give a classification of a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3 -fold with the following properties:

## Main Assumption 0.2.

(1) $\rho(X)=1$;
(2) $I(X)=2$;
(3) $F(X)=\frac{1}{2}$;
(4) $h^{0}\left(-K_{X}\right) \geq 4$;
(5) there exists an index 2 point $P$ such that

$$
(X, P) \simeq\left(\left\{x y+z^{2}+u^{a}=0\right\} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}(1,1,1,0), o\right)
$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{N}$.
Takeuchi's construction 0.3. Here we explain a slight generalization of Takeuchi's construction. Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3-fold with $\rho(X)=1$. Suppose that we are given a birational morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ with the following properties:
(1) $Y$ is a weak $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3 -fold;
(2) $f$ is an extremal divisorial contraction such that $f$-exceptional locus $E$ is a prime $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor.
Then we obtain the following diagram:


## Q-FANO 3-FOLDS

where
(1) $Y_{0} \rightarrow Y_{1}$ is a flop or a flip and $Y_{i} \rightarrow Y_{i+1}$ is a flip for $i \geq 1$;
(2) $f^{\prime}$ is a crepant divisorial contraction (in this case, $i=0$ ) or an extremal contraction which is not isomorphic in codimension 1.

We use the following notation:
$Y^{\prime}:=Y_{k} ;$
$E_{i}:=$ the strict transform of $E$ on $Y_{i}$;
$\tilde{E}:=$ the strict transform of $E$ on $Y^{\prime}$;
$e:=E^{3}-E_{1}{ }^{3}$ if $Y_{0} \rightarrow Y_{1}$ is a flop or $:=0$ otherwise;
$d_{i}:=\left(-K_{Y_{i}}\right)^{3}-\left(-K_{Y_{i+1}}\right)^{3}$ (resp. $\left.a_{i}:=\frac{E_{i} \cdot l_{i}}{\left(-K_{Y_{i}}\right) \cdot l_{i}}\right)$ if $Y_{i} \rightarrow Y_{i+1}$ is a flip, where $l_{i}$ is a flipping curve, or $:=0$ (resp. $:=0$ ) otherwise;
$z$ and $u$ is defined as follows:
If $f^{\prime}$ is birational, then let $E^{\prime}$ be the exceptional divisor of $f^{\prime}$ and set $E^{\prime} \equiv$ $z\left(-K_{Y^{\prime}}\right)-u \tilde{E}$ or if $f^{\prime}$ is not birational, then let $L$ be the pull back of an ample generator of $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\prime}$ and set $L \equiv z\left(-K_{Y^{\prime}}\right)-u \tilde{E}$.

We note the following:
(1)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(-K_{Y^{\prime}}\right)^{2} \tilde{E}=\left(-K_{Y}\right)^{2} E-\sum a_{i} d_{i} ; \\
\left(-K_{Y^{\prime}}\right) \tilde{E}^{2}=\left(-K_{Y}\right) E^{2}-\sum a_{i}^{2} d_{i} ; \\
\tilde{E}^{3}=E^{3}-e-\sum a_{i}^{3} d_{i} ;
\end{gathered}
$$

(2) On the other hand the value or the relation of the value (expressed with $z$ and $u$ ) of $\left(-K_{Y^{\prime}}\right)^{3},\left(-K_{Y^{\prime}}\right)^{2} \tilde{E},\left(-K_{Y^{\prime}}\right) \tilde{E}^{2}$ and $\tilde{E}^{3}$ are restricted by the properties of $f^{\prime}$.
By these (1) and (2), we obtain equations of Diophantine type.

Under Main Assumption 0.2, Construction 0.3 works for a suitable choice of $f$ and we can solve the equations as noted above.

Main Theorem. Let $X$ be as in Main Assumption 0.2. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be the weighted blow up at $P$ with weight $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1,2)$. Then $Y$ is a weak $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3-fold.

Consider the diagram as in 0.3. Let $h:=h^{2}\left(-K_{X}\right), N:=a w(X)$ and $n:=$ $\sum a w\left(Y_{i}, P_{i j}\right)$ (the summation is taken over the index 2 points on flipping curves), where auv $(X)$ is the number of $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$-singularities which we obtain by deforming non Gorenstein points of $X$ locally and aw $\left(Y_{i}, P_{i j}\right)$ is defined similarly. Then we can solve the equations above and obtain a geographic classification of $X$ as below (? in the table means that we don't know the existence of an example) :
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| $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}$ | $N$ | $e$ | $n$ | $z$ | $\left(-K_{Y^{\prime}} \cdot C\right)$ | $f^{\prime}, X^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{5}{2}$ | 1 | 15 | 0 | 1 | $/$ | $(2,0)_{4},\left(-K_{X^{\prime}}\right)^{3}=\frac{5}{2}, I\left(X^{\prime}\right)=2$ |
| $\frac{5}{2}$ | 1 | $/$ | $/$ | 1 | $/$ | crep. div., $\left(-K_{X^{\prime}}\right)^{3}=2, I\left(X^{\prime}\right)=1$ |
| 3 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 1 | $/$ | $(2,0)_{8}, A_{4}$ |
| $\frac{7}{2}$ | 3 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $(2,1), A_{6}$ |
| 4 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | $(2,1), A_{8}$ |
| 4 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 1 | $/$ | $(2,0)_{1}, A_{10}$ |
| $? \frac{9}{2}$ | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 3 | $(2,1), A_{10}$ |
| $? \frac{9}{2}$ | 5 | 8 | 3 | 1 | $/$ | $(2,0)_{5}, A_{16}$ |
| $? \frac{9}{2}$ | 5 | 9 | 0 | 2 | $/$ | $(3,1), \operatorname{deg} F=6$ |
| $? 5$ | 6 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 | $(2,1), A_{12}$ |

