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Abstract

Supernumerary teeth and tooth agenesis are common morphological anomalies in humans. We previously obtained evidence that supernumerary maxillary incisors form as a result of the successive development of the rudimentary maxillary incisor tooth germ in Usag-1 null mice. The development of tooth germs is arrested in Runx2 null mice, and such mice also exhibit lingual epithelial buds associated with the upper molars and incisors. The aim of this study is to investigate the potential crosstalk between Usag-1 and Runx2 during tooth development. In the present study, three interesting phenomena were observed in double null Usag-1–/–/Runx2–/– mice: the prevalence of supernumerary teeth was lower than in Usag-1 null mice; tooth development progressed further compared than in Runx2 null mice; and the frequency of molar lingual buds was lower than in Runx2 null mice. Therefore, we suggest that RUNX2 and USAG-1 act in an antagonistic manner. The lingual bud was completely filled with odontogenic epithelial Sox2-positive cells in the Usag-1+/–/Runx2–/– mice, whereas almost no odontogenic epithelial Sox2-positive cells contributed to supernumerary tooth formation in the rudimentary maxillary incisors of the Usag-1–/–/Runx2–/– mice. Our findings suggest that RUNX2 directly or indirectly prevents the differentiation and/or proliferation of odontogenic epithelial Sox2-positive cells. We hypothesize that RUNX2 inhibits the bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) and/or Wnt signaling pathways regulated by USAG-1, whereas RUNX2 expression is induced by BMP signaling independently of USAG-1.
Introduction

Alterations in tooth development can lead to numerous dental anomalies, with supernumerary teeth (extra teeth) and tooth agenesis (missing teeth) being among the most common morphological anomalies seen in humans. A number of mouse mutant models have provided insights into the formation of supernumerary teeth [1–14]. Mice, unlike humans, have continuously erupting incisors and three molars that are separated by a tooth formation-free region called the diastema. Several mechanisms have been proposed to account for the formation of supernumerary teeth in mice [15, 16]. One plausible explanation for supernumerary tooth formation is the rescue of tooth rudiments in the diastema or maxillary deciduous incisors [9, 17, 18]. USAG-1 (also known as Sostdc1, ectodin, and Wise) is a bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) antagonist [19, 20, 21]. We have demonstrated that the inhibition of apoptosis can lead to the successive development of the rudimentary maxillary incisors in Usag-1 null mice [9, 15, 22]. Furthermore, in a Usag-1-deficient mouse model increased BMP signaling was found to prevent apoptosis, leading to the development of supernumerary teeth [15]. In particular, our previous study suggested that specific interactions between BMP-7 and USAG-1 regulate supernumerary rudimentary maxillary incisor formation [22]. There is plenty of evidence supporting a genetic etiology for tooth agenesis in the literature [23]. Various molecules that are essential for the early stages of tooth formation (in the dental lamina, bud, cap), such as Pax9, Msx1, Lef1, and Runx2, have been identified through analyses of specific knockout mice [24, 25, 26, 27]. Tooth development arrests at the bud stage in Runx2-deficient mice [27], while heterozygous mutations in RUNX2 cause the human disorder cleidocranial dysplasia (CCD), which is characterized by multiple supernumerary teeth [28]. Interestingly, Runx2 null mice possess a lingual epithelial bud, which represents a putative successional tooth associated with the upper molars and incisors [29, 30].

In the maxillary incisor region, we have shown using in situ hybridization and lacZ staining that Usag-1 mRNA is expressed in the rudiment and normal maxillary incisors [19]. Usag-1 expression is also found in the forming molar region that functions to regulate tooth cusp patterning [31]. RUNX2 is a transcription factor that is essential for both bone and tooth formation and has three isoforms (types I-III). During tooth development, all three RUNX2 isoforms are expressed in the dental epithelium and/or mesenchyme of both the incisors and molars and exhibit distinct temporospatial patterns [27, 32–34].

