# ON GAUSS MAPS IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTICS 
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#### Abstract

In［FI2］，K．Furukawa and the author study Gauss maps in positive characteristics．In this note，we review［FI2］．


## 1．Introduction

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be an $n$－dimensional projective variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$ ．Then we can define the Gauss map $\gamma_{X}$ of $X$ to be the rational map

$$
\gamma_{X}: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)
$$

which maps a smooth point $x \in X$ to the embedded tangent space $\mathbb{T}_{x} X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ ．Here $\mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$ is the Grassmannian which parametrizes $n$－ dimensional linear subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ ．

Example 1．1．（1）If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ is an $n$－dimensional linear subvariety， $\mathbb{T}_{x} X=X$ holds for any $x \in X$ ，i．e．$\gamma_{X}$ is a constant map．In fact， $\gamma_{X}$ is a constant map for a variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ if and only if $X$ is linear．
（2）Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be the projective cone of $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ with the vertex $v \in \mathbb{P}^{N}$ ．Then $\gamma_{X}$ is constant on the line $\overline{v y}$ for each $y \in Y$ ．
（3）If $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ is a nonlinear curve in $p=0$ ，it is known that $\gamma_{C}$ is bira－ tional onto the image．Geometrically，this means that a general tangent line of $C$ is tangent to $C$ at only one point．

If we take a local parametrization of $X$ ，we can compute $\gamma_{X}$ explicitly as follows．

Example 1．2．Let $X:=\mathbb{P}^{1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be the twisted cubic curve，which is locally parametrized as $[1: t] \mapsto\left[1: t: t^{2}: t^{3}\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{3}$ ．For $x=[1: t]$ ，the embedded tangent space $\mathbb{T}_{x} X \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is the line spanned by $\left[1: t: t^{2}: t^{3}\right]$ and $\left[0: 1: 2 t: 3 t^{2}\right]$ ，where the latter point is obtained by differentiating $1, t, t^{2}, t^{3}$ by $t$ ．Then we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & t & t^{2} & t^{3} \\
0 & 1 & 2 t & 3 t^{2}
\end{array}\right] \sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & -t^{2} & -2 t^{3} \\
0 & 1 & 2 t & 3 t^{2}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $\sim$ means that the farmer matrix is transformed to the latter one by elementary transformations of raws．Hence the Gauss map $\gamma_{X}: X \rightarrow$
$\mathbb{G}\left(1, \mathbb{P}^{3}\right)$ is locally described as

$$
[1: t] \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-t^{2} & -2 t^{3} \\
2 t & 3 t^{2}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{A}^{4} \subset \mathbb{G}\left(1, \mathbb{P}^{3}\right)
$$

By this description, $\gamma_{X}$ is an embedding if $p \neq 2$, but is not birational if $p=2$.

Example 1.3. Assume $p>0$ and let $c$ be a positive integer prime to $p$. Let $X:=\mathbb{P}^{1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$, which is parametrized as $[1: t] \mapsto\left[1: t: t^{c p}: t^{c p+1}\right]$. Then $\gamma_{X}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}\left(1, \mathbb{P}^{3}\right)$ is locally described as

$$
[1: t] \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{cc}
t^{c p} & 0 \\
0 & t^{c p}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{A}^{4} \subset \mathbb{G}\left(1, \mathbb{P}^{3}\right)
$$

Thus a general fiber of $\gamma_{X}$ is (non-reduced) c points. Geometrically, this means that a general tangent line of $X$ is tangent to $X$ at $c$ points.
Remark 1.4. In [FI1], Furukawa and the author study Gauss maps of toric varieties.

About general fibers of Gauss maps, the following are known: If $p=0$, (the closure of) a general fiber of $\gamma_{X}$ is a linear subvariety for any $X$ (see P. Griffiths and J. Harris [GH, (2.10)], F.L. Zak [Za, I, 2.3. Theorem (c)]). We will omit the words "the closure of" sometimes for simplicity. In particular, $X$ is birational to $\gamma_{X}(X) \times \mathbb{A}^{\delta}$ for $\delta=\operatorname{dim} X-\operatorname{dim} \gamma_{X}(X)$, and the field extension $K(X) / K\left(\gamma_{X}(X)\right)$ between function fields is purely transcendental. In $p>0$, Furukawa [Fur] show that the same statement holds if $\gamma_{X}$ is separable.

