

行列の相対エントロピーと情報エントロピー
Relative entropies and information ones for matrices

大阪教育大学・教養学科・情報科学 藤井 淳一 (Jun Ichi Fujii)
 Departments of Arts and Sciences (Information Science)
 Osaka Kyoiku University

Let $X = (x_j)$ and $Y = (y_j)$ be probability vectors, and $P_c = (p_{ij})$ be a probability matrix which is called now a *classical channel*. The standard bases $\{a_j\}$ and $\{b_i\}$ are considered as elementary events for X and Y ; $x_j = p(a_j)$, $y_j = p(b_j)$ and $p_{ij} = p(b_i|a_j)$. Then the classical entropies are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{compound entropy } H(X, Y) &= - \sum_{i,j} p(a_j, b_i) \log p(a_j, b_i), \\ \text{conditional entropy } H(X|Y) &= - \sum_{i,j} p(a_j, b_i) \log p(a_j|b_i), \\ \text{conditional entropy } H(Y|X) &= - \sum_{i,j} p(a_j, b_i) \log p(b_i|a_j), \quad \text{and} \\ \text{mutual entropy } I(X; Y) &= \sum_{i,j} p(a_j, b_i) \log \frac{p(a_j, b_i)}{p(a_j)p(b_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the relations between them are:

$$\begin{aligned} H(X, Y) &= H(X) + H(Y|X) = H(Y) + H(X|Y) = I(X; Y) + H(X|Y) + H(Y|X), \\ H(X) &= I(X; Y) + H(X|Y), \quad H(Y) = I(X; Y) + H(Y|X). \end{aligned}$$

We try to extend these classical information entropies to matrix ones. They can be expressed by usual sets with the set operations as quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} A \mapsto H(X), \quad B \mapsto H(Y), \quad A \setminus B \mapsto H(X|Y), \quad B \setminus A \mapsto H(Y|X), \\ A \cup B \mapsto H(X, Y), \quad A \cap B \mapsto I(A; B). \end{aligned}$$

For this, the key quantity is the *relative entropy* which is initiated as the *Kullback-Leibler one*:

$$s(p|q) = \sum_{ij} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_j}$$

for probability vectors p and q .

Let $\eta(x) = -x \log x$ ($\eta(0) = 0$) be the entropy function. Then the von Neumann entropy $s(A) = \text{Tr} \eta(A)$ and Nakamura-Umegaki discussed ‘an operator entropy’ $H(A) = \eta(A)$ [11]. The *Umegaki entropy*, which is expressed by

$$s_U(A|B) = \sum \text{Tr} A (\log A - \log B)$$

for positive-definite matrices A and B , is an extension of $s(p|q)$. Here A and B are often assumed to be *density matrices*, that is, they are positive-semidefinite and $\text{Tr}A = \text{Tr}B = 1$ which are quantum versions for X and Y . The *quantum channel* is a trace-preserving completely positive map Φ .

Based on the Umegaki entropy, Ohya [12] introduced the mutual information for quantum channel and discussed the capacity for the channel: For density operator $A = \sum_k t_k E_k$ with the spectral decomposition for that of identity $E = \{E_k\}$. For compound matrices

$$A_E = \sum_n t_n E_n \otimes \Phi(E_n) \quad \text{and} \quad A_0 = A \otimes \Phi(A),$$

the *Ohya mutual entropy* is defined as

$$I(A; \Phi) = \sup_E s_U(A_E|A_0),$$

which is a nice extension of the classical mutual entropy $I(X; P_c(X))$ for a channel matrix P_c . Also Petz [13] defined a *quantum conditional entropy*

$$h(\rho_{AB}|B) = s(\rho_{AB}) - s(B)$$

and it is related to the Umegaki entropy:

$$h(\rho_{AB}|B) = \log \dim H_A - s_U(\rho_{AB}|\tau_A \otimes \rho_B)$$

where τ_A is a tracial state and ρ_{AB} is a composite matrix as we see later. But unfortunately $h(\rho_{AB}|B)$ is not always positive.

