

# On the singularities of varieties admitting an endomorphism

Amaël Broustet

**Acknowledgments** *This conference paper is about a joint work with Andreas H\"oring published in [BH14].*

## 1 Introduction

We will consider normal projective varieties over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

During the last thirty years, there has been a lot of interest in the study of varieties admitting an endomorphism :

**1.1 Definition.** *An endomorphism is a finite surjective morphism  $f: X \rightarrow X$  of degree  $\deg(f) > 1$ .*

The existence of an endomorphism on a variety  $X$  impose strong conditions on the global structure of  $X$ . For instance, the variety  $X$  cannot be of general type. Under the additional condition that the endomorphism  $f$  is polarized (see definition 3.2), then the Kodaira dimension of  $X$  is at most 0. For an overview of the classification of varieties admitting an endomorphism, see [FN08].

The following problem concerning the endomorphisms of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  comes from complex dynamics. It has originally been studied by Fornæss and Sibony who solved the case  $n = 2$  in [FS94].

**1.2 Definition.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be an endomorphism. A subset  $Z$  is said totally invariant if*

$$f^{-1}(Z) = Z$$

**1.3 Conjecture.** *Let  $f: \mathbf{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$  an endomorphism and  $S = f^{-1}(S)$  a totally invariant irreducible hypersurface.*

*Then  $S$  is linear.*

The case of smooth hypersurfaces was solved by Cerveau and Lins Neto in [CLN00]. More generally, Paranjape and Srinivas [PS89] for the quadrics and Beauville [Bea01] for higher degree hypersurfaces proved the following theorem.

**1.4 Theorem.** *A smooth complex projective hypersurface  $S$  of dimension  $\dim(S) \geq 2$  and degree  $d \geq 2$  admits no endomorphism.*

**1.5 Corollary.** *Let  $f: \mathbf{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$  an endomorphism and  $S = f^{-1}(S)$  a totally invariant smooth hypersurface.*

*Then  $S$  is linear.*

Thus the conjecture 1.3 is equivalent to the smoothness of hypersurfaces totally invariant by an endomorphism  $f: \mathbf{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$ .

A natural question is to ask if the existence of an endomorphism on a variety  $X$  impose conditions on the singularities of  $X$ . We cannot expect  $X$  to be smooth, see for instance [BdFF12, sections 6.2, 6.3]. However in the article just cited, Boucksom, de Fernex and Favre have shown that in the case of isolated singularities, the singularities of  $X$  are controlled in term of the singularities appearing in the minimal model program.

## 2 Singularities of pairs

We recall briefly the usual terminology for the singularities of pairs. We refer to [KM98] for more details.

Let  $\Delta = \sum_i d_i \Delta_i$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Weil divisor on  $X$  with  $d_i \leq 1$  for all  $i$ .

We say that the pair  $(X, \Delta)$  is lc (resp. klt) if

- $K_X + \Delta$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier and
- for every proper birational morphism  $\mu: X' \rightarrow X$  from a normal variety  $X'$  we can write

$$K_{X'} + \mu_*^{-1}(\Delta) = \mu^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum_j a(E_j, X, \Delta) E_j,$$

where the divisor  $E_j$  are  $\mu$ -exceptional and  $a(E_j, X, \Delta) \geq -1$  (resp.  $a(E_j, X, \Delta) > -1$ ) for all  $j$ .

The numbers  $a(E_j, X, \Delta)$  are called the discrepancies of the divisors  $E_j$ .

For a pair  $(X, \Delta)$ , the non-lc locus  $\text{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$  is the smallest closed set  $W \subset X$  such that  $(X \setminus W, \Delta|_{X \setminus W})$  is lc.

If  $(X, \Delta)$  is lc, we say that a subvariety  $Z \subset X$  is an lc centre if there exists a proper birational morphism  $\mu: X' \rightarrow X$  and a  $\mu$ -exceptional divisor  $E$  such that  $E \rightarrow Z$  and  $a(E, X, \Delta) = -1$ .

### 3 Singularities of varieties admitting an endomorphism

Unfortunately, for non-isolated singularities the direct generalization of the results of [BdFF12] is not true.

**3.1 Example.** Let  $Y$  be a variety being not log-canonical.

Let  $h: \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  the endomorphism defined by  $f(x_0 : x_1) = (x_0^2 : x_1^2)$ .

