# ON CLASSIFICATION OF WEAKENED FANO 3－FOLDS WITH $B_{2}=2$ 

TATSUHIRO MINAGAWA

## 1．Introduction

We will work over $\mathbb{C}$ in this talk．
Definition 1．1．Let $X$ be a 3－dimensional smooth projective variety and $(\Delta, 0)$ a germ of the 1 －dimensional disk．
（1）We call $X$ a Fano 3 －fold when its anti－canonical divisor $-K_{X}$ is ample．
（2）We call $X$ a weak Fano 3 －fold when its anti－canonical divisor $-K_{X}$ is nef and big．
（3）Let $X$ be a weak Fano 3 －fold，we call $X$ a weakened Fano 3 －fold when $X$ is not a Fano 3 －fold and there exists a small deformation $f: \mathscr{X} \rightarrow$ $(\Delta, 0)$ of $X$ such that the fiber $\mathscr{X}_{s}=f^{-1}(s)$ is a Fano 3－fold for any $s \in(\Delta, 0) \backslash\{0\}$ ．

This article contains the classification of weakened Fano 3 －folds with $B_{2}=$ 2．The $i$－th Betti number of a manifold $X$ will be denoted by $B_{i}(X)$ ．Let $X$ be a weak Eano 3 －fold．We remark that $B_{2}(X) \geq 2$ because $X$ is a weak Fano which is not a Fano 3 －fold．

Fano 3－folds with $B_{2} \geq 2$ ane classified by Mori and Mukai（cf．［M－M 1］， ［M－M 2］）．The classification of Fano 3－folds with $B_{2}=2$ is useful for the classification of weakened Fano 3－folds with $B_{2}=2$ ．

Example 1．2．Let $F \cong \mathbb{F}_{0}$ be a smooth quadric surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}, H$ a hy－ perplane in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and $\Gamma$ a non－singular curve of bi－degree $(2,4)$ on $F$ which is a hyperelliptic curve of degree 6 and genus 3 ．Let $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the blow－up of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ along $\Gamma, E$ the strict transform of $F, f_{1}$ a curve of bi－degree $(1,0)$ on $E, f_{2}$ a curve of bi－degree（ 0,1 ）on $E$ ，and $D$ the exceptional divisor of $\psi$ ．We have that $\psi^{*} F=E+D$ ．Then $X$ is a weak Fano 3－ fold with $B_{2}(X)$ which is not a Fano 3 －fold．In fact $\left(-K_{X} \cdot f_{1}\right)=0$ and $\left(-K_{X} \cdot f_{2}\right)=2$ ，thus $-\left.K_{X}\right|_{E}$ is a divisor of bi－degree $(2,0)$ on $E$ ，and $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}=\left(-K_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)^{3}-2\left\{\left(-K_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \cdot \Gamma\right)-g(\Gamma)+1\right\}=20$ ．Thus it is enough to show that $\left(\rightarrow K_{X} \cdot Z\right)>0$ for every irreducible and reduced curve $Z$ on $X$ with $Z \not \subset E$ ．Case in which $\psi(Z)$ is a point，$Z$ is a exceptional line and $(D \cdot Z)=-1$ ．Hence $\left(-K_{X} \cdot Z\right)=\left(\psi^{*}\left(-K_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)-D \cdot Z\right)=1$ ．Case in which $\psi(Z)$ is not a point，Since $-K_{X} \sim 4 \psi^{*} H-D \sim_{Q} 4 \psi^{*} H-\left(2 \psi^{*} H-E\right)=$ $2 \psi^{*} H+E$ ，Hence $\left(-K_{X} \cdot Z\right) \geq\left(2 \psi^{*} H \cdot Z\right)=\left(2 H \cdot \psi_{*} Z\right)>0$ ．