$z=u$ if $f^{\prime}$ is not a crepant divisorial contraction.
$u=2$ if $f^{\prime}$ is a crepant divisorial contraction.
$F:=$ a general fiber of $f^{\prime}$ if $f^{\prime}$ is $(3,1)$-type.
See Appendix for $(2,0)_{i}$.
$g(C)=0$ in case $f^{\prime}$ is of type $E_{1}$ and every singularity of $Y$ is a $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)-$ singularity.

| $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}$ | $N$ | $e$ | $n$ | $z$ | $\operatorname{deg} \Delta$ | $\operatorname{deg} F$ | $f^{\prime}, X^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{9}{2}$ | 1 | 9 | 0 | 1 | $/$ | 3 | $(3,1)$ |
| 5 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 1 | $/$ | 4 | $(3,1)$ |
| $? \frac{11}{2}$ | 3 | 7 | 2 | 1 | $/$ | 5 | $(3,1)$ |
| $? \frac{11}{2}$ | 3 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 8 | $/$ | $(3,2), \mathbb{F}_{2,0}$ |
| $? 6$ | 4 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 6 | $/$ | $(3,2), \mathbb{F}_{2,0}$ |
| $? 6$ | 4 | 6 | 3 | 1 | $/$ | 6 | $(3,1)$ |
| $? \frac{13}{2}$ | 5 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 4 | $/$ | $(3,2), \mathbb{F}_{2,0}$ |

$$
z=u
$$

$\Delta:=$ the discriminant divisor of $f^{\prime}$ if $f^{\prime}$ is (3,2)-type.
$F:=$ a general fiber of $f^{\prime}$ if $f^{\prime}$ is (3,1)-type.

| $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}$ | $N$ | $e$ | $n$ | $z$ | $\operatorname{deg} \Delta$ | $\left(-K_{Y^{\prime} \cdot C}\right)$ | $f^{\prime}, X^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{13}{2}$ | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 7 | $/$ | $(3,2), \mathbb{P}^{2}$ |
| 7 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 4 | $/$ | 35 | $(2,1),[5]$ |
| $? 7$ | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 6 | $/$ | $(3,2), \mathbb{P}^{2}$ |
| $\frac{15}{2}$ | 3 | 7 | 0 | 2 | $/$ | 9 | $(2,1),[2], I\left(X^{\prime}\right)=2$ |
| $\frac{15}{2}$ | 3 | 6 | 1 | 4 | $/$ | 30 | $(2,1),[5]$ |
| $? \frac{15}{2}$ | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 5 | $/$ | $(3,2), \mathbb{P}^{2}$ |
| $? 8$ | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | $/$ | $(3,2), \mathbb{P}^{2}$ |
| $? \frac{17}{2}$ | 5 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | $/$ | $(3,2), \mathbb{P}^{2}$ |
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Type [i] means the $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3 -fold of type [i] which was classified by T.Sano in [San2].

| $h=7$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}$ | $N$ | $e$ | $n$ | $z$ | $\left(-K_{Y^{\prime}} \cdot C\right)$ | $f^{\prime}, X^{\prime}$ |
| $\frac{17}{2}$ | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 36 | $(2,1), \mathbb{P}^{3}$ |
| 9 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 18 | $(2,1),[3]$ |
| 9 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 32 | $(2,1), \mathbb{P}^{3}$ |
| $\frac{19}{2}$ | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 15 | $(2,1),[3]$ |
| $\frac{19}{2}$ | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 28 | $(2,1), \mathbb{P}^{3}$ |

Type [i] means the $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3 -fold of type [i] which was classified by T.Sano in [San2].

