

## Many point reflections at infinity of a time changed reflecting diffusion

Masatoshi Fukushima  
 Osaka University

### 1 Introduction

The boundary problem of a Markov process  $X$  concerns all possible Markovian prolongations of  $X$  beyond its life time  $\zeta$  whenever  $\zeta$  is finite. Let  $Z = (Z_t, \mathbf{Q}_z)$  be a conservative right process on a locally compact separable metric space  $E$  and  $\Delta$  be the point at infinity of  $E$ . Suppose  $Z$  is transient relative to an excessive measure  $m$ : for the 0-order resolvent  $R$  of  $Z$ ,  $Rf(z) < \infty$ ,  $m$ -a.e. for some strictly positive function (or equivalently, for any non-negative function)  $f \in L^1(E; m)$ . Then

$$\mathbf{Q}_z(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_t = \Delta) = 1 \quad \text{for q.e. } x \in E,$$

if  $Rf$  is lower semicontinuous for any non-negative Borel function  $f$  ([FTa]). The last condition is not needed when  $X$  is  $m$ -symmetric ([CF2]).

Take any strictly positive bounded function  $f \in L^1(E; m)$ . Then  $A_t = \int_0^t f(Z_s) ds$ ,  $t \geq 0$  is a strictly increasing PCAF of  $Z$  with  $\mathbf{E}_z^{\mathbf{Q}}[A_\infty] = Rf(x) < \infty$  for q.e.  $x \in E$ . The time changed process  $X = (X_t, \zeta, \mathbf{P}_x)$  of  $Z$  by means of  $A$  is defined by

$$X_t = Z_{\tau_t}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \tau = A^{-1}, \quad \zeta = A_\infty, \quad \mathbf{P}_x = \mathbf{Q}_x, \quad x \in E. \tag{1.1}$$

Since  $\mathbf{P}_x(\zeta < \infty, \lim_{t \rightarrow \zeta} X_t = \Delta) = \mathbf{P}_x(\zeta < \infty) = 1$ , the boundary problem for  $X$  at  $\Delta$  makes perfect sense. For different choices of  $f$ , the corresponding processes  $X$  have the same geometric shapes related each other only by time changes. Thus a study of the boundary problem for  $X$  is a good way to make a close look at a geometric picture of a conservative transient process  $Z$  around  $\Delta$ .

When a right process  $Z$  is  $m$ -symmetric, we can work with the associated Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  on  $L^2(E; m)$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_e$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{ref}}$  be its extended Dirichlet space and its reflected Dirichlet space ([CF2]). Then  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_e \subset \mathcal{F}^{\text{ref}}$  and the inner product  $\mathcal{E}$  is extended from  $\mathcal{F}$  to both spaces. Define the subspace  $\mathcal{H}^*$  of  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{ref}}$  by

$$\mathcal{H}^* = \{u \in \mathcal{F}^{\text{ref}} : \mathcal{E}(u, v) = 0 \quad \text{for any } v \in \mathcal{F}_e\}. \tag{1.2}$$

The stated boundary problem for  $Z$  is closely related to  $\dim(\mathcal{H}^*)$ . The process  $Z$  or the associated Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is said to satisfy a Liouville property if  $\dim(\mathcal{H}^*) = 1$ . We will be concerned with the cases where  $Z$  are the reflecting Brownian motion on an unbounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the distorted Brownian motion on the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

We first consider the reflecting Brownian motion (RBM)  $Z$  on the closure  $\bar{D}$  of a Lipschitz domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  that is a special case of the reflecting diffusion process constructed in [FTo].  $Z$  is always conservative.  $Z$  is symmetric with respect to the Lebesgue measure on  $D$  and the Dirichlet form  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $Z$  on  $L^2(D)$  is given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}, \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) = H^1(D) = \text{BL}(D) \cap L^2(D),$$

where

$$\mathbf{D}(u, v) = \int_D \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx, \quad \text{BL}(D) = \{u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(D) : |\nabla u| \in L^2(D)\}.$$

$\text{BL}(D)$  is the reflected Dirichlet space of  $Z$ .