Let $\mathscr{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3} \times(\Delta, 0)$ be a family of curves of genus 3 and degree 6 which is a deformation of $\Gamma$ to non－hyperelliptic curves．Let $\mathscr{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3} \times \Delta$ be the blow－up along $\mathscr{C}$ ．Then $\mathscr{X}_{s}$ is a Fano 3 －fold of No． 12 in Table 2 of［M－M 1］ for any $s \in(\Delta, 0) \backslash\{0\}$ ．Thus $X$ is a weakened Fano 3 －fold．

Example 1.3. Let $Q$ be a smooth quadric 3 -fold, $F \cong \mathbb{F}_{0}$ a smooth quadric surface in $Q, \Gamma$ be a non-singular curve on $F$ of bi-degree $(1,3)$ which is a curve of genus 0 and degree 4. Let $\psi: X \rightarrow Q$ be the blow-up of $Q$ along $\Gamma$, $E$ the strict transform of $F, f_{1}$ a curve of bi-degree $(1,0)$ on $E, f_{2}$ a curve of bi-degree $(0,1)$ on $E$. Then $X$ is a weak Fano 3-fold with $B_{2}(X)$ which is not a Fano 3 -fold. We can show it by the similar way as above. We remark that $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}=28,\left(-K_{X} \cdot f_{1}\right)=0$, and $\left(-K_{X} \cdot f_{2}\right)=2$.
$X$ is a weakened Fano 3 -fold. For example, let $x, y$ a homogeneous coordinate on $\mathbb{P}^{1}, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}$ a homogeneous coordinate on $\mathbb{P}^{4}$, and $(\Delta, 0)$ a germ of the 1 -dimensional disk with parameter $t$, we assume that $\Gamma$ is given by a embedding $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ into $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ defined by $x^{4}, x^{3} y, 0, x y^{3}, y^{4}$ and $Q$ is a smooth quadric 3 -fold containing $\Gamma$ defined by $z_{0} z_{4}+z_{2}^{2}-z_{1} z_{3}=0$. We consider a family of embeddings of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ into $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ over $(\Delta, 0)$ defined by $x^{4}, x^{3} y$, $t x^{2} y^{2}, x y^{3}, y^{4}, \mathscr{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{4} \times(\Delta, 0)$, which is a family of curves of genus 0 and degree 4 , and is a deformation of $\Gamma$ to a curve not contained in any hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$. Let $\mathscr{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{4} \times(\Delta, 0)$ be a deformation of $Q$ in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ defined by $z_{0} z_{4}+z_{2}^{2}-\left(1+t^{2}\right) z_{1} z_{3}=0$. We consider the family of embeddings $\mathscr{C} \rightarrow \mathscr{Q}$. Let $\mathscr{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{Q}$ be the blow-up of $\mathscr{Q}$ along $\mathscr{C}$. Then $\mathscr{X}_{s}$ is a Fano 3 -fold of No. 21 in Table 2 of [M-M 1] for any $s \in(\Delta, 0) \backslash\{0\}$. Thus $X$ is a weakened Fano 3-fold.

Example 1.4. Let $M=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(2) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{1}(2), \pi_{Z}: Z=\mathbb{P}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}=Y$ the $\mathbb{P}^{2}$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ associated to $M$, and $L_{Z}$ the tautological line bundle (that is, $L_{Z}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1)$ on each fiber, and $\left(\pi_{Z}\right)_{*} L_{Z}=M$ ). We have that $K_{Z}=-3 L$. By the trivial surjection $M \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{1}(2)$, we have the following commutative diagram,


