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Origins of multisynaptic projections from the basal ganglia to the forelimb region of the ventral premotor cortex in macaque monkeys
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Abstract

The ventral premotor cortex (PMv), occupying the ventral aspect of area 6 in the frontal lobe, has been implicated in action planning and execution based on visual signals. Although the PMv has been characterized by cortico-cortical connections with specific subregions of the parietal and prefrontal cortical areas, a topographical input/output organization between the PMv and the basal ganglia (BG) still remains elusive. In the present study, we employed retrograde transneuronal labeling with rabies virus to identify the origins of multisynaptic projections from the BG to the PMv. We injected the virus into the forelimb region of the PMv, identified in the ventral aspect of the genu of the arcuate sulcus, in macaque monkeys. The survival time after the virus injection was set to allow either the second- or third-order neuron labeling across two or three synapses. The second-order neurons were observed in the ventral portion (primary motor territory) and the caudodorsal portion (higher-order motor territory) of the internal segment of the globus pallidus. Subsequently, the third-order neurons were distributed in the putamen caudal to the anterior commissure, including both the primary and the higher-order motor territories, and in the ventral striatum (limbic territory). In addition, they were found in the dorsolateral portion (motor territory) and
ventromedial portion (limbic territory) of the subthalamic nucleus and in the external
segment of the globus pallidus including both the limbic and motor territories. These
findings indicate that the PMv receives diverse signals from the primary motor,
higher-order motor, and limbic territories of the BG.
Introduction

The premotor (PM) cortex in primates, the rostral part of the frontal motor cortex corresponding toBrodmann area 6, plays a central role in the visual guidance of motor behavior (Halsband & Passingham, 1985; Wise, 1985; García-Cabezas & Barbas, 2014; Yamawaki et al., 2014; Barbas & García-Cabezas, 2015). Seminal anatomical works of the monkey brain have revealed that the PM is subdivided into two large sectors based on the cytoarchitecture (Matelli et al., 1985, 1986; Barbas & Pandya, 1987): one is a dorsal region (PMd), lying dorsal to the spur of the arcuate sulcus, and another is a ventral region (PMv), lying ventral to the spur. Electrical stimulation and mapping studies showed that there is a forelimb region in each of the PMd and PMv (Gentilucci et al., 1988; Godschalk et al., 1995; Raos et al., 2003; Aflalo and Graziano, 1996; Maranesi et al., 2012). Single cell recording studies have revealed that the PMd and PMv are involved in reaching and grasping movements in an area-specific manner (Kurata & Wise, 1988; Caminiti et al., 1991; Boussaoud & Wise, 1993a, b; Kurata, 1993; Scott et al., 1997; Kurata & Hoshi, 2002; Raos et al., 2004, 2006; Umiltà et al., 2007; Yamagata et al., 2009). By summarizing a large body of evidence, Hoshi and Tanji (2007) have
proposed that the PMd plays a major role in action planning based on sensory-motor associations (indirect [conceptual] specification of action), whereas the PMv plays a major role in reaching and grasping a target object (direct guidance of limb movements).

Actually, the specific functional deficits that result from PMd/PMv lesions endorse these notions (Rizzolatti et al., 1983; Kurata & Hoffman, 1994; Kurata & Hoshi, 1999; Schieber, 2000; Fogassi et al., 2001).

The functional specializations between the PMd and the PMv may originate from distinct anatomical connectivity. Actually, these areas are interconnected with distinct subregions of the parietal and prefrontal cortical areas (Wise et al., 1997; Matelli et al., 1998; Rizzolatti et al., 1998; Luppino et al., 1999; Petrides & Pandya, 1999). In addition to the connectivity within the cerebral cortex, it is most likely that neural networks linking these forelimb regions with the basal ganglia (BG) play functionally crucial roles, given that neurons in the BG code a variety of signals for planning and execution of reaching movement (Iansek & Porter, 1980; DeLong et al., 1985) and their dysfunctions cause severe deficits in movement (Alexander & Crutcher, 1990; Parent & Hazrati, 1995a, b).
In the present study we made an attempt to investigate the distributions of BG neurons giving rise to output projections to the forelimb region of the PMv, as an extension of our previous anatomical study that has revealed the origins of multisynaptic projections from the BG to the corresponding region of the PMd (Saga et al., 2011). We employed retrograde transneuronal labeling with rabies virus (CVS-11) to identify the cellular origins of multisynaptic projections from the BG structures to the forelimb region of the PMv in macaque monkeys. We here show that the forelimb region of the PMv receives multisynaptic inputs from the primary motor territory, higher-order motor territory, and limbic territory of the BG.

