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Epileptic network of hypothalamic hamartoma: an EEG-fMRI study
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Highlights:

• We performed EEG-fMRI in eight HH patients with GS.
• EEG-fMRI revealed activation in or around the hypothalamus in 6/8 patients.
• Activation in subcortical tissues and deactivation including DMN were found.
• Subcortical network and DMN can be related each to GS and epileptic encephalopathy.
• EEG-fMRI enhances sensitivity in detecting the HH interface compared with SISCOM.
ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate the brain networks involved in epileptogenesis/encephalopathy associated with hypothalamic hamartoma (HH) by EEG with functional MRI (EEG-fMRI), and evaluate its efficacy in locating the HH interface in comparison with subtraction ictal SPECT coregistered to MRI (SISCOM).

Methods: Eight HH patients underwent EEG-fMRI. All had gelastic seizures (GS) and 7 developed other seizure types. Using a general linear model, spike-related activation/deactivation was analyzed individually by applying a hemodynamic response function before, at, and after spike onset (time-shift model = -8 ~ +4 s). Group analysis was also performed. The sensitivity of EEG-fMRI in identifying the HH interface was compared with SISCOM in HH patients having unilateral hypothalamic attachment.

Results: EEG-fMRI revealed activation and/or deactivation in subcortical structures and neocortices in all patients. 6/8 patients showed activation in or around the hypothalamus with the HH interface with time-shift model before spike onset. Group analysis showed common activation in the ipsilateral hypothalamus, brainstem tegmentum, and contralateral cerebellum. Deactivation occurred in the default mode network (DMN) and bilateral hippocampi. Among 5 patients with unilateral hypothalamic attachment, activation in or around the ipsilateral hypothalamus was seen in 3 using EEG-fMRI, whereas hyperperfusion was seen in 1 by SISCOM.

Significance: Group analysis of this preliminary study may suggest that the commonly activated subcortical network is related to generation of GS and that frequent spikes lead to deactivation of the DMN and hippocampi, and eventually to a form of epileptic encephalopathy. Inter-individual variance in neocortex activation explains various seizure types among patients. EEG-fMRI enhances sensitivity in detecting the HH interface compared with SISCOM.
Keywords: Gelastic seizure; Epileptic encephalopathy; Subcortical epilepsy; EEG-fMRI; Ictal SPECT
1. INTRODUCTION

Hypothalamic hamartoma (HH) is a rare developmental malformation that has provided important insight about epileptology (Berkovic et al., 1988; Mullati et al., 2003; Striano et al., 2012). HH is characterized by gelastic seizures (GS) which occur in almost all patients. Such patients often develop other seizure types, i.e., partial and generalized seizures, and cognitive/behavioral problems including memory deficits and mental retardation. Previous studies showed that HH per se accounts for the generation of these seizures (Kahane et al., 2003; Kameyama et al., 2010; Kameyama et al., 2009; Kuzniecky, 2004; Munari et al., 1995; Striano et al., 2012; Wethe et al., 2013). Therefore, the unique spectrum of symptoms in HH has been regarded as the model of subcortical epilepsy and epileptic encephalopathy (Kameyama et al., 2010; Striano et al., 2012). However, it remains unknown how the epileptic activity propagates, and how cognitive/behavioral dysfunction develops. For example, the networks associated with GS remain elusive, although the mammillo-thalamo-cingulate tract from HH or the pathway from the HH to the brainstem and cerebellum has been postulated (Kahane et al., 2003; Kameyama et al., 2010). Therapeutically, stereotactic radiofrequency thermocoagulation (SRT) has become one of the most useful surgical interventions. SRT of the HH interface has yielded better outcomes for seizure freedom and fewer surgical complications than a direct approach (Kameyama et al., 2010; Kameyama et al., 2009). Subtraction ictal SPECT coregistered to MRI (SISCOM) has been used for locating the HH interface (Kameyama et al., 2010), however, it is time-consuming and the likelihood of detecting the HH interface individually is not high.

Here, we performed EEG with fMRI (EEG-fMRI) on eight patients with HH. EEG-fMRI can detect blood-oxygen-level dependent (BOLD) changes that are related to interictal discharges identified from scalp EEG (Lemieux et al., 2001; Warach et al., 1996). It was reported to be clinically useful for localizing the epileptic focus and investigating epileptic
network even in the deep brain structures (Gotman and Pittau, 2011; Vulliemoz et al., 2010).
In addition, it possibly predicts postsurgical outcome non-invasively although its clinical utility in comparison with other techniques, e.g. ictal SPECT, has been not determined (Chaudhary et al., 2013). With these in mind, we expected EEG-fMRI to clarify the common brain networks associated with subcortical epileptogenesis/encephalopathy in HH patients. Furthermore, we evaluated the clinical usefulness of EEG-fMRI in locating the HH interface in comparison with SISCOM (Kameyama et al., 2010). We thought EEG-fMRI would be an option for presurgical investigation, because it could provide us with the interictal epileptic network that could complement the findings of SISCOM in a relatively less time-consuming fashion.

