

Fourier-Mukai transforms and canonical divisors

Yukinobu Toda,
Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo

1 Introduction

Let X be a smooth projective variety over \mathbf{C} . The main subject of this article is investigating the derived category of coherent sheaves on X . We define $D(X)$ as

$$D(X) := D^b(\text{Coh}(X)).$$

Recently $D(X)$ has attained much interest in many mathematical aspects, and is expected to represent several symmetries. We have the following examples:

- Homological mirror symmetry

If X is a Calabi-Yau 3-fold, it is expected there exists another Calabi-Yau 3-fold \check{X} , such that X and \check{X} are related by some symmetry. \check{X} is called a mirror manifold of X . It is expected we can define Fukaya category $Fuk(\check{X})$, which depends not complex structures but symplectic structures, such that we have an equivalence

$$D(X) \rightarrow DFuk(\check{X}).$$

- Moduli spaces of stable sheaves

$D(X)$ is useful in investigating moduli spaces of stable sheaves. It is well-known that some moduli spaces have equivalent derived categories with that of the original varieties. The famous example of Mukai [8] shows if A is an abelian variety and \hat{A} is its dual, the Poincaré line bundle gives an equivalence

$$D(\hat{A}) \rightarrow D(A).$$

- Birational geometry

Let $\phi: X \dashrightarrow X^+$ be a 3-dimensional flop. In [2], Bridgeland showed there exists an equivalence

$$D(X) \rightarrow D(X^+).$$

His method is considering X^+ as a moduli space of perverse sheaves $\text{Per}(X) \subset D(X)$. It is remarkable that his method gives a conceptual proof of the existence of flops.

In this article, we are concerned with the problem “To what extent is X determined by $D(X)$?” We define $FM(X)$ as the set of smooth projective varieties, whose derived categories are equivalent to $D(X)$, up to isomorphism. The members of $FM(X)$ are called Fourier-Mukai partners of X . Take an object $\mathcal{P} \in D(X \times Y)$. Then we can define a functor

$$\Phi_{X \rightarrow Y}^{\mathcal{P}} := \mathbf{R}g_*(f^*(*) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes} \mathcal{P}): D(X) \rightarrow D(Y).$$

Here $f: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $g: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ are projections. The following theorem is fundamental.

Theorem 1.1 (Orlov [11]) *Let $Y \in FM(X)$ and $\Phi: D(X) \rightarrow D(Y)$ gives an equivalence. Then there exists an object $\mathcal{P} \in D(X \times Y)$ such that Φ is isomorphic to the functor $\Phi_{X \rightarrow Y}^{\mathcal{P}}$. Moreover \mathcal{P} is uniquely determined up to isomorphism.*

\mathcal{P} is called a kernel of Φ . The problem is classifying the Fourier-Mukai partners of X . The followings are known results.

- $\dim X = 1$

In this case, it is easy to show $FM(X) = \{X\}$.

- $\dim X = 2$

In this case, $FM(X) = \{X\}$ except X is a $K3$ surface or an Abelian surface, or an elliptic surface. When X is one of such varieties, $FM(X)$ are given by some moduli spaces of stable sheaves. These results are shown by Bridgeland-Maciocia [4] and Kawamata [7].

- X is a general type or $\pm K_X$ is ample

When X is a general type, Kawamata [7] showed $Y \in FM(X)$ if and only if X and Y are K -equivalent. When $\pm K_X$ is ample, then $FM(X) = \{X\}$. This is a result of Bondal-Orlov [1].

In these results, we can see the common methods in treating this problem, summarized as follows:

- If K_X has much information, then we can reconstruct (general) closed points $\{\mathcal{O}_x\}_{x \in X}$
- If K_X has no information, then we can use Torelli theorem

The main purpose of this article is to generalize these methods, and the main idea is the following:

“If there exists $E \in |mK_X|$, then use E to reduce the problem to lower dimensional case.”

