TITLE:

Coupled thermo-hydro-mechanical-chemical modeling by incorporating pressure solution for estimating the evolution of rock permeability

AUTHOR(S):

Yasuhara, Hideaki; Kinoshita, Naoki; Ogata, Sho; Cheon, Dae Sung; Kishida, Kiyoshi

CITATION:

Yasuhara, Hideaki ...[et al]. Coupled thermo-hydro-mechanical-chemical modeling by incorporating pressure solution for estimating the evolution of rock permeability. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences 2016, 86: 104-114

ISSUE DATE:

2016-07-01

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/217409>

RIGHT:

© 2016. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>; The full-text file will be made open to the public on 01 July 2018 in accordance with publisher's 'Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving'; この論文は出版社版ではありません。引用の際には出版社版をご確認ご利用ください。; This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version.

**Coupled thermo-hydro-mechanical-chemical modeling of permeability change in porous
rocks: The significant role of the pressure solution**

Hideaki Yasuhara¹, Naoki Kinoshita¹, Sho Ogata¹, Dae-Sung Cheon², and Kiyoshi Kishida³

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Ehime University, Matsuyama, 790-8577,
JAPAN.

² Korea Institute of Geoscience and Mineral Resources, Daejeon, 305-350, KOREA.

³ Department of Urban Management, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 615-8540, JAPAN.

*Corresponding Author, hide@cee.ehime-u.ac.jp; Tel&Fax: +81-89-927-9853

10 Abstract

11 When considering the safe isolation of high-level radioactive wastes, the long-term
12 evolution of the hydraulic and transport behavior of the rocks of interest should be predicted prior
13 to its operation because coupled thermal-hydraulic-mechanical-chemical (THMC) processes
14 should be significantly active in such situations where relatively high ground pressure and
15 temperature are induced. In this study, a coupled THMC numerical model has been developed to
16 examine the long-term change in permeability of the porous sedimentary rocks that are assumed
17 to be composed purely of quartz. Specifically, the chemo-mechanical process of the pressure
18 solution was incorporated into the model. The developed model was validated by replicating the
19 existing experimental measurements of the porosity reduction and the evolving silica
20 concentration. Subsequently, by simulating the burial of high-level radioactive wastes in the deep
21 subsurface, namely, by applying the simulated confining pressure and temperature conditions, the
22 long-term evolution of the rock permeability was predicted. The model predictions clearly
23 showed a significant influence of the pressure dissolution on the change in permeability with time.
24 The predicted permeability of the rocks close to the wastes decreased by one order of magnitude
25 in 10^4 years when considering the pressure dissolution, while the permeability changed little
26 during the same period when the pressure dissolution was not considered. This reduction should
27 delay the dispersion of the radioactive materials dissolved in the groundwater.

28

29

30 **Keywords: Rock permeability, Coupled THMC model, Mineral dissolution, Pressure**
31 **solution**

32

33 **Highlights:**

34 ➤ **A coupled THMC numerical model was developed to predict the long-term change in**
35 **permeability.**

36 ➤ **The developed model considers the pressure solution.**

37 ➤ **The model was validated by replicating the existing experiments.**

38 ➤ **The model enables the long-term evolution of rock permeability to be predicted under**
39 **arbitrary pressure and temperature conditions.**

40

41

42 **1. Introduction**

43 When disposing high-level radioactive wastes in the deep subsurface, the influence of the
44 disposal on the hydraulic property of the rocks of interest must be examined in advance and
45 should be estimated with a certain level of precision. The rocks that work as natural barriers to the

46 migration of radionuclides should be influenced by the convolved phenomena, including the
47 transfer of heat from the wastes, the groundwater flow, the variation in induced stresses, and the
48 geochemical reactions, such as mineral dissolution and precipitation [1], [2]. Therefore, in order
49 to predict the long-term evolution of the hydraulic property, a numerical model that can account
50 for the coupled Thermo-Hydro-Mechanical-Chemical (THMC) processes is required. In particular,
51 the precise modeling of the geochemical reactions, occurring at the interface between the grain
52 particles composing the rocks and the pore water, is of significant importance to achieving precise
53 predictions. To date, THMC numerical models have been developed to address such engineering
54 issues as the geological isolation of CO₂ and radioactive wastes and energy recovery from
55 geothermal reservoirs [3]-[9]. By using some of the above models, the long-term phenomena
56 taking place in the artificial and natural barriers have been predicted by considering the specific
57 geological conditions, the heat transfer, the water flow, the stress/deformation, and the
58 geochemical reactions. In the geochemical calculations of the THMC models, the mineral
59 dissolution and precipitation occurring on the free surfaces of the rocks [10] are typically
60 considered, but the dissolution active at the grain contacts (e.g., pressure dissolution [11]-[18]) is
61 not taken into account. In the literature, it is indicated that the pressure dissolution may change the
62 hydraulic property over a long duration; and therefore, the phenomenon must be incorporated into
63 the modeling process when evaluating the long-term integrity of the rocks in terms of the

hydraulic property. Recently, several THMC numerical models that can consider the process of the pressure dissolution have been proposed [7]-[9], but these models mostly address fracture media and do not estimate the evolution of the hydraulic property in porous rocks.

In this study, a new coupled THMC numerical model that incorporates the important process of the pressure dissolution, as well as the free-face dissolution and precipitation, was developed, and the validity of the model was examined by replicating the experimental measurements obtained from Elias and Hajash (1992) [19]. Subsequently, the long-term evolution of the permeability in porous rock was predicted under the expected stress and temperature conditions where high-level radioactive wastes are disposed. In particular, the influence of the pressure dissolution on the change in the rock permeability was examined intensively.

When any numerical model is developed, it may be dilemmatic to consider the balance between the simplicity and the precision of the model. Complex models that incorporate a number of equations to be solved may require time-consuming handling during pre- and post-processing, and lengthy calculation time. Therefore, simpler or more straightforward numerical models with satisfactory precision are preferred for general users. By focusing selectively on the geochemical process of the pressure dissolution, the minor objective of this study is to develop a relatively simple model.