$$
u=z+1
$$

| 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}$ $N$ $e$ $n$ $z$ <br> $\left(-K_{Y}, C\right)$ $f, X^{\prime}$    <br> $\frac{21}{2}$ 1 6 0 1 <br> $\frac{1}{2}$ 1 5 0 2 <br> 1 2 4 1 2 <br> 11 24 $(2,1), B_{3}$   |

$$
u=z+1
$$

| $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}$ | $N$ | $e$ | $n$ | $z$ | $u$ | $\left(-K_{Y}, C\right)$ | $f^{\prime}, X^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{25}{2}$ | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | $(2,1), B_{4}$ |


| $h=10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}$ | $N$ | $e$ | $n$ | $\operatorname{deg} \Delta$ | $\left(-K_{\left.Y^{\prime}, C\right)}\right.$ | $f^{\prime}, X^{\prime}$ |
| $\frac{29}{29}$ | 1 | 4 | 0 | $/$ | 14 | $(2,1), B_{5}$ |
| $\frac{29}{2}$ | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | $/$ | $(3,2), \mathbb{P}^{2}$ |
| 15 | 2 | 3 | 1 | $/$ | 12 | $(2,1), B_{5}$ |

$$
z=1 \text { and } u=2 .
$$

In particular we have $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3} \leq 15$ and $h^{0}\left(-K_{X}\right) \leq 10$.
Based on this result, we can derive the following properties for $X$ as in the main theorem:

Theorem A. if any index 2 point satisfies the assumption (5) of 0.2, then $\left|-K_{X}\right|$ has a member with only canonical singularities.

So the general elephant conjecture by M . Reid is affirmative for such an $X$.
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Theorem B. Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3 -fold with (1)~(4) of 0.2. Let $N:=\operatorname{aw}(X)$. Then if $N>1$ (resp. $N=1$ ), $X$ can be transformed to a $\mathbb{Q}$ factorial $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3-fold $\tilde{Z}^{\prime}$ with (1)~(4) of 0.2 and with only QODP's or $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$ singularities as its singularities and $h^{0}\left(-K_{Z^{\prime}}\right)=h$ and au( $\left.\tilde{Z}^{\prime}\right)=N-1$ (resp. a smooth Fano 3 -fold $\tilde{Z}^{\prime}$ with $\rho\left(\tilde{Z}^{\prime}\right)=1, F\left(\tilde{Z}^{\prime}\right)=1$ and $\left.h^{0}\left(-K_{\tilde{Z}^{\prime}}\right)=h\right)$ as follows:

$$
\tilde{f} \swarrow \begin{array}{ccccc} 
& \tilde{Y} \\
& \searrow \tilde{g} & & & \\
& & \tilde{Z} & \xrightarrow{\text { def }} & \tilde{Z}^{\prime},
\end{array}
$$

where $* \xrightarrow{\text { def }} * *$ means that $* *$ is a small deformation of $*$;
$\tilde{X}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3 -fold as in 0.2 and with only ODP's, QODP's or $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$ singularities as its singularities;
$\tilde{f}: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is chosen as $f$ in the main theorem;
$\tilde{g}: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$ be the anti-canonical model.
This is an analogue to the Reid's fantasy about Calabi-Yau 3-folds [RM1].
Theorem C. If any index 2 point is a $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$-singularity, $X$ can be embedded into a weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(1^{h}, 2^{N}\right)$, where $h:=h^{0}\left(-K_{X}\right)$ and $N$ is the number of $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$-singularities on $X$.

We hope that this fact can be used for the classification of Mukai's type (see [Mu]).

## 1. Examples

We consider the case that $h^{0}\left(-K_{X}\right)=4$ and $N=4$. By the table of the main theorem, there are two possibilities of $X$ in this case. We assume that every singularity of $Y$ is a $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$-singularity. Then one of the following holds:
[1]. $f^{\prime}$ is an extremal divisorial contraction which contracts a divisor $E^{\prime}$ to a curve $C$ and $\left|-K_{Y^{\prime}}-E^{\prime}\right| \neq \phi . X^{\prime}$ is a ( $2,2,2$ )-complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{6}$ and satisfies the following properties:
(1) $X^{\prime}$ is factorial;
(2) $C$ is a smooth conic;
(3) $X^{\prime}$ has 3 singularities $P_{0} \sim P_{2}$ on $C$ and $P_{i}$ is an ODP or the singularity analytically isomorphic to the origin of $\left\{x y+z^{2}+w^{3}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{4}$. Outside $P_{i}$ 's, $X^{\prime}$ is smooth.
[2]. $f^{\prime}$ is blowing up at a smooth point $Q:=f^{\prime}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left|-K_{Y^{\prime}}-E^{\prime}\right| \neq \phi . X^{\prime}$ is smooth, isomorphic to $A_{10}$ and there exist exactly three lines through the point $Q$.

We will construct examples for these cases by the following three steps:
Step 1. We construct $X^{\prime}$ satisfying the properties as stated as in [1] or [2];
Step 2. We construct $f^{\prime}$ satisfying the properties as stated as in [1] or [2];
Step 3. We construct $f: Y \rightarrow X$ as in the main theorem from $Y^{\prime}$.
[1].
Step 1 for [1]. We construct $X^{\prime}$ with only ODP's.

