Comparison of 3.0- and 1.5-T Three-dimensional Time-of-Flight MR Angiography in Moyamoya Disease: Preliminary Experience
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Purpose:
To prospectively compare 3.0- and 1.5-T three-dimensional (3D) time-of-flight (TOF) magnetic resonance (MR) angiography in patients with moyamoya disease, with special emphasis on the visualization of abnormal netlike vessels (moyamoya vessels).

Materials and Methods:
Study protocols were approved by the local ethics committee; written informed consent was obtained from all patients. The study included 24 consecutive patients with moyamoya disease (four male and 20 female patients). Patients ranged in age from 17 to 66 years (mean age, 41 years). Moyamoya disease had been diagnosed in all patients before they were entered into the study. All patients underwent 3D TOF MR angiography at both 3.0 and 1.5 T; imaging examinations were performed within 14 days of each other. Maximum intensity projections (MIPs) obtained with MR angiography performed at both 3.0 and 1.5 T were evaluated by two neuroradiologists; the visualization of moyamoya vessels was graded according to a 4-point scale. For both 3.0- and 1.5-T imaging, the number of high-signal-intensity areas and the summation of cross-sectional areas of high signal intensity on source images obtained at the same level of MR angiography were compared quantitatively by using the Wilcoxon matched-pair signed-rank test.

Results:
Moyamoya vessels were better visualized on MIPs obtained with 3.0-T imaging than on MIPs obtained with 1.5-T imaging (P < .001). At the identical level of the source image, 3.0-T imaging depicted more high-signal-intensity areas than did 1.5-T imaging. Wider cross-sectional areas of moyamoya vessels were visualized with 3.0-T imaging than with 1.5-T imaging (P < .001).

Conclusion:
Moyamoya vessels are better depicted with MR angiography at 3.0 T than at 1.5 T.
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Moyamoya disease is a rare cerebrovascular occlusive disease of unknown origin and is characterized by stenosis or occlusion of both internal carotid arteries (ICAs) at the supraclinoid portion (1,2). Although this disease occurs predominantly in Asian populations, particularly in Japan, it has also been reported in other countries (3–5). The major symptoms of moyamoya disease are related to age, countries (3–5). The major symptoms are related to age, countries (3–5). The major symptoms are related to age, countries (3–5). The major symptoms are related to age, countries (3–5).

The principal angiographic feature of moyamoya disease is bilateral stenosis or occlusion of the supraclinoid portions of the ICAs, potentially extending to the proximal portions of the anterior cerebral arteries and middle cerebral arteries (MCAs), with the presence of abnormal net-like vessels (moyamoya vessels) in the basal areas (1). Leptomeningeal collateral vessels from the posterior cerebral arteries or transdural collateral vessels from the external carotid arteries may also be present (1).

With the development of magnetic resonance (MR) imaging techniques, the diagnosis of moyamoya disease with MR imaging and MR angiography has become possible (6). When ICA occlusion and moyamoya vessels are demonstrated at MR angiography, conventional angiography is unnecessary, particularly in pediatric patients (6). Interpretation of high-quality images is crucial when diagnosing moyamoya disease with MR imaging without conventional angiography. Precise evaluation of abnormal vascular networks in the basal ganglia, in addition to vascular occlusion, is essential for securing a definitive diagnosis of moyamoya disease (6–8).

MR imaging techniques with 3.0-T imaging have gradually become prevalent. Imaging at 3.0 T provides a better signal-to-noise ratio, which increases approximately linearly with constant magnetic induction field from 1.5- to 3.0-T imaging (9,10). The T1 relaxation time increases at higher magnetic field strengths, and this produces improved vessel-tissue contrast at 3.0-T imaging (11–13). A better signal-to-noise ratio and increased T1 relaxation time at 3.0-T imaging contribute to the improved quality of MR angiography. Furthermore, Willinek et al (14) demonstrated that, in the diagnosis of cerebrovascular occlusive disease, high-spatial-resolution three-dimensional (3D) time-of-flight (TOF) MR angiography at 3.0 T is superior to that at 1.5 T. To our knowledge, however, no reports have focused on the comparison of 3.0- and 1.5-T MR angiography in patients with moyamoya disease.

Thus, the purpose of our study was to prospectively compare 3.0- and 1.5-T 3D TOF MR angiography in patients with moyamoya disease, with special emphasis on the visualization of moyamoya vessels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scores in the Depiction of Moyamoya Vessels</th>
<th>1.5-T Field Strength</th>
<th>3.0-T Field Strength</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient No.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—Scores used in the depiction of moyamoya vessels are as follows: 3 = excellent (vessel segments were clearly and continuously visualized, and vessel-tissue contrast is high), 2 = visible (vessel segments are visualized and adequate for a confident diagnosis, but vessel-tissue contrast is not particularly high), 1 = scarcely visible (vessel segments were visualized but inadequate for diagnosis), and 0 = not visible.