82

83 2. Model description

84 The coupled THMC model developed in this work enables the change in permeability of
85 porous rocks to be followed with time by considering the interactions of the thermal, hydraulic,
86 mechanical, and geochemical processes (i.e., heat transfer, groundwater flow in saturated porous
87 media, stress/deformation, mass transport, and mineral dissolution/precipitation). The processes
88 considered in this model are schematically summarized in **Figure 1**. As is apparent from this
89 figure, the two-way interactions are taken into account between the T and H, the H and C, and the
90 C and T components. In the M component, the stress distribution is calculated and then rendered
91 to calculate a chemo-mechanical process, which is referred to as CM in **Figure 1**. In the C
92 components, two different chemical processes are incorporated into the model; one is the
93 free-surface dissolution/precipitation (CF) and the other is the pressure solution (CM). The
94 pressure solution includes three serial processes - mineral dissolution at the stressed contacts,
95 diffusive transport through the thin film of water, and re-precipitation of the mineral matter at the
96 pore wall. The mineral dissolution at the stressed contacts is explicitly integrated into the
97 proposed model as the CM component. It should be noted that we have proposed conceptual
98 chemo-mechanical models to predict the change in permeability of porous and fractured rocks
99 [20]-[24], and that this work is an attempt to fit the conceptual model into the coupled numerical

model and to conduct numerical simulations at a field scale instead of a representative element scale. It should be also noted that the interactions between the H and M and the M and T components are intentionally omitted in this work. These processes may influence the change in permeability, but the main focus of the current work is to examine the effects of the geochemical reactions (i.e., free-face dissolution/precipitation and pressure dissolution) on the change in permeability by simplifying the developed model.

2.1 Governing equations

The equations used to model each of the THMC processes are presented in this section. In this work, COMSOL Multiphysics [25] is utilized to solve the differential equations describing the THMC processes. The calculation procedure is shown in **Figure 2** and the details are described hereinafter. The coupled THMC processes are solved sequentially by exchanging the dependent variables (i.e., porosity/permeability, flow velocity, stress, temperature, and dissolution/precipitation rate constants).

The groundwater flow in saturated rocks is simply modeled by the conservation of water mass and by assuming the Darcian flow, given by

$$\frac{\partial(\rho_w \phi)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_w \mathbf{u}) = f_m, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{u} = -\frac{\mathbf{k}}{\mu}(\nabla p + \rho_w g \nabla D), \quad (2)$$

where ρ_w [kg m⁻³] is the density of the fluid, ϕ [-] is the porosity, $\mathbf{u}$ [m s⁻¹] is the fluid velocity tensor, f_m [kg m⁻³ s⁻¹] is the source term for the flow, $\mathbf{k}$ [m²] is the rock permeability tensor, μ [Pa s] is the fluid dynamic viscosity, p [Pa] is the fluid pressure, g [m s⁻²] is the gravity acceleration, and D [m] is the potential head. The temperature-dependent variables of ρ_w and μ are evaluated at arbitrary temperatures within the calculation scheme [25].

The heat transfer is evaluated by considering thermal convection and conduction without the radiation effect, as follows:

$$(\rho C_p)_{eq} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \rho_w C_{p,w} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{k}_{eq} \nabla T) + Q_h, \quad (3)$$

where T [K] is the temperature, $(\rho C_p)_{eq}$ [J K⁻¹ m⁻³] is the equilibrium volumetric heat capacity, $C_{p,w}$ [J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹] is the heat capacity of the fluid, $\mathbf{k}_{eq}$ [W m⁻¹ K⁻¹] is the equilibrium thermal conductivity tensor, and Q_h [W m⁻³] is the heat source. $(\rho C_p)_{eq}$ and $\mathbf{k}_{eq}$ can be obtained from the following equations:

$$(\rho C_p)_{eq} = (1 - \phi) \rho_m C_{p,m} + \phi \rho_w C_{p,w}, \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{k}_{eq} = (1 - \phi) \mathbf{k}_m + \phi \mathbf{k}_w, \quad (5)$$

where ρ_m [kg m⁻³] is the density of the solid, $C_{p,m}$ [J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹] is the heat capacity of the solid, and $\mathbf{k}_m$ and $\mathbf{k}_w$ [W m⁻¹ K⁻¹] are the thermal conductivity tensors of the solid and the fluid, respectively.

134 The numerical model enables the temperature-dependent variables of $C_{p,m}$, $C_{p,w}$, k_m , and k_w to be
135 followed at arbitrary temperatures [25].

136 The mechanical process of the rock structure is evaluated by the quasi-static equilibrium
137 equation and the typical Hooke's law, given by

$$138 \quad -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{F}_v, \quad (6)$$

$$139 \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{E} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \quad (7)$$

140 where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ [Pa] is the stress tensor, $\mathbf{F}_v$ [Pa m⁻¹] is the body force, $\mathbf{E}$ [Pa] is the elasticity tensor, and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$
141 [-] is the strain tensor. In this work, the thermal stress and the inelastic behavior are disregarded
142 for simplicity. A self-weight analysis is conducted to obtain the initial stress field, while the
143 change in stress distribution by the cavity excavation is calculated using these equations.

144 The advection-diffusion equation is used to calculate the solute transport behavior. The
145 mechanical dispersion and the retardation due to the sorption processes are not considered here.

$$146 \quad \frac{\partial(c_i \phi)}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla c_i = \nabla \cdot (\phi \tau \mathbf{D}_{b,i} \nabla c_i) + R_i, \quad (8)$$

147 where c_i [mol m⁻³] is the concentration of solute i , τ [-] is the coefficient related to tortuosity, $\mathbf{D}_{b,i}$
148 [m² s⁻¹] is the diffusion coefficient tensor, and R_i [mol m⁻³ s⁻¹] is the solute source or sink of solute
149 i . The diffusion coefficient is controlled by the system temperature and can be defined by an
150 Arrhenius-type equation [26], as

151
$$D_{b,i} = D_{b,i}^0 \exp(-E_{D,i} / RT), \quad (9)$$

152 where $D_{b,i}^0$ [$\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$] and $E_{D,i}$ [J mol^{-1}] are the pre-exponential factor and the activation energy of
153 the diffusion of solute i , respectively, and R [$\text{J mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$] is the gas constant.

154 The source/sink term, R_i , is calculated by considering both free-face dissolution/precipitation
155 and pressure dissolution, and can be expressed by

156
$$R_i = R_i^{FF} + R_{diss,i}^{PS}, \quad (10)$$

157 where R_i^{FF} and $R_{diss,i}^{PS}$ [$\text{mol m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$] are the rates of free-face dissolution/precipitation and
158 pressure dissolution, respectively. They are the physical quantities that describe the mineral
159 dissolution and precipitation per volume and time. The rate of the free-face
160 dissolution/precipitation is defined by [10]

161
$$R_i^{FF} = k_+ A (a_{H^+})^n (1 - Q / K), \quad (11)$$

162 where k_+ [$\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$] is the mineral dissolution rate constant, A [$\text{m}^2 \text{m}^{-3}$] is the specific surface
163 area, a_{H^+} [-] is the activity of H^+ , and n [-] is the constant, which may be obtained from
164 experimental observations in the literature. Q [-] is the ionic activity product and K [-] is the
165 equilibrium constant. When $Q/K < 1$, free-face dissolution occurs; when $Q/K > 1$, free-face
166 precipitation occurs. The specific surface area is obtained by the measurement using the BET
167 method, given as follows:

168
$$A = A_{BET} \rho_m, \quad (12)$$

169 where A_{BET} [$\text{m}^2 \text{kg}^{-1}$] is the specific surface area determined by the BET method.