## Q-FANO 3-FOLDS

Claim 1. Let $V$ (resp. $X^{\prime}$ ) be a (2,2)-complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{6}$ (resp. a quadric section of $V$ ) with the following properties:
(1) $V$ (resp. $X^{\prime}$ ) contains a smooth conic $C$;
(2) $V$ (resp. $X^{\prime}$ ) has three $O D P$ 's $P_{0} \sim P_{2}$ on $C$ and outside $P_{i}$ 's, $V$ (resp. $X^{\prime}$ ) is smooth.
Then $X^{\prime}$ is factorial.
Proof. We claim that $V$ contains the plane $P$ spanned by $C$. Let $\sigma$ be the pencil which consists of quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^{6}$ containing $V$. Since $P_{i}$ is an ODP on $V$, there is a quadric in $\sigma$ which is singular at $P_{i}$. If there is a quadric in $\sigma$ which is singular at all $P_{i}$ 's, then it is singular on $P$ and hence $V$ is singular along $C$, a contradiction. So $\sigma$ is generated by two quadrics which are singular at some $P_{i}$. But such quadrics contains $P$ and hence $V$ contains $P$.

Let $\nu: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ be the composition of the blowing ups at $P_{0} \sim P_{2}$ and $F_{i}$ the exceptional divisor over $P_{i}$. Let $\tilde{X}^{\prime}$ be the strict transform of $X^{\prime}$ on $\tilde{V}$ and $H$ the total transform of a hyperplane section of $V$. Then $\tilde{X}^{\prime} \sim 2 H-F_{0}-F_{1}-F_{2}$. Note that $\left|H-F_{i}-F_{j}\right|$ is free outside the strict transform $l_{i j}$ of the line through $P_{i}$ and $P_{j}$ and $\left|H-F_{k}\right|$ is free (note that $l_{i j}$ is contained in $V$ since $l_{i j} \subset P$ ). By this, we can easily see that $\left|\tilde{X}^{\prime}\right|$ is free and $\tilde{X}^{\prime}$ is numerically trivial only for $l_{i j}$ 's $((i, j)=(0,1),(1,2),(2,0))$.

Let $\phi$ be the morphism defined by $\left|\tilde{X}^{\prime}\right|$. Then $\phi$-exceptional curves are $l_{i j}$ 's. We will prove that Leff $\left(\tilde{V}, \tilde{X}^{\prime}\right)$ holds and $\tilde{X}^{\prime}$ meets every effective divisor on $\tilde{V}$. By $[\mathrm{H}$, p.165, Proposition 1.1] and the argument of [H, p.172, the proof of Theorem 1.5], it suffices to prove that $\operatorname{cd}\left(\tilde{V}-\tilde{X}^{\prime}\right)<3$, i.e., for any coherent sheaf $F$ on $\tilde{V}-\tilde{X}^{\prime}$, $H^{i}\left(\tilde{V}-\tilde{X}^{\prime}, F\right)=0$ for all $i \geq 3$. Let $\bar{V}:=\phi(\tilde{V})$ and $\overline{X^{\prime}}:=\phi\left(\tilde{X}^{\prime}\right)$. Consider the Leray spectral sequence

$$
E_{2}^{p q}=H^{p}\left(\bar{V}-\overline{X^{\prime}}, R^{q} \phi_{*}^{\prime} F\right) \Rightarrow E^{p+q}=H^{p+q}\left(\tilde{V}-\tilde{X}^{\prime}, F\right),
$$

where $\phi^{\prime}:=\left.\phi\right|_{\tilde{V}-\tilde{X}^{\prime}}$. Since $\bar{V}-\overline{X^{\prime}}$ is affine and the dimension of every fiber of $\phi$ $\leq 1$, we have $E_{2}^{p q}=0$ for $p \geq 1$ or $q \geq 2$ whence $E^{p+q}=0$ for $p+q \geq 2$. So the assertion follows.

Furthermore since $\tilde{X}^{\prime}$ is nef and big, $H^{i}\left(\tilde{V}, \mathcal{O}\left(-n \tilde{X}^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$ for $n \geq 1$ and $i=$ 1,2 by KKV vanishing theorem. Hence by the Grothandieck-Lefschetz theorem $\left[G\right.$, p.135, 3.18] (or $\left[H\right.$, p.178, Theorem 3.1]), we have $\operatorname{Pic} \tilde{X}^{\prime} \simeq \operatorname{Pic} \tilde{V} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{4}$. So $\rho\left(\tilde{X}^{\prime} / X^{\prime}\right)=3$ which imply that $X^{\prime}$ is factorial.

We will give a pair $\left(V, X^{\prime}\right)$ satisfying the condition of Claim 1. Let $C$ be a smooth conic in $\mathbb{P}^{6}$ and $P_{0} \sim P_{2}$ three points on $C$. We can choose a coordinate of $\mathbb{P}^{6}$ such that $C=\left\{x_{0} x_{1}+x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2} x_{0}=x_{3}=x_{4}=x_{5}=x_{6}=0\right\}$ and $P_{i}=\left\{x_{j}=0\right.$ for $\left.j \neq i\right\}$.

