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1 Introduction

Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p > 1$. We study a Neumann problem for a second-order differential equation,

$$u'' + \lambda(-u + u^p) = 0 \text{ in } (-1,1), \quad u'(\pm 1) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant and represents a control parameter. Eq. (1) has a trivial solution $u = 1$.

We often encounter (1) in several situations. As an example, we consider the Keller-Segel model for chemotaxis aggregation,

$$u_t = D_1 u_{xx} - c(u \log v)_x, \quad v_t = D_2 v_{xx} - av - bu \quad \text{in } (-1,1),$$
$$u_x, v_x = 0 \text{ at } x = \pm 1, \quad (2)$$

where $D_1, D_2, a, b, c$ are constants. The stationary problem for (2) becomes

$$D_2 v_{xx} - av - b \mu v^{c/D_1} = 0, \quad v_x = 0 \text{ at } x = \pm 1 \quad (3)$$

since $D_1 u_x - cu \log v)_x = 0$ by the first equation, so that $u = \mu v^{c/D_1}$ for some constant $\mu$. Eq. (3) is transformed to (1). Another example is related to the Gierer-Meinhardt model for biological pattern formations,

$$u_t = D_1 u_{xx} - \mu_1 u + \rho_1 \left( c_1 \frac{u^{p_1}}{v^{q_1}} + \rho_0 \right), \quad v_t = D_2 v_{xx} - \mu_2 v + \rho_2 c_2 \frac{u^{p_2}}{v^{q_2}} \quad \text{in } (-1,1),$$
$$u_x, v_x = 0 \text{ at } x = \pm 1, \quad (4)$$
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Figure 1: Bifurcation diagram for the Neumann problem (1)

where $D_i, p_i, q_i, \mu_i, \rho_i, i = 1, 2$, are constants. As $D_2 \to \infty$, $v_{xx} \to 0$ so that $v_x \to 0$ by the boundary conditions. Hence, in this limit, we have

$$\int_0^1 \left( \mu_2 v - \rho_2 c_2 \frac{u^{p_1}}{v^{q_2}} \right) dx = 0,$$

so that

$$v^{q_2+1} = \frac{\rho_2 c_2}{\mu_2} \int_0^1 u^{p_1} dx$$

by regarding $v$ as a constant. Thus, for the stationary problem for (4), we obtain the shadow system,

$$D_1 u_{xx} - \mu_1 u + \rho_1 \left( c_1 \frac{u^{p_1}}{\xi^{q_1}} + \rho_0 \right) = 0, \quad u_x = 0 \text{ at } x = \pm 1,$$

which is transformed to (1) like (3).

The following theorem for (1) was proved for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ in [1] and for $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ in [2].

**Theorem 1.** The branch of interior single-peak solutions emanates from $(\lambda, u) = (\pi^2/(p-1), 1)$ and the bifurcation is a supercritical pitchfork one. The branch is a graph of $\lambda$ and unbounded in $\lambda$. Moreover, each solution of the branch is non-degenerate and the Morse index is two.

Here the Morse index is the number of strictly positive eigenvalues for the associated linear problem

$$\phi'' + \lambda(-1 + pu_\lambda(y)^{p-1}) \phi = \mu \phi \quad \text{in} \ (-1, 1), \quad \phi'(-1) = 0,$$

where $u_\lambda(y)$ represents a solution of the Neumann problem. The last part of Theorem 1 is obvious from the other parts since $\mu = \lambda(p-1)$, $\lambda(p-1) - \frac{1}{4} \pi^2$ are positive eigenvalues of the linear problem for the trivial solution $u = 1$ when $\lambda < \pi^2/(p-1)$, and so is $\mu = \lambda(p-1) - \pi^2$ when $\lambda > \pi^2/(p-1)$. The bifurcation diagram stated in Theorem 1 is sketched in Fig. 1. The upper branch represents interior single-peak solutions.

In the rest of this article we outline the proof of Theorem 1.
Monotonicity of the period functions

Using a transformation $x \mapsto x/\sqrt{\lambda}$, we rewrite (1) as

$$u'' - u + u^p = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

For any $u_1 \in (0, 1)$ Eq. (5) has a periodic solution satisfying $(u(0), u'(0)) = (u_1, 0)$. Let $T(u_1)$ denote its period and let $u_2 \in (1, u_0)$ satisfy $F(u_2) = F(u_1)$, where

$$F(u) = -\frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{p+1}u^{p+1} + \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}, \quad u_0 = r^{-\frac{1}{2}}(p+1).$$

Then we have $(u(\frac{1}{2}T(u_1)), u'(\frac{1}{2}T(u_1))) = (u_2, 0)$. Note that

$$F(u_0) = F(0) = \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}.$$

As shown in Fig. 2, there exists a one-parameter family of periodic orbits in (5).