Let $L_{W}$ be the tautological line bundle of $\pi_{W}: W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Then $L_{W}=\left.L_{Z}\right|_{W}$. Let $l \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a line $H_{Z}=\left(\pi_{Z}\right)_{*} l$, and $H_{W}=\left(\pi_{W}\right)_{*} l$. Since $W$ is a divisor of $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of bi-degree ( 1,1 ), we may assume that $\pi_{W}$ is the second projection. We remark that the restriction Pic $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(W)$ is an isomorphism. Since $\left(\pi_{W}\right)_{*} L_{W}=\Omega_{\mathbb{p}^{2}}^{1}(2)$, we have that $K_{W}=-2 H_{W}+\left(-2 L_{W}\right)$ which is bi-degree ( $-2,-2$ ). Thus $L_{W}$ is bi-degree ( 1,0 ) and is base-point free. On the other hand, $K_{W}=K_{Z}+\left.W\right|_{W}=-3 L_{Z}+\left.W\right|_{W}=-2 H_{Z}-\left.2 L_{Z}\right|_{W}$. Since the restriction $\operatorname{Pic}(Z) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(W)$ is isomorphism, $W \in\left|L_{Z}-2 H_{Z}\right|$. Thus $H^{1}\left(Z, \mathcal{O}_{Z}\left(L_{Z}-W\right)\right)=H^{1}\left(Z, \mathcal{O}_{Z}\left(-2 H_{Z}\right)\right)$. By the Leray spectral sequence, we have the exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(-2)\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(Z, \mathcal{O}\left(-2 H_{Z}\right)\right) \rightarrow R^{1}\left(\pi_{Z}\right)_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Z} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} 2}(-2)
$$

Hence $H^{1}\left(Z, \mathcal{O}_{Z}\left(L_{Z}-W\right)\right)=0$. Thus $\left|L_{Z}\right|$ is base-point free, because $W+$ $2 H_{Z} \in\left|L_{Z}\right|$. Moreover we have that $\left(L_{Z}\right)^{4}=6$ by easy calculations. We remark that the birational contraction $\phi_{Z}: Z \rightarrow \bar{Z}$ defined by $L_{Z}$ is primitive (i.e. $\rho(Z / \bar{Z})=1$ ), the exceptional locus is $W$, and $\phi_{W}:=\left.\phi_{Z}\right|_{W}: W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is the first projection. Let $X \in\left|2 L_{Z}\right|$ be a general member, then $X$ is a weak Fano 3 -fold which is not a Fano 3 -fold because $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}=2\left(L_{Z}\right)^{4}=12$, and
we may assume that $E:=X \cap W$ is the pull back of a smooth quadric curve $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ by $\phi_{W}$. By the exact sequence

$$
\left.0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{1}(2)\right|_{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C} \rightarrow 0
$$

we have that $E \cong \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Let $\phi:=\left.\phi_{Z}\right|_{X}: X \rightarrow \phi_{Z}(X)=: \bar{X}$. Then $\left(-K_{\bar{X}} \cdot C\right)=2$.
$X$ is a weakened Fano 3 -fold with $B_{2}(X)=2$. In fact, let $\mathscr{M}$ be a defomation of locally free sheaves of rank 3 over $(\Delta, 0)$ from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(2) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{1}(2)$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1)$. Let $\mathbb{Z}:=\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2} \times(\Delta, 0), \mathscr{L}_{\mathscr{Z}}$ be the tautological line bundle. $\mathscr{X} \in\left|2 \mathscr{L}_{\mathscr{X}}\right|$ is a deformation of $X$ to $\mathscr{X}_{t} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ which is a divisor of bi-degree ( 2,2 ).

Similarly, we have that a member $X \in\left|L_{Z}\right|$ is a weakened Fano 3-fold with $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}=48$ which will deform to a divisor of $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of bi-degree $(1,1)$.

Theorem 1.5. Let $X$ be a weakened Fano 3-fold with $B_{2}(X)=2$. Then $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}=12,20,28$ or 48 . Moreover,
(1) if $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}=12$ or $48, X$ is a conic bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.
(2) if $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}=20, X$ is isomorphic to Example (1.2).
(3) if $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}=28, X$ is isomorphic to Example (1.3).

One of the key points of the classification of weakened Fano 3 -folds with $B_{2}=2$ is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6. (cf. $[\mathrm{Pa}]$ and $[\mathrm{Mi}])$ Let $X$ be a weak Fano 3-fold with $B_{2}(X)$ $=2$ which is not a Fano 3-fold, $\phi_{a c}: X \rightarrow X_{a c}$ a multi-anti canonical model, $E$ the exceptional locus of $\phi_{a c}$, and $C=\phi(E)$.
$X$ is a weakened Fano 3-fold if and only if $\left.\phi\right|_{E}: E \rightarrow C$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle structure of $E$ over $C \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\left(-K_{X_{a c}} \cdot C\right)=2$.