170 In this work, the rock is assumed to be composed purely of quartz, and subscript i in Eqs. (8)

171 - (10) is omitted hereinafter. The equilibrium and the dissolution rate constants in Eq. (11) are

172 obtained from PHREEQC [27] and Rimstidt and Barnes (1980) [28], respectively. These

173 constants are temperature-dependent and are given via polynomial approximation and by an

174 Arrhenious expression, as follows:

175
$$K = \sum_{m=0}^7 \alpha_m T^m, \quad (13)$$

176
$$k_+ = k_+^0 \exp(-E_{k_+} / RT), \quad (14)$$

177 where α_m ($m=0-7$) [-] is the constant, k_+^0 [$\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$] is the pre-exponential factor, and E_{k_+} [J

178 mol^{-1}] is the activation energy of the mineral dissolution.

179 Incorporating the process of pressure dissolution into the developed model is the most

180 important task in this work. As described above, we have developed conceptual models that

181 describe the process [20]-[24]. The flux that represents the pressure dissolution is driven by the

182 gradient in the chemical potential between the highly-stressed contacts and the less-stressed site

183 of the pore walls [13], [26]. Dissolution is most conveniently defined in terms of a dissolution

184 mass flux, $\dot{M}_{diss}^{PS}$, the rate of addition of dissolved mass into solution at the interface, given as

185 [20]

$$\dot{M}_{diss}^{PS} = \frac{3V_m^2(\sigma_a - \sigma_c)k_+ \rho_g A_c}{RT}, \quad (15)$$

where V_m is the molar volume of the solid ($2.27 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ for quartz), σ_a [Pa] is the disjoining pressure [29] equal to the amount by which the pressure acting at the contacts exceeds the hydrostatic pore pressure, ρ_g is the grain density (2650 kg m^{-3} for quartz), A_c [m^2] is the area of the grain contact, and σ_c [Pa] is the critical stress, which defines the stress state where the compaction of the grain aggregate will effectively halt [20]. This stress is determined by considering the energy balance under applied stress and temperature conditions, given by [26], [30]

$$\sigma_c = \frac{E_m(1 - T/T_m)}{4V_m}, \quad (16)$$

where E_m and T_m are the heat and temperature of fusion, respectively ($E_m = 8.57 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, $T_m = 1883 \text{ K}$ for quartz).

Based on Eq. (15), the rate of the pressure dissolution, R_{diss}^{PS} , is derived through the following procedure. Firstly, the strain rate due to the pressure dissolution is given as [20]

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{diss}^{PS} = \frac{\dot{M}_{diss}^{PS}}{d \rho_g A_c} = \frac{3V_m^2 k_+}{RTd} \left(\frac{\sigma_{eff}}{R_c} - \sigma_c \right), \quad (17)$$

$$\sigma_a = \frac{\sigma_{eff}}{R_c}, \quad (18)$$

where $\dot{\epsilon}_{diss}^{PS}$ [-] is the strain state, d [m] is the grain diameter, σ_{eff} [Pa] is the effective stress exerted in the representative element, and R_c ($0 < R_c < 1$) [-] is the contact-area ratio. In this work,

the FE analyses are conducted with 2-D meshes in the following sections. To this end, the effective stress is replaced with the von Mises stress that can boil any complex stress conditions down to a single representative scalar value. Therefore, Eq. (17) may be expressed as

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{diss}^{PS} \approx \frac{3V_m^2 k_+}{RTd} \left(\frac{\sigma_{VM}}{R_c} - \sigma_c \right), \quad (19)$$

$$\sigma_{VM} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \quad (20)$$

where σ_{VM} [Pa] is the von Mises stress. When the system is assumed to be simple cubic packing with uniformly sized contacting spheres (**Figure 3**), the volumetric rate induced by the pressure dissolution can be defined by

$$\dot{V}_{diss}^{PS} = \frac{\Delta d \cdot d^2}{\Delta t} = \dot{\epsilon}_{diss}^{PS} d^3 = \frac{3V_m^2 k_+ d^2}{RT} \left(\frac{\sigma_{VM}}{R_c} - \sigma_c \right), \quad (21)$$

where $\dot{V}_{diss}^{PS}$ [$\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$] is the volumetric rate of the pressure dissolution. The dissolution rate, with respect to the representative element, can be simply evaluated by dividing the volumetric rate by the molar volume, as

$$\frac{\dot{V}_{diss}^{PS}}{V_m} = \frac{3V_m k_+ d^2}{RT} \left(\frac{\sigma_{VM}}{R_c} - \sigma_c \right). \quad (22)$$

The volume of the representative element (**Figure 3**) is d^3 [m^3], and the number of grains per unit volume should be d^{-3} [m^{-3}]. Finally, the rate of pressure dissolution is defined by multiplying Eq. (22) by d^{-3} , as follows:

219
$$R_{diss}^{PS} = \frac{3V_m k_+}{RTd} \left(\frac{\sigma_{VM}}{R_c} - \sigma_c \right). \quad (23)$$

220 As the pressure dissolution proceeds, contact-area ratio R_c increases and corresponding porosity ϕ
221 decreases. Therefore, the relation between R_c and ϕ should be obtained to solve Eq. (23) with time.

222 By considering the geometrical scheme (see **Figure 3** and more details in Appendix A), it is given
223 by

224
$$R_c = \frac{12}{\pi} (1 - \phi) - 2. \quad (24)$$

225 Consequently, the rate of pressure dissolution is rearranged by substituting Eq. (24) into Eq. (23),
226 as follows:

227
$$R_{diss}^{PS} = \frac{3V_m k_+}{RTd} \left(\frac{\sigma_{VM}}{(12/\pi)(1 - \phi) - 2} - \sigma_c \right). \quad (25)$$

228

229 2.2 Modification of porosity and related permeability

230 In this work, the change in porosity is only induced by the free-face dissolution/precipitation
231 and the pressure dissolution. The porosity rates evaluated by the two geochemical processes are
232 defined by

233
$$\dot{\phi}^{FF} = V_m R^{FF}, \quad (26)$$

234
$$\dot{\phi}_{diss}^{PS} = -V_m R_{diss}^{PS}, \quad (27)$$

235 where $\dot{\phi}^{FF}$ and $\dot{\phi}_{diss}^{PS}$ [s⁻¹] are the porosity rates of the free-face dissolution/precipitation and the

pressure dissolution, respectively. Therefore, the porosity at an arbitrary time is evaluated using Eqs. (26) and (27), given by

$$\phi = \phi_i + \int \dot{\phi}^{FF} dt + \int \dot{\phi}_{diss}^{PS} dt, \quad (28)$$

where ϕ_i [-] is the initial porosity. Once the porosity is calculated, the related permeability is also evaluated by the Kozeny-Carman equation [31], as

$$k = k_i \frac{(1-\phi_i)^2}{(1-\phi)^2} \left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_i} \right)^3, \quad (29)$$

where k and k_i [m^2] are the permeability at an arbitrary time and the initial permeability, respectively.