We requires that

(A.1)  $Z$  is transient,

and accordingly it must be that  $n \geq 3$  and  $D$  is unbounded. When  $d \geq 3$ , an infinite cone  $D$  satisfies (A.1) but an infinite cylinder does not. Under (A.1), the extended Sobolev space  $H_e^1(D)$  is a Hilbert space with inner product  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}$  so that it does not contain any non-zero constant, while  $\text{BL}(D)$  does. Hence  $H_e^1(D)$  is a proper subspace of  $\text{BL}(D)$  and the space  $\mathcal{H}^*(D)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{H}^*(D) = \{u \in \text{BL}(D) : \mathbf{D}(u, v) = 0 \text{ for every } v \in H_e^1(D)\},$$

is a non-trivial family of harmonic functions on  $D$ .

In what follows, we assume that  $n \geq 3$ . A domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is called a *uniform domain* if there exists  $C > 0$  such that, for every  $x, y \in D$ , there is a rectifiable curve  $\gamma$  in  $D$  connecting  $x$  and  $y$  with  $\text{length}(\gamma) \leq C|x - y|$ , and moreover

$$\min\{|x - z|, |z - y|\} \leq C \text{dist}(z, D^c) \quad \text{for every } z \in \gamma.$$

A typical example of a unbounded uniform domain is an infinite cone.

According to [CF1],

- a domain  $D$  containing a unbounded uniform domain satisfies (A.1).
- $Z$  satisfies the Liouville property  $\dim(\mathcal{H}^*(D)) = 1$  whenever  $D \setminus \overline{B_r(\mathbf{0})}$  is a unbounded uniform domain, for some  $r > 0$ .

The proof used the two facts that

- for an unbounded uniform domain  $D$ , any  $u \in \text{BL}(D)$  admits a bounded linear extension to  $\text{BL}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  ([HK]).
- any harmonic function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with finite Dirichlet integral is constant, namely, the RBM on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies the Liouville property  $\dim(\mathcal{H}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)) = 1$  ([B]).

On the other hand,  $\dim(\mathcal{H}^*(D)) = 2$  for a domain with two symmetric cone branches ([CF2]):

$$D = B_1(\mathbf{0}) \cup \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n^2 > \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\}, \quad n \geq 3.$$

This domain is not uniform because of the presence of a bottleneck.

## 2 RBM on a domain with $N$ unbounded uniform branches

In this section, we consider a Lipschitz domain  $D$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , such that

$$(A.2) \quad D \setminus \overline{B_r(\mathbf{0})} = \bigcup_{j=1}^N C_j$$

for some  $r > 0$  and an integer  $N$ , where  $C_1, \dots, C_N$  are unbounded uniform domains whose closures are mutually disjoint.

Obviously  $D$  has the property (A.1).

Let  $\partial_j$  be the point at infinity of the unbounded closed set  $\overline{C}_j$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq N$ . Denote the  $N$ -points set  $\{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_N\}$  by  $F$  and put  $\overline{D}^* = \overline{D} \cup F$ .  $\overline{D}^*$  can be made to be a compact Hausdorff space if we employ as a local base of neighborhoods of each point  $\partial_j \in F$  the neighborhoods of  $\partial_j$  in  $\overline{C}_j \cup \{\partial_j\}$ .  $\overline{D}^*$  may be called the  *$N$ -points compactification of  $\overline{D}$* .

For the RBM  $Z = (Z_t, \mathbf{Q}_z)$  on  $\overline{D}$ , define the *approaching probabilities*  $\varphi_j(x)$  by

$$\varphi_j(x) = \mathbf{Q}_x \left( \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_t = \partial_j \right), \quad x \in \overline{D}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *It holds that*

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(x) = 1. & \varphi_j(x) > 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad \text{for every } x \in \overline{D}, \\ \dim(\mathcal{H}^*(D)) = N. & \mathcal{H}^*(D) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \varphi_j : c_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\}. \end{cases}$$