Recall the sesquilinear version for the Uhlmann relative entropy s_{UL} (cf. [15]) which is an extension of the Umegaki one: Let α and β be positive sesquilinear forms and $\gamma(t) = QF_t(\alpha, \beta)$ be their interpolation. Then

$$s_{UL}(\alpha|\beta)(x) = - \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{QF_t(\alpha, \beta) - \alpha}{t}(x, x).$$

Considering the derivatives A and B for α and β , we have, when they commute,

$$- \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \text{Tr} \frac{A^{1-t} B^t - A}{t} = - \text{Tr} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{A^{1-t} B^t - A}{t} = \text{Tr} A(\log A - \log B).$$

It suggests that the relative entropy can be defined as the initial tangent vector for some good path. Though a matrix version of the Umegaki entropy might be $A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log A - \log B)A^{\frac{1}{2}}$, it might be not suitable from the geometrical viewpoint. In fact, the geodesic of one of the Hiai-Petz geometries ([9]) is $M_t(A, B) = \exp((1-t)\log A + t\log B)$ and hence its initial tangent vector is expressed by

$$\mathfrak{S}_U(A|B) \equiv \left. \frac{dM_t(A, B)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = U \left(\left(\frac{1}{\log^{[1]}(d_i, d_j)} \right) \circ U^*(\log B - \log A)U \right) U^*$$

where U is a unitary with $\text{diag}(d_i) = U^*AU$ and $f^{[1]}$ is the divided difference $f^{[1]}(x, y) = \frac{f(x)-f(y)}{x-y}$, see [7, 8]. We think it is a matrix version of the Umegaki entropy. In fact, $\text{Tr}\mathfrak{S}_U(A|B) = \text{Tr}A(\log B - \log A) = -s_U(A|B)$. Since the quantum conditional entropy is not positive though it is a numerical quantity and $\mathfrak{S}_U(A|B)$ is somewhat an awkward tool, here we do not use $\mathfrak{S}_U(A|B)$ while we fully use the above idea, in particular, Ohya's construction.

In [5], we defined another relative entropy for positive operators based on the Kubo-Ando theory of operator means: Let $A\#_tB$ be a weighted geometric operator mean in the sense of Kubo-Ando [10];

$$A\#_tB = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^t A^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

if A is invertible and $A\#_tB = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A + \frac{1}{n})\#_tB$ if not. We introduced in [5, 4] the *relative operator entropy* $S(A|B)$ as a derivative for a differentiable path of geometric operator means $A\#_tB$ if the following limit exists as a bounded operator;

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{A\#_tB - A}{t}.$$

Afterwards, Corach, Porta and Recht [2] shows that the path $A\#_tB$ is the geodesic of their geometry of the positive operators and the relative operator entropy is its initial tangent vector where the affine connection can be expressed by

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\delta} = \ddot{\delta} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\dot{\gamma}\gamma^{-1}\dot{\delta} + \dot{\delta}\gamma^{-1}\dot{\gamma} \right).$$

for differential curves γ and δ , see also [3, 7].

If B is invertible, then $S(A|B) = B^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta \left(B^{-\frac{1}{2}}AB^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In addition, if A is invertible, then $S(A|B) = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \log \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) A^{\frac{1}{2}}$. $-\text{Tr}S(A|B)$ is the *Belavkin-Staszewski relative entropy*. So it is always exists for invertible operators, or positive-definite matrices. Basic properties are as follows:

Lemma 1. *The relative operator entropy has the following properties if it exists:*

- (1) If $B \leq B'$, then $S(A|B) \leq S(A|B')$.
- (2) $T^*S(A|B)T \leq S(T^*AT|T^*BT)$ (the equality holds for invertible T).
- (3) $S(A_1|B_1) + S(A_2|B_2) \leq S(A_1 + A_2|B_1 + B_2)$.
- (3') $(1-t)S(A_1|B_1) + tS(A_2|B_2) \leq S((1-t)A_1 + tA_2|(1-t)B_1 + tB_2)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.
- (4) $S\left(\bigoplus_k A_k \mid \bigoplus_k B_k\right) = \bigoplus_k S(A_k|B_k)$.
- (5) $S(A|B) \leq B - A$.
- (6) $S(A|\alpha B) = (\log \alpha)A + S(A|B)$ for $\alpha > 0$.