Let  $X = \mathbf{P}^1 \times Y$  and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  defined by  $f(x, y) = (h(x), y)$ .

Then  $X$  is not log-canonical and  $f$  is an endomorphism of  $X$ .

To avoid this ‘product’ case, we can require the endomorphism to be polarized.

**3.2 Definition.** *An endomorphism  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is said polarized,*

*if there exists an ample divisor  $A$  and an integer  $n$  such that*

$$f^*A \sim nA.$$

We thus obtain the following result on the singularities of varieties admitting a polarized endomorphism.

**3.3 Theorem.** *[[BH14], corollary 1.3] Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety such that  $K_X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, and let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be a polarised endomorphism.*

*Then  $X$  has at most log-canonical singularities. Moreover  $X$  is klt near the ramification divisor  $R$ .*

If the endomorphism is not polarized, we cannot avoid having non-log-canonical singularities, but the locus of this singularities is totally invariant and satisfy a condition on the degree of the restriction of the endomorphism to it.

**3.4 Theorem.** *[[BH14], theorem 1.2] Let  $X$  be a normal variety such that  $K_X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, and let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be an endomorphism.*

*Let  $Z$  be an irreducible component of the non-lc locus  $\text{Nlc}(X)$ . Then (up to replacing  $f$  by some iterate)  $Z$  is totally invariant. In this case  $Z$  is not contained in the ramification divisor  $R$ , and the induced endomorphism  $f|_Z: Z \rightarrow Z$  satisfies*

$$\deg(f|_Z) = \deg(f).$$

### 4 Lifting endomorphisms on a resolution

It is convenient to be able to lift the endomorphism on a resolution of the singularities of  $X$ . Although not always possible in general, in the étale in codimension 1 case we can lift the endomorphism to a special partial resolution : the log-canonical modification.

**4.1 Definition.** Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a log-pair such that  $\Delta \geq 0$ . A log-canonical model over the pair  $(X, \Delta)$  is a proper birational morphism

$$\mu: Y \rightarrow X$$

such that if we set

$$\Delta_Y := \mu_*^{-1}(\Delta) + E_\mu^{lc},$$

where  $E_\mu^{lc}$  is the sum of all the  $\mu$ -exceptional prime divisors taken with coefficient one, the pair  $(Y, \Delta_Y)$  is log-canonical and  $K_Y + \Delta_Y$  is  $\mu$ -ample.

The existence of the log-canonical models is a consequence of the full minimal model program, including abundance conjecture. In the log- $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein case, the existence of log-canonical models has been proved by Odaka and Xu in [OX12].

#### 4.2 Theorem.

- If there exists a log-canonical model over a pair  $(X, \Delta)$ , it is unique up to isomorphism.
- Suppose now that  $\Delta \geq 0$  and  $K_X + \Delta$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Then there exists a log-canonical model over  $(X, \Delta)$ . Moreover the  $\mu$ -exceptional locus has pure codimension one. If we write

$$K_Y + \Delta_Y = \mu^*(K_X + \Delta) + \Delta_Y^{>1},$$

then  $\Delta_Y^{>1}$  is anti-effective and  $\text{supp } \Delta_Y^{>1} = \text{Exc}(\mu)$ .

So we have the following lemma which is the main tool in the étale in codimension 1 case.

**4.3 Lemma.** [[BH14], lemma 2.11] Let  $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  be a finite morphism. Let  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  be reduced effective Weil divisors on  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  such that  $\Delta_1 = \text{supp } f^* \Delta_2$  and we have

$$K_{X_1} + \Delta_1 = f^*(K_{X_2} + \Delta_2).$$

Suppose that there exists a log-canonical model  $\mu_2: (Y_2, \Delta_{Y,2}) \rightarrow (X_2, \Delta_2)$  over the pair  $(X_2, \Delta_2)$ .

Then there exists a log-canonical model  $\mu_1: (Y_1, \Delta_{Y,1}) \rightarrow (X_1, \Delta_1)$  over the pair  $(X_1, \Delta_1)$ , moreover  $f$  lifts to a finite morphism  $g: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$  such that

$$K_{Y_1} + \Delta_{Y,1} = g^*(K_{Y_2} + \Delta_{Y,2})$$

and  $\mu_2 \circ g = f \circ \mu_1$ .

## 5 Proof of the main results

We only sketch the proof and refer to [BH14] for the full details.