2 Correspondence of canonical divisors

In this section we compare the canonical divisors of X and Y , when $Y \in FM(X)$, and state the main theorem. Firstly we define the Serre functor.

Definition 2.1 *We define S_X as*

$$S_X := \otimes \omega_X[\dim X]: D(X) \rightarrow D(X).$$

S_X satisfies the following categorical property

$$\mathrm{Hom}(E, F) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(F, S_X(E)),$$

and characterized by this property. Therefore if $\Phi: D(X) \rightarrow D(Y)$ gives an equivalence, then we have an isomorphism of functors

$$\Phi \circ S_X \cong S_Y \circ \Phi.$$

Since the kernel of the left hand side is given by $\mathcal{P} \otimes f^* \omega_X[\dim X]$ and right hand side is given by $\mathcal{P} \otimes g^* \omega_Y[\dim Y]$, we have an isomorphism by Orlov's theorem

$$\mathcal{P} \otimes f^* \omega_X[\dim X] \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{P} \otimes g^* \omega_Y[\dim Y].$$

So it follows that $\dim X = \dim Y$ and for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have an isomorphism

$$\rho_m: \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{O}(mf^*K_X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{O}(mg^*K_Y).$$

On the other hand, since S_X is a categorical invariant, we have the isomorphism of natural transforms

$$\tau_m: \mathrm{Nat}(\mathrm{id}_X, S_X^m[-dm]) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Nat}(\mathrm{id}_Y, S_Y^m[-dm]).$$

Here $d = \dim X$ and Nat means natural transforms. Note that $\mathrm{Nat}(\mathrm{id}_X, S_X^m[-dm])$ contains $H^0(X, mK_X)$ as a linear subspace and it is easy to show that the above isomorphism preserve these subspaces. So we have an isomorphism

$$H^0(X, mK_X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(Y, mK_Y).$$

Take $\sigma \in H^0(X, mK_X)$ and $\mathrm{div}(\sigma) = E \in |mK_X|$. Let $\sigma^\dagger \in H^0(Y, mK_Y)$ corresponds to σ and $\mathrm{div}(\sigma^\dagger) = E^\dagger \in |mK_Y|$. We want to compare E and E^\dagger . It is easy to show that Φ preserves these supports. For $Z \hookrightarrow X$ closed subscheme, we define $D_Z(X)$ as follows:

$$D_Z(X) := \{a \in D(X) \mid \mathrm{Supp} a := \cup \mathrm{Supp} H^i(a) \subset Z\}.$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 Φ takes $D_E(X)$ to $D_{E^\dagger}(Y)$.

(*Proof*) Take $a \in \text{Coh}(X) \cap D_E(X)$. Then

$$\sigma^N(a): a \rightarrow a \otimes \mathcal{O}(NmK_X)$$

are zero-maps for sufficiently large N . Then

$$(\sigma^\dagger)^N(\Phi(a)): \Phi(a) \rightarrow \Phi(a) \otimes \mathcal{O}(NmK_Y)$$

are also zero-maps. This implies $\text{Supp } \Phi(a) \subset E^\dagger$, and since $D_E(X)$ is generated by $\text{Coh}(X) \cap D_E(X)$, the lemma follows. \square

Unfortunately $D(E)$ is far from $D_E(X)$ and we want to compare $D(E)$ and $D(E^\dagger)$. If $D(E)$ and $D(E^\dagger)$ are equivalent, then the relation between E and E^\dagger gives some information of the relation between X and Y . For the sake of applications, it is convenient to formulate the theorem on the complete intersections of these divisors. Take $E_i \in |m_i K_X|$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Here n is an arbitrary natural number. Take a connected component $C \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n E_i$. Then by the same argument of the lemma, there exists a unique connected component $C^\dagger \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n E_i^\dagger$ such that Φ takes $D_C(X)$ to $D_{C^\dagger}(Y)$. We assume the following conditions:

- C and C^\dagger are complete intersections.
- $\text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}}(H^k(\mathcal{P}), \mathcal{O}_{C \times C^\dagger}) = \text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}}(H^k(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}_{C \times C^\dagger}) = 0$ for all k and $i > 0$.