We fix a strictly positive  $f \in L^1(D)$  and let  $X = (X_t, \zeta, \mathbf{P}_x)$  be the time changed process of  $Z$  by the PCAF  $A_t = \int_0^t f(Z_s) ds$ .  $X$  is then symmetric with respect to  $m(dx) = f(x)dx$  and its Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}^X, \mathcal{F}^X)$  on  $L^2(D; m)$  is given by  $\mathcal{E}^X = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^X = H_e^1(D) \cap L^2(D; m)$ . The reflected Dirichlet space of  $X$  is still  $\text{BL}(D)$ .  $\varphi_j(x)$  can be rewritten as

$$\varphi_j(x) = \mathbf{P}_x(\zeta < \infty, X_{\zeta-} = \partial_j), \quad x \in \overline{D}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

A map  $\Pi$  from the boundary set  $F = \{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_N\}$  onto a finite set  $\widehat{F} = \{\widehat{\partial}_1, \dots, \widehat{\partial}_\ell\}$  with  $\ell \leq N$  is called a *partition* of  $F$ . We let  $\overline{D}^{\Pi,*} = \overline{D} \cup \widehat{F}$ . We extend the map  $\Pi$  from  $F$  to  $\overline{D}^*$  by setting  $\Pi x = x$ ,  $x \in \overline{D}$ , and introduce the *quotient topology* on  $\overline{D}^{\Pi,*}$  by  $\Pi$ , in other words,

$$\mathcal{U}_\Pi = \{U \subset \overline{D}^{\Pi,*} : \Pi^{-1}(U) \text{ is an open subset of } \overline{D}^*\}$$

is taken to be the family of open subsets of  $\overline{D}^{\Pi,*}$ .

$\overline{D}^{\Pi,*}$  is a compact Hausdorff space and may be called an  *$\ell$ -points compactification of  $\overline{D}$*  obtained from  $\overline{D}^*$  by identifying the points in the set  $\Pi^{-1}\widehat{\partial}_i \subset F$  as a single point  $\widehat{\partial}_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ .

Given a partition  $\Pi$  of  $F$ , the approaching probabilities  $\widehat{\varphi}_i$  of the time changed RBM  $X = (X_t, \zeta, \mathbf{P}_x)$  to  $\widehat{\partial}_i \in \widehat{F}$  are defined by

$$\widehat{\varphi}_i(x) = \sum_{j \in \Pi^{-1}\widehat{\partial}_i} \varphi_j(x), \quad x \in \overline{D}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \ell.$$

The measure  $m(dx) = f(x)dx$  is extended from  $\overline{D}$  to  $\overline{D}^{\Pi,*}$  by setting  $m(\widehat{F}) = 0$ .

- $\widehat{\varphi}_i$  is strictly positive on  $\overline{D}$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ,
- $m$  is a finite measure on  $\overline{D}$
- $G^X g = G^Z(fg)$  is lower semicontinuous for the 0-order resolvent  $G^X$  (resp.  $G^Z$ ) of  $X$  (resp.  $Z$ ) and any non-negative Borel function  $g$  on  $\overline{D}$ .

Thus all requirements for the unique existence of  $\ell$ -point extension of  $X$  from  $\overline{D}$  to  $\overline{D}^{\Pi,*}$  in Section 7.7 of [CF2] are fulfilled.

**Theorem 2.2.** *There exists a unique  $m$ -symmetric recurrent diffusion extension  $X^{\Pi,*}$  of  $X$  from  $\bar{D}$  to  $\bar{D}^{\Pi,*}$ . The Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}^{\Pi,*}, \mathcal{F}^{\Pi,*})$  of  $X^{\Pi,*}$  on  $L^2(\bar{D}^{\Pi,*}; m)$  ( $= L^2(D; m)$ ) admits the extended Dirichlet space expressed as*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{F}_e^{\Pi,*} = H_e^1(D) \oplus \{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i \widehat{\varphi}_i : c_i \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \text{BL}(D), \\ \mathcal{E}^{\Pi,*}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}(u, v), \quad u, v \in \mathcal{F}_e^{\Pi,*}. \end{cases}$$

Actually the family  $\{X^{\Pi,*} : \Pi \text{ is a partition of } F\}$  exhausts all possible  $m$ -symmetric conservative diffusion extensions of the time changed RBM  $X$  on  $\bar{D}$  as will be formulated below. Let  $E$  be a Lusin space into which  $\bar{D}$  is homeomorphically embedded as an open subset. The measure  $m(dx) = f(x)dx$  on  $\bar{D}$  is extended to  $E$  by setting  $m(E \setminus \bar{D}) = 0$ . Let  $Y = (Y_t, \mathbf{P}_x^Y)$  be an  $m$ -symmetric conservative diffusion process on  $E$  whose part process on  $\bar{D}$  is identical in law with  $X$ . The following theorem extends Theorem 3.4 in [CF1] (the case that  $N = 1$ ).