3. Model verification

In the previous section, we proposed a coupled THMC model to describe the evolution of porosity/permeability in porous media. In order to verify the proposed model, the model predictions are compared with the laboratory experiments performed by Elias and Hajash (1992) [19]. The compaction of quartz sand, with a mean grain diameter of 180-250 μm , has been completed under the constant effective stresses of 69.0, 34.5, and 17.2 MPa at 150°C. The changes in porosity and the silica concentrations in the pore fluid were measured over time to

examine the chemical and physical processes associated with the pressure solution [19]. In this work, both the rates of porosity reduction and the evolving concentrations of silica in the pore fluid were predicted. The parameters utilized in the calculations are summarized in **Table 1**.

In the predictions, the domain whose porosity initially starts with 0.35, equivalent to that of the experiments [19], was set to be a square with side lengths of 0.01 m. The uniaxial confining pressures of 69.0, 34.5, and 17.2 MPa and the temperature of 150°C were applied as the boundary conditions and as the initial condition, respectively. The silica concentration within the domain was initially fixed at the equilibrium concentration of quartz at 150°C, which was obtained by Eq. (13), and was followed over time by considering the free-face dissolution/precipitation and/or the pressure dissolution of quartz. All the boundaries were assumed to be thermally and hydraulically the outflow boundaries. The initial contact-area ratio, R_{c0} , was evaluated by assuming the Herzian contacts, given by

$$R_{c0} = \left(\frac{3\sigma_{eff} \pi (1-\nu)^2}{4E} \right)^{2/3}, \quad (30)$$

where σ_{eff} [Pa] is the effective stress (i.e., 69.0, 34.5, or 17.2 MPa), ν [-] is Poisson's ratio, and E [Pa] is Young's modulus. When the pressure dissolution proceeds, the contact-area ratio increases.

The change is obtained using Eqs. (24), (26), and (27), as

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_c &= R_{c0} + \int \dot{R}_c dt \\
 &= R_{c0} - \frac{12}{\pi} \int \dot{\phi} dt \\
 &= R_{c0} - \frac{12}{\pi} \int \left(\dot{\phi}^{FF} + \dot{\phi}^{PS}_{diss} \right) dt \\
 &= R_{c0} - \frac{12}{\pi} \left(\int V_m \cdot R^{FF} dt - \int V_m \cdot R^{PS}_{diss} dt \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

After every time step, the new R_c was updated and used for calculating the rate of the pressure dissolution, R^{PS}_{diss} , in the following time step (see **Figure 2**). Note that in these verification predictions, R^{PS}_{diss} was evaluated over time with Eq. (23) instead of Eq. (25) because R_c can be evaluated directly with Eq. (31). It should also be noted that the specific surface area in Eq. (11) cannot be obtained from the literature [19]. Therefore, by introducing roughness factor f_r [32], which is the ratio of the true (microscopic) surface area over the apparent (geometric) surface area, the model predictions were conducted. The relation between specific surface area A and roughness factor f_r is defined as follows:

$$A = f_r A_{geo}, \tag{32}$$

where A_{geo} [$\text{m}^2 \text{m}^{-3}$] is the apparent specific surface area, which is simply given by the ratio of the surface area of the spherical grain of diameter d over the volume, as

$$A_{geo} = \frac{\pi d^2}{\frac{\pi d^3}{6}} = \frac{6}{d}. \tag{33}$$

In the model predictions, three kinds of roughness factors, namely, 10, 20, and 80, were utilized. The values are congruent with the magnitude indicated by Anbeek [1992] [33], who concluded

that it ranged from 2.5 to 11 for freshly created surfaces, and Sverdrup [1990] [34], who reported that it varied between 1.5 and 71 for 28 silicate minerals.

Predictions of the porosity reduction rates and the silica concentration rates normalized by the initial values are shown in **Figure 4** and **Figure 5**, respectively, together with the data measured in the experiments. Surprisingly, all the predicted porosity reduction rates with time closely match the experimental measurements (**Figure 4**). Note that changing the roughness factor has little effect on the evolution of the porosity; and thus, the predictions with different roughness factors are not shown in **Figure 4**. In contrast, the predicted silica concentrations are clearly dependent on which roughness factors are applied (**Figure 5**). The utilization of higher roughness factors results in the prediction of lower silica concentrations. The system in the pore fluid is always oversaturated with silica because that is initially equivalent to the equilibrium concentration, and the additional solute is supplied from the grain contacts via the pressure dissolution. Therefore, the rates of precipitation are augmented by the higher roughness factors, resulting in the lower silica concentrations. The predictions show some variations because of the different roughness factors, but they follow the tendency observed in the experiments well (i.e., the abrupt increase after applying the pressures and the gradual decrease with time). Overall, a comparison of the results of the porosity reduction and the evolving silica concentrations between the predictions and the experimental measurements gives us confidence to use the developed

model to examine the long-term evolution of the porosity/permeability in porous media due to the free-face dissolution/precipitation and the pressure dissolution, dependent on the applied pressure, temperature, and flow conditions.

4. Long-term predictions of permeability

The developed model was applied to predict the long-term evolution of the permeability in sedimentary rock near radioactive wastes within a simulated repository. It should be noted that although we used actual data from related literature in the following predictions, we do not anticipate any specific sites for high-level radioactive waste repositories.

4.1 Modelling conditions

The calculation domain, of the rock density of 1700 kg/m^3 , was set to be a rectangle with vertical and horizontal lengths of 700 and 12.2 m, respectively. The canisters of radioactive wastes were virtually installed as a heat source by setting them laterally at a depth of 450 m [35]. The horizontal length of 12.2 m was chosen for the domain because it may be one-half of the center-to-center spacing of each cavity, of the diameter 2.22 m [35] (**Figure 6**). The rock for the calculations was assumed to have been fully-saturated with water and to have been composed

320 purely of quartz, with the initial porosity of 0.40 [36]. The hydraulic and thermal gradients were
321 set to be 1/1000 and 5°C/100 m, respectively [37]. The surface temperature was fixed at 15°C.
322 The initial silica concentrations within the domain were assumed to be the equilibrium values,
323 which were evaluated by Eq. (13). The change in pH was not considered and the initial value was
324 set at pH = 7.