Here \mathcal{E} is a kernel of Φ^{-1} . These conditions are satisfied, for example, $|m_i K_X|$ are free and E_i are generic members. Main theorem is the following:

Theorem 2.3 *Under the above conditions, there exists an equivalence $\Phi_C: D(C) \rightarrow D(C^\dagger)$ such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}i_C^*} & D(C) & \xrightarrow{i_{C^*}} & D(X) \\ \Phi \downarrow & & \Phi_C \downarrow & & \Phi \downarrow \\ D(Y) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}i_{C^\dagger}^*} & D(C^\dagger) & \xrightarrow{i_{C^\dagger^*}} & D(Y) \end{array}$$

Here i_C, i_{C^\dagger} are inclusions.

3 Outline of the proof of the main theorem

Take $\sigma \in H^0(X, K_X)$, $E = \text{div}(\sigma)$ as in the previous section. Let $\mathcal{P} \in D(X \times Y)$ be a kernel of $\Phi: D(X) \rightarrow D(Y)$.

Step 1 *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-mf^*K_X) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes \sigma} & \mathcal{P} \\ \rho_{-m} \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-mg^*K_Y) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes \sigma^\dagger} & \mathcal{P}. \end{array}$$

(*Idea of the proof*) By definition induced diagram of natural transforms is commutative, i.e. $\text{id} \circ \sigma = \sigma^\dagger \circ \text{id} \circ \tau_{-m}$. We can describe \mathcal{P} in terms of Φ by using the proof of the Orlov's theorem. Combine these results. \square

By taking cones, Step 1 implies there exists an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{P} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{O}_{E \times Y} \cong \mathcal{P} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{O}_{X \times E^\dagger}.$$

Take σ_i , E_i , and C , C^\dagger as in the previous section. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{P} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{O}_{C \times Y} \cong \mathcal{P} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{O}_{X \times C^\dagger} \quad \cdots (\star).$$

Here we used the assumption C and C^\dagger are complete intersections. Now we have

Step 2 *There exists some object $\mathcal{P}_C \in D(C \times C^\dagger)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{P} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{O}_{C \times Y} \cong \mathcal{P} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{O}_{X \times C^\dagger} \cong i_{C \times C^\dagger, \star} \mathcal{P}_C.$$

(*Idea of the proof*) By applying $\otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{O}_{C \times Y}$ to (\star) , we can see $\mathcal{P} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{O}_{C \times Y}$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{P} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{O}_{C \times C^\dagger}$, which is a push-forward from $C \times C^\dagger$. Now we use the assumption of the higher *Tor* to show $\mathcal{P} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{O}_{C \times Y}$ is actually push-forward from $C \times C^\dagger$. \square

Step 3 *Let $\Phi_C := \Phi_{C-C^\dagger}^{\mathcal{P}_C} : D(C) \rightarrow D(C^\dagger)$. Then Φ_C gives a desired equivalence.*

(*Proof*) Firstly we show the commutativity of the diagram. This easily follows from the isomorphism of Step 2. Secondly we show that Φ_C gives an equivalence. Let $\Psi_{C^\dagger} : D(C^\dagger) \rightarrow D(C)$ be a functor defined from $\Psi := \Phi^{-1}$ as in the same way. Then the commutativity of the diagram implies

$$\Psi_{C^\dagger} \circ \Phi_C(\mathcal{O}_x) = \mathcal{O}_x, \quad \Psi_{C^\dagger} \circ \Phi_C(\mathcal{O}_C) = \mathcal{O}_C.$$