On the other hand, a general fiber of Gauss maps can be a union of points as we see in Example 1.3 (such examples were first found by H. Kaji [Ka1, Example 4.1] and J. Rathmann [Ra, Example 2.13]), and can be a nonlinear variety (S. Fukasawa [Fuk1, Section 7]) in $p>0$. More generally, Fukasawa show that any projective variety appears as a general fiber of $\gamma_{X}$ for some $X$ :
Theorem 1.5 ([Fuk2]). Assume $p>0$. Let $F \subset \mathbb{P}^{N^{\prime}}$ be a projective variety. If $n \geq N^{\prime}$, there exists an $n$-dimensional projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ such that a general fiber of $\gamma_{X}$ (with the reduced structure) is projectively equivalent to $F \subset \mathbb{P}^{N^{\prime}}$.

The following is an example of $\gamma_{X}$ whose general fiber is a conic.
Example 1.6. Let $\varphi:\left(k^{\times}\right)^{2} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be an embedding defined by $(s, t) \mapsto$ $\left[1: s: s^{2} t: s^{2} t^{p+1}\right]$ for $p>0$. Set $X=\overline{\varphi\left(\left(k^{\times}\right)^{2}\right)} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. Then $\gamma_{X}: X \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{G}\left(2, \mathbb{P}^{3}\right) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is locally described as

$$
(s, t) \mapsto\left(0,0, t^{p}\right) \in \mathbb{A}^{3} \subset \mathbb{G}\left(2, \mathbb{P}^{3}\right)
$$

Hence the fiber of $\gamma_{X}$ over $(0,0, a) \in \mathbb{A}^{3}$ for $a \neq 0$ is

$$
\varphi\left(k^{\times} \times\left\{a^{1 / p}\right\}\right)=\left\{\left[1: s: a^{1 / p} s^{2}: a^{p+1 / p} s^{2}\right] \mid s \in k^{\times}\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}
$$

which is a conic.
By these results, we see that fibers of Gauss maps in $p>0$ are quite different from those in $p=0$. Hence we ask the following question.

Question 1.7. What kind of difference appears about Gauss maps in $p>0$ and $p=0$ ?

In [FI2], Furukawa and the author study Question 1.7 from the view point of images $\gamma_{X}(X)$, general fibers, and field extensions $K(X) / K\left(\gamma_{X}(X)\right)$ induced by $\gamma_{X}$. In this article, we explain the results in [FI2].
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## 2. MAIN RESULTS

I.M. Landsberg and J. Piontkowski independently gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a subvariety $Y \subset \mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$ to be the image of some $n$-dimensional variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ in $p=0$. In other word, they characterized subvarieties of $\mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$ which are written as the image of some Gauss map (see [FP, 2.4.7] and [IL, Theorem 3.4.8]). By their characterization, we know that in $p=0$,
(1) not all subvariety of $\mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$ is the image of some gauss maps. That is, there exists a subvariety $Y \subset \mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$ with $\operatorname{dim} Y \leq n$ such that $Y \neq \overline{\gamma_{X}(X)}$ for any $n$-dimensional variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$.
(2) If $Y=\overline{\gamma_{X}(X)}$ holds for some $X$, such $X$ is uniquely determined by $Y$.
Furukawa [Fur] generalized their characterization to separable Gauss maps in any characteristics. In particular, (1), (2) hold under the assumption that $\gamma_{X}$ is separable in $p \geq 0$.

On the other hand, Kaji show the following proposition about images of Gauss maps of curves in $p>0$ :

Proposition 2.1 ([Ka2, Appendix $]$ ). Assume $p>0$. For any curve $Y \subset$ $\mathbb{G}\left(1, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$, there exists a curve $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ such that $\overline{\gamma_{X}(X)}=Y$.

More precisely, for any curve $Y \subset \mathbb{G}\left(1, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$ and any finite and inseparable field extension $L / K(Y)$, there exists a curve $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ such that $\overline{\gamma_{X}(X)}=Y, K(X)=L$, and the field extension $K(X) / K(Y)$ induced by $\gamma_{X}$ coincides with the given extension $L / K(Y)$.