2. METHODS

2.1 Patients
Subjects were eight patients (pts.) with HH (age 1–27 years) (Table 1) who were examined at the Kyoto University Hospital from August 2011 to June 2013. Subjects included three patients who had received surgical intervention for HH in the past (pt. 2 as partial resection; pt. 6 as partial resection and SRT; pt. 7 as partial resection followed by infarction of the left hemisphere and gamma-knife surgery) with persisting seizures. Hamartomas were classified by the Delalande classification, which is based on the plane of insertion on the hypothalamus to help choose the best surgical route (Type I: horizontal implantation plane, Type II: vertical insertion plane and intraventricular location, Type III: combination of Types I and II. Type IV: all giant hamartomas for which no specific surgical procedures can be recommended) (Delalande and Fohlen, 2003). All the patients or parents of patients who were not capable of consent gave written informed consent. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of our institute (IRB#E217).
2.2 EEG-fMRI acquisition

EEG was obtained during fMRI, using a custom-made MR-compatible cap with 19 (for pt. 5) or 23 (for other patients) Ag/AgCl scalp electrodes based on the 10-20 System, including Fp1, Fp2, F7, F3, F4, F8, T7, C3, C4, T8, TP9, P7, P3, P4, P8, TP10, O1, and O2 referenced to Cz (T1, T2, Fz, and Pz were added optionally) (EASYCAP, EASYCAP GmbH, Herrsching, Germany). Electrocardiogram was also recorded. All the signals were transmitted from an MR-compatible amplifier (BrainAmp MR plus, Brain Products GmbH, Munch, Germany: sampled at 5 kHz) through an optic cable to a computer outside the MR scanner room and stored on the computer. The EEG system was synchronized with the MRI scanner clock.

A 3 Tesla MR scanner was used (Trio, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). The MRI sequence for the echo planar imaging (EPI), i.e., blood oxygenation-level dependent (BOLD) fMRI (repetition time [TR] = 3,000 ms; echo time [TE] = 30 ms; flip angle [FA] = 90°; field of view [FOV] = 192 × 192 mm; voxel size = 3 × 3 × 3 mm) was acquired with a one-channel bird-cage head coil. The initial two scans were discarded to ascertain the steady-state of the magnetization. Motion artifacts were minimized as much as possible by stabilizing patients’ heads with a pillow filled with foam microspheres. Special care was taken to ensure the patients’ comfort. Scans lasted 30–90 min in several runs per patient. T1-weighted, magnetization-prepared, rapid acquisition with gradient echo (MPRAGE) images (TR = 2,000 ms; TE = 4.38 ms; FA = 8°; FOV = 176 × 192 mm; voxel size = 1 × 1 × 1 mm) were also acquired with an eight-channel phased-array head coil for coregistration of the fMRI results. By using this coil we expected to acquire a clearer anatomical image than one-channel coil does.
In all patients, \( \text{SpO}_2 \) was monitored in preparation for hypoxemia by a pulse oximeter under constant observation by a medical doctor in the scanner room. In order to perform the scan safely with minimal motion artifacts, trichrofos, midazolam, or pentobarbital were used to sedate patients, though pentobarbital was mainly used (Table 1).

### 2.3 EEG-fMRI pre-processing

First, MR and ballistocardiogram artifacts were removed in an offline manner according to a previously established method (Allen et al., 2000; Allen et al., 1998). EEG was down-sampled to 250 Hz by BrainVision ANALYZER software (Brain Products GmbH, Munich, Germany). In addition, the EEG signals were processed with 30-Hz low-pass and 0.53-Hz high-pass filters using an in-house script in Matlab. The filtered EEG signals were inspected by a certified electroencephalographer (R.M.), and the occurrence times of interictal paroxysmal discharges were marked (See an example of identified interictal discharges in Figure 1A). The interictal findings eligible for marking were chosen based on the criteria that (1) their waveform and location corresponded with those in EEG that was taken clinically before and also based on 10-20 system, and (2) when spikes were defined from multiple foci, the most predominant and frequent population was chosen for the robust BOLD changes.

Functional data were pre-processed and analyzed using the FMRIB Software Library v5.0 (FSL, www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl). The echo planar imaging (EPI) data underwent motion correction, and were unwarped according to field-map data by FSL, and smoothed with a Gaussian kernel with a full-width at half-maximum of 5 mm, and high-pass filtered (cutoff: 100 s).

### 2.4 Individual EEG-fMRI analysis
fMRI data sