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1 Introduction

This manuscript contains a summary of [5] and new related gures. As one of the violent ow, tornadoes occur in many place of the world. In order to reduce the loss of human lives and material damage caused by tornadoes, there are many research methods. One of the effective methods is numerical simulation. The swirling structure is signi cant both in mathematical analysis and the numerical simulations of tornadoes. In the work [5], we try to clarify the swirling structure. More precisely, we do numerical computations on axi-symmetric Navier-Stokes ow with no-slip at boundary. We compare a hyperbolic ow with swirl and one without swirl and observe some phenomena occur only in the swirl case. Our main purpose in this work is to combine the point of view from mathematical analysis (especially regularity results) and numerical approach to observe phenomena which highly related to the structure of tornadoes.

More precisely, we consider a local behavior of the 3D-Navier-Stokes ow near a saddle point (with hyperbolic ow configuration) and no-slip at boundary. The Navier-Stokes equations with no-slip at boundary are expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t v + (v \cdot \nabla)v - \nu \Delta v + \nabla p &= 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^3_+ \times [0, T),
\v_0 = v|_{t=0}, \quad v|_{\partial \mathbb{R}^3_+} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot v &= 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^3_+ \times [0, T),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( v \) is a vector eld representing velocity of the uid, and \( p \) is the pressure. The term “hyperbolic ow con guration” which used here and after means there is \( \delta > 0 \) (depending on \( t \)) such that \( v(t, x) \cdot e_z > 0, \ v(t, x) \cdot e_r(x) < 0, \) or \( v(t, x) \cdot e_z < 0, \ v(t, x) \cdot e_r(x) > 0 \) for \( 0 < |x_h| < \delta \) and \( 0 < x_3 < \delta , \) where \( e_z = (0, 0, 1), \ e_r(x) = (x_1/|x_h|, x_2/|x_h|, 0) \) and \( |x_h| = \sqrt{\frac{x_1^2}{4} + \frac{x_2^2}{4}}. \)

At rst, let us look back the history of Navier-Stokes equations brie y. After the pioneering work of Leray (1934) and Hopf (1951), many different regularity criteria of solutions to (1.1) was established by many researchers working in the regularity theory.
of (1.1). For example, a regularity criterion along streamlines (characteristic curves) was constructed (see [2]). Besides these, other important works such as [4], in which type I blow up was excluded for solutions to (1.1) under a regularity condition on the vorticity direction in the half space to the case of the no-slip boundary condition (see also [1], which is the pioneer work in this field), and [3, 8] for axisymmetric solutions to (1.1)

In other hand, from literatures (such as [7]), it is natural to consider that the hyperbolic flow with swirl and saddle point on the boundary might be the key structure of flow and probable place for instability effects occur near the no-slip flat boundary. Although there are many fruitful results based on mathematical analysis as we recalled above, it is not easy to analyze locally such fluid mechanics to go a step further mathematically. Thus, it should be effective for us to attempt numerical approach.

In our numerical computation in [5], we use the following cylindrical domain

$$\Omega := \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : -\frac{1}{8} < x_3 < \frac{1}{2}, \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} < 1\} \quad (1.2)$$

with no-slip boundary condition

$$v = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega. \quad (1.3)$$

We set the initial data in the following manner.

$$u_r = \text{sign}(z)(\frac{1}{r^2 + 1}) \quad u_\theta = (\frac{1}{r^2 + 1})(\frac{1}{z^2 + 1}) \quad u_z = (\frac{1}{r^2 + 1})(\frac{1}{z^2 + 1})$$

As for the no-swirl case we only change $u_\theta = 0$. For the figures of initial data, we refer the readers to [5, figure 1].

The following are the main results in [5]. We showed the clear structure for the axisymmetric hyperbolic flow with swirl and observed the following phenomena which are distinctly different from those without swirl: (1) The distance between the maximum point of the velocity and the $z$-axis is drastically changing around some time we called it turning point (Refer to [5, figure 2]). (2) The velocity increases and obtains its extreme value (maximum) near the axis of symmetry and the boundary when time is close to the turning points (Refer to [5, figure 2]). The comparison of these results with studies on tornadoes might help in understanding the behavior of the velocity of wind near the ground which is very significant in the research on tornadoes for reducing the damage cause by tornadoes or similar phenomena (Also refer to [5, figure 3] for no swirl case). (3) The downward flow near the $z$-axis in the swirl case was observed (Refer to [5, figure 6]). The downward wind inside the core of real tornado was also observed in a two-celled vortex structure in the studies of numerical simulations for time-averaged velocity, as shown in [6, figure 4(b)]. By comparing our observation with studies on tornadoes might enhance our understanding about the behavior inside the core of a tornado for a high swirl ratio.

For the details and more references we refer the readers to [5]. We present new related figures in the following section.
2 Numerical results

In this section we compare the axial velocity in the swirl case and the no swirl case. The work by [5] implies that the flow dissipates in a straightforward manner as $t$ increases in the no swirl case and that an interesting flow structure is observed near the $z$-axis in the swirl case (Refer to [5, figure 6]). A downward flow arises near the $z$-axis at approximately $t = 0.3$, and the maximum value of $|v|$ is attained near the $z$-axis and the lower boundary at approximately the same time ($t = 0.3$). Those phenomena are observed only in the swirl case. Comparing to the swirl case, there are no such kind of downward flows in the no swirl case. We can observe from Figure 1 that the axial velocity near the $z$-axis is always in the same direction, which shows the phenomena near the $z$-axis is totally different for the swirl case and the no swirl case. This observation is highly related to the researches on the behavior inside the core of tornadoes for different swirl ratios. From the studies of tornadoes, the vortex structures are different for low swirl ratio (note that the swirl ratio of no swirl flow is zero) and high swirl ratio. The upward flow near the $z$-axis observed in [5] is also observed inside the core of tornadoes in the high swirl ratio flows.

For more references, refer to [4] for regularity results, refer to [9] for numerical studies of the Navier-Stokes and Euler equations, refer to [6] for studies on tornado-like vortices, and refer to [10, 11, 12, 13] for the stabilized Lagrange-Galerkin (finite element) scheme used in [5] and this summary manuscript.
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Figure 1: Time evolution of the axial velocity $u_z$ on the plane $x_1 = 0$ in the no swirl case with $Re = 50,000$. $t = 0.1$ (top left), 0.3 (top right), 1.0 (middle left), 1.3 (middle right), 2.3 (bottom left) and 3.0 (bottom right). Note that the red and blue colors represent positive and negative values in this figure.