Remark 2.2. For a fixed curve $Y \subset \mathbb{G}\left(1, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$, there exist infinitely many field extensions $L / K(Y)$ which are finite and inseparable. Thus there are infinitely many curves $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ such that $\overline{\gamma_{X}(X)}=Y$ by Proposition 2.1. Hence the above (1), (2) does not hold in $p>0$.

We generalize Proposition 2.1 to higher dimensional $X, Y$. In the rest of this article, a field means a finitely generated field over $k$. For a field extension $L / K$, we denote by $\delta_{L / K}$ the natural $L$-linear map $\Omega_{K / k} \otimes_{K} L \rightarrow$ $\Omega_{L / k}$. The following is our main result.
Theorem 2.3. Assume $p>0$. For any variety $Y \subset \mathbb{G}\left(1, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$ with $1 \leq$ $\operatorname{dim} Y \leq n$, there exists an n-dimensional variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ such that $\overline{\gamma_{X}(X)}=Y$.

More precisely, for any variety $Y \subset \mathbb{G}\left(1, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$ with $1 \leq \operatorname{dim} Y \leq n$ and any field extension $L / K(Y)$ such that $\operatorname{tr} \cdot \operatorname{deg}_{k} L=n$ and the linear map $\delta_{L / K(Y)}$ is zero, there exists an n-dimensional variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ such that $\overline{\gamma_{X}(X)}=Y, K(X)=L$, and the field extension $K(X) / K(Y)$ induced by $\gamma_{X}$ coincides with the given extension $L / K(Y)$.
Remark 2.4. We give some remarks about Theorem 2.3.
(a) For a field extension $L / K, \delta_{L / K}$ is injective if and only if $L / K$ is separable. Hence the condition $\delta_{L / K}=0$ is the "opposite" of the separability.
(b) If $\operatorname{dim} Y=1, \delta_{L / K}: \Omega_{K / k} \otimes_{K} L \rightarrow \Omega_{L / k}$ is zero if and only if $\delta_{L / K}$ is not injective since $\operatorname{dim}_{L} \Omega_{K / k} \otimes_{K} L=1$. Thus $\delta_{L / K}=0$ if and only if $L / K$ is inseparable in this case by (a). Hence Theorem 2.3 generalizes Proposition 2.1.
(c) If we replace the assumption $\delta_{L / K(Y)}=0$ with " $L / K(Y)$ is inseparable" as in Proposition 2.1, Theorem 2.3 does not hold in general for $\operatorname{dim} Y \geq 2$, even if we assume that $L / K(Y)$ is finite. See [FI2, Example 3.4].

In Theorem 2.3, we consider images and field extensions. As a geometric version of Theorem 2.3, and as a generalization of Theorem 1.5 about general fibers of Gauss maps, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 2.5. Assume $p>0$. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$ be a projective variety with $\operatorname{dim} Y \geq 1$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset Y \times \mathbb{P}^{N^{\prime}}$ be an n-dimensional projective variety such that the first projection $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow Y$ is surjective. Then there exist an n-dimensional projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ and a generically bijective rational map $h: X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ such that the Gauss map $\gamma_{X}$ of $X$ is equal to $f \circ h$. In particular, $\overline{\gamma_{X}(X)}=Y$ holds.

Furthermore, if we assume $n \geq N^{\prime}$, we can take $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ such that the fiber ${\overline{\gamma_{X}^{-1}}(y)}_{\text {red }} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ of $\gamma_{X}$ over general $y \in Y$ is projectively equivalent to
$F_{y}:=f^{-1}(y)_{\text {red }} \subset\{y\} \times \mathbb{P}^{N^{\prime}}$.

Roughly, Theorem 2.5 states that any surjective morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow Y$ appears as a Gauss map up to generically bijective rational maps. We note that the assumption $n \geq N^{\prime}$ is necessary in the last statement of Theorem 2.5 since for $y=[L] \in Y$, the general fiber $\gamma_{X}^{-1}(y)_{\text {red }}$ must be contained in $L \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n}$.