Previous studies have demonstrated that Sox2 mRNA is expressed in dental epithelial cells, particularly in the labial cervical loop area of the mouse incisor, which contains dental epithelial stem cells. Sox2 has been shown to play important roles in maintaining the multipotency of these and other stem cells [35–38]. However, it remains unclear whether Sox2 expression is involved in the progressive development of rudimentary maxillary incisors, lingual bud formation, or the formation of supernumerary teeth in Usag-1 and Runx2 null mice.

The purpose of this study is to investigate the potential crosstalk between Usag-1 and Runx2 to determine whether they act in an antagonistic or synergic manner during tooth formation, developmental arrest, and supernumerary tooth formation using Usag-1+/− and Runx2+/− mice. Furthermore, we examine the contribution of dental epithelial Sox2-positive cells to supernumerary maxillary incisor formation and lingual bud formation in these mouse models.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

All procedures were approved by the animal care committee at Kyoto University, Japan.
Mouse strains

Usag-1 null mice (a supernumerary teeth mouse model) [20] and Runx2 null mice (a tooth agenesis model) [39] with a C57Bl6/J background were used in this study. Double Usag-1-/- and Runx2-/- knockout mice were generated by crossing two independent mouse null lines with the control wild-type mice. Timed-pregnant embryos, in which day 0 (E0) was considered to start at midnight on the day prior to the detection of a vaginal plug, were used for the experiments. Their mice were sacrificed with CO2 gas.

Analysis of tooth phenotypes

At various timepoints from embryonic day 15 (E15) to postnatal day 0 (P0), the heads of pups of each genotype were fixed in 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin. Serial sections (7 μm) of the pups’ heads were obtained. The sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin, and the morphology of the maxillary and mandibular incisors and molars was documented.

Immunohistochemistry

The E12-E15 mouse heads were also subjected to standard immunohistochemical examinations. The tissues were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, and serially sectioned (7 μm). The sections were incubated with primary rabbit monoclonal antibodies against Sox2 (Ab92494) at a 1:100 dilution (Abcam, Japan) followed by secondary goat and mouse anti-rabbit antibodies (Nichirei Bioscience, Japan). The sections were then counterstained with hematoxylin and dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol and xylene. Finally, coverslips were applied, and the sections were viewed under a microscope.

Results

Abrogation of Usag-1 expression rescues hypoplastic and poorly differentiated molar and incisor phenotypes in Runx2-/- mice

Runx2 null mice die shortly after birth due to the absence of bone formation [39, 40]. To test the hypothesis that abrogating Usag-1 expression has the potential to rescue arrested molar and incisor tooth formation in Runx2-/- mice, we performed a series of histological investigations of Usag-1+/+/Runx2+/+, Usag-1+/+/Runx2-/-, Usag-1-/-/Runx2+/+, and Usag-1-/-/Runx2-/- mice at E15 and P0. At E15, both the maxillary and mandibular molar tooth germs were at the bud stage of development in the Usag-1+/+/Runx2-/- mice (Fig 1B). Interestingly, molar development progressed to the late cap stage (Fig 1D) or the cap stage in 50% of the examined Usag-1-/-/Runx2-/- pups (Table 1). On the other hand, markedly arrested molar development was seen in the Usag-1+/+/Runx2-/- mice, even at P0. In fact, their molars started to regress, and the tooth organ disappeared (Fig 1F). At P0, 25% of the Usag-1+/+/Runx2-/- mice presented with molars that were at an appropriate stage of development and exhibited a normal morphology (Fig 1H, Table 1), and molar development progressed to the bell stage in 75% of the Usag-1+/+/Runx2-/- mice (Table 1). Histological analyses of the maxillary and mandibular incisor tooth primordia obtained from the Usag-1+/+/Runx2-/- mice at E15 and P0 demonstrated a rescued phenotype (Figs 2D and 2H, 3D, 4D and 4H and 5D), while the incisor tooth primordia from the Usag-1+/+/Runx2-/- mice displayed less severe developmental delays than the molars of the same mice (Figs 2B and 2F, 3B, 4B and 4F and 5B). The rescue of the molar phenotype was much more complete than the rescue of the incisor phenotype, although molar rescue and incisor rescue occurred at similar frequencies (Table 1). These results demonstrate that abrogating Usag-1 expression partially rescued the hypoplastic and poorly differentiated molar and incisor phenotypes of Runx2-/- mice.
Abrogation of Runx2 expression prevents supernumerary maxillary incisor formation in Usag-1 null mice