**Materials and methods**

We used four male macaque monkeys (*Macaca fuscata*, weighing 5.4-6.9 kg; provided by the Primate Research Institute, Kyoto University, Table 1). The experimental protocol was approved by the Animal Welfare and Animal Care Committee of the Primate Research Institute, Kyoto University, and all experiments were conducted in accordance with the Guideline for the Care and Use of Animals of
the Primate Research Institute, Kyoto University.

**Surgical procedures**

Monkeys were subjected to general anesthesia induced with ketamine hydrochloride (10 mg/kg, i.m.) and maintained with sodium pentobarbital (20 mg/kg, i.v.). During the surgical operation, monkeys were kept hydrated with lactated Ringer’s solution (i.v.). An antibiotic (Rocephin; 75 mg/kg, i.m.) and an analgesic (Buprenex; 0.01 mg/kg, i.m.) were administered at the time of initial anesthesia. Each monkey’s head was secured in a stereotaxic frame, and the skin and muscle were retracted to expose the skull over the right hemisphere. A craniotomy was made over the right frontal cortex, and the dura mater was cut to expose the superior and inferior limbs and the genu of the arcuate sulcus, which allowed us to visually inspect the tracer injection sites at the cortical surface. After confirming this, we proceeded with tracer injections.

**Viral injections**

The rabies virus (CVS-11 strain; $1.0 \times 10^8$ focus-forming units/ml) was derived from
the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Atlanta, GA, USA) and donated by Dr. S. Inoue (The National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, Japan). For viral injections, we used the same method as described in our previous reports (Hashimoto et al., 2010; Saga et al., 2011; Takahara et al., 2012). Two tracks of injections of rabies virus were made into the PMv of each of the four monkeys (Fig. 1, Table 1). The injection sites were determined based on the results of our prior studies showing that the subdivision of the PMv located just ventral to the genu of arcuate sulcus plays a crucial role in reaching movement (Hoshi & Tanji, 2002, 2006, 2007; Yamagata et al., 2009, Fig.1B, C). A viral suspension was slowly injected through a 10-µl Hamilton microsyringe. Along each injection track, viral deposits were placed at two different depths: 3 and 2 mm below the cortical surface. At each depth, 0.5 µl of the viral suspension was deposited. When injections were complete, the dura mater and bone flap were repositioned, and the scalp incision was closed.

**Histology**

After survival periods of 3-4 days after viral injection, monkeys were deeply
anesthetized with an overdose of sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg, i.v.) and
transcardially perfused with 10% formalin in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The
fixed brains were removed from the skull, postfixed in the same fresh fixative overnight
at 4°C, and placed into 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 30% sucrose.
Coronal sections were then cut serially at 50 µm thickness on a freezing microtome.
Every sixth section was processed for immunohistochemical staining for rabies virus by
means of the standard avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex method. Following immersion
in 1% skimmed milk, the sections were incubated overnight with rabbit anti-rabies virus
antibody (donated by Dr. S. Inoue) in 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4)
containing 0.1% Triton X-100 and 1% normal goat serum. The sections were then
placed in the same fresh incubation medium containing biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG
antibody (diluted at 1:200; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA), followed by
the avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex kit (ABC Elite; Vector Laboratories). To visualize
the antigen, the sections were reacted in 0.05 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.6) containing
0.04% diaminobenzidine, 0.04% nickel chloride, and 0.002% hydrogen peroxide. The
sections were mounted onto gelatin-coated glass slides and then examined under the
light microscope (Nikon Eclipse 80i, Tokyo, Japan).

**Analytical procedures**

We digitized the outline of the nuclei of the BG and the location of labeled neurons with the MD-Plot 5 system (Accustage, Shoreview, MN, USA) attached to the microscope system. Neuronal labeling was plotted on tracings of equidistant coronal sections (separated by 300-µm) throughout the BG. To examine the distribution and density of labeled neurons in the internal and external segments of the globus pallidus (GPi and GPe), we created two-dimensional density maps with a custom-made program that operates on Matlab (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA). To create the density maps of the GPi, we first drew a curved line on each coronal section along the border between the inner and the outer portion of the GPi (Fig. 5A). Subsequently, labeled GPi neurons were projected onto the line, and both the line and the labeled neurons were unfolded and aligned on the ventral edges of the nucleus (Fig. 5B). Finally, to construct the density maps, we aligned the labeled neurons in each section (with 300-µm intervals) in the rostrocaudal direction and counted the number of the labeled neurons in each of 300
× 300 µm² bins. To create the density maps of the GPe, we drew a curved line on each coronal section midway between the medial and the lateral outline of the GPe (Fig. 8A). The subsequent unfolding procedures (Fig. 8B) were as for the GPi. A color code in each map of the GPi and GPe was assigned to each bin to indicate the number of labeled neurons included (Figs. 5C, D and 8C, D).

Safety issues

Experiments involving the rabies virus were performed in a special primate laboratory (biosafety level 2) designated for in vivo infectious experiments. Throughout the experiments, the monkeys were housed in individual cages that were installed inside a special biosafety cabinet. To avoid accidental infection with the virus, all investigators received immunizations beforehand and wore protective clothing during the experimental sessions. Equipment was disinfected with 80% (v/v) ethanol after each experimental session, and waste was autoclaved prior to disposal.

Results
Rabies injections into the PMv

The injection sites of rabies virus were determined according to the results from our previous electrophysiological studies (see Hoshi & Tanji, 2002, 2006; Yamagata et al., 2009). In these studies, we found that PMv neurons located in the ventral aspect of the genu of the arcuate sulcus preferentially represent the target site, regardless of the right or left arm use, during the preparation of reaching movement. Based on this finding, two injection tracks (approximately 1 mm apart) were targeted at this portion of the PMv; the sites were situated 1–2 mm posterior to the genu of the arcuate sulcus and 1–2 mm lateral to the spur of the arcuate sulcus (Fig. 1B-D). At the 3-day post-injection period, labeled neurons were densely distributed around the injection sites (Fig. 1E, F).

The survival time after the rabies injections was set to allow either the second-order or the third-order neuron labeling across two or three synapses, respectively: (1) the first-order neurons from the PMv were located in the ventral nuclei (e.g., VLo, VApc) and mediodorsal nucleus of the thalamus (Morel et al., 2005); (2) the second-order and third-order neurons from the PMv were located in the BG 3 or 4 days after the rabies injections, respectively. For instance, Figure 2A, B show examples of labeled neurons
observed in the GPi and SNr at the 3-day post-injection period (second-order neurons).

Figure 2C shows examples of labeled neurons observed in the GPe at the 4-day post-injection period (third-order neurons). Summary of the number of labeled neurons for each nucleus is shown in Figure 3.

**Origins of multisynaptic projections to the PMv from the GPi and SNr**

Three days after the rabies injections into the PMv, the second-order neurons were seen in both the GPi and the SNr in two cases (Figs. 4 and 6). The number of labeled neurons in the outer portion of the GPi was 267 on average (257 cells in Case 1 and 277 cells in Case 2), while that in the inner portion of the GPi was 146 on average (111 cells in Case 1 and 181 cells in Case 2, Fig. 3). In order to examine the distribution of the labeled neurons within the GPi, two-dimensional density maps were made (Fig. 5A, B). These maps indicated that the origin of the projection to the PMv consisted of two parts of the GPi; one was the caudodorsal part, and the other was the ventral part (Fig. 5C, D).

Labeled neurons in the SNr were found widely throughout the entire rostrocaudal
extent of the nucleus (Fig. 6). At the rostral level, the labeled neurons were located in
the dorsolateral part (Fig. 6A, B, A’, B’), while in the caudal two-thirds of the SNr, the
labeled neurons were distributed primarily in the central part (Fig. 6C-F, C’-F’). The
number of labeled neuron in the SNr was 203 on average (307 cells in Case 1 and 99
cells in Case 2, Fig. 3).

Origins of multisynaptic projections to the PMv from the GPe, STN, and striatum

By extending the post-injection survival period to 4 days, we detected neuronal
labeling in the GPe, STN, and striatum. In the GPe, labeled neurons were widely
distributed over the nucleus (Fig. 7). In order to examine the distribution of labeled
neurons, two-dimensional density maps of the GPe were made in two cases. In both
cases, the labeled neurons were located not only in the rostroventral portion, but also in
the dorsoventrally middle portion at the caudal level (Fig. 8). The number of labeled
neurons in the GPe was 2,562 on average (2,332 cells in Case 3 and 2,792 cells in Case
4, Fig. 3).

Labeled neurons in the STN were observed extensively throughout the entire
rostrocaudal extent of the nucleus (Fig. 9). The labeled neurons were distributed primarily in the central to dorsolateral portions of the caudal half of the nucleus (Fig. 9C-F, C’-F’). Another focus of the labeled neurons was seen in the ventromedial portion mainly at the rostral level of the nucleus (Fig. 9A, B, A’, B’). The number of labeled neurons in the STN was 1,679 on average (1,583 cells in Case 3 and 1,774 cells in Case 4, Fig. 3).