## 3. Idea of proof

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be an $n$-dimensional projective variety. Then we have a rational map

$$
\left(\gamma_{X}, \mathrm{id}_{X}\right): X \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right) \times \mathbb{P}^{N}
$$

where $\operatorname{id}_{X}$ is the inclusion of $X$ into $\mathbb{P}^{N}$.
Definition 3.1. We define a subvariety $\Gamma_{X} \subset \mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right) \times \mathbb{P}^{N}$ to be the closure of the image of $\left(\gamma_{X}, \mathrm{id}_{X}\right)$. In other word, $\Gamma_{X}$ is the graph of the rational map $\gamma_{X}$.

Landsberg, Piontkowski, and Furukawa characterize images of separable Gauss maps. However, it seems that such characterization does not work well for inseparable Gauss maps.

On the other hand, $\left(\gamma_{X}, \operatorname{id}_{X}\right): X \rightarrow \Gamma_{X}$ is birational since $\Gamma_{X}$ is the graph of $\gamma_{X}$. In particular, $\left(\gamma_{X}, \mathrm{id}_{X}\right)$ is separable. Under this observation, we have the following idea:

Idea. We characterize not images but graphs of Gauss maps to investigate not necessarily separable Gauss maps.

To state a key theorem, we prepare some notation.
Since $\mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$ is a Grassmannian, there exist locally free sheaves $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ on $\mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$ of ranks $N-n$ and $n+1$ respectively with the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}, \mathcal{O}(1)\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence each point $y \in \mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$ corresponds to the linear variety $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes$ $k(y)) \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$.

By the surjection $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}, \mathcal{O}(1)\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow Q$, we have a projective bundle

$$
\mathcal{U}:=\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}, \mathcal{O}(1)\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)}\right)=\mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right) \times \mathbb{P}^{N}
$$

over $\mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$. Hence we have the tautological invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}(1)$ on $\mathcal{U}$ with the surjection $\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}(1)$. By definition, it holds that

$$
\mathcal{U}=\left\{([L], x) \in \mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right) \times \mathbb{P}^{N} \mid x \in L\right\},
$$

that is, $\mathcal{U}$ is the incidence variety.
For a variety $X^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right) \times \mathbb{P}^{N}$, let $\mathrm{pr}_{1}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{2}$ : $X^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be the first and second projections respectively. Since $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)}=$ $Q^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{S}$ holds, there exists a natural homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{S}\right)=\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \Omega_{\mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow \Omega_{X^{\prime}}
$$

for a variety $X^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right) \times \mathbb{P}^{N}$. This homomorphism induces

$$
\Phi: \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} Q^{\vee} \rightarrow \Omega_{X^{\prime}} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{S}^{\vee}
$$

For an $n$-dimensional projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$, the graph $\Gamma_{X}$ is contained in $\mathcal{U}$ since $x \in \gamma_{X}(x)=\mathbb{T}_{x} X$ for a smooth point $x \in X$. Hence we have the following commutative diagram:


The following theorem gives a characterization of graphs of Gauss maps. We note that this theorem holds for any characteristic.

Theorem 3.2. Let $X^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{U}$ be a subvariety of dimension $n$ such that $\mathrm{pr}_{2}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ is generically finite and separable. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $X^{\prime}=\Gamma_{X}$ holds for some $n$-dimensional variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$.
(ii) $X^{\prime}=\Gamma_{X_{0}}$ holds for $X_{0}=\operatorname{pr}_{2}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$.
(iii) The composite homomorphism $\left.\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}(-1)\right|_{X^{\prime}} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathbb{Q}^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \Omega_{X^{\prime}} \otimes$ $\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} \mathcal{S}^{\vee}$ is the zero map at the generic point of $X^{\prime}$.

Proof. See [FI2].
Remark 3.3. By definition, $\Phi$ is the zero map at the generic point of $X^{\prime}$ if and only if so is $\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{S}\right)=\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} \Omega_{\mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow \Omega_{X^{\prime}}$, i.e. the $K(X)$-linear $\operatorname{map} \delta_{K\left(X^{\prime}\right) / K\left(\operatorname{pr}_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)\right)}$ is zero. Hence (iii) in Theorem 3.2 holds automatically if $\delta_{K\left(X^{\prime}\right) / K\left(\operatorname{pr}_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)\right)}=0$.