Next, we investigated whether the abrogation of Runx2 expression would inhibit supernumerary maxillary rudimentary incisor formation in Usag-1 null mice. We previously demonstrated that supernumerary maxillary incisors formed as a result of the successive development of the rudimentary incisor primordia [9]. At E15, the region from which the maxillary rudimentary incisors arose was identified at the labial border of the epithelial invagination in all of the mutant mice, except the Usag-1+/-/Runx2-/- mice (Fig 3A–3D) (as described by [9, 41]). The frequency of rudimentary incisors was similar in all strains except Usag-1+/-/Runx2-/- at E15 (Table 1). The rudimentary tooth primordia of the Usag-1+/-/Runx2-/- mice regressed, and their size decreased from E15 to P0; however, these effects did not exhibit complete penetrance (Fig 2E–2H). The incidence of inhibited supernumerary formation was 75% (Table 1). The mandibular incisors of the Usag-1+/-/Runx2-/- mice exhibited a similar phenotype (Figs 4 and 5 and Table 1). This means that abrogating Runx2 expression partially inhibits supernumerary maxillary incisor formation in Usag-1 null mice.
Abrogation of Usag-1 inhibits maxillary molar and incisor lingual bud formation in Runx2⁻/⁻ mice

At E15, the maxillary molar regions of the Usag-1⁺/⁺/Runx2⁺/⁺ mice contained a lingual bud (Fig 1B); i.e., an extra budding of the invaginating epithelium on the palatal side (lingual) of the normal bud. No lingual buds were seen in the Usag-1⁺/⁺/Runx2⁻/⁻ or Usag-1⁻/⁻/Runx2⁺/⁺ mice at E15 (Fig 1A and 1C). However, no lingual buds formed in 33% of the Usag-1⁻/⁻/Runx2⁻/⁻ mice (Fig 1D, Table 1). Lingual buds were also observed in the maxillary incisor tooth germs of the Usag-1⁺/⁺/Runx2⁻/⁻ mice at E15 (Fig 3B). In the Usag-1⁻/⁻/Runx2⁻/⁻ mice, maxillary incisal lingual bud formation occurred at a frequency of 50% (Table 1). These results demonstrated that abrogating Usag-1 expression partially inhibited the lingual bud formation seen in the maxillary molars and incisors of Runx2⁻/⁻ mice.

Odontogenic epithelial Sox2-positive cells contribute to lingual bud formation but not rudimental incisor development