Materials and Methods

Study Design and Patients
A prospective study was performed with 24 consecutive patients with moyamoya disease (four male and 20 female patients) between December 2003 and September 2004. Moyamoya disease had been diagnosed in all patients with conventional angiography before they were entered into our study (6). The mean patient age was 41 years (age range, 17–66 years). Patients were initially suspected of having moyamoya disease due to transient ischemic attack or cerebral infarction (n = 14), intracranial hemorrhage (n = 9), or incidental findings at MR imaging after a traffic accident (n = 1). Of the 24 patients, seven (29%) had not undergone any surgical synangiosis procedure. Seventeen of the 24 patients (71%) had undergone creation of a superficial temporal artery (STA)–MCA anastomosis combined with encephalomyosynangiosis (n = 15) or encephaloduroarteriosynangiosis (n = 2). All patients had undergone regular follow-up. In these 17 patients, the mean postoperative duration at the time of our study was 89 months (range, 7–288 months).

Study protocols were approved by the local ethics committee, and all patients gave their informed consent.
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Abbreviations:
ICA = internal cerebral artery
MCA = middle cerebral artery
MIP = maximum intensity projection
STA = superficial temporal artery
3D = three-dimensional TOF = time of flight
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tients provided written informed consent before entering the study. When the patient was a minor, additional written informed consent was also obtained from the parent.

**MR Imaging**

Our study was performed with 3.0-T (Magnetom Trio; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) and 1.5-T (Magnetom Symphony; Siemens) MR units. Circular polarized head coil arrays were used for both MR units. The following parameters were used for 3.0-T MR angiography: 22.0/3.7 (repetition time msec/echo time msec), 20° flip angle, 0.8-mm-thick sections, 200 × 200-mm field of view with a 512 × 208 matrix, effective voxel size of 0.39 × 0.96 × 0.8 mm, and acquisition time of 5 minutes 51 seconds. The following parameters were used for 1.5-T MR angiography: 35/7, 0.8-mm-thick sections, 20° flip angle, 200 × 200-mm field of view with a 512 × 208 matrix, effective voxel size of 0.39 × 0.96 × 0.8 mm, and acquisition time of 6 minutes 21 seconds. The repetition time and echo time could not be matched because of the limitations of specific absorption rate with the 3.0-T MR system.

**Image Analysis**

Transverse and lateral maximum intensity projections (MIPs) obtained with 3.0- and 1.5-T MR angiography were independently assessed by two neuroradiologists (Y.F. and Y.M., with 8 and 19 years of experience, respectively). Lateral MIPs were reconstructed with data from the ipsilateral hemisphere. To evaluate the visibility of moyamoya vessels under identical circumstances, we evaluated only transverse and bilateral MIP images instead of reviewing all the angles of MIP images. The visibility of moyamoya vessels was assessed and scored as follows: 3, excellent (vessel segments were clearly and continuously visualized, and vessel-tissue contrast appears to be high); 2, visible (vessel segments were clearly and continuously visualized, and vessel-tissue contrast does not appear to be particularly high); 1, scarcely visible (vessel segments were visualized but inadequate for diagnosis); and 0, not visible. Readers were blinded to field strength; discrepancies between the two readers were resolved by consensus. A consensus reading was required in one patient for 3.0-T images (4.2%) and in three patients for 1.5-T images (12.5%).

A particle-counting method was used for source images from MR angiography. With use of ImageJ software, a...
Java-based image analysis program developed at the U.S. National Institutes of Health (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/index.html), high signal intensity in the basal ganglia of the anterior circulation, which correspond to moyamoya vessels (1), were manually selected and semi-automatically counted by one neuroradiologist (Y.F.). Image selection from 3.0- and 1.5-T MR angiographic data obtained in the same patient was performed simultaneously, as identical section levels can be selected; this enabled comparison of images obtained at 3.0 and 1.5 T. Image selection procedures were performed with two personal computers by using ExaVision Lite software (Ziosoft, Tokyo, Japan). Regions of interest were carefully selected in bilateral basal ganglia without including any cisternal structures because major branches of anterior cerebral arteries and MCAs are located in the interhemispheric fissure and sylvian fissure, respectively. We determined the threshold for the source images with MR angiography to make the brightest part of brain parenchyma just black out to minimize the variances of the thresholds and obtained the binary data from MR angiography. The number of high signal intensities and the summation of cross-sectional areas of high signal intensity, which correspond to the cross-sectional area of moyamoya vessels, were obtained for 3.0- and 1.5-T imaging.