Based on this relative matrix entropy, we discuss basic matrix entropies in the information theory.

Assume that $A \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$, the $n \times n$ positive-definite matrices and $B \in \mathcal{M}_m^+$, the $m \times m$ ones. Let $\{E_k\}$ be the (fixed) decomposition of the identity, that is, each E_k be a projection and $\sum_k E_k = I_n$. A set $\{E_k\}$ is considered as that of elementary probability events. Let $A = \sum_k t_k E_k$ be a spectral decomposition of of an invertible density matrix, that is, A is positive-definite and $\text{tr}A = 1$. Then, we can observe that the probability $p(E_k)$ is given by $\text{Tr}(t_k E_k) = t_k \text{Tr}(E_k)$.

Let Φ be a quantum channel from \mathcal{M}_n to \mathcal{M}_m . Then $F_k = \Phi(E_k)$ is considered as an elementary event, but it is no longer a projection. So we take a fixed set of positive-semidefinite matrices $\{F_\ell\}$ with $\sum_\ell F_\ell = I_m$, which is also called a POVM (positive operator-valued measure), and consider a density matrix $B = \sum_\ell s_\ell F_\ell$. Assume $s_\ell > 0$. Then note that B is invertible since $B \geq \sum_\ell \min_j \{s_j\} F_\ell = \min_j \{s_j\} I_m$.

In this situation, we define a composite matrix W_{AB} for A and B by

$$W_{AB} = \sum_{k,\ell} w_{k\ell} E_k \otimes F_\ell \quad \text{where } w_{k\ell} \geq 0, \sum_k w_{k\ell} \text{tr} E_k = s_\ell, \sum_\ell w_{k\ell} \text{tr} F_\ell = t_k.$$

A typical example for composite matrices is $\sum_{k,\ell} t_k s_\ell E_k \otimes F_\ell$. In this case, A and B are called *independent*.

If all E_k and F_ℓ are of rank 1, then every (entrywise-)positive matrix $\{w_{k\ell}\}$ with $\sum_{k,\ell} w_{k\ell} = 1$ may induce the composite matrix as in the following example:

Example 1. Let $E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $(w_{k\ell}) = \frac{1}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$A = \frac{1}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \frac{8}{12} F_1 + \frac{4}{12} F_2 = \frac{2}{3} F_1 + \frac{1}{3} F_2.$$

Then,

$$W_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{6}{12} F_1 + \frac{1}{12} F_2 & O \\ O & \frac{2}{12} F_1 + \frac{3}{12} F_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The *composite matrix entropy* is defined by $H(W_{AB}) = \eta(W_{AB})$, the *mutual matrix entropy* by $I(A; B)$, and the *conditional entropies* $H(W_{AB}|A)$, $H(W_{AB}|B)$ by

$$I(A; B) = -S(W_{AB}|A \otimes B), \quad H(W_{AB}|A) = S(W_{AB}|A \otimes I), \quad H(W_{AB}|B) = S(W_{AB}|I \otimes B).$$

Immediately we have $H(W_{AB}) \geq 0$ and $H(W_{AB}|B) \geq 0$, while $I(A; B)$ is not always positive. But its trace is positive.