The maxillary incisors displayed hypoplastic phenotypic changes; i.e., supernumerary tooth formation and lingual bud formation, in Usag-1⁻ and Runx2⁻ deficient mice, respectively. Therefore, in order to investigate the contributions of odontogenic and dental epithelial Sox2-positive cells to these phenotypic changes, we performed immunohistochemical examinations to localize SOX2 in the maxillary incisors of Usag-1⁺/⁺/Runx2⁺/⁺, Usag-1⁺/⁺/Runx2⁻/⁻, Usag-1⁻/⁻/Runx2⁺/⁺, Usag-1⁻/⁻/Runx2⁻/⁻ and Usag-1⁻/⁻/Runx2⁻/⁻ mice from E12 through E15 (Fig 6). At E12, faint Sox2 staining was seen within the oral epithelium in every genotype. By E13, Sox2 was localized in the lingual regions of the epithelial thickening and the dental lamina of the maxillary incisors in the Usag-1⁺/⁺/Runx2⁻/⁻, Usag-1⁻/⁻/Runx2⁻/⁻, and Usag-1⁻/⁻/Runx2⁻/⁻ mice (Fig 6B). Importantly, the Usag-1⁻⁻/Runx2⁺/⁺ mice showed Sox2 distribution throughout the entire dental lamina of the incisors (Fig 6F). Over the next two days of development (E14 and E15), Sox2 staining was limited to the lingual side of the incisors in all genotypes (Fig 6D–6F). Interestingly, in the Usag-1⁻⁻/Runx2⁻/⁻ mice large numbers of Sox2-positive cells were detected in the lingual bud (Fig 6N). Furthermore, Sox2-positive cells were detected in the lingual bud of
At E15, the incisors of the *Usag-1*<sup>+</sup>/Runx2<sup>−/−</sup> mice were at the cap stage whereas those of the other transgenic mouse lines were at the late cap stage. The arrowhead indicates a rudimentary tooth germ in the maxillary incisor of a *Usag-1*<sup>−/−</sup>/Runx2<sup>+/+</sup> mouse (C). At P0, the incisors of the *Usag-1*<sup>+</sup>/Runx2<sup>−/−</sup> mice (F) were in a state of developmental arrest compared with those of the normal wild-type mice (E). The arrow indicates a supernumerary tooth (G) in a *Usag-1*<sup>−/−</sup>/Runx2<sup>−/−</sup> mouse.

Fig 3. Histological analysis (H&E staining) of sagittal sections of maxillary incisors at E15 (A-D). The arrows indicate rudimentary tooth germs in the maxillary incisors of *Usag-1*<sup>−/−</sup>/Runx2<sup>+/+</sup> (A), *Usag-1*<sup>−/−</sup>/Runx2<sup>−/−</sup> (C), and *Usag-1*<sup>−/−</sup>/Runx2<sup>−/−</sup> (D) mice. The arrowhead indicates a lingual bud that formed in the incisor of a *Usag-1*<sup>−/−</sup>/Runx2<sup>−/−</sup> mouse (B).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161067.g003
the maxillary molars (Fig 7B). Sox2 was never detected in the rudimentary incisor region at any stage in any genotype (Fig 6A-6P). These findings suggest that dental epithelial Sox2-positive cells contribute to lingual bud formation, but not to the subsequent development of rudimentary incisors.

Discussion

In this study, we observed three interesting phenomena in double knockout Usag-1-/-/Runx2-/- mice: 1) the prevalence of supernumerary teeth was lower in these mice than in Usag-1-/- mice; 2) tooth development was more advanced in these mice than in Runx2-/- mice; and 3) the frequency of maxillary molar lingual buds was lower in these mice than in Runx2-/- mice (Table 1). These findings suggest that Usag-1 and Runx2 function in an antagonistic manner. Previously, we reported that the inhibition of apoptosis leads to the development of the rudimentary maxillary incisors in Usag-1 null mice [9]. Furthermore, we suggested that BMP and

**Figure 4.** Histological analysis (H&E staining) of frontal sections of mandibular incisors from E15 (A-D) and P0 (E-H) mice. At E15, the incisors of the Usag-1+/+ Runx2-/- mice were at the cap stage, whereas those of the other transgenic mouse lines were at the late cap stage. At P0, the incisor morphology of the Usag-1+/+ Runx2-/- mice (F) was markedly different from that of the normal wild-type mice (E). The arrow indicates a supernumerary tooth (G) in a Usag-1-/- mouse.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161067.g004
Wnt signaling work cooperatively to inhibit apoptosis in the odontogenic mesenchymal cells of rudimentary incisors. This indicates that USAG-1 regulates local BMP activity via its functions as a BMP antagonist [19, 42] and a modulator of Wnt signaling [9], resulting in the induction