325 In this work, the Excavation Distributed Zone (EDZ) was modeled explicitly with respect to
326 the rock permeability. The scientific and technical report, summarizing the HLW disposal
327 construction in Japan [38], indicates that the EDZ in both sedimentary and crystalline rocks may
328 range, in the radial direction, from the periphery of the excavated cavity to roughly 1 m. Therefore,
329 the range of 0.8 m was modeled as the EDZ in our calculations (**Figure 6**). The permeability in
330 the EDZ was increased by two orders of magnitude greater than the sound rock [35], [39]. Note
331 that the thermal and the mechanical properties in the EDZ were assumed to be equivalent to those
332 of sound rock, because those in the EDZ were ill-defined, and examining the changes in
333 permeability due to geochemical processes is the most significant task in this work. In the actual
334 calculations, the changes in the von Mises stresses, due to the excavation, were predicted by
335 conducting a self-weight analysis (**Figure 7**). The evolved von Mises stresses, greater around the
336 periphery of the cavity, as is apparent in **Figure 7**, are the driving-force for the pressure
337 dissolution (see Eq. (25)). The heat source from the radioactive wastes was modeled by applying

the temperatures at the periphery of the cavity as the boundary conditions. The applied temperatures over time were obtained from the literature [38], as shown in **Figure 8**. The prediction period was set at 10^4 years after the excavation. All the boundaries, excluding the periphery of the cavity, were assumed to be thermally and hydraulically the outflow boundaries. The parameters utilized in the calculations are summarized in **Table 2**.

4.2 Prediction results

In this work, the predictions were conducted for two different cases – one was done by considering the full processes illustrated in **Figure 2**, and the other was done by excluding only the pressure dissolution, in order to examine the effect of the pressure dissolution on the change in permeability with time. The former and the latter cases are called the “PS” and the “no-PS” conditions hereinafter. Calculations for the no-PS condition were performed by merely making R_{diss}^{PS} in Eq. (25) zero at all the calculation steps.

The change in the temperature distribution with time, predicted under the PS condition, is shown in **Figure 9**. The temperature in the EDZ increases with time; it increases to more than 80°C between 10^1 and 10^2 years. Subsequently, it decreases with time and reaches a steady temperature (i.e., $\sim 45^{\circ}\text{C}$) after 10^4 years. This tendency is quite similar to the change in Si concentration with time (**Figure 10**), which is reasonable. As the equilibrium concentration of Si

is only controlled by the induced temperature, the changes over time should be similar. It should be noted that the predicted changes in both temperature and the Si concentration with time, under the no-PS condition, show no great difference from those under the PS condition. This is because the concentrations in the whole system approach the equilibrium values in a relatively short period, through free-face precipitation, when additional solute is supplied in the pore fluid due to the pressure dissolution, and through free-face dissolution, when the system temperature and the related equilibrium concentration increase. Consequently, the Si concentrations are equivalent to the equilibrium values throughout most of the calculation period.

The changes in permeability with time under the no-PS and the PS conditions are compared (**Figure 11** and **Figure 12**). Note that the permeability is normalized by the initial value. As is apparent from the figures, it changes little with time under the no-PS condition, while it decreases with time and the reduction appears after 10^2 years under the PS condition. Specifically, the reduction is significant within the EDZ. This is because the pressure dissolution, resulting in porosity reduction, is enhanced by the increase in the von Mises stress due to the cavity excavation (see **Figure 7**), and an additional reduction in porosity is caused by the free-face precipitation induced by the supply of the dissolved silica through the pressure dissolution. In order to further investigate the influence of the pressure dissolution on the permeability change within the EDZ, the changes with time at four specific locations, shown in **Figure 13**, are depicted

in **Figure 14**. Three of the four points are located within the EDZ, and the fourth one is 5 m away from the periphery of the cavity. No change in permeability is observed under the no-PS condition. In reality, the free-face precipitation of the secondary minerals, induced by the dissolution of the cement materials, may cause changes in the porosity/permeability, but it is not the case in this work. On the other hand, the permeability at No. 1 decreases by one order of magnitude in 10^4 years. However, the reduction is locally limited and is not observed at No. 4, which is located at one-fourth of the center-to-center spacing of each cavity (**Figure 14**). In the current predications, the decrease in permeability was observed only under the PS condition. This should result in the delay of the transportation of radioactive materials, and the influence needs to be examined quantitatively.

As a parametric study, predictions are made by varying the parameters of initial porosity ϕ_i and critical stress σ_c , that should control the rate of the pressure dissolution (see Eq. (25)) to examine the influence on the change in permeability. Two different initial porosities, 0.40 and 0.45, are selected to simulate Horonobe mudstone in Japan [36], and three levels of critical stress, 15, 50, and 80 MPa, are set for the analysis. The change in permeability at observation point No. 1, shown in **Figure 13**, is shown in **Figure 15**. It is obvious that when a higher critical stress is applied, less change in permeability is observed. This is because the effect of the pressure dissolution becomes smaller when the difference between the von Mises stress and the critical

stress is smaller, as is clear from Eq. (25). In particular, at 80 MPa, the permeability hardly changes in the case of $\phi_i = 0.40$, and it decreases roughly 30 % for 10^4 years in the case of 0.45. From the above calculations, we have confirmed that choosing the right values for the critical stress is of great importance to achieving precise predictions.

5. Conclusions

A coupled THMC model was developed to investigate the long-term evolution of the permeability in sedimentary rocks. The model solves the heat transfer, the groundwater flow, the variation in induced stresses, and the geochemical reactions (i.e., the free-face dissolution/precipitation and the pressure dissolution), and their interactions. The changes in porosity and silica concentration in the quartz aggregates were examined to test the adequacy of the developed model in representing the experimentally observed behavior [19]. The predictions showed an excellent agreement with the experimental observations. Subsequently, the verified model was applied to examine the influence of the pressure dissolution on the evolution of the permeability in sedimentary rocks where a radioactive waste repository may be constructed, in considering the expected temperature, flow, and stress conditions. The predictions confirmed that the process of the pressure dissolution decreased the permeability especially close to the

excavated cavity by one order of magnitude smaller than the initial value, which should delay the transportation of the radioactive materials.

The developed model is straightforward and relatively simple because some interactions among the THMC processes are omitted, but it enables the long-term evolution of the permeability under arbitrary stress and temperature conditions to be followed over time with some precision. Generally, when considering coupling processes for solving complicated nonlinear problems, the uncertainty should be significant. Therefore, it is always important to ponder whether complex, fully-coupled models are necessary for achieving sufficiently high precision. In the near future, we will update the current model by taking into account the omitted processes among the THMC interactions (e.g., the hydraulic-mechanical interactions; see **Figure 1**), and examine whether or not the updates are indeed meaningful to the problems of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI, Grant Nos. 26249139 and 25630413, and by the Basic Research and Development Project of the Korea Institute of Geoscience and Mineral Resources (KIGAM, Project Code No. GP2015-010), which was funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning, Korea. Their support is gratefully acknowledged. The data used

in this work are available upon request from the authors.