In the striatum, a large number of labeled neurons were observed (Fig. 10). At the level rostral to the anterior commissure (ac; Fig. 10A-C, A’-C’), labeled neurons were located in the ventral striatum. At the level caudal to the ac (Fig. 10D-F, D’-F’), labeled neurons were found at the dorsoventrally middle portion of the medial and lateral aspects of the putamen. Further, throughout the entire rostrocaudal extent of the striatum except for the anterior-most level (Fig. 10A-E, A’-E’), labeled neurons were continuously seen in the striatal cell bridge region and in adjacent regions of the caudate nucleus and the putamen. The number of labeled neuron in the caudate nucleus including the bride region was 2,890 on average (3,431 cells in Case 3 and 2,289 cells in Case 4), and that in the putamen was 24,036 on average (27,815 cells in Case 3 and
Discussion

We examined the organization of multisynaptic projections from the BG to the forelimb region of the PMv in macaque monkeys. After injecting rabies virus into the PMv, we found the second-order neuron labeling in the GPi and SNr. Subsequently, the third-order neuron labeling occurred in the GPe, STN, and striatum. Our histological analysis of the distributions of rabies-labeled neurons revealed that the PMv primarily receives major input signals from multiple territories within each structure of the BG that have comprised the two distinct motor territories (i.e., the primary and higher-order motor territories) and the limbic territory.

*Multisynaptic inputs to the PMv from the primary motor territory of the GPi and striatum*

In the present study, we obtained many pieces of evidence indicating that the PMv receives inputs from the two distinct motor territories (i.e., the primary and higher-order
motor territories) of the BG. We first discuss the organization of the inputs from the primary motor territory.

We observed that two different parts of the GPi give rise to disynaptic projections to the PMv. The first part was located in the ventral aspect of the GPi. Several lines of evidence have shown that this ventral part is interconnected predominantly with the primary motor cortex (M1). First, anatomical studies using retrograde transsynaptic transport of neurotropic viruses have revealed that the ventral part of the GPi projects multisynaptically to the M1 via the motor thalamus (Hoover & Strick, 1993, 1999). Second, by examining the responses of GPi and GPe neurons to cortical and striatal electrical stimulations, Yoshida et al. (1993) identified pallidal neurons that receive inputs from the M1, PMv, PMd, the supplementary motor area (SMA), and the prefrontal cortex. They found that neurons in the ventral part of the GPi receive input preferentially from the M1. Third, Haber et al. (1990, 1993, 1995, 2000) have revealed that the ventrolateral GPi receives input from the dorsolateral putamen, which corresponds to the zone that receives major input from the arm region of the M1 (Takada et al., 1998a, b; Kaneda et al., 2002). These findings indicate that the PMv
receives disynaptic input from the primary motor territory located in the ventral aspect
of the GPi. Within the striatum, the third-order neurons were distributed in the putamen
caudal to the ac. One group of dense patches of labeled neurons was seen in the
dorsoventrally middle portion of the lateral aspect of the putamen. It has been shown
that this portion of the putamen receives major input from the arm region of the M1
(Zemanick et al., 1991; Inase et al., 1996b; Takada et al., 1998a, b; Kaneda et al., 2002).
These observations indicate that the PMv receives input from the primary motor
territory of the striatum. The overall results provide evidence that the PMv receives
multisynaptic inputs from the primary motor territories of the GPi and striatum.

*Multisynaptic inputs to the PMv from the higher-order motor territory of the GPi and
striatum*

The second part of the GPi that sends disynaptic projections to the PMv was
identified in the caudodorsal aspect of the nucleus. The previous study of Yoshida et al.
(1993) have revealed that neurons in the caudodorsal part of the GPi respond primarily
to electrical stimulation of the PMd, SMA, the cingulate motor area, or PMv. In our
prior study, Saga et al. (2011) found that GPi neurons projecting to the PMdc (i.e., the caudal aspect of area F2) were located dorsal to those projecting to the M1 (Hoover & Strick, 1993, 1999). Moreover, the neurons in the caudodorsal part of the GPi have been shown to project to the SMA across synapses (Akkal et al., 2007). These findings suggest that this part of the GPi may correspond to a higher-order motor territory, and that the PMv receives input from such a territory across synapses. Within the striatum, a subset of the third-order neurons was distributed in the medial aspect of the putamen, including the striatal cell bridge region. Takada et al. (1998a, b) have revealed that this part of the striatum receives major inputs from the arm regions of the PMv, PMd, and SMA. In our prior study (Saga et al., 2011), rabies injections into the PMdc produced retrograde neuronal labeling in the same striatal zone. These findings indicate that the medial aspect of the putamen corresponds to a higher-order motor territory, and that the PMv receives input from this striatal territory across synapses. The overall results provide evidence that the PMv receives inputs from the higher-order motor territories of the GPi and striatum.
**Multisynaptic inputs to the PMv from the limbic territory of the GPe and striatum**