Claim 2. Let $X^{\prime}$ be a (2,2,2)-complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{6}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(1) $X^{\prime}$ is factorial;
(2) $X^{\prime}$ contains a smooth conic $C$;
(3) $X^{\prime}$ has three ODP's $P_{0} \sim P_{2}$ on $C$ and outside $P_{i}$ 's, $X^{\prime}$ is smooth.

Then $X^{\prime}$ is the intersection of three quadrics $Q_{1} \sim Q_{3}$ of the following forms by permuting $P_{i}$ 's if necessary:
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$$
\begin{gathered}
Q_{1}:=\left\{m_{0} x_{0}+m_{1} x_{1}+q_{1}=0\right\} ; \\
Q_{2}:=\left\{p m_{1} x_{1}+m_{2} x_{2}+q_{2}=0\right\} ; \\
Q_{3}:=\left\{x_{0} x_{1}+x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2} x_{0}+\sum_{i=3}^{6} l_{i} x_{i}=0\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $p \in \mathbb{C}$, $m_{i}$ (resp. $q_{i}$ ) is a linear form (resp. a quadratic form) of $x_{3} \sim x_{6}$ and $l_{i}$ is a linear form of $x_{0} \sim x_{6}$.

Conversely if $X^{\prime}=Q_{1} \cap Q_{2} \cap Q_{3}$, where $Q_{i}$ is of the form as above and $m_{i}, q_{i}$ and $l_{i}$ are suitably general, then $X^{\prime}$ satisfies $(1) \sim(3)$.

Proof. Let $\gamma$ be the net which consists of quadrics containing $X^{\prime} . \gamma$ contains a member $Q_{1}$ which is singular at $P_{2}$. Then $Q_{1}$ is of the form as above. If $m_{1}=$ $m_{2}=0$, then $Q_{1}$ is singular on the plane $P$ spanned by $C$ and hence $X^{\prime}$ is singular along $C$, a contradiction. Hence $m_{1} \neq 0$ or $m_{2} \neq 0$. By permuting $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ if necessary, we may assume that $m_{1} \neq 0 . \gamma$ contains a member $Q_{2}$ which is singular at $P_{0} . Q_{2}$ is of the form as

$$
\left\{m_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{1}+m_{2} x_{2}+q_{2}=0\right\}
$$

where $m_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $m_{2}$ (resp. $q_{2}$ ) are linear forms (resp. is a quadratic form) of $x_{3} \sim x_{6}$. $\gamma$ also contains a member $Q^{\prime}$ which is singular at $P_{1}$. If $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ and $Q^{\prime}$ generate $\gamma$, then $X^{\prime}$ contains the plane $P$, a contradiction to the factoriality and $F\left(X^{\prime}\right)=1$. Hence $Q^{\prime}$ is contained in the pencil generated by $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$. So $m_{1}{ }^{\prime}=p m_{1}$ for some $p \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$
Q=\left\{-p m_{0} x_{0}+m_{2} x_{2}+\left(q_{2}-p q_{1}\right)=0\right\} .
$$

Since $X^{\prime}$ does not contain $P$ as noted above, $\gamma$ contains a member $Q_{3}$ of the form as in the statement. $Q_{3}$ is not contained in the pencil generated by $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ and hence $Q_{i}$ 's generate $\gamma$.

Conversely let $X^{\prime}:=Q_{1} \cap Q_{2} \cap Q_{3}$, where $Q_{i}$ is of the form as above and $m_{i}, q_{i}$ and $l_{i}$ are suitably general. We can easily check that $X^{\prime}$ satisfies (2) and (3). Set $V:=Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}$. We may assume that $V$ satisfies the condition of Claim 1. Hence by Claim 1, $X^{\prime}$ is factorial.
Step 2 for [1]. Let $\nu^{\prime}: \tilde{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be the composition of the blowing ups at $P_{0} \sim P_{N-2}$ and $F_{i}^{\prime}$ the exceptional divisor over $P_{i}$. Let $\mu^{\prime}: \hat{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \tilde{X}^{\prime}$ be the blowing up along the strict transform $\tilde{C}$ of $C$ and $F^{\prime}$ the $\mu^{\prime}$-exceptional divisor. We will denote the strict transforms of the two fibers of $F_{i} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ through $F_{i} \cap \tilde{C}$ by $l_{i j}(j=1,2)$. Note that $-K_{\hat{X}^{\prime}}, l_{i j}=0$. We can easily see that $\left|-K_{\hat{X}},\right|$ is free by $P \cap X^{\prime}=C$, where $P$ is the plane spanned by $C$ and $-K_{\hat{X}^{\prime}}$ is big. Hence $l_{i j}$ 's are flopping curves on $\hat{X}^{\prime}$ and we can see that the classes of $l_{i 1}$ and $l_{i 2}$ belong to the same ray. Let $\hat{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \hat{X}^{\prime}+$ be the flop. Then the strict transforms of $F_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ s on $\hat{X}^{\prime+}$ are $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ 's and we can contract them to $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$-singularities. Let $g^{\prime}: \hat{X}^{\prime+} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ be the contraction morphism, $f^{\prime}: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ the natural morphism and $E^{\prime}$ the strict transform of $F^{\prime}$.