These imply $\Psi_{C^\dagger} \circ \Phi_C = \text{id}$, since the kernel of $\Psi_{C^\dagger} \circ \Phi_C$ is a diagonal. Similarly $\Phi_C \circ \Psi_{C^\dagger} = \text{id}$, and the proof is completed. \square

4 Applications

We can apply the Main theorem to the classification of $FM(X)$. Note that the minimal 3-fold X has an algebraic fiber space structure

$$\pi : X \rightarrow Z := \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, mK_X).$$

π is called Iitaka fibration. Let $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ be geometric generic fiber of π . In this section, we assume the following:

- X is a smooth minimal 3-fold of $\kappa(X) = 1$.
- $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ is a $K3$ surface or an Abelian surface.
- All the fibers of π is irreducible and reduced.

Before we state the theorem, we give a definition

Definition 4.1 *Let $H \in \text{Pic}(X)$ be a polarization. We denote $M^H(X/Z)$ by relative moduli space of stable sheaves with respect to H . An irreducible component $M \subset M^H(X/Z)$ is fine if $M \rightarrow Z$ is projective and there exists an universal family on $X \times_Z M$.*

The main theorem of this section is the following:

Theorem 4.2 *In the above situation, $Y \in FM(X)$ if and only if there exists some polarization H on X , and an irreducible component $M \subset M^H(X/Z)$ which is fine and relative dimension $=2$, such that Y and M are connected by finite number of flops.*

(*Out line of the proof*) Let $\Phi: D(X) \rightarrow D(Y)$ be as in the previous sections. The isomorphism $H^0(X, mK_X) \cong H^0(Y, mK_Y)$ preserves graded ring structures, so we have

$$Z := \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, mK_X) \cong \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(Y, mK_Y).$$

Let $\pi_X: X \rightarrow Z$, $\pi_Y: Y \rightarrow Z$ be Iitaka fibrations. Then the main theorem implies, for general points $p \in Z$, X_p, Y_p fibers at p , there exists an equivalence $\Phi_p: D(X_p) \rightarrow D(Y_p)$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{Li}_{X_p}^*} & D(X_p) & \xrightarrow{i_{X_p^*}} & D(X) \\ \Phi \downarrow & & \Phi_p \downarrow & & \Phi \downarrow \\ D(Y) & \xrightarrow{\text{Li}_{Y_p}^*} & D(Y_p) & \xrightarrow{i_{Y_p^*}} & D(Y). \end{array}$$

commutes. For the sake of simplicity, we assume X_p is a $K3$ surface. Now we use the general facts of derived categories and singular cohomologies. For a functor $\Phi_{X \rightarrow Y}^{\mathcal{P}}: D(X) \rightarrow D(Y)$, which is not necessary equivalent, we can define a linear map

$$\phi_{X \rightarrow Y}^{\mathcal{P}}: H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^k(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q}).$$

$\phi_{X \rightarrow Y}^{\mathcal{P}}$ is defined by the algebraic cycle $f^* \sqrt{\text{td}_X} \text{ch}(\mathcal{P}) g^* \sqrt{\text{td}_Y} \in H^*(X \times Y, \mathbb{Q})$, and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(X) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & D(Y) \\ \text{ch}(\cdot) \sqrt{\text{td}_X} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ch}(\cdot) \sqrt{\text{td}_Y} \\ H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q}). \end{array}$$

Moreover the correspondence $\Phi_{X \rightarrow Y}^{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \phi_{X \rightarrow Y}^{\mathcal{P}}$ is functorial. Applying these facts to our situations, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{i_{X_p}^*} & H^*(X_p, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{i_{X_p^*}} & H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \\ \phi \downarrow & & \phi_p \downarrow & & \phi \downarrow \\ H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{i_{Y_p}^*} & H^*(Y_p, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{i_{Y_p^*}} & H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q}). \end{array}$$