In the present study, we found that labeled neurons in the GPe were located mainly in the dorsoventrally middle portion at the caudal level and in the rostroventral portion. Many pioneer works reported that neurons in the former portion of the GPe responded to reaching or passive arm/wrist movements in monkeys (Iansek & Porter, 1980; DeLong *et al.*, 1985; Filion *et al.*, 1988; Hamada *et al.*, 1990; Yoshida *et al.*, 1993).

Anatomical studies have revealed that this portion of the GPe receives inputs from the primary motor and premotor cortical areas via the striatum (Flaherty & Graybiel, 1993; Takada *et al.*, 1998b; Kaneda *et al.* 2002, François *et al.*, 2004). Further, Grabli *et al.* (2004) have shown that the bicuculline (a GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor antagonist) microinjection into this portion of the GPe induces abnormal movements. These observations indicate that this portion of the GPe corresponds to its motor territory.

Moreover, Grabli *et al.* (2004) have shown that microinjection of bicuculline into the rostroventral portion of the GPe of monkeys induces a stereotypic behavior, such as obsessively licking and biting fingers. Subsequently, by injecting an anterograde tracer into the same portion of the GPe, François *et al.* (2004) demonstrated that the
rostroventral portion of the GPe projected to the ventromedial portion of the SNr at its rostral level, which receives ample input from the ventral striatum, including the nucleus accumbens (Haber et al., 1990, 1993, 2000). These findings indicate the rostroventral portion of the GPe corresponds to its limbic territory. In addition, a number of labeled neurons were distributed in the limbic territory of the striatum, including the ventromedial putamen and the nucleus accumbens. Previous studies reported the existence of neuronal labeling in the ventral striatum after rabies injection into the M1 (Kelly & Strick, 2004; Miyachi et al., 2006) and the PMd (Saga et al., 2011). This indicates that the ventral striatum sends diverse output projections to multiple motor cortical areas across synapses. Altogether, our findings have revealed that the PMv receives inputs from the limbic territories of the BG (i.e., the GPe and striatum). However, the exact pathways toward the PMv from the GPe and stratum still remain elusive. One potential candidate is the basal forebrain; it has been shown that the ventral striatum projects to the basal forebrain and cholinergic neurons therein in turn sends widespread outputs to the cerebral cortex (Kievit & Kuypers, 1975; Mesulam et al., 1983; Haber et al., 1993, 1995; Miyachi et al., 2006). Actually, we found many
labeled neurons in the basal forebrain in our second-order samples. Further work is needed to address this issue.

**Multisynaptic inputs to the PMv from the SNr and STN**

We found the second-order labeled neurons in the SNr. A subset of labeled neurons was located in the central and dorsal portions of the SNr. These portions correspond to a sector that receives inputs from the M1- and/or SMA-recipient regions of the striatum (Haber et al., 2000; Kaneda et al., 2002). Furthermore, these portions have been shown to project to the PMd (Saga et al., 2011), as well as to the prefrontal cortex (Middleton & Strick, 2002), across synapses. The overall findings suggest that the PMv may receive inputs from the primary and higher-order motor territories of the SNr.

Within the STN, we found that a subset of labeled neurons were located in the central to lateral portions within the caudal half of the nucleus. Previous studies have revealed that these portions of the STN correspond to the somatomotor territory (Nambu et al., 1996, 1997; Inase et al., 1999; Takada et al., 2001; Kaneda et al., 2002) that receives input from the M1 and sends output to the M1 across synapses. Further,
Nambu et al. (1996, 1997) showed that terminal labeling from the PMv, PMd, and SMA, as well as from the M1, was located in the same portions of the STN. Thus, the overall data indicate that the PMv receives inputs from the primary and higher-order motor territories of the STN. Another subset of labeled neurons was seen in the ventromedial portion of the rostral aspect of the nucleus. This portion of the rostral STN has been shown to correspond to the limbic territory (Haber et al., 2000; Hamani et al., 2004). By injecting an anterograde tracer into the ventromedial GPe, François et al. (2004) demonstrated that this GPe region projected to the ventromedial portion of the rostral STN. Altogether, neuronal labeling in the two distinct portions of the STN indicates that the PMv receives inputs from the primary/higher-order motor territories and the limbic territory of the STN.