APPENDIX A: Relation between contact-area ratio and porosity

Solid volume V_s , in the representative elementary volume, is obtained by subtracting the sums of the volumes truncated between the contacts of two hemispheres from the volume of a sphere of diameter d , given by

$$V_s = \frac{1}{6} \pi h (3a^2 + \frac{3d^2}{4} + h^2), \quad (\text{A1})$$

where h is one-half of the domain height and a is the radius of the contact area. One-half of the domain height, h , is given by

$$h = \sqrt{\frac{d^2}{4} - a^2}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

The porosity, ϕ , is obtained by subtracting the solid volume, V_s , divided by the total volume of the domain, V_t , from one, as follows:

$$\phi = 1 - \frac{V_s}{V_t} = 1 - \frac{\frac{1}{6} \pi \sqrt{\frac{d^2}{4} - a^2} (2a^2 + d^2)}{d^2 \sqrt{\frac{d^2}{4} - a^2}} = 1 - \frac{\pi(2a^2 + d^2)}{6d^2}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

The radius of the contact area is expressed by

$$a^2 = \frac{d^2}{4} R_c. \quad (\text{A4})$$

By substituting Eq. (A3) into Eq. (A2), porosity ϕ is rearranged as

445
$$\phi = 1 - \frac{\pi \left(\frac{d^2}{2} R_c + d^2 \right)}{6d^2} = 1 - \left\{ \frac{\pi}{12} (R_c + 2) \right\}. \quad (\text{A5})$$

446
$$\Rightarrow R_c = \frac{12}{\pi} (1 - \phi) - 2. \quad (\text{A6})$$

447

448

449 **Nomenclature**

450	A	specific surface area [$\text{m}^2 \text{m}^{-3}$]
451	A_{BET}	specific surface area determined by the BET method [$\text{m}^2 \text{kg}^{-1}$]
452	A_c	area of the grain contact [m^2]
453	A_{geo}	apparent specific surface area [$\text{m}^2 \text{m}^{-3}$]
454	a_{H^+}	activity of H^+ [-]
455	C_i	concentration of the solute i [mol m^{-3}]
456	$C_{p,m}$	heat capacity of the solid [$\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$]
457	$C_{p,w}$	heat capacity of the fluid [$\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$]
458	D	potential head of the fluid [m]
459	$\mathbf{D}_{b,i}$	diffusion coefficient tensor [$\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$]
460	d	grain diameter [m]
461	$\mathbf{E}$	elasticity tensor [Pa]
462	$E_{D,i}$	activation energy of the diffusion of the solute i [J mol^{-1}]
463	E_{k+}	activation energy of the mineral dissolution [J mol^{-1}]
464	E_m	heat of fusion [kJ mol^{-1}]
465	$\mathbf{F}_v$	body force [Pa m^{-1}]
466	f_m	source term for flow [$\text{kg m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$]
467	f_r	roughness factor [-]
468	g	gravity acceleration [m s^{-2}]
469	K	equilibrium constant [-]
470	$\mathbf{k}$	rock permeability tensor [m^2]
471	$\mathbf{k}_{eq}$	equilibrium thermal conductivity tensor [$\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$]

472	$\mathbf{k}_m$	thermal conductivity tensor of the solid [$\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$]
473	$\mathbf{k}_w$	thermal conductivity tensor of the fluid [$\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$]
474	k_+	dissolution rate constant [$\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$]
475	$\dot{M}_{diss}^{PS}$	rate of addition of dissolved mass into solution at the interface [kg s^{-1}]
476	p	fluid pressure [Pa]
477	Q	ionic activity product [-]
478	Q_h	heat source [W m^{-3}]
479	R	gas constant [$\text{J mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$]
480	R_c	contact-area ratio [-]
481	R_i^{FF}	rate of free-face dissolution/precipitation of the solute i [$\text{mol m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$]
482	$R_{diss,i}^{PS}$	rate of pressure dissolution of the solute i [$\text{mol m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$]
483	R_i	solute source or sink of the solute i [$\text{mol m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$]
484	T	system temperature [K]
485	T_m	temperature of fusion [K]
486	$\mathbf{u}$	fluid velocity tensor [m s^{-1}]
487	$\dot{V}_{diss}^{PS}$	volumetric rate of the pressure dissolution [$\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$]
488	V_m	molar volume [$\text{m}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$]
489	V_s	solid volume [m^3]
490		
491	<u>Greek letters</u>	
492	$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$	strain tensor [-]
493	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{diss}^{PS}$	strain rate due to the pressure dissolution [s^{-1}]

494	ϕ	porosity [-]
495	$\dot{\phi}^{FF}$	porosity rate of the free-face dissolution/precipitation [s ⁻¹]
496	$\dot{\phi}_{diss}^{PS}$	porosity rate of the pressure dissolution [s ⁻¹]
497	μ	dynamic viscosity of the fluid [Pa s]
498	ν	Poisson's ratio [-]
499	ρ_g	grain density [kg m ⁻³]
500	ρ_m	density of the solid [kg m ⁻³]
501	ρ_w	density of the fluid [kg m ⁻³]
502	$(\rho C_p)_{eq}$	equilibrium volumetric heat capacity [J K ⁻¹ m ⁻³]
503	σ	stress tensor [Pa]
504	σ_a	stress acting at the contact area [Pa]
505	σ_c	critical stress [Pa]
506	σ_{eff}	effective stress [Pa]
507	σ_{VM}	von Mises stress [Pa]
508	τ	tortuosity [-]
509		

6. References

- [1] Tsang CF (ed.). Coupled Processes Associated with Nuclear Waste Repositories. Elsevier 2012; ISBN 9780323142403: 816 pp.
- [2] Tsang Y. Effects of coupled processes on a proposed high-level radioactive waste repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada. Geological Society of America Memoirs 2012; 209:363-393.
- [3] Zheng L, Samper J. A coupled THMC model of FEBEX mock-up test. Phys Chem Earth 2008; 33:S486-S498.
- [4] Taron J, Elsworth D, Min K-B. Numerical simulation of thermal-hydrologic-mechanical-chemical processes in deformable, fractured porous media. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci 2009; 46:842-854.
- [5] Nasir O, Fall M, Evgin E. A simulator for modeling of porosity and permeability changes in near field sedimentary host rocks for nuclear waste under climate change influences. Tunnelling Underground Space Technol 2014; 42:122-135.
- [6] Kim J, Sonnenthal E, Rutqvist J. A sequential implicit algorithm of chemo-thermo-poro-mechanics for fractured geothermal reservoirs. Comput Geotech 2015; 76:59-71.
- [7] Lang PS, Paluszny A, Zimmerman RW. Hydraulic sealing due to pressure solution contact zone growth in siliciclastic rock fractures. J Geophys Res 2015; 120:4080 -