Statistical Analysis
For statistical evaluation, scores for MIPs obtained with MR angiography, the number of high-signal-intensity areas, and the summation of cross-sectional areas of high signal intensity were compared by using the Wilcoxon matched-pair signed-rank test with software (JMP 5.0; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). This was done because the Shapiro-Wilk test was performed for normality and the null hypothesis rejected. A P value of less than .05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results
MIPs were obtained with 3D TOF MR angiography in the 24 patients (Table) (Figs 1, 2). MIPs from 3.0-T MR angiography depicted moyamoya vessels more clearly than did those from 1.5-T MR angiography (P < .001). The number of high-signal-intensity areas in anterior circulations (anterior cerebral artery and MCA territories) on source images obtained at both 3.0 and 1.5 T showed that the number of high-signal-intensity areas at 3.0-T imaging was greater than that at 1.5-T imaging (P < .001) (Figs 3, 4). Cross-sectional areas of high-signal-intensity were larger at 3.0-T imaging than at 1.5-T imaging (P < .001) (Fig 5).

Discussion
Our results demonstrate that moyamoya vessels are better depicted with MIPs from 3.0-T MR angiography than with those from 1.5-T MR angiography. More moyamoya vessels (in both number and cross-sectional area) were detected at 3.0-T imaging than at 1.5-T imaging. The particle-counting method with use of ImageJ software has been applied to cell counting in biologic studies (15,16). In our study, we used this method in the postprocessing of MR angiographic data to assess moyamoya vessels. This method may be useful for objective and longitudinal evaluations.
because the number and cross-sectional area of moyamoya vessels are provided.

Moyamoya disease is a rare cerebrovascular disease. In adult patients, intracerebral hemorrhage is one of the most common complications at disease onset (17–20). For patients with moyamoya disease and hemorrhage, repeat bleeding is the most crucial event that affects quality of life (21,22). The mechanisms of repeat bleeding supposedly involve rupture of moyamoya vessels. Moyamoya vessels have a tendency to contract; when that happens, the remaining moyamoya vessels receive more pressure, which leads to vessel rupture (18). Thus, observation of the appearance of moyamoya vessels at periodic follow-up MR angiography is clinically important (23,24). The results of our study demonstrate that more information about moyamoya vessels can be obtained with 3.0-T MR angiography than with 1.5-T MR angiography. This enables more precise evaluation of moyamoya vessels with regard to factors such as stenosis, dilatation, and increases or decreases in number. Our study was a preliminary study that targeted moyamoya disease. To our knowledge, this is the first study in which the visibility of moyamoya vessels has been described at both 3.0- and 1.5-T MR angiography.

One limitation of our study is that most of our patients (71%) had undergone surgical treatment. After bypass surgery (creation of STA-MCA anastomosis, encephalomyosynangiosis, and encephaloduroarteriosynangiosis), collateral arteries develop from the external cerebral artery and the amount of moyamoya vessels decreases in about 50% of patients (8). In our study, only seven patients had not undergone surgery; thus, a more precise interpretation of our results would be to say that...
our study demonstrated the advantages of 3.0-T imaging over 1.5-T imaging for follow-up evaluation. Although 3.0-T imaging may indeed prove advantageous in the diagnosis of moyamoya disease, larger numbers of preoperative patients should be studied in the future. In preoperative treatment planning, conventional angiography would be required because it can provide more detailed information about moyamoya vessels and other major vessels than does 3.0-T MR angiography; thus, comparative studies between 3.0-T MR angiography and conventional angiography may be needed in the future. We did not evaluate stenosis or dilatation of ICAs, anterior cerebral arteries, and MCAs because most patients had undergone conventional angiography several years before the MR examinations, which made it difficult for us to verify the findings with use of a reference standard. This is another limitation. In our study, visualization of STA-MCA bypass was not evaluated because aliasing artifacts affected STA-MCA bypass in some patients. STA-MCA bypass sites were not included in the fields of view in all patients, and most patients had undergone postoperative angiography several years before the MR examinations. Further studies may be needed to evaluate the findings of MR angiography in cases of STA-MCA bypass. Although the readers were blinded to the field strength of MR angiographic images, there were some differences in image quality of moyamoya vessels between 3.0- and 1.5-T images. It is possible that the readers were influenced by these differences.

In conclusion, moyamoya vessels are better depicted at 3.0-T 3D TOF MR angiography than at 1.5-T 3D TOF MR angiography. Radiologists must be aware of the differences, especially when patients undergo follow-up MR angiography with both 3.0- and 1.5-T MR systems.
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