Fig 5. Histological analysis (H&E staining) of sagittal sections of mandibular incisors at E15 (A-D). The arrows indicate rudimentary tooth germs in the mandibular incisors of Usag-1+/+ /Runx2+/+(A), Usag-1+/+ /Runx2+/-(C), and Usag-1-/- /Runx2-/- (D) mice.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161067.g005

Fig 6. SOX2 immunostaining in sagittal maxillary incisor sections from E12 (A-D), E13 (E-H), E14 (I-L), and E15 (M-P) embryos. At E13, strong SOX2 staining was seen in the lingual region of the epithelial dental lamina in all mice (E, G & H) except for the Usag-1+/+ /Runx2+/- mice, in which SOX2 was found throughout the dental lamina (F). At E15, strong SOX2 staining was seen in the additional lingual bud in the Usag-1+/+ /Runx2+/+ mice (N).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161067.g006
of apoptosis and destruction of the rudimentary incisors [14, 15, 43]. In this study, the prevalence of supernumerary teeth was lower in the double knockout Usag-1-/-/Runx2-/- mice than in the Usag-1 null mice (Table 1). These results further suggest that RUNX2 contributes to the progression of rudimentary incisor development via crosstalk between local BMP and Wnt signaling.

Several mechanisms have been proposed to account for supernumerary tooth formation. The most interesting finding of this investigation is that the maxillary incisor lingual bud was almost completely filled with dental epithelial Sox2-positive cells in the Usag-1+/+/Runx2-/- mice (Fig 6N), whereas no odontogenic epithelial Sox2-positive cells contributed to supernumerary tooth formation in the rudimentary maxillary incisors of the Usag-1-/-/Runx2+/+ mice (Fig 6O). Previous studies have suggested that the lingual bud formation seen in Runx2-/- mice might be representative of initial supernumerary tooth formation, based on the presence of numerous supernumerary teeth in patients with CCD. Furthermore, in the Runx2+/+ mice, deletion of Usag-1 inhibited lingual bud formation during maxillary molar and incisor development. On the contrary, the abrogation of Runx2 expression prevented supernumerary tooth formation from the rudimentary maxillary incisors in the USAG-1-deficient mice. From an evo-devo viewpoint, the rudimentary maxillary incisors are considered to be a deciduous counterpart of the permanent incisors and are the first generation of teeth [41]. On the other hand, the permanent incisors are the second generation of teeth. Lingual buds from the permanent incisors are considered to be the third generation of teeth because replacement teeth form on the lingual side of the dental arch [36]. This suggests that the mechanism responsible for supernumerary tooth formation differs between Usag-1- and Runx2-deficient mice. RUNX2 is strongly associated with odontogenic epithelial Sox2-positive cells while USAG-1 is not. It was reported that RUNX2 indirectly contributes to lingual bud formation in odontogenic epithelial cells due to its localization in dental mesenchymal cells at the bell stage of tooth development. RUNX2 is an important transcription factor that regulates incisor development at the late bell stage [27, 30, 44, 45]. Wang et al. (2005) concluded that SHH contributes to successional tooth formation by stimulating dental epithelium budding and cell proliferation and that RUNX2 inhibits SHH expression [29]. However, there is no evidence to support the direct induction of lingual budding by SHH. Furthermore, the localization of RUNX2 in dental mesenchymal cells was examined using in situ hybridization [27, 45]. Due to technical limitations regarding the sensitivity of this technique, it is unclear whether RUNX2 is expressed in odontogenic
epithelial cells at the bell stage of tooth development. Runx genes have been reported to be involved in the maintenance of epithelial stem cells in mouse incisors [46]. It has clearly been shown that the three Runx2 isoforms have different functions and temporospatial expression patterns during osteogenesis [33]. In order to confirm the expression patterns of Runx2 in odontogenic epithelial cells, we investigated the expression of the three Runx2 isoforms in mHAT9d cells [47], which are derived from the dental epithelial tissue of the mouse incisor apical bud, using the semi-quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction. We have shown that the three Runx2 isoforms, types I, II, and III, all are expressed, as are Runx1 and Runx3 [Fig. S1]. As for odontogenesis, it has been suggested that type II and III Runx2 have different functions from type I in this process [33]. Furthermore, during amelogenesis, which involves the differentiation of the inner enamel epithelial cells to enamel-producing ameloblasts, the various isoforms of Runx2 were expressed at different developmental stages of ameloblast cytodifferentiation [32, 44]. Only type I Runx2 was expressed by secretory ameloblasts, suggesting that type II or III might directly regulate the differentiation and/or proliferation of odontogenic epithelial stem cells. Based on our findings, we propose a genetic pathway that might contribute to odontogenic epithelial Sox2-positive cell formation. Since Runx2 is a downstream target gene of BMP signaling [44], it might prevent the differentiation or proliferation of odontogenic epithelial Sox2-positive cells directly or indirectly. Therefore, we hypothesized that Runx2 expression is induced by BMP signaling independently of Usag-1 [Fig 8].