**Integration of diverse signals in the cortico-basal ganglia circuits**

We have revealed that the cellular origins of multisynaptic projections from the BG to the PMv consist of two distinct motor territories, i.e., the primary and higher-order motor territories. Seminal studies have reported that the PMv is involved in spatial
attention (Kubota & Hamada, 1978; Rizzolatti et al., 1981; Boussaoud & Wise, 1993a; Godschalk et al., 1995) and reaching execution (Boussaoud & Wise, 1993b; Kurata & Hoshi, 2002; Schwartz et al., 2004). Lesion studies in monkeys have supported these findings. The monkeys with hemi-ablation of the PMv resulted in deficits in orienting responses toward the contralateral space (Rizzolatti et al., 1983; Scheiber, 2000).

Similarly, microinjection of bicucullin into the dorsal GPe, including a portion from which the PMv receives input, induced attentional bias toward the contralateral space (Grabli et al., 2004), and dopamine depletion in one side of the BG resulted in visual hemi-neglect (Apicella et al., 1991; Miyashita et al., 1995). Thus, the PMv may intensively interact with the BG for signal processing of spatial attention. In contrast, a subset of PMv neurons exhibits movement-related activity during reaching movement. Since the movement-related activity is most prominent in the M1 (Evarts, 1968; Georgopoulos et al., 1982; Tanji & Kurata, 1982), the PMv may receive the information about the movement from the primary motor territory of the BG. In addition to spatial attention and movement execution, the PMv has been shown to participate in visuomotor transformation for reaching movement by encoding both visuospatial and
motor information (Boussaoud & Wise, 1993a, b; Kurata & Hoshi, 2002). Actually, inactivation of the PMv induced deficits in remapping from visual to motor space in shift-prism adaptation (Kurata & Hoshi, 1999). One intriguing possibility is that the PMv receives two distinct inputs concerning movement execution from the primary motor territory and spatial attention (or visual signals) from the higher-order motor territory of the BG, and that these two sets of information are integrated in the PMv to achieve the visuomotor transformation. After the motor commands are generated for the reaching movement, these signals may be transferred to the M1 via the intracortical connections between the PMv and the M1 (Dum & Strick, 2005; Dancause et al., 2006) and via the circuits through the BG. In addition to the reaching movement, the PMv plays a crucial role in goal-directed grasping movements (Rizzolatti et al., 1987). Inactivation of the PMv impairs grasping movements without any paralysis of finger movements per se (Fogassi et al., 2001). Murata et al. (1997) have reported that PMv neurons reflect three-dimensional features of objects for grasping them. In the parietal and temporal cortical areas, object visual signals are amply represented in the inferior parietal lobule (IPL) and the inferotemporal cortex (IT). Of these the PMv is directly
interconnected with the IPL, but not with the IT (Luppino et al., 2001; Rozzi et al., 2006; Borra et al., 2008). Anatomical studies have revealed that the IT projects to the IPL, suggesting that the PMv may receive input from the IT via the IPL. In addition, it has been reported that the IT projects not only to the caudate tail (Saint-Cyr et al., 1990; Cheng et al., 1997), but also to the lateral aspect of the caudate body (Webster et al., 1993) in which we found the cellular origin of the projection to the PMv. Thus, the PMv may receive signals about the object shape represented in the IT via the BG. Taken together with the electrical stimulation and mapping studies (Gentilucci et al., 1988; Godsalk et al., 1995; Aflalo & Graziano, 2006; Maranesi et al., 2012), these findings revealed a large overlap of zones representing reaching and grasping movements in the PMv. The overlap region may integrate movements with motor commands to achieve the visuomotor integration for grasping a target. An intriguing possibility is that the PMv-BG networks may be involved in the integration of the reaching and grasping components of forelimb movement (Gerbella et al., 2015).

The motivational aspect, as well as the visual aspect, has been shown to influence action (Mogenson et al., 1980; Haber et al., 2000). In relation to this, it has been
revealed that the motor territory of the BG represents 'the vigor of movement'.