By using Theorem 3.2, we can show Theorem 2.3 as follows.

Sketch of proof of Theorem 2.3. Assume that there exists an $n$-dimensional variety $X^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{U}$ which satisfies

- $\mathrm{pr}_{2}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ is generically finite and separable,
- $Y=\operatorname{pr}_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$,
- the field extension $K\left(X^{\prime}\right) / K(Y)$ induced by $\mathrm{pr}_{1}$ coincides with the given extension $L / K(Y)$.
Then $X^{\prime}$ is the graph of $\gamma_{X}$ for $X=\operatorname{pr}_{2}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ by Theorem 3.2 and the assumption $\delta_{L / K(Y)}=0$ (see Remark 3.3), and this $X$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.3.

Hence it suffices to find such $X^{\prime}$. To find such $X^{\prime}$, it is enough to find $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in L$ such that

- $L / k\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is finite and separable,
- $L=K(Y)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.

In fact, we take $X^{\prime}$ to be the image of

$$
\operatorname{Spec} L \xrightarrow{(f, g)} Y \times \mathbb{P}^{n} \subset \mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right) \times \mathbb{P}^{n} \stackrel{\text { bir }}{\sim} \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Q})=\mathcal{U},
$$

where $f: \operatorname{Spec} L \rightarrow Y$ is induced by $L / K(Y)$ and $g: \operatorname{Spec} L \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$ is the morphism which is parametrized as $\left[1: x_{1}: \cdots: x_{n}\right]$.

By a suitable argument about the generators of field extensions, we can find such $x_{i}$ and Theorem 2.3 is proved.

In Theorem 2.3, we consider only field extensions $L / K(Y)$ with $\delta_{L / K(Y)}=$ 0 . How about field extensions with $\delta_{L / K(Y)} \neq 0$ ? By using Theorem 3.2, we can show the following theorem about inseparable field extensions $L / K$, not necessarily $\delta_{L / K}=0$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $L / K$ be an inseparable field extension. If $p \geq 3$ or $\operatorname{rank}_{L} \delta_{L / K}$ is even, there exists a hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ for $n=\operatorname{tr} . \operatorname{deg}_{k} L$ such that the extension $K(X) / K\left(\gamma_{X}(X)\right)$ induced by $\gamma_{X}$ coincides with the given extension $L / K$.

The difference of Theorems 2.3 and 3.4 are as follows: In Theorem 2.3, we fix an embedding Spec $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$ as $Y \subset \mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$, and consider field extensions $L / K$ such that $\delta_{L / K}$ is zero. In Theorem 3.4, we do not fix an embedding Spec $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$, and consider $L / K$ such that $\delta_{L / K}$ is not necessarily zero.

In the proof of Theorem 2.3, the condition (iii) in Theorem 3.2 automatically holds since $\Phi=0$, which follows from the assumption $\delta_{L / K}=0$. On the other hand, $\Phi$ is not zero in the setting of Theorem 3.4. Hence we need to take an embedding Spec $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}\left(n, \mathbb{P}^{n+1}\right)$ and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in L$ carefully so that the condition (iii) in Theorem 3.2 holds.

Remark 3.5. If $p=2$, the behavior of Gauss maps is sometimes different from that in other characteristics. For example,

- For any hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, $\operatorname{rank}_{K(X)} \delta_{K(X) / K(\gamma(X))}$ is even. Hence the statement of Theorem 3.4 does not hold if $p=2$ and $\operatorname{rank}_{L} \delta_{L / K}$ is odd.
- For any variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ (which is not necessarily a hypersurface), $\operatorname{rank}_{K(X)} \delta_{K(X) / K(\gamma(X))}$ cannot be equal to one.

By Theorem 3.4 and Remark 3.5, we have the following question:
Question 3.6. Assume $p=2$. Let $L / K$ be an inseparable field extension with $n=\operatorname{tr} . \operatorname{deg}_{k} L$ and $\operatorname{rank}_{L} \delta_{L / K}$ is odd. Then is there an $n$-dimensional variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ with $N \geq n+2$ such that $K(X) / K(\gamma(X))=L / K$ ?

Unfortunately, we do not know the answer of this question.
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