Then, by Lemma 1 (4), we express these entropies:

Lemma 2. *Matrix entropies have the following decompositions:*

- (1) $H(W_{AB}) = \sum_k E_k \otimes \eta(\sum_\ell w_{k\ell} F_\ell)$.
- (2) $I(A; B) = -\sum_k E_k \otimes S(\sum_\ell w_{k\ell} F_\ell | t_k B)$.
- (3) $H(W_{AB}|A) = \sum_k E_k \otimes S(\sum_\ell w_{k\ell} F_\ell | t_k I) = \sum_k t_k E_k \otimes \eta(\sum_\ell \frac{w_{k\ell}}{t_k} F_\ell)$.
- (4) $H(W_{AB}|B) = \sum_k E_k \otimes S(\sum_\ell w_{k\ell} F_\ell | B)$.

Thus, the latter case where $(\{F_\ell\})$ is PVM (projection-valued measure) shows the entropy values in the classical (commutative) case.

In the context for the composite elementary events $\{E_k \otimes F_\ell\}$, the entropy $\eta(A)$, $\eta(B)$ should be extended to

$$H_F(A) = -\sum_{k,\ell} \log(t_k) w_{k\ell} E_k \otimes F_\ell, \text{ and } H_E(B) = -\sum_{k,\ell} \log(s_\ell) w_{k\ell} E_k \otimes F_\ell.$$

In fact, we obtain by taking the partial trace

$$\text{Tr}_2(H_F(A)) = -\sum_k \text{Tr}(w_{k\ell} F_\ell) \log(t_k) E_k = -\sum_k t_k \log(t_k) E_k = \sum_k \eta(t_k) E_k = \eta(A)$$

and similarly $\text{Tr}_1(H_E(B)) = \eta(B)$. Then we have the following relations similar to the classical cases:

Theorem 3. *The following equalities hold:*

- (1) $H(W_{AB}|B) + I(A; B) = H_F(A)$,
- (2) $H_F(A) + H(W_{AB}|A) = H(W_{AB})$.

Example 2. If $\{F_\ell\}$ is a PVM, then

$$\begin{aligned} I(A; B) &= -\begin{pmatrix} \frac{6}{12} \log(\frac{7}{9}) F_1 + \frac{1}{12} \log(\frac{7}{3}) F_2 & O \\ O & \frac{2}{12} \log(\frac{5}{3}) F_1 + \frac{3}{12} \log(\frac{5}{9}) F_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ H(W_{AB}|B) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{6}{12} \log(\frac{8}{6}) F_1 + \frac{1}{12} \log(4) F_2 & O \\ O & \frac{2}{12} \log(\frac{8}{2}) F_1 + \frac{3}{12} \log(\frac{4}{3}) F_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ H_F(A) &= -\begin{pmatrix} \frac{6}{12} \log(\frac{7}{12}) F_1 + \frac{1}{12} \log(\frac{7}{12}) F_2 & O \\ O & \frac{2}{12} \log(\frac{5}{12}) F_1 + \frac{3}{12} \log(\frac{5}{12}) F_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and} \\ H(W_{AB}) &= \begin{pmatrix} \eta(\frac{6}{12}) F_1 + \eta(\frac{1}{12}) F_2 & O \\ O & \eta(\frac{2}{12}) F_1 + \eta(\frac{3}{12}) F_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The following example shows that the matrix entropies include the classical ones as diagonal matrices:

Example 3. For the case $F_k = E_k$, we have $W_{AB} = \frac{1}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 6 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 2 \\ & & & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$A \otimes B = \frac{1}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I(A|B) &= -\frac{1}{36} S \left(\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 18 & & \\ & 3 & \\ & & 6 \\ & & & 9 \end{array} \right) \middle| \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 14 & & \\ & 7 & \\ & & 10 \\ & & & 5 \end{array} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{36} \begin{pmatrix} 18 \log \frac{18}{14} & & & \\ & 3 \log \frac{3}{7} & & \\ & & 6 \log \frac{6}{10} & \\ & & & 9 \log \frac{9}{5} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Unlike the classical case, another equalities for the conditional matrix entropies do not always hold. But if F_ℓ are projections, they hold:

Proposition. *If $\{F_\ell\}$ is a PVM, then the equalities*

$$H(W_{AB}|A) + I(A; B) = H_E(B) \quad \text{and} \quad H_E(B) + H(W_{AB}B) = H(W_{AB})$$

hold.