We will see that $\left|-K_{Y^{\prime}}-E^{\prime}\right| \neq \phi$. Let $F^{\prime+}$ be the strict transform of $F^{\prime}$ on $\hat{X}^{\prime+}$. Then $-K_{\hat{X}^{\prime}}+-F^{\prime+}=g^{\prime *}\left(-K_{Y^{\prime}}-E^{\prime}\right)$. Furthermore $h^{0}\left(-K_{\hat{X}^{\prime+}}-F^{\prime+}\right)=$
$h^{0}\left(-K_{\hat{X}^{\prime}}-F^{\prime}\right)$. Hence it suffices to prove that $h^{0}\left(-K_{\hat{X}^{\prime}} \mid F^{\prime}\right) \leq 3$ since $h^{0}\left(-K_{\hat{X}^{\prime}}\right)=$ 4. Since there is a smooth member of $\left|-K_{\tilde{X}^{\prime}}\right|$, we have $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}} / \bar{X}^{\prime}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)$. Hence $F^{\prime} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{1}$ and $-\left.K_{\hat{X}^{\prime}}\right|_{F^{\prime}} \sim C_{0}+l$, where $C_{0}$ is the minimal section of $F^{\prime}$ and $l$ is a fiber of $F^{\prime}$. So we are done.

Step 3 for [1]. Since $Y^{\prime}$ has only $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$-singularities and $-K_{Y}$, is nef and big, we can construct a similar diagram $Y_{0}^{\prime}:=Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y_{1}{ }^{\prime} \ldots Y_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow Y_{i+1}{ }^{\prime} \ldots Y:=$ $Y_{l}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f} X$ to 0.3 by considering extremal rays, where $Y_{i}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\prime} Y_{i+1}{ }^{\prime}$ is a flop or a flip for $i=0$ and a flip for $i \geq 1$. Let $\vec{E}_{i}$ (resp. $E$ ) be the strict transform of $\tilde{E}$ on $Y_{i}^{\prime}$ (resp. $Y$ ). Let $R_{i}$ be the extremal ray which is other than the ray associated to $f^{\prime}$ for $i=0$ or the $K_{Y_{i}}$-negative extremal ray for $i \geq 1$. By similar calculations to 0.3 , we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(-K_{Y}\right)^{2} E=1+\sum a_{i}^{\prime} d_{i}^{\prime}  \tag{1}\\
\left(-K_{Y}\right) E^{2}=-2-\sum{a_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime 2} d_{i}^{\prime} ; \\
E^{3}=-6+\sum{a_{i}}^{\prime 3} d_{i}^{\prime}+e^{\prime},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $e^{\prime}, a_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ and $d_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ are similarly defined to 0.3 with respect to $-K_{Y_{i}{ }^{\prime}}$ and $\tilde{E}_{i}$ and furthermore we can see that $a_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ is a non negative integer.
Claim 3. $\tilde{E}_{i} \cdot R_{i}<0$.
Proof. We can prove the assertion by induction. For $i=0, \tilde{E}_{0} \cdot R_{0}<0$ can be directly checked. Assume that the assertion holds for the numbers less than $i$. So the other extremal ray than $R_{i}$ is positive for $\tilde{E}_{i}$. Since $-K_{Y_{i}^{\prime}}$ is free outside a finite number of curves, $-\left.K_{Y_{i}}\right|_{\bar{E}_{i}}$ is numerically equivalent to an effective 1-cycle. Hence by $-K_{Y_{i}^{\prime}} \tilde{E}_{i}^{2} \leq-K_{Y}, \tilde{E}^{2}=-2$, we have $\tilde{E}_{i} \cdot R_{i}<0$.

By this claim, we know that $f$ is an divisorial contraction whose exceptional divisor is $E$. If $f$ is a crepant divisorial contraction, then $l=0$. But $\left(-K_{Y^{\prime}}\right)^{2} \tilde{E}=1$, a contradiction. Hence $f$ is a $K_{Y}$-negative contraction. Assume that $f$ is (2,1)type which contracts $E$ to a curve $C^{\prime}$. Then $\left(-K_{X} \cdot C^{\prime}\right)=\left(-K_{Y}+E\right)\left(-K_{Y}\right) E=$ $-1-\sum d_{i}{ }^{\prime} a_{i}{ }^{\prime}\left(a_{i}{ }^{\prime}-1\right)<0$, a contradiction since $X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3 -fold.