The periodic solution $u(x)$ in (5) gives an interior single-peak solution in the Neumann problem (1) when $\frac{1}{2}T(u_1) = 2\sqrt{\lambda}$. Hence, except the last part, Theorem 1 immediately follows from the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** The period function $T(u_1)$ in (5) is strictly decreasing on $(0, 1)$.

To prove this theorem, we use a result of Chicone [3]. We first recall his result. Let $\xi_1 < 0 < \xi_2$ and let $I = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $V : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $C^3$ function satisfying $V(\xi_1) = V(\xi_2)$ and having a minimum $V(0) = 0$ as its only extremum. Consider second-order differential equations of the form

$$\xi'' + \frac{dV}{d\xi}(\xi) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Eq. (6) has the trivial solution $\xi = 0$, and any solution $\xi = \xi(t)$ of (6) with $\xi(0) \in I \setminus \{0\}$ and $\xi'(0) = 0$ is periodic. Let $T(h)$ be its period with $h = V(\xi(0))$, and define a function $\varphi(\xi)$ as

$$\varphi(\xi) = \frac{V(\xi)}{V'(\xi)^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Chicone [3] essentially proved the following result.
Proposition 3 (Chicone [3]). Suppose that
\[ \varphi''(\xi) \geq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \xi \in I \setminus \{0\} \] (8)
and the inequality holds in a punctured neighborhood of \( \xi = 0 \). Then \( T(h) \) is strictly increasing on \((0, h_0)\), where \( h_0 = V(\xi_1) (= V(\xi_2)) \).

3 Proof of Theorem 2

Using a transformation \( u = \xi + 1 \) we rewrite (5) as the form of (6) with \( \xi_1 = -1 \), \( \xi_2 = u_0 - 1 > 0 \) and \( V(\xi) = F(\xi + 1) \). We compute (7) as
\[ \varphi''(\xi) = \frac{(p-1)g(\xi+1)}{(p+1)(\xi+1)^4((\xi+1)^{p-1} - 1)^4}, \]
where
\[ g(u) = pu^{3p-1} - (2p^2 - 3p + 3)u^{2p} + p(2p + 1)u^{2p-2} - p(p-2)u^{p+1} + p(p-7)u^{p-1} + 3. \]

We begin with the case of \( p \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( p > 1 \). We easily see that the function \( g(u) \) is divisible by \((u-1)^4\) and define a \((3p-5)\)-th order polynomial \( \bar{g}(u) = g(u)/(u-1)^4 \). After some highly nontrivial computations, we prove the following (see [1] for the proof).

Lemma 4. All coefficients of \( \bar{g}(u) \) are positive.
From Lemma 4 and (9) we see that
\[ \varphi''(u-1) = \frac{(p-1)\bar{g}(u)}{(p+1)u^4\left( \sum_{j=0}^{p-2}u^j \right)^4} > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad u \in (0, u_0), \]
i.e., condition (8) holds.

We next assume that \( p \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \) with \( p > 1 \). Let \( p = m/n > 1 \), where \( m, n \) are relatively prime integers and \( n \geq 2 \). We set \( v = u^{1/n}, \quad k = m - n > 0 \) and \( \psi(v) = n^2g(v^n) \) to have
\[ \psi(v) = n(n+k)v^{2n+3k} - (2k^2 + kn + 2n^2)v^{2n+2k} + (n-k)(n+k)v^{2n+k} + (n+k)(3n+2k)v^{2k} - (n+k)(6n-k)v^k + 3n^2. \]
We easily see that the polynomial \( \psi(v) \) is factorized as \( \psi(v) = (v-1)^4\tilde{\psi}(v) \), where \( \tilde{\psi}(v) \) is a \((2n+3k-4)\)-th order polynomial. We also prove the following (see [2] for the proof).

Lemma 5. All coefficients of \( \tilde{\psi}(v) \) are positive.
From Lemma 5 and (9) we see that
\[ \varphi''(v^n - 1) = \frac{(p-1)\tilde{\psi}(v)}{(p+1)n^2v^{4n}\left( \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}v^j \right)^4} > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad v \in (0, \sqrt[n]{u_0}). \]
i.e., condition (8) holds again.

We turn to the case of \( p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \) with \( p > 1 \). Take a sequence \( \{p_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \) such that \( p_j \in \mathbb{Q} \) and \( \lim_{j \to \infty} p_j = p \). We easily see that condition (8) holds for \( p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \) since it does for \( p = p_j \). This completes the proof of Theorem 2 by Proposition 3.
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