Let $Z_{1}(X)$ be the set of numerically equivalence class of 1-cycles on $X$. Let $N_{1}(X)=Z_{1}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and $\overline{N E}(X)$ the closed convex cone in $N(X)$ generated by effective 1-cycles on $X$.

Since $N_{1}(X) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2}, \overline{N E}(X)$ has just two edges, corresponding to $\phi_{a c}$ and the unique extremal contraction $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$. (cf. [K-M-M]) We study possibilities of $\psi$ using the divisors $-K_{X}$ and $E$.
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Notations. (1) " $\sim$ " means linearly equivalence.
(2) " $\sim \mathbb{Q}$ " means $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly equivalence.
(3) The $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(n)\right)$ over $\mathbb{P}^{1}$, a Hirzebruch surface of degree $n$, is denoted by $\mathbb{F}_{n}$, and the surface which is obtained by the contraction of the negative section of $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{F}_{n, 0}$.
(4) For a projective variety $X,(\cdot)_{X}$ means the intersection number on $X$ and we will denote it by $(\cdot)$, if admissible.

## 2. PRELIMINARY

We devide types of $\psi$ into 3 cases to treat it.
Definition 2.1. Let $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ be an extremal contraction from a smooth weak Fano 3 -fold $X$.
(1) $\psi$ is called type R when $\operatorname{dim}(Y)=3$,
(2) $\psi$ is called type C when $\operatorname{dim}(Y)=2$, and
(3) $\psi$ is called type D when $\operatorname{dim}(Y)=1$,

Let $X$ be a weakened Fano 3-fold with $B_{2}(X)=2, \phi_{a c}: X \rightarrow X_{a c}$ a multi-anti canonical model, $E$ the exceptional locus of $\phi_{a c}$, and $C=\phi(E)$. By Theorem 1.6, $\left.\phi\right|_{E}: E \rightarrow C$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle structure of $E$ over $C \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\left(-K_{X_{a c}} \cdot C\right)=2$. Thus $E$ is a Hirzebruch surface of degree $n$. Let $f$ be a reduced fiber of $\left.\phi\right|_{E}: E \rightarrow C$ and $h$ a section of $\left.\phi\right|_{E}: E \rightarrow C$ with $\left(h^{2}\right)_{E}=n$. We have the following informations under this setting.

Lemma 2.2. Notations are as above.
(1) $-K_{X} \mid E \sim 2 f$, in particular $\left(-K_{X} \cdot f\right)=0$ and $\left(-K_{X} \cdot h\right)=2$,
(2) $\left.E\right|_{E^{\sim}}-2 h+n f$, in particular $(E \cdot f) \equiv-2$ and $(E \cdot h)=-n$,
(3) $\left(K_{X}^{2} \cdot E\right)=0$,
(4) $\left(K_{X} \cdot E^{2}\right)=4$, and
(5) $\left(E^{3}\right)=0$.

Proof. (1) is because ( $\sim K_{X_{a c}} \cdot C$ ) $=2$. (2) is because $K_{X} \neq\left. E\right|_{E \sim} ^{\sim} K_{E} \sim$ $-2 h+(n-2) f$. For (3), $\left(K_{X}^{2} \cdot E\right)=\left(K_{X} \mid E\right)_{E}^{2}=(2 f)_{E}^{2}=0$. For (4), there exists a member $S_{a c}$ of $\left|-K_{X_{a c}}\right|$ such that $S_{a c} \cap C=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}, S_{a c}$ is smooth away from $\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\},\left(S_{a c}, p_{i}\right)$ is the ordinary double point for $i=1,2$, and $S:=\phi_{a c}^{*} S_{a c} \rightarrow S_{a c}$ is a minimal resolution of $S$ (Cf. [Mi] and [Shin]). Hence $\left.E\right|_{S}$ is disjoint two (-2)-curves on $S$. Thus $\left(-K_{X} \cdot E^{2}\right)=\left(\left.E\right|_{S}\right)_{S}^{2}=-4$. (5) is because $8=\left(K_{E}^{2}\right)_{E}=\left(\left(K_{X}+E\right)^{2} \cdot E\right)=\left(K_{X}^{2} \cdot E\right)+2\left(K_{X} \cdot E^{2}\right)+\left(E^{3}\right)=$ $8+\left(E^{3}\right)$ by (3) and (4).