**Invariance.** The following lemma shows the crucial invariance of the non-log-canonical locus.

**5.1 Lemma.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be an endomorphism. Assume that  $K_X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier.*

*Let  $Z \subset X$  be an irreducible component of  $\text{Nlc}(X, \Delta)$ .*

*Then (up to replacing  $f$  by some power) we have*

$$f^{-1}(Z) = Z.$$

**The ramified case.** The following result shows that we can reduce the situation to the non-ramified case.

**5.2 Proposition.** *[[BH14], proposition 3.1] Let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be an endomorphism. Denote by  $R$  the ramification divisor. Assume that  $K_X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier.*

*Let  $Z$  be an irreducible component of  $\text{Nlc}(X, 0)$  that is totally invariant.*

*Then  $Z \not\subset R$ .*

**The étale case.** We now consider an irreducible component  $Z$  of  $\text{Nlc}(X, 0)$ .

Assume that :

- $Z$  is totally invariant (up to replacing  $f$  by an iterate).
- The ramification divisor  $R$  is null, so that  $K_X = f^*K_X$  (using the previous proposition and working locally around  $Z$ ).

Taking a log-canonical model  $\mu: (Y, \Delta_Y) \rightarrow (X, 0)$ , we can lift  $f: X \rightarrow X$  to an endomorphism  $g: Y \rightarrow Y$ .

We have

$$K_Y + \Delta_Y = g^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y)$$

Letting

$$K_Y + \Delta_Y = \mu^*K_X + \Delta_Y^{>1},$$

We have

$$\mu^*K_X + \Delta_Y^{>1} = g^*(\mu^*K_X + \Delta_Y^{>1})$$

That is

$$\Delta_Y^{>1} = g^*\Delta_Y^{>1}$$

Thus

$$g^*E_i = E_i$$

for every exceptional divisor.

$g^{-1}$  acts by permutation on the  $\mu$ -exceptional divisors  $E_i$  dominating  $Z$ . Up to taking an iterate we can assume that  $g^{-1}(E_1) = E_1$ .

We have

$$\deg(g|_{E_1}) = \deg(f|_Z).$$

Arguing by contradiction, we assume that  $\deg(f|_Z) < \deg(f)$ .

This means that

$$\deg(g|_{E_1}) < \deg(g).$$

Thus  $g$  ramify over  $E_1$  :

$$g^* E_1 = r E_1$$

with  $r > 1$ . This is a contradiction.

**The case of a polarized endomorphism.** If  $Z \subset X$  is a totally invariant subvariety, the endomorphism  $f|_Z: Z \rightarrow Z$  is polarised by  $H|_Z$  (for  $H$  such that  $f^*H \sim mH$ ).

We have

$$\deg(f|_Z) = m^{\dim Z} < m^{\dim X} = \deg(f).$$

Thus  $Z$  is not a component of  $Nlc(X, 0)$ .

## References

- [BdFF12] Sebastien Boucksom, Tommaso de Fernex, and Charles Favre. The volume of an isolated singularity. *Duke Math. J.*, 161(8):1455–1520, 2012.
- [Bea01] Arnaud Beauville. Endomorphisms of hypersurfaces and other manifolds. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (1):53–58, 2001.
- [BH14] Amaël Broustet and Andreas Höring. Singularities of varieties admitting an endomorphism. *Mathematische Annalen*, 360(1-2):439–456, apr 2014.
- [CLN00] D. Cerveau and A. Lins Neto. Hypersurfaces exceptionnelles des endomorphismes de  $\mathbf{CP}(n)$ . *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.)*, 31(2):155–161, 2000.
- [FN08] Yoshio Fujimoto and Noboru Nakayama. Complex projective manifolds which admit non-isomorphic surjective endomorphisms. In *Higher dimensional algebraic varieties and vector bundles*, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, B9, pages 51–79. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2008.
- [FS94] John Erik Fornæss and Nessim Sibony. Complex dynamics in higher dimension. I. *Astérisque*, (222):5, 201–231, 1994. Complex analytic methods in dynamical systems (Rio de Janeiro, 1992).

- [KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.
- [OX12] Yuji Odaka and Chenyang Xu. Log-canonical models of singular pairs and its applications. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 19(2):325–334, 2012.
- [PS89] K. H. Paranjape and V. Srinivas. Self-maps of homogeneous spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 98(2):425–444, 1989.