The following example shows the above inequalities do not hold for POVMs:

Example 4. Let $(w_{k\ell}) = \frac{1}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$, $A = \frac{1}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$,

$$P_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_1 = \frac{1}{4}P_1 + \frac{3}{4}P_2 \quad \text{and} \quad F_2 = \frac{3}{4}P_1 + \frac{1}{4}P_2.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_E(B) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{16} \log \frac{3^3}{2^2} P_1 + \frac{1}{48} \log \frac{3^{19}}{2^{18}} P_2 & \\ & \frac{1}{48} \log \frac{3^{11}}{2^2} P_1 + \frac{1}{16} \log \frac{3^3}{2^2} P_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ H(W_{AB}|A) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{9}{48} \log \frac{28}{9} P_1 + \frac{19}{48} \log \frac{28}{19} P_2 & \\ & \frac{11}{48} \log \frac{20}{11} P_1 + \frac{9}{48} \log \frac{20}{9} P_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \\ I(A; B) &= - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{9}{48} \log \frac{35}{27} P_1 + \frac{19}{48} \log \frac{49}{57} P_2 & \\ & \frac{11}{48} \log \frac{25}{33} P_1 + \frac{9}{48} \log \frac{35}{27} P_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the desired equality does not hold.

参考文献

- [1] V.P.Belavkin and P.Staszewski, C^* -algebraic generalization of relative entropy and entropy, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. A.* **37**(1982), 51–58.
- [2] G.Corach, H.Porta and L.Recht, Geodesics and operator means in the space of positive operators. *Internat. J. Math.* **4** (1993), 193–202.
- [3] G.Corach and A.L.Maestripieri, Differential and metrical structure of positive operators, *Positivity* **3** (1999), 297–315.
- [4] J.I.Fujii and E.Kamei, Relative operator entropy in noncommutative information theory, *Math. Japon.* **34** (1989), 341–348.
- [5] J.I.Fujii and E.Kamei, Uhlmann’s interpolational method for operator means, *Math. Japon.* **34** (1989), 541–547.
- [6] J.I.Fujii and E.Kamei, Interpolational paths and their derivatives, *Math. Japon.* **39** (1993), 557–560.
- [7] J.I.Fujii, Structure of Hiai-Petz parametrized geometry for positive definite matrices, *Linear Algebra. Appl.* **432** (2010), 318–326.
- [8] J.I.Fujii, Path of quasi-means as a geodesic, *Linear Algebra. Appl.* **434** (2011), 542–558.
- [9] F.Hiai and D.Petz, Riemannian metrics on positive definite matrices related to means, *Linear Alg. Appl.* **430** (2009), 3105–3130.
- [10] F.Kubo and T.Ando, Means of positive linear operators, *Math. Ann.* **246** (1980), 205–224.
- [11] M.Nakamura and H.Umegaki, A note on the entropy for operator algebras, *Proc. Jap. Acad.*, **37** (1961), 149–154.
- [12] M.Ohya, On compound state and mutual information in quantum information theory, *IEEE trans. IT*, **29**(1983), 770–774.
- [13] D.Petz, “Quantum Information Theory and Quantum Statistics”, Springer, 2008.
- [14] A.Uhlmann: Relative entropy and the Wigner-Yamase-Dyson-Lieb concavity in an interpolation theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **54** (1977), 22–32.
- [15] H.Umegaki: Conditional expectation in an operator algebra IV, *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.* **14** (1962), 59–85.
- [16] H.Umegaki, Conditional expectation in an operator algebra IV, *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.* **14** (1962), 59–85.