Inactivation of the caudoventral part of the GPi (i.e., the primary motor territory) resulted in the increase in the reaction time of a visually guided reaching task (Inase et al., 1996a), the reduction in the movement velocity and the acceleration without impairment in reaching execution (Wenger et al., 1999; Turner & Desmurget, 2010), and the undershoot toward motor targets (Turner & Anderson, 2005; Desmurget & Turner, 2008). These observations indicate that the motor territory of the BG already integrates the information concerning the visuospatial and motivational aspects. Thus, the PMv may receive the integrated ‘vigor’ signals from the motor territory of the BG (Turner & Desmurget, 2010). In addition to this, the limbic territory of the BG may provide the PMv with context-dependent motivational signals. Actually, Roesch and Olson (Roesch & Olson, 2004) showed that PMv neurons in macaque monkeys fired more strongly when the expected reward or penalty was larger, indicating that the PMv represents a general motivational signal of action. One intriguing possibility is that the PMv may receive it from the limbic territory of the BG. Altogether, it is likely that the PMv receives the information not only concerning the visual and motor aspects and
response vigor, but also about general motivation from the BG. Further, the motivational signal from the BG may enhance other types of forelimb movements in foraging behavior, such as locomotion, climbing, and reaching/grasping to eat food (Graziano, 2006; Rizzolatti et al., 2014; Wise, 2006).

**Comparison of the BG labeling after PMv and PMd injections**

The PMd and PMv are suggested to play distinct roles in achievement of reaching and grasping movements. It has been shown that the PMd plays a major role in action planning based on multiple sets of sensory-motor association (i.e., indirect specification of action), whereas the PMv is involved more highly in matching actions directly with features (e.g., shape and location) of a target (i.e., direct guidance of action) (Hoshi & Tanji, 2007). In a prior report, we investigated the origins of multisynaptic inputs in the BG to the PMd (Saga et al., 2011). By comparing the results obtained after the PMd and PMv injection, it was found that the number of labeled neurons in the output station of the BG (i.e., Gpi and SNr) was comparable in the PMd and PMv injection cases, whereas those in the intermediate (i.e., GPe and STN) and input (i.e., striatum) stations
were higher in the PMv injection case than in the PMd injection case (Saga et al., 2011).

These findings might suggest that the PMv is more tightly linked with the indirect pathway (involving the GPe and STN) than the PMd, and that the greater degree of funneling takes place in the striatum in the PMv-BG circuit than in the PMd-BG circuit. However, such notions should be taken as a preliminary account because the subjects, injection volumes, and viral lots differ in the PMd and PMv injections. To better characterize possible differences in the network architecture of the PMv-BG and PMd-BG circuits, it is needed to overcome these technical issues.
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Table 1.
Summary of experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monkey Species</th>
<th>Injection site</th>
<th>Tracer</th>
<th>Survival (days)</th>
<th>Injection tracks (n)</th>
<th>Injection volume (µL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1 M. fuscata</td>
<td>PMv</td>
<td>CSV-11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2 M. fuscata</td>
<td>PMv</td>
<td>CSV-11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3 M. fuscata</td>
<td>PMv</td>
<td>CSV-11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 4 M. fuscata</td>
<td>PMv</td>
<td>CSV-11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure Legends

Figure 1

Locations of injection sites in the PMv. (A) Diagram illustrating the frontal lobe of the macaque monkey. The rectangular area drawn with broken line is enlarged in (B). (B) Schematic view of injection site of rabies virus in the PMv. The circle drawn with the dotted line indicates the intended injection site. Within the intended injection site, the small gray circles (0.5 mm in radius) indicate the estimated viral spread around the injection tracks. The genu of the arcuate sulcus (AS) is denoted with the asterisk. The border between the premotor cortex and the primary motor cortex (M1) is represented by the broken line. (C) Drawing illustrating a slice with an injection track for each case. In (C-E), an arrowhead points to an injection track. (D) Low-magnification microphotograph of a Nissl-stained section of Case 1. (E) Low-magnification microphotograph of the injection site in Case 1 three days after rabies injection. In the low-power image, labeled neurons are densely distributed around the injection site. (F) The boxed region in the panel (D) is enlarged. Many neurons are seen to be labeled in a Golgi-like manner. CS, central sulcus; PS, principal sulcus; AS, the arcuate sulcus; Spur,
spur of AS; Spur, spur of AS; Cg, cingulate sulcus. Scale bars in (D) and (E): 500 µm.

Scale bar in (F): 50 µm.