- 528 4101,doi:10.1002/2015JB011968.
- 529 [8] McDermott C, Bond A, Harris, AF, Chittenden N, Thatcher K. Application of hybrid
530 numerical and analytical solutions for the simulation of coupled thermal, hydraulic,
531 mechanical and chemical processes during fluid flow through a fractured rock. *Environ Earth*
532 *Sci* 2015; DOI 10.1007/s12665-015-4422-7.
- 533 [9] Taron J, Elsworth D. Coupled mechanical and chemical processes in engineered geothermal
534 reservoirs with dynamic permeability. *Int J Rock Mech Min Sci* 2010; 47:1339-1348.
- 535 [10] Lasaga AC. Chemical Kinetics of Water-Rock Interactions. *J Geophys Res* 1984;89:
536 4009-4025.
- 537 [11] Croizé D, Renard F, Bjørlykke K, Dysthe DK. Experimental calcite dissolution under stress:
538 evolution of grain contact microstructure during pressure solution creep. *J Geophys Res*
539 2010; 115, B09207, doi:10.1029/2010JB000869.
- 540 [12] Neveux L, Grgic D, Carpentier C, Pironon J, Truche L, Girard JP. Experimental simulation
541 of chemomechanical processes during deep burial diagenesis of carbonate rocks. *J Geophys*
542 *Res* 2014; 119, 984.1007, doi:10.1002/2013JB010516.
- 543 [13] Raj R. Creep in polycrystalline aggregates by matter transport through a liquid phase. *J*
544 *Geophys Res* 1982; 87:4731-4739.
- 545 [14] Robin P-Y F. Pressure solution at grain to grain contacts. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 1978;

- 546 42:1383-1389.
- 547 [15] Spiers CJ, De Meer S, Niemeijer AR, Zhang X. Kinetics of Rock Deformation by Pressure
- 548 Solution and the Role of Thin Aqueous Films. In: *Frontiers Science Series* 2003:129e158.
- 549 [16] Weyl PK. Pressure solution and force of crystallization-A phenomenological theory. *J*
- 550 *Geophys Res* 1959; 64:2001-2025.
- 551 [17] Zhang X, Spiers CJ, Peach CJ. Compaction creep of wet granular calcite by pressure
- 552 solution at 28 C to 150 C. *J Geophys Res* 2010; 115 (B9):B09217.
- 553 [18] Zubtsov S, Renard F, Gratier JP, Guiguet R, Dysthe DK, Traskine V. Experimental pressure
- 554 solution compaction of synthetic halite/calcite aggregates. *Tectonophysics* 2004; 385:45-57.
- 555 [19] Elias BP, Hajash A. Change in quartz solubility and porosity change due to effective stress:
- 556 An experimental investigation of pressure solution. *Geology* 1982; 20:451-454.
- 557 [20] Yasuhara H, Elsworth D, Polak A. A mechanistic model for compaction of granular
- 558 aggregates moderated by pressure solution. *J Geophys Res* 2003; 108(11):2530,
- 559 doi:10.1029/2003JB002536.
- 560 [21] Yasuhara H, Elsworth D, Polak A. Evolution of permeability in a natural fracture: The
- 561 significant role of pressure solution. *J Geophys Res* 2004; 109(B3):B03204,
- 562 doi:10.1029/2003JB002663.
- 563 [22] Yasuhara H, Elsworth D, Polak A, Liu J, Grader A, Halleck P. Spontaneous Permeability

- 564 Switching in Fractures in Carbonate: Lumped Parameter Representation of Mechanically-
565 and Chemically-Mediated Dissolution. *Transp Porous Media* 2006; 65:385-409.
- 566 [23] Yasuhara H, Elsworth D. Compaction of a rock fracture moderated by competing roles of
567 stress corrosion and pressure solution. *Pure Appl. Geophys* 2008; 165:1289-1306.
- 568 [24] Yasuhara H, Kinoshita N, Ohfuji H, Lee DS, Nakashima S, Kishida K. Temporal alteration
569 of fracture permeability in granite under hydrothermal conditions and its interpretation by
570 coupled chemo-mechanical model. *Appl Geochem* 2011; 26:2074-2088.
- 571 [25] COMSOL2014 : COMSOL MULTIPHYSICS. Version 5.0, Available from
572 www.comsol.com.
- 573 [26] Revil A. Pervasive pressure-solution transfer: a poro-visco-plastic model. *Geophys Res Lett*
574 1999; 26:255-258.
- 575 [27] Parkhurst DL, Appelo CAJ. Description of Input and Examples for PHREEQC Version 3-A
576 Computer Program for Speciation, Batch-Reaction, One-Dimensional Transport, and Inverse
577 Geochemical Calculations, Online version available from
578 http://wwwbrr.cr.usgs.gov/projects/GWC_coupled/phreeqc/phreeqc3-html/phreeqc3.htm.
- 579 [28] Rimstidt JD, Barnes HL. The kinetics of silica-water reactions. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta*
580 1980; 44:1683-1699.
- 581 [29] Heidug WK. Intergranular solid-fluid phase transformations under stress: The effect of

- 582 surface forces. *J Geophys Res* 1995; 100:5931-5940.
- 583 [30] Stephenson LP, Plumley WJ, Palciauskas VV. A model for sandstone compaction by grain
584 interpenetration. *J Sediment Petrol* 1992; 62:11-22.
- 585 [31] Bear J. *Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media*. Dover Publications Inc. 1972:166.
- 586 [32] Murphy WM, Helgeson HC. Thermodynamic and kinetic constraints on reaction rates
587 among minerals and aqueous solutions. IV. Retrieval of rate constants and activation
588 parameters for the hydrolysis of pyroxene, wollastonite, olivine, andalusite, quartz, and
589 nepheline. *Am J Sci* 1989; 289:17-101.
- 590 [33] Anbeek C. Surface roughness of minerals and implications for dissolution studies. *Geochim*
591 *Cosmochim Acta* 1992; 56:1461-1469.
- 592 [34] Sverdrup H. *The Kinetics of Base Cation Release Due to Chemical Weathering*. Lund
593 University Press, Lund, Sweden 1990:245pp.
- 594 [35] Suzuki H, Nakama S, Fujita T, Imai H, Sazarshi M. A long-term THMC assessment on the
595 geochemical behavior of the bentonite buffer. *J Nucl Fuel Cycle Environ* 2012; 19:39-50.
- 596 [36] Miyazawa D, Sanada H, Kiyama T, Sugita Y, Ishijima Y. Poroelastic coefficients for
597 siliceous rocks distributed in the Horonobe area, Hokkaido, Japan. *J MMIJ* 2011;
598 127:132-138.
- 599 [37] Kamei G, Honda A, Mihara M, Oda C, Murakami H, Masuda K, Yamaguchi K, Matsuda S,

600 Ichige S, Takahashi K, Meguro Y, Yamaguchi H, Sakakibara T, Sasaki T. Research and
601 development for treatment and disposal technologies of TRU waste JFY 2007 annual report.
602 JAEA-research 2008-082:1-84.