By the classification of a ( 2,0 )-type contraction from a 3 -fold with only index 2 terminal singularities (see Appendix), if $f$ is such an contraction, then we have $-K_{Y} E^{2} \geq-2$. On the other hand $-K_{Y} E^{2} \leq-K_{Y}, \tilde{E}^{2}=-2$. Hence there is no flip. So $\left(-K_{Y}\right)^{2} E=\left(-K_{Y}\right)^{2} \tilde{E}=1$ and hence again by the classification of a contraction as above, $f$ is the blow up at a $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$-singularity or the weighted blow up at a QODP with weight $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ (we use the coordinate as stated in the definition of QODP). In any case $X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3 -fold with $I(X)=2$. We can easily check that $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}=4$ and aw $(X)=4$. Furthermore by this, $F(X)$ must be $\frac{1}{2}$. So $X$ is what we want.
[2].
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Step 1 for [2]. The Grassmannian $G(2,5)$ (parameterizing 2-dimensional subspaces of 5 -dimensional vector space) can be embedded into $\mathbb{P}^{9}$ by the Plücker embedding. Its defining equations are $x_{i j} x_{k l}-x_{i k} x_{j l}+x_{j k} x_{i l}=0$ for all $1 \leq$ $i<j<k<l \leq 5$, where $x_{p q}(1 \leq p<q \leq 5)$ is a Plücker coordinate. Let $Q$ be the point defined by $x_{p q}=0$ for any $(p, q) \neq(1,2)$. Let $l_{1}$ (resp. $l_{2}$ ) be the line $\subset G(2,5)$ defined by $x_{p q}=0$ for any $(p, q) \neq(1,2),(1,3)$ (resp. $(p, q) \neq(1,2),(2,4))$. Let $l_{3}$ be the line $\subset G(2,5)$ defined by the equations $x_{p q}=r_{p q} x_{12}$ for $(p, q) \neq(1,2)$ such that $r_{34}=r_{35}=r_{45}=0, r_{13} r_{24}-r_{23} r_{14}=0$, $r_{13} r_{25}-r_{23} r_{15}=0, r_{14} r_{25}-r_{24} r_{15}=0$ and $r_{15} r_{25} \neq 0$. Let $H$ be the 3 -plane spanned by $l_{1}, l_{2}$ and $l_{3}$. Then $G(2,5) \cap H=l_{1} \cup l_{2} \cup l_{3}$. Hence by [MM3, Proposition 6.8], there are two hyperplane $H_{1}, H_{2}$ and a quadric $Q$ such that $X^{\prime}:=G(2,5) \cap H_{1} \cap H_{2} \cap Q$ is smooth and $X^{\prime}$ contains $l_{1}, l_{2}$ and $l_{3}$. Since the tangent space of $X^{\prime}$ at $Q$ also contains all the lines on $X^{\prime}$ through $Q$, it is equal to $H$. Hence there are only three lines on $X^{\prime}$ through $Q$.
Step 2 for [2]. Let $f^{\prime}: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be the blow up at $Q$ and $E^{\prime}$ the exceptional divisor. Let $l_{1}{ }^{\prime}, l_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ and $l_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ be the transforms of $l_{1}, l_{2}$ and $l_{3}$ on $Y^{\prime}$. Since $\mathrm{Bs}\left|-K_{Y^{\prime}}\right|=$ $l_{1}{ }^{\prime} \cup l_{2}{ }^{\prime} \cup l_{3}{ }^{\prime}$, the rank of the natural map $H^{0}\left(-K_{Y^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}\left(-\left.K_{Y^{\prime}}\right|_{E^{\prime}}\right)\right)$ is 3 . Hence there is a unique member $\tilde{E}$ of $\left|-K_{Y^{\prime}}-E^{\prime}\right|$ since $h^{0}\left(-K_{Y^{\prime}}\right)=4$.
Step 3 for [2]. Since $\left|-K_{Y^{\prime}}+E^{\prime}\right|$ is free and $-K_{Y^{\prime}}+E^{\prime}$ is numerically trivial only for $l_{1}{ }^{\prime}, l_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ and $l_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ and positive for a curve in $E^{\prime}$, they are numerically equivalent and span an extremal ray $R$ of $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\mathrm{Bs}\left|-K_{Y^{\prime}}\right|=l_{1}{ }^{\prime} \cup l_{2}{ }^{\prime} \cup l_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ and $-K_{Y^{\prime}} \cdot l_{i}{ }^{\prime}<0$, Supp $R=l_{1}{ }^{\prime} \cup l_{2}{ }^{\prime} \cup l_{3}{ }^{\prime}$. Furthermore by $\mathrm{Bs}\left|-K_{Y^{\prime}}\right|=l_{1}{ }^{\prime} \cup l_{2}{ }^{\prime} \cup l_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ again, there is a smooth anti-canonical divisor $D$ ([MM3, Proposition 6.8]). Hence the contraction of $l_{1}{ }^{\prime}, l_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ and $l_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ is a log flopping contraction for the pair $\left(Y^{\prime}, D\right)$ and the log flop exists. Let $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y_{0}^{\prime}$ be the $\log$ flop. Since $D . l_{i}^{\prime}=-1$, the normal bundle of $l_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ is of type $(-1,-2)$. Hence $Y_{0}^{\prime}$ has three $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$-singularities. Since $-K_{Y_{0}^{\prime}}$ is nef and big, we can construct a similar diagram $Y_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow Y_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \ldots Y_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow Y_{i+1}^{\prime} \ldots Y:=Y_{l}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f} X$ to Lemma 3.2 by considering extremal rays, where $Y_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow Y_{i+1}^{\prime}$ is a flop or a flip for $i=0$ and a flip if $i \geq 1$. Let $\tilde{E}_{i}$ be the strict transform of $\tilde{E}$ on $Y_{i}^{\prime}$.