**Theorem 2.3.** *There exists a partition  $\Pi$  of  $F$  such that  $E$  is quasi-homeomorphic with  $\bar{D}^{\Pi,*}$  and  $Y$  is a quasi-homeomorphic image of  $X^{\Pi,*}$ .*

### Outline of a proof of Theorem 2.3

Let  $\mathcal{E}^Y$  be the Dirichlet form of  $Y$  on  $L^2(E; m)$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}^Y$  is quasi-regular, we can use a quasi homeomorphism to assume

- $E$  is a locally compact separable metric space,
- $\mathcal{E}^Y$  is a regular Dirichlet form on  $L^2(E; m)$ ,
- $Y$  is an associated Hunt process on  $E$ ,
- $\tilde{F} := E \setminus \bar{D}$  is quasi-closed.

As  $Y$  is a conservative extension of the non-conservative process  $X$ ,  $\tilde{F}$  is not  $\mathcal{E}^Y$ -polar. Every function in  $\mathcal{F}_e^Y$  will be taken to be  $\mathcal{E}^Y$ -quasi continuous. By Theorem 7.1.6 of [CF2], one can conclude that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{F}_e^Y \subset \text{BL}(D), \quad \mathcal{H}^Y := \{\mathbf{H}u : u \in \mathcal{F}_e^Y\} \subset \mathcal{H}^*, \\ \mathcal{E}^Y(u, u) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}(u, u) + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{(\mathbf{H}u)}^c(\tilde{F}), \quad u \in \mathcal{F}_e^Y, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbf{H}u(x) = \mathbf{E}_x^Y[u(Y_{\sigma_{\tilde{F}}})]$ ,  $x \in E$ . We show that

$$\mu_{(u)}^c(\tilde{F}) = 0 \quad u \in \mathcal{H}^Y. \quad (2.1)$$

Take any  $u \in \mathcal{H}^Y$ . Theorem 2.1 and the above inclusion imply that  $u = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \varphi_j$  for some constants  $c_j$ . As  $u$  is continuous along the sample path of  $Y$ ,  $u$  takes only the values  $\{c_1, \dots, c_N\}$  on the boundary  $\tilde{F}$   $\nu$ -almost everywhere where

$$\nu(B) = \int_{\bar{D}} \mathbf{P}_x^Y \left( Y_{\sigma_{\tilde{F}}} \in B, \sigma_{\tilde{F}} < \infty \right) m(dx), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(E).$$

Since  $\tilde{F}$  is a quasi-support of  $\nu$ ,  $u$  takes only the values  $\{c_1, \dots, c_N\}$  quasi-everywhere on  $\tilde{F}$ . (2.1) then follows from the image measure density property of  $\mu_{(u)}^c$  due to Bouleau-Hirsch.

Define a partition  $\Pi$  of  $F$  by means of the values taken by functions in  $\mathcal{H}^Y$  along the path of  $X$  to obtain

$$(\mathcal{F}_e^Y, \mathcal{E}^Y) = (\mathcal{F}_e^{\Pi,*}, \mathcal{E}^{\Pi,*}).$$

Both being quasi-regular, they are related by a quasi-homeomorphism of their underlying spaces.

**Remark 2.4.** Given measurable functions  $a_{ij}(x)$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , on  $D$  such that

$$a_{ij}(x) = a_{ji}(x), \quad \Lambda^{-1}|\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \leq \Lambda|\xi|^2, \quad x \in D, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for some constant  $\Lambda \geq 1$ , we define a Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{A}, H^1(D))$  on  $L^2(D)$  by

$$\mathcal{A}(u, v) = \int_D \sum_{i, j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j}(x) dx, \quad u, v \in H^1(D).$$

If we replace the Dirichlet form  $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}, H^1(D))$  on  $L^2(D)$  and the associated RBM  $Z$  on  $\bar{D}$ , respectively, by  $(\mathcal{A}, H^1(D))$  and the associated reflecting diffusion process on  $\bar{D}$  constructed in [FTo], all assertions stated above remain valid with no essential change.