Lemma 2.3. If $n=0$, then $\psi(h)$ is not a point.
Proof. Let $L$ be a divisor on $X$ such that the complete linear system of $m L$ defines $\psi$ for sufficiently large $m$. Let $L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}}-a K_{X}-b E$. Then $(L \cdot f)=$ $2 b>0$ and $(L \cdot h)=2 a+n b$ by Lemma 2.2 (1) and (2). If $n=0$ and $(L \cdot h)=0$ then $L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}}-b E$. Let $S \in\left|-K_{X}\right|$, we have that $\left(\left.L\right|_{S}\right)_{S}^{2} \geq 0$ because $L$ is nef, but $\left(\left.L\right|_{S}\right)_{S}^{2}=\left(L^{2} \cdot\left(-K_{X}\right)\right)=-b^{2}\left(K_{X} \cdot E^{2}\right)=-4 b^{2}<0$. It is a contradiction.

This lemma leads us to the following proposition.
Proposition 2.4. $\psi$ is not of type $D$.
Proof. Let $L$ be a divisor on $X$ such that the complete linear system of $m L$ defines $\psi$ for sufficiently large $m$. If $\psi$ is of type D , then $\operatorname{dim} \psi(E)=1$ because $(L \cdot f)>0$. Hence $\left.L\right|_{E^{\sim}} c h$ for some $c>0$ and $n=0$ because of a property of Hirzebruch surface $E$. Then $(L \cdot h)=(c h \cdot h)_{E}=0$. It contradicts to Lemma 2.3.

## 3. The case $\psi$ is of type C

The notations $X, \phi_{a c}: X \rightarrow X_{a c}, E, \psi: X \rightarrow Y, f, h, d, n$ are as in Section 1. We will show the following proposition in this section.
Proposition 3.1. If $\psi$ is of type $C$, then $\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}=12$ or 48 .
Proof. Since $\rho(Y)=1$, and $Y$ is rational by Proposition 3.5 of [M-M 2], $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Let $L$ be a divisor on $X$ such that the complete linear system of $m L$ defines $\psi$ for sufficiently large $m, \delta_{X}=\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}$, and $L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}}-a K_{X}-b E$. Then $(L \cdot f)=2 b>0$ and $(L \cdot h)=2 a+n b$, and $\left(L^{3}\right)=\left(-a K_{X}-b E\right)^{3}=$ $\delta_{X} a^{3}-3 a b^{2}\left(K_{X} \cdot E^{2}\right)=\delta_{X} a^{3}-12 a b^{2}$ by Lemma 2.2. Since $(L \cdot h-n f)=$ $2 a-n b \geq 0, a \geq \frac{n b}{2}$. If $n=0$, then $(L \cdot h)=a$. Hence $a>0$ by Lemma 2.3. Thus $a>0$ in any case. We have an equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{\sqrt{12}}{\sqrt{\delta_{X}}} b \in \mathbb{Q} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the classification of Mori and Mukai (cf. Table 2 of [M-M 1]), we have that $\delta_{X}=12$ or 48 because $\delta_{X}$ is a deformation invariant.

When $\delta_{X}=12$, we have that $a=b$ and $a \geq \frac{n b}{2}=\frac{n a}{2}$. Thus $n=0,1$, or 2.

When $\delta_{X}=48$, we have that $2 a=b$ and $a \geq \frac{n b}{2}=n a$. Thus $n=0$ or 1.

## 4. The case $\psi$ is of type R

The notations $X, \phi_{a c}: X \rightarrow X_{a c}, E, \psi: X \rightarrow Y, f, h, d, n$ are as in Section 1. We will show the following proposition first in this section.

Proposition 4.1. If $\psi$ is of type $R$, then the pair $\left(\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3},\left(-K_{Y}\right)^{3}\right)=$ $(20,64)$ or $(28,54)$
Proof. Since $\rho(Y)=1, Y$ is a Fano 3-fold. Let $D$ be the exceptional locus of $\psi, \delta_{X}=\left(-K_{X}\right)^{3}, \delta_{Y}=\left(-K_{Y}\right)^{3}$, and $\psi^{*}\left(-K_{Y}\right) \sim_{Q}-a K_{X}-b E$. Then $\left(\psi^{*}\left(-K_{Y}\right) \cdot f\right)=2 b>0$ and $\delta_{Y}=a^{3} \delta_{X}-12 a b^{2}$ by Lemma 2.2. Thus $a \neq 0$ because $\delta_{Y}>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{2}=\frac{a^{3} \delta_{X}-\delta_{Y}}{12 a} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\psi\left(\left.D\right|_{E}\right)$ is 0-dimensional, then $\left.D\right|_{E \sim m} m(h-n f)$ for some natural number $m$ and $\left.\psi^{*}\left(-K_{Y}\right)\right|_{E} \sim 2 b h$ because $\operatorname{dim} \psi(f)=1$. Thus $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{m}{4}\left(n K_{X}-2 E\right)$ and $\psi^{*}\left(-K_{Y}\right) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{b}{2}\left(-n K_{X}-2 E\right)$ by Lemma 2.2. Since $\left(\left.\psi^{*}\left(-K_{Y}\right)\right|_{D}\right)_{D}^{2}=$ $0,\left(\left(-n K_{X}-2 E\right)^{2} \cdot\left(n K_{X}-2 E\right)\right)=n^{3}\left(K_{X}\right)^{3}+(4 n-8 n)\left(K_{X} \cdot E^{2}\right)=$ $-\delta_{X} n^{3}-16 n=0$. On the other hand, we have that $n \neq 0$ by Lemma 2.3, and that $\delta_{X}>0$, it is a contradiction. Thus $\psi\left(\left.D\right|_{E}\right)$ is 1-dimensional, and we have that $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ is the blow-up of $Y$ along the nonsingular curve $\Gamma:=\psi(D)$ and $Y$ is smooth by Section 3 of $[\mathrm{Mo}]$. We have that $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}}$ $\psi^{*}\left(-K_{Y}\right)+K_{X}=-(a-1) K_{X}-b E$. Since $\left(\psi^{*}\left(-K_{Y}\right)^{2} \cdot D\right)=0$, we have that $\left(\left(-a K_{X}-b E\right)^{2} \cdot\left(-(a-1) K_{X}-b E\right)\right)=a^{2}(a-1) \delta_{X}+4(-3 a+1) b^{2}=0$. Combining with (4.1), we have an equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \delta_{X} a^{3}-3 \delta_{Y} a+\delta_{Y}=0 . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x=4 a$, then $x$ is an integer. Thus the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{X} x^{3}-24 \delta_{Y} x+32 \delta_{Y}=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has an integral root. Since $\delta_{X}$ and $\delta_{Y}$ is a deformation invariant, using the list in Table 2 in [M-M 1], we have the following 2 possibilities:
(4.1.1) $\delta_{X}=20, \delta_{Y}=64$, and $x=8$.
(4.1.2) $\delta_{X}=28, \delta_{Y}=54$, and $x=6$.