603 [38] Japan Nuclear Cycle Development Institute, 2000. Second Progress Report on Research and
604 Development for the Geological Disposal of HLW in Japan, Supporting Report 2 Repository
605 Design and Engineering Technology, H12: Project to Establish the Scientific and Technical
606 Basis for HLW Disposal in Japan. JNC TN1410 2000-003, IV-139-IV-160.

607 [39] Minato K (ed.). JAEA R&D review 2013; ISSN 2188-1456.

608

609

610 List of Tables

611

612 Table 1. Calculation parameters to simulate experimental results.

613 Table 2. Calculation parameters to simulate long-term permeability.

614

Table 1. Calculation parameters to simulate experimental results.

Diameter d [μm]	Temperature T [$^{\circ}\text{C}$]	Effective stress σ_{eff} [MPa]	Critical stress σ_c [MPa]	Equilibrium constant K [mol m^{-3}]
215	150	69.0, 34.5, 17.2	73.2	1.79
Diffusion coefficient D_b [$\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$]	Dissolution rate constant k_+ [$\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$]	Young's modulus E [GPa]	Poisson's ratio ν [-]	
1.12×10^{-9}	2.51×10^{-9}	72.4	0.17	

Table 2. Calculation parameters to simulate long-term permeability.

Rock type	Initial permeability k_i [m^2]	Young's modulus E [GPa]	Poisson's ratio ν [-]	Initial porosity ϕ_i [-]	Thermal conductivity k_{eq} [$\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$]	Heat capacity C_p [$\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$]
EDZ	1.0×10^{-13}	2.5	0.30	0.40	1.60	1500
Sound	1.0×10^{-15}	2.5	0.30	0.40	1.60	1500

622 List of Figures

623

624 Figure 1. THMC interactions considered in the developed numerical model.

625 Figure 2. Sequential procedure to conduct consistent calculations of porosity change with time.

626 Figure 3. Geometrical model of grain-to-grain contact. Initially, two grains contact each other
627 with an initial contact-area ratio of $Rc0$. As compaction proceeds due to the pressure solution,
628 contact-area ratio Rc increases and free-face dissolution/precipitation occurs simultaneously,
629 resulting in porosity modification.

630 Figure 4. Comparison of porosity reduction with time between experimental data [19] and
631 predictions of the model.

632 Figure 5. Comparison of silica concentration in pore fluid between experimental data [19] and
633 predictions of the model.

634 Figure 6. Calculation domain. The calculation domain is a rectangle with vertical and horizontal
635 lengths of 700 and 12.2 m, respectively. The cavity, with a diameter of 2.22 m, is excavated by
636 lateral setting at a depth of 450 m. The EDZ ranges, in the radial direction, from the cavity wall
637 to 0.8 m.

638 Figure 7. Distribution of von Mises stress before and after cavity excavation.

639 Figure 8. Temperature change with time that is used as the boundary condition applied on the

640 periphery of the cavity [38].

641 Figure 9. Change in temperature distribution with time in the range of 100 to 104 years under the
642 PS condition.

643 Figure 10. Change in Si concentration distribution with time in the range of 100 to 104 years
644 under the PS condition.

645 Figure 11. Change in normalized permeability with time in the range of 100 to 104 years under
646 the no-PS condition. No permeability change is confirmed.

647 Figure 12. Change in normalized permeability with time in the range of 100 to 104 years under
648 the PS condition. The permeability decreases with time. The reduction is especially significant
649 around the periphery of the cavity.

650 Figure 13. Specific locations where changes in permeability with time are observed.

651 Figure 14. The permeability changes with time at specific locations indicated in Figure 13((a) the
652 no PS condition and (b) the PS condition).

653 Figure 15. The permeability changes with time under the PS condition at No. 1, indicated in
654 Figure 13((a) initial porosity of 0.40 and (b) 0.45).

655

Figure 1. THMC interactions considered in the developed numerical model.

Figure 2. Sequential procedure to conduct consistent calculations of porosity change with time.

664
665 Figure 3. Geometrical model of grain-to-grain contact. Initially, two grains contact each other
666 with an initial contact-area ratio of R_{c0} . As compaction proceeds due to the pressure solution,
667 contact-area ratio R_c increases and free-face dissolution/precipitation occurs simultaneously,
668 resulting in porosity modification.

669

Figure 4. Comparison of porosity reduction with time between experimental data [19] and predictions of the model.

676

677

678 Figure 5. Comparison of silica concentration in pore fluid between experimental data [19] and
679 predictions of the model.

680

681
682 Figure 6. Calculation domain. The calculation domain is a rectangle with vertical and horizontal
683 lengths of 700 and 12.2 m, respectively. The cavity, with a diameter of 2.22 m, is excavated by
684 lateral setting at a depth of 450 m. The EDZ ranges, in the radial direction, from the cavity wall to
685 0.8 m.

686

687

688 Figure 7. Distribution of von Mises stress before and after cavity excavation.

689

Figure 8. Temperature change with time that is used as the boundary condition applied on the periphery of the cavity [38].

697

698

699 Figure 9. Change in temperature distribution with time in the range of 10^0 to 10^4 years under the

700 PS condition.

701

702
703 Figure 10. Change in Si concentration distribution with time in the range of 10^0 to 10^4 years under
704 the PS condition.

705

706

707
708 Figure 11. Change in normalized permeability with time in the range of 10^0 to 10^4 years under the
709 no-PS condition. No permeability change is confirmed.

710

711
712 Figure 12. Change in normalized permeability with time in the range of 10^0 to 10^4 years under the
713 PS condition. The permeability decreases with time. The reduction is especially significant around
714 the periphery of the cavity.

715

716

717

718 Figure 13. Specific locations where changes in permeability with time are observed.

719

Figure 14. The permeability changes with time at specific locations indicated in Figure 13((a) the no PS condition and (b) the PS condition).

Figure 15. The permeability changes with time under the PS condition at No. 1, indicated in Figure 13((a) initial porosity of 0.40 and (b) 0.45).