![Figure 8](https://example.com/figure8.png)

**Fig 8.** Schematic model of the odontogenic epithelial Sox2-positive cells-associated signaling pathways involved in lingual bud formation in maxillary incisors. Runx2 prevents the differentiation and/or proliferation of odontogenic epithelial Sox2-positive cells. Runx2 is induced by BMP signaling independently of USAG-1, which also inhibits the formation of odontogenic epithelial Sox2-positive cells by acting as a BMP/Wnt antagonist. The orange spheres represent odontogenic epithelial Sox2-positive cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161067.g008
Runx2−/− mice exhibit stunted tooth formation. Recently, a patient with a unique Arg131Cys missense RUNX2 mutation was shown to have a novel dental phenotype; i.e., no supernumerary teeth but one congenitally missing tooth [48]. In this study, we demonstrated that the deletion of Usag-1 rescued the hypoplastic and poorly differentiated molar and incisor phenotypes seen in Runx2−/− mice. The rescue of tooth formation in genetically defined mouse models clearly demonstrates the feasibility of inducing de novo tooth formation via the in situ repression of a single targeted gene. Our investigations and related studies clearly validate the hypothesis that the de novo repression of target genes, such as Usag-1, could be used to stimulate arrested tooth germs in order to induce new tooth formation in mammals. Molecular targeted therapy is a type of treatment in which drugs or other substances are used to specifically attack certain cell types by interfering with critical target molecules. Indeed, in animal models of ectodysplasin A (EDA) deficiency, which is associated with the human disorder hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia (HED) (which involves hypodontia), the administration of a soluble EDA receptor agonist has been shown to correct many phenotypic abnormalities, including abnormalities of the dentition in mice (primary) and dogs (secondary or permanent) [49–52]. In fact, lifelong phenotypic correction was achieved after a rather short course of treatment [49, 52]. Molecular targeted therapy could be used to generate teeth in patients with congenital tooth agenesis by stimulating arrested tooth germs.

Conclusion

We suggest that RUNX2 and USAG-1 function in an antagonistic manner during tooth formation. The lingual bud was filled with odontogenic epithelial Sox2-positive cells in Usag-1+/+ Runx2−/− mice, whereas almost no odontogenic epithelial Sox2-positive cells contributed to supernumerary tooth formation in the rudimentary maxillary incisors of Usag-1+/+ Runx2+/+ mice. Our findings indicate that RUNX2 directly or indirectly prevents the differentiation or proliferation of odontogenic epithelial Sox2-positive cells. We hypothesize that RUNX2 inhibits the BMP and/or Wnt signaling pathways regulated by USAG-1, whereas Runx2 expression is induced by BMP signaling independently of USAG-1.
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