In the following, we treat the above 2 cases in details.

$$
\text { The case } \delta_{X}=20, \delta_{Y}=64 \text {, and } x=8
$$

By [Is 1], and [Is 2], $Y$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. By the computation in the above proof, we have that $a=2$ and $b=2$. Thus we have that

$$
\psi^{*}\left(-K_{X}\right) \sim-2 K_{X}-2 E .
$$

Since $-2 K_{X}-\left.2\right|_{E} \sim 4 h+(4-2 n) f$ is a nef divisor, thus we have that $n=0$, 1 , or 2 . For $m>0$, Since $m \psi^{*}\left(-K_{Y}\right)-E-K_{X} \sim_{Q}\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right) \psi^{*}\left(-K_{Y}\right)$ is nef and big, $H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}\left(m \psi^{*}\left(-K_{Y}\right)-E\right)\right)=0$ by Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem. Thus we may assume that $F:=\psi(E)$ is normal. Since $\mathbb{F}_{n} \not \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ for $n>0$, we have that $E \cong \mathbb{F}_{0}$ or $\mathbb{F}_{2,0}$. Since $D \sim-K_{X}-2 E$, as in Section 4 of [M-M 2] using Lemma 2.2, we have that $\left(D^{2} \cdot\left(-K_{X}\right)\right)=2 g(\Gamma)-2=4$. Thus $g(\Gamma)=3$. Moreover we have that $\left(-K_{Y} \cdot \Gamma\right)=24$ by the formula $\delta_{X}=\delta_{Y}-2\left\{\left(-K_{Y} \cdot \Gamma\right)-g\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)+1\right\}$. Thus we have that $(H \cdot \Gamma)=6$ for a hyperplane $H$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$.

$$
\Gamma \subset F \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}
$$

By III. Ex 5.4, IV. Remark 6.4.1, and V. Ex 2.9 of [Ha], we have that $n=0$ and $\Gamma$ is a non-singular curve of bi-degree (2.4) or (4.2) on $F \cong \mathbb{F}_{0}$.

The case $\delta_{X}=28, \delta_{Y}=54$, and $x=6$
By [Is 1], and [Is 2], $Y$ is isomorphic to $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{4}$ a non-singular quadric 3 -fold. By the computation in the above proof, we have that $a=\frac{3}{2}$ and $b=\frac{3}{2}$. Thus we have that

$$
\psi^{*}\left(-K_{X}\right) \sim_{Q}-\frac{3}{2} K_{X}-\frac{3}{2} E
$$

Since $-\frac{3}{2} K_{X}-\frac{3}{2} E$ is a nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor, thus we have that $n=0,1$, or 2 . For $m>0$, since $m \psi^{*}\left(-K_{Y}\right)-E-K_{X} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(m+\frac{2}{3}\right) \psi^{*}\left(-K_{Y}\right)$ is nef and big, $H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}\left(m \psi^{*}\left(-K_{Y}\right)-E\right)\right)=0$ by Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem. Thus we may assume that $F:=\psi(E)$ is normal and we have that $E \cong \mathbb{F}_{0}$, $\mathbb{F}_{1}$, or $\mathbb{F}_{2,0}$. If $n=1$, using Lemma $2.2,\left(\psi^{*}\left(-K_{Y}\right) \cdot f\right)=\left(-\frac{3}{2} K_{X}-\frac{3}{2} E \cdot h\right)=$ $3+\frac{3}{2}=\frac{9}{2}$ is not a integer. Hence it is a contradiction and we have that $E \cong F \cong \mathbb{F}_{0}$ or $\mathbb{F}_{2,0}$. Let $H$ be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ and $H_{Q}$ the complete intersection of $Q$ and $H$. We have that $F \in\left|H_{Q}\right|$. By the same calculation in the first case we have that $g(\Gamma)=0$ and $\left(H_{Q} \cdot \Gamma\right)=4$.

$$
\Gamma \subset F \subset Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{4}
$$

By III. Ex 5.4, IV. Remark 6.4.1, and V. Ex 2.9 of [Ha], we have that $n=0$ and $\Gamma$ is a non-singular curve of bi-degree (1.3) or (3.1) on $F \cong \mathbb{F}_{0}$.
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