As in [9], ϕ_p is defined over \mathbb{Z} and preserves inner products. Here inner product on $H^*(X_p, \mathbb{Z}) = H^0 \oplus H^2 \oplus H^4$ is given by $(r, l, s) \cdot (r', l', s') = ll' - rs' - r's$. Now take $(0, 0, 1) \in H^*(Y_p, \mathbb{Z})$ and let $(r_p, l_p, s_p) := \phi_p^{-1}(0, 0, 1)$. Then by composing suitable equivalence if necessary, we may assume $r_p \geq 2$, l_p is ample. Moreover we can show there exists a polarization H on X such that $H|_{X_p} = dl_p$ for some $d > 0$. Let $M \subset M^H(X/Z)$ be an irreducible component which contains stable sheaves on X_p whose Mukai vector $:= \sqrt{\text{td } X_p} \text{ch}(\ast)$ equals to (r_p, l_p, s_p) . Then as in [9], M is non-empty, and we can check the condition of the existence of the universal sheaves in [9]. Moreover, since all the fibers of π_X are irreducible and reduced, M is projective by the argument of [6, Remark 4.6.8]. Therefore we can conclude M is fine. By [5], M is smooth and the universal sheaf gives an equivalence $D(M) \rightarrow D(X)$. By composing this equivalence with Φ , we can reduce the problem to the following:

“If $(r_p, l_p, s_p) = (0, 0, 1)$, then X and Y are connected by finite number of flops.”

Since X and Y are minimal 3-fold, it is enough to show X and Y are birational. Note that $(0, 0, 1)^+ / (0, 0, 1) \cong H^2(X_p, \mathbb{Z})$, so there exists a Zariski open set $Z^0 \subset Z$ such that we have a Hodge isometry

$$\tilde{\phi}: R^2\pi_{X*}\mathbb{Z}_X|_{Z^0} \rightarrow R^2\pi_{Y*}\mathbb{Z}_Y|_{Z^0}.$$

Now using the results of the families of $K3$ surfaces in [10], we can show that, by shrinking Z^0 if necessary, there exists a Hodge isometry $\tilde{\phi}': R^2\pi_{Y*}\mathbb{Z}_Y|_{Z^0} \rightarrow R^2\pi_{X*}\mathbb{Z}_X|_{Z^0}$ such that the composition $\tilde{\phi}' \circ \tilde{\phi}$ is an effective Hodge isometry. By Torelli theorem of $K3$ surfaces, there exists an isomorphism $f_p: Y_p \rightarrow X_p$ such that $(\tilde{\phi}' \circ \tilde{\phi})_p = f_p^*$. Then $\{f_p\}_{p \in Z^0}$ gives a section of $\text{Isom}_{Z^0}(Y, X) \rightarrow Z^0$. \square

5 Appendix

In the case of $\kappa(X) = 2$, we have the following result.

Theorem 5.1 *Let X be a smooth projective 3-fold of $\kappa(X) = 2$. Then $Y \in FM(X)$ if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (i) X and Y are connected by finite number of flops.
- (ii) There exists a following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \overset{\text{flops}}{\dashrightarrow} & J^H(d) \\ & \searrow \pi & \swarrow \pi \\ & & S \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M & \overset{\text{flops}}{\dashrightarrow} & X \\ & \searrow \pi & \swarrow \pi \\ & & S \end{array}$$

where $\pi: X^+ \rightarrow S$ is an elliptic fibration with $\omega_M \cong_{\pi} 0$, $H \in \text{Pic}(M)$ is a polariza-

tion, $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $J^H(d) \subset M^H(M/S)$ is an irreducible component which is fine and contains line bundles of degree d on smooth fibers of π .

In the case of $\kappa(X) = 1$, If we eliminate the assumption “all the fibers of the Iitaka fibration are irreducible and reduced” in the previous section, we have the following result.