**Figure 2**

Neuronal labeling in the GP and SNr. (A and B) Second-order neuron labeling in the GPi (A) and the SNr (B) 3 days after the rabies injection into the PMv. (C) Third-order neuron labeling in the GPe 4 days after the rabies injection. The boxed region in each panel is enlarged in each microphotograph. Scale bars in A-C: 50 µm. oGPi, outer portion of GPi; iGPi, inner portion of GPi; SNC, substantia nigra compacta; SNr, substantia nigra reticulata.

**Figure 3**

Summary plot of the number of labeled neuron. Mean values of the labeled neurons in each nucleus are shown. Each data point at the top of each bar indicates the number of labeled neuron in each case (Case 1, filled circle; Case 2, open circle; Case 3, filled square; Case 4, open square). The distance between the data point and the mean
corresponds to standard error of the mean. White bars indicate mean values of labeled neurons (second-order neurons) observed in the GPi and SNr, while gray bars indicate the mean values of the labeled neurons (third-order neurons) observed in the GPe, STN and striatum (Cd and Put). Cd, caudate nucleus; GPe, external segment of the globus pallidus; GPi, internal segment of the globus pallidus; Put, putamen; SNr, substantia nigra pars reticulata; SNT, subthalamic nucleus.

Figure 4

Distribution of labeled neurons in the GPi. Six coronal sections are arranged rostrocaudally from the left to right (A-F, A’-F’). Each row represents data from a single subject (Cases 1, 2). Each dot indicates the location of an infected neuron labeled by retrograde transneuronal transport (second-order neurons). The border between the oGPi and the iGPi is represented with the dotted line. Scale bar: 1 mm. oGPi, outer portion of GPi; iGPi, inner portion of GPi.

Figure 5
Density maps of GPi neurons labeled after rabies virus injections into the PMv. (A and B) Procedures to construct two-dimensional density maps of the GPi. The unfolding process started with drawing a line through the border between the outer (oGPi) and inner (iGPi) portions of the GPi. The reference points were placed at the bottom (the red circle) and the top (the blue circle) of the GPi. The position of each labeled neuron was projected onto the line. Then, each line through the nucleus was aligned on the ventral edge of the GPi (B). Neurons were divided into 300 × 300 µm² bins. (C and D) Density maps of the GPi neuron labeling in each case. The number of labeled neurons in each bin was counted and color-coded.

**Figure 6**

Distribution of labeled neurons in the SNr. Six coronal sections are arranged rostrocaudally from the left to right (A-F, A’-F’). Each row represents data from a single subject (Cases 1, 2). Each dot indicates the location of an infected neuron labeled by retrograde transneuronal transport (second-order neurons). The number in each section indicates its relative rostrocaudal position within the SNr (most rostral level = 0, most...
caudal level = 1). The lines in each section indicate the border between the SNr and the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc).

**Figure 7**

Distribution of labeled neurons in the GPe (third-order neurons) and the GPi (second-order or third-order neurons). Six coronal sections are arranged rostrocaudally from the left to right (A-F, A’-F’). Each row represents data from a single subject (Cases 3, 4). Each dot indicates the location of an infected neuron.

**Figure 8**

Density maps of GPe neurons labeled after PMv injections. (A and B) Procedures to construct two-dimensional density maps of the GPe. The unfolding process started with drawing line through the center of the GPe. The reference points were placed at the bottom (the red circle) and at the top (the blue circle) of the GPe. The position of each labeled neuron was projected onto the central line. Then, each line through the nucleus was aligned on the ventral edge of the GPe (B). Neurons were divided into 300 ×
300 µm\(^2\) bins. (C, D) Density maps of the GPe neuron labeling in each case. The number of labeled neurons in each bin was counted and color-coded.

**Figure 9**

Distribution of labeled neurons in the STN. Six coronal sections are arranged rostrocaudally from the left to right (A-F, A’-F’). Each row represents data from a single subject (Cases 3, 4).

**Figure 10**

Distribution of labeled neurons in the striatum. Six coronal sections are arranged rostrocaudally from the left to right (A-F, A’-F’). Each row represents data from a single subject (Cases 3, 4). For each section, the AP (anterior-posterior) level relative to ac (anterior commissure) is indicated in mm. Scale bar in F’ applies to all panels (A-F, A’-F’).
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We employed retrograde transneuronal labeling with rabies virus to identify the origins of multisynaptic projections from the basal ganglia (BG) to the forelimb region of the ventral premotor cortex (PMv) in macaque monkeys. This study revealed that the PMv primarily receives major input signals from multiple territories within each structure of the BG that have comprised the two distinct motor territories (i.e., the primary and higher-order motor territories) and the limbic territory.