By this replacement, the extended Dirichlet space and the reflected Dirichlet space are still  $H_e^1(D)$  and  $\text{BL}(D)$ , respectively, although the inner product  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}$  is replaced by  $\mathcal{A}$ . It suffices to notice that any function in  $\text{BL}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a sum of a function in  $H_e^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and a constant  $c$  and  $\mathcal{A}(c, c) = 0$ .

### 3 Liouville property of energy forms on $\mathbb{R}^n$

In this section, we consider a positive Borel function  $\rho$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that is locally bounded above and locally uniformly bounded away from 0, and an associated form

$$\mathcal{E}^\rho(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) \rho(x) dx. \quad (3.1)$$

$(\mathcal{E}^\rho, C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$  is closable on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$  and the closure  $(\mathcal{E}^\rho, \mathcal{F}^\rho)$  (called an *energy form*) is a strongly local regular Dirichlet form on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . It is irreducible ([FOT, Theorem 4.6.4]). In general, an irreducible recurrent Dirichlet form enjoys the Liouville property in view of [CF2, Lemma 6.7.3]. It therefore suffices to consider only the transient case in order to study the Liouville property of  $\mathcal{E}^\rho$ . We shall examine this property when  $\rho(x)$  is a positive smooth function depending only on the radial part of the variable  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any positive smooth function  $\eta$  on  $[0, \infty)$ , let  $\rho(x) = \eta(|x|)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}^\rho$  satisfies the Liouville property when  $n \geq 2$ .*

*When  $n = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{E}^\rho$  satisfies the Liouville property in recurrent case but  $\dim(\mathcal{H}^*) = 2$  in transient case.*

**Proof.** According to Theorem 1.6.7 in the first edition of [FOT],  $\mathcal{E}^\rho$  is transient if and only if

$$(T) \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\eta(r)r^{n-1}} dr < \infty.$$

In what follows, we assume that  $\eta$  satisfies condition (T).

It then follows from  $1/r = (r^{n-3}\eta(r))^{1/2}(\eta(r)r^{n-1})^{-1/2}$  and the Schwarz inequality that

$$\int_1^\infty r^{n-3}\eta(r) dr = \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

We use the polar coordinate

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = r \cos \theta_1 \\ x_2 = r \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \\ x_3 = r \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cos \theta_3 \\ \dots \\ x_{n-1} = r \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cdots \sin \theta_{n-2} \cos \theta_{n-1} \\ x_n = r \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \cdots \sin \theta_{n-2} \sin \theta_{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

Then, for  $u, v \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}^\rho(u, v) \\ &= \int_{[0, \infty) \times [0, \pi]^{n-2} \times [0, 2\pi]} \left[ u_r v_r + \frac{u_{\theta_1} v_{\theta_1}}{r^2} + \frac{u_{\theta_2} v_{\theta_2}}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta_1} + \cdots + \frac{u_{\theta_{n-1}} v_{\theta_{n-1}}}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta_1 \cdots \sin^2 \theta_{n-2}} \right] \\ & \quad \times \eta(r) r^{n-1} \sin^{n-2} \theta_1 \cdots \sin \theta_{n-2} dr d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

For a smooth function  $u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{E}^\eta(u, u)$  the value of the integral of the right hand side of (3.3) for  $v = u$ .