Theorem 5.2 *Assume X is a minimal 3-fold of $\kappa(X) = 1$, and generic fiber of its Iitaka fibration is a K3 surface. Then $Y \in FM(X)$ if and only if of the following holds.*

(i) *There exists a polarization H on X and an irreducible component $M \subset M^H(X/Z)$, which is fine and relative dimension two, such that Y and M are connected by finite number of flops.*

(ii) *There exists a polarization H on Y and an irreducible component $M \subset M^H(Y/Z)$, which is fine and relative dimension two, such that X and M are connected by finite number of flops.*

I hope that $Y \in FM(X)$ if and only if (i) holds, but unfortunately I couldn't prove. The problem is whether we can take moduli space which is projective.

By the same method, we can study $FM(X)$ when $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ is an Enriques surface or a bielliptic surface. Let X be a good minimal model (i.e. K_X is semi-ample) and $\pi_X: X \rightarrow Z$ be its Iitaka fibration. Let $m := \min\{i \mid \omega_{X_{\bar{\eta}}}^{\otimes i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{\eta}}}\}$. Then there exists a Zariski open subset $Z^0 \subset Z$ such that $\omega_{X^0}^{\otimes m} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X^0}$, where $X^0 = \pi_X^{-1}(Z^0)$. Let

$$p_X: \tilde{X}^0 := \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_{X^0}} \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \omega_{X^0}^{\otimes(-i)} \right) \rightarrow X^0$$

be its canonical cover. Let $Y \in FM(X)$ and $\pi_Y: Y \rightarrow Z$ be its Iitaka fibration. Then, $\min\{i \mid \omega_{Y_{\bar{\eta}}}^{\otimes i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y_{\bar{\eta}}}\}$ is also m because general fiber of π_Y is also a Fourier-Mukai partner of general fiber of π_X . Let us take a canonical cover $\pi_Y: \tilde{Y}^0 \rightarrow Y^0$. Then the equivalence $\Phi: D(X) \rightarrow D(Y)$ gives an equivalence $\Phi^0: D(X^0) \rightarrow D(Y^0)$. Let $G = \text{Gal}(\tilde{X}^0/X^0) \cong \text{Gal}(\tilde{Y}^0/Y^0) \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Let $p_{X,p} := p_X|_{\tilde{X}_p}$, $p_{Y,p} := p_Y|_{\tilde{Y}_p}$.

Definition 5.3 *A functor $\tilde{\Phi}^0: D(\tilde{X}^0) \rightarrow D(\tilde{Y}^0)$ is G -equivariant if there exists some group isomorphism $\sigma: G \rightarrow G$ such that the following diagram commutes for all $g \in G$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(\tilde{X}^0) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Phi}^0} & D(\tilde{Y}^0) \\ g^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma(g)^* \\ D(\tilde{X}^0) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Phi}^0} & D(\tilde{Y}^0), \end{array}$$

By combining the method of [3] and our method, we can easily show the following theorem.

Theorem 5.4 (*By shrinking Z^0 if necessary,*) *There exists a G -equivariant equivalence $\tilde{\Phi}^0: D(\tilde{X}^0) \rightarrow D(\tilde{Y}^0)$ such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$(\diamond) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} D(X^0) & \xrightarrow{p_X^*} & D(\tilde{X}^0) & \xrightarrow{p_{X^*}} & D(X^0) \\ \Phi^0 \downarrow & & \tilde{\Phi}^0 \downarrow & & \Phi^0 \downarrow \\ D(Y^0) & \xrightarrow{p_Y^*} & D(\tilde{Y}^0) & \xrightarrow{p_{Y^*}} & D(Y^0). \end{array}$$