Similarly to Step 3 for [1], we can see that $f$ is the blow up at a $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$ singularity or the weighted blow up at a QODP with weight ( $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1$ ). In any case $X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3 -fold with $I(X)=2$. Since $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}=4$ and $N=4, F(X)$ must be $\frac{1}{2}$. So $X$ is what we want.

## Appendix

In this appendix, we give the table of a (2,0)-type contraction from a 3 -fold with only index 2 terminal singularities.

Proposition. Let $X$ be a 3 -fold with only index 2 terminal singularities and $f$ : $X \rightarrow(Y, Q)$ a contraction of (2,0)-type to a germ $(Y, Q)$ which contracts a prime divisor $E$ to $Q$. Then the following holds:
(1) Assume that $E$ contains no index 2 point. Then one of the following holds:

$$
(2,0)_{1}:\left(E,-\left.E\right|_{E}\right) \simeq\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1)\right) \text { and } Q \text { is a smooth point }
$$

$(2,0)_{2}:\left(E,-\left.E\right|_{E}\right) \simeq\left(\mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{1}} \times \mathbb{P}^{1},\left.\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right|_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}\right)$ and $(Y, Q) \simeq\left(\left((x y+z w=0) \subset \mathbb{C}^{4}\right), o\right) ;$
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$(2,0)_{3}:\left(E,-\left.E\right|_{E}\right) \simeq\left(\mathbb{F}_{2,0},\left.\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right|_{\mathbb{F}_{2,0}}\right)$ and $(Y, Q) \simeq\left(\left(\left(x y+z^{2}+w^{k}=0\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^{4}\right), o\right)(k \geq 3) ;$
$(2,0)_{4}:\left(E,-\left.E\right|_{E}\right) \simeq\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(2)\right)$ and $Q$ is a $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$-singularity.
Furthermore for all cases, $f$ is the blow up of $Q$.
(2) Assume that E contains an index 2 point. Then one of the following holds:
$(2,0)_{5}:\left(E,-\left.E\right|_{E}\right) \simeq\left(\mathbb{F}_{2,0}, l\right)$, where $l$ is a ruling of $\mathbb{F}_{2,0}$.
$Q$ is a smooth point and $f$ is a weighted blow up with weight $(2,1,1)$.
In particular we have $K_{X}=f^{*} K_{Y}+3 E$;
$(2,0)_{6}: K_{X}=f^{*} K_{Y}+E$ and $Q$ is a Gorenstein singular point. $E^{3}=\frac{1}{2} ;$
$(2,0)_{7}: K_{X}=f^{*} K_{Y}+E$ and $Q$ is a Gorenstein singular point. $E^{3}=1 ;$
$(2,0)_{8}: K_{X}=f^{*} K_{Y}+E$ and $Q$ is a Gorenstein singular point. $E^{3}=\frac{3}{2} ;$
$(2,0)_{9}: K_{X}=f^{*} K_{Y}+E$ and $Q$ is a Gorenstein singular point. $E^{3}=2 ;$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(2,0)_{10}:\left(E,-\left.E\right|_{E}\right) \simeq\left(\left(\left\{x y+w^{2}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}(1,1,2,1)\right), \mathcal{O}(2)\right) \\
&(Y, Q) \simeq\left(\left(\left(x y+z^{k}+w^{2}=0\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}(1,1,0,1)\right), o\right) . \\
& f \text { is a weighted blow up with a weight }\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular we have $K_{X}=f^{*} K_{Y}+\frac{1}{2} E$;

$$
(2,0)_{11}:\left(E,-\left.E\right|_{E}\right) \simeq\left(\mathbb{F}_{2,0}, 3 l\right)
$$

$Q$ is a $\frac{1}{3}(2,1,1)$-singularity and $f$ is a weighted blow up with a weight $\frac{1}{3}(2,1,1)$.

$$
\text { In particular we have } K_{X}=f^{*} K_{Y}+\frac{1}{3} E \text {; }
$$
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