As in the case that  $\rho = 1$ , the reflected Dirichlet space of  $\mathcal{E}^\rho$  is given by

$$\mathcal{F}^{\rho, \text{ref}} = \{u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \eta(|x|) dx < \infty\}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{H}^* = \{u \in \mathcal{F}^{\rho, \text{ref}} : \mathcal{E}^\rho(u, v) = 0 \text{ for every } v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$ , it follows from (3.3) that  $u \in \mathcal{H}^*$  if and only if

$$u \text{ is smooth, } \mathcal{E}^\eta(u, u) < \infty \text{ and } \mathcal{L}u(x) = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}u(r, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} (u_r \cdot \eta(r) r^{n-1})_r + \frac{\eta(r)}{r^2 \sin^{n-2} \theta_1} (u_{\theta_1} \sin^{n-2} \theta_1)_{\theta_1} + \frac{\eta(r)}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta_1 \sin^{n-3} \theta_2} (u_{\theta_2} \sin^{n-3} \theta_2)_{\theta_2} \\ &+ \cdots + \frac{\eta(r)}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta_1 \cdots \sin^2 \theta_{n-3} \sin \theta_{n-2}} (u_{\theta_{n-2}} \sin \theta_{n-2})_{\theta_{n-2}} + \frac{\eta(r)}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta_1 \cdots \sin^2 \theta_{n-2}} (u_{\theta_{n-1}})_{\theta_{n-1}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Now take any function  $u \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . We claim that

$$u_{\theta_{n-1}} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Put  $w = u_{\theta_{n-1}}$ . Due to the expression (3.5) of  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}w = (\mathcal{L}u)_{\theta_{n-1}} = 0$ , namely,  $w$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic. For  $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| < r\}$  and the uniform probability measure  $\Pi(d\xi)$  on  $\partial B_1$ ,  $w$  therefore admits the Poisson integral formula

$$w(x) = \int_{\partial B_1} K_r(x, r\xi) w(r\xi) \Pi(d\xi), \quad x \in B_r, \quad (3.7)$$

where  $K_r(x, r\xi)$  is the Poisson kernel for  $B_r$  with respect to  $\mathcal{L}$ , which is known to be continuous in  $(x, \xi) \in B_r \times \partial B_1$ . We also note that  $K_r(0, r\xi) = 1$  for any  $\xi \in \partial B_1$  by the rotation invariance of  $\mathcal{L}$  around the origin 0.

Fix  $a > 0$ . It then holds For any  $r > a$  that

$$K_r(x, r\xi_2) = \int_{\partial B_a} K_a(x, a\xi_1) K_r(a\xi_1, r\xi_2) \Pi(d\xi_1), \quad x \in B_q, \xi_2 \in \partial B_1.$$

Hence, if we let  $\sup_{x \in B_{a/2}, \xi_1 \in \partial B_1} K_a(x, a\xi_1) = C_a < \infty$ , then, for  $x \in B_{a/2}, \xi_2 \in \partial B_1$ ,

$$K_r(x, r\xi_2) \leq C_a \int_{\partial B_1} K_r(a\xi_1, r\xi_2) \Pi(d\xi_1) = C_a K_r(0, r\xi_2) = C_a,$$

and it follows from (3.7) that

$$|w(x)| \leq C_a \int_{\partial B_1} |w(r\xi)| \Pi(d\xi), \quad x \in B_{a/2}, \quad r > a.$$

Recall that  $w = u_{\theta_{n-1}}$ . We multiply the both hand side of the above inequality by  $r^{n-3}\eta(r)$ , integrate in  $r$  from  $a$  to  $R$ , apply the Schwarz inequality and finally use the expression (3.3) to get

$$|u_{\theta_{n-1}}(x)| \leq \frac{C_a}{\sqrt{\sigma_n}} \left[ \int_a^R r^{n-3} \eta(r) dr \right]^{-1/2} \cdot \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^\eta(u, u)}, \quad x \in B_{a/2},$$

which tends to 0 as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  by (3.2). Since  $a > 0$  is arbitrary, we arrive at (3.6).

It also holds that

$$u_{\theta_k} = 0 \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq k \leq n-1. \quad (3.8)$$

In fact, if we let  $\xi_i = \frac{x_i}{r}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \partial B_1$ , then  $\theta_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ , is an angle of two  $n$ -vectors  $\xi^{(k)} = \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0, \xi_k, \dots, \xi_n)}_{k-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_k = \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)}_{k-1}$ . Consider the

subspace  $V$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  spanned by  $\xi^k$  and  $\mathbf{e}_k$  and take a unit vector  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$  in  $V$  orthogonal to  $\mathbf{e}_k$ . Let  $O$  be an orthogonal matrix whose  $(n-1)$ -th and  $n$ -th column vectors are  $\mathbf{e}_k$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ , respectively. We make the orthogonal transformation  $\mathbf{y} = {}^t O \mathbf{x}$ . Then  $\theta_k$  equals an angle of two vectors on the  $(y_{n-1}, y_n)$ -plane in the new coordinate system  $\mathbf{y}$  and (3.6) applies.