Moreover there exists a G -equivariant equivalence $\tilde{\Phi}_p: D(\tilde{X}_p) \rightarrow D(\tilde{Y}_p)$ such that the following diagrams commute:

$$(\diamond') \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} D(\tilde{X}^0) & \xrightarrow{\text{Li}_{\tilde{X}_p}^*} & D(\tilde{X}_p) & \xrightarrow{i_{\tilde{X}_p^*}} & D(\tilde{X}^0) \\ \tilde{\Phi}^0 \downarrow & & \tilde{\Phi}_p \downarrow & & \tilde{\Phi}^0 \downarrow \\ D(\tilde{Y}^0) & \xrightarrow{\text{Li}_{\tilde{Y}_p}^*} & D(\tilde{Y}_p) & \xrightarrow{i_{\tilde{Y}_p^*}} & D(\tilde{Y}^0), \end{array}$$

$$(\diamond'') \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} D(X_p) & \xrightarrow{p_{X,p}^*} & D(\tilde{X}_p) & \xrightarrow{p_{X,p^*}} & D(X_p) \\ \Phi_p \downarrow & & \tilde{\Phi}_p \downarrow & & \Phi_p \downarrow \\ D(Y_p) & \xrightarrow{p_{Y,p}^*} & D(\tilde{Y}_p) & \xrightarrow{p_{Y,p^*}} & D(Y_p). \end{array}$$

Assume the following:

- X is a smooth minimal 3-fold of $\kappa(X) = 1$.
- $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ is an Enriques surface or a bielliptic surface.
- If $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ is a bielliptic surface, all the fibers of π_X are irreducible and reduced.

Under these conditions, we can study $FM(X)$ by using the above theorem. In fact we have the following theorem:

Theorem 5.5 *Under the above conditions $Y \in FM(X)$ if and only if there exists a polarization H on X and an irreducible component $M \subset M^H(X/Z)$ which satisfies*

- M is fine and $M \rightarrow Z$ is relative dimension two.
- For all $x \in M$, corresponding stable sheaf E_x satisfies $E_x \otimes \omega_X \cong E_x$.

such that Y and M are connected by finite number of flops.

Problem 5.6 By the classification of $FM(X)$ in the surface case, $FM(X_p) = \{X_p\}$ in this case. Are there any member in $FM(X)$ which is not birational to X ?

References

- [1] A.I. Bondal and D.O.Orlov. Reconstruction of a variety from the derived category and groups of autoequivalences. *Compositio Math*, Vol. 125, pp. 327–344, 2001.
- [2] T. Bridgeland. Flops and derived categories. *Invent.Math*, Vol. 147, pp. 613–632, 2002.
- [3] T. Bridgeland and A. Maciocia. Fourier-Mukai transforms for quotient varieties. *preprint*, pp. 1–15, 1998. [math.AG/9811101](#).
- [4] T. Bridgeland and A. Maciocia. Complex surfaces with equivalent derived categories. *Math.Z*, Vol. 236, pp. 677–697, 2001.
- [5] T. Bridgeland and A. Maciocia. Fourier-Mukai transforms for $K3$ and elliptic fibrations. *J.Algebraic Geom*, Vol. 11, pp. 629–657, 2002.
- [6] D.Huybrechts. and M.Lehn. *Geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, Vol. E31 of *Aspects in Mathematics*. Vieweg, 1997.
- [7] Y. Kawamata. D -equivalence and K -equivalence. *J.Differential.Geom*, Vol. 61, pp. 147–171, 2002.
- [8] S. Mukai. Duality between $D(X)$ and $D(\hat{X})$ with its application to picard sheaves. *Nagoya Math.J*, Vol. 81, pp. 101–116, 1981.
- [9] S. Mukai. On the moduli space of bundles on $K3$ surfaces I. *Vector Bundles on Algebraic Varieties, M.F.Atiyah et al., Oxford University Press*, pp. 341–413, 1987.
- [10] K. Oguiso. Local families of $K3$ surfaces and applications. *J.Algebraic Geom*, Vol. 12, pp. 405–433, 2003.
- [11] D.O. Orlov. On Equivalences of derived categories and $K3$ surfaces. *J.Math.Sci(New York)*, Vol. 84, pp. 1361–1381, 1997.