Thus  $u$  depends only on  $r$  and, in terms of a scale function  $ds(r) = \frac{dr}{\eta(r)r^{n-1}}$  on  $(0, \infty)$ , (3.3) and (3.6) are reduced, respectively, to

$$\mathcal{E}^\eta(u, u) = \sigma_n \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{du(r)}{ds(r)} \right)^2 ds(r), \quad \mathcal{L}u(r) = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dr} \cdot \frac{du(r)}{ds(r)}.$$

By (3.4),  $\mathcal{L}u = 0$  so that  $u(r) = C_1 + C_2 s(r)$ ,  $r > 0$ , for some constant  $C_1, C_2$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}^\eta(s, s) = \sigma_n \cdot s(0, \infty)$  is finite if and only if  $n = 1$ , we get the desired conclusions from (3.4).  $\square$

It is conjectured that the energy form  $\mathcal{E}^\rho$  satisfies the Liouville property for any  $\rho$  prescribed in the above of (3.1) when  $n \geq 2$ .

The diffusion process  $Z$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  associated with  $\mathcal{E}^\rho$  is called the *distorted Brownian motion*. Let  $X$  be its time changed process defined as (1.1) by means of  $m(dx) = f(x)dx$  for a strictly positive bounded function  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\Delta\}$  be the one point compactification of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $\mathcal{E}^\rho$  satisfies the Liouville property, then it can be shown as [CF1, Theorem 3.4] that any  $m$ -symmetric proper diffusion extension of  $X$  shares the same finite dimensional distribution with the one-point reflection of  $X$  at  $\Delta$ . See [F2] for more details on these points.

## References

- [B] M. Brelot, Étude et extensions du principe de Dirichlet, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **3**(1953/54), 371-419
- [CF1] Z.-Q. Chen and M. Fukushima, On unique extension of time changed reflecting Brownian motions, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **45** (2009), 864-875
- [CF2] Z.-Q. Chen and M. Fukushima, *Symmetric Markov Processes, Time Change and Boundary Theory*, Princeton University Press, 2011
- [CF3] Z.-Q. Chen and M. Fukushima, One-point reflections, *Stochastic Process Appl.* **125**(2015), 1368-1393 f Dirichlet forms, *Nagoya Math. J* **136**(1994), 1-15
- [F1] M. Fukushima, From one dimensional diffusions to symmetric Markov processes, *Stochastic Process Appl.* **120**(2010), 590-604
- [F2] M. Fukushima, A note on Liouville property of harmonic functions of finite energy, at Workshop on Probability at Kansai University, January 31, 2015
- [FOT] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima and M. Takeda, *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*, de Gruyter, 1994, 2nd revised Edition, 2010
- [FTa] M. Fukushima and M. Takeda, *Markov Processes* (in Japanese), Baifukan, Tokyo, 2008, Chinese translation by P.He, ed. by J. Ying, Science Press, Beijing, 2011
- [FTo] M. Fukushima and M. Tomisaki, Construction and decomposition of reflecting diffusions on Lipschitz domains with Hölder cusps, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **106**(1996), 521-557
- [HK] D.A. Herron and P. Koskela, Uniform Sobolev extension and quasiconformal circle domains, *J. Anal. Math.* **57**(1991), 172-202
- [MR] Z.-M. Ma and M. Röckner, *Introduction to the Theory of (non-symmetric) Dirichlet forms*, Springer, 1992
- [P] Ross G. Pinsky, Transience/recurrence for normally reflected Brownian motion in unbounded domains, *Ann. Probab.* **37**(2009), 676-686

Osaka University

E-mail address: fuku2@mx5.canvas.ne.jp

大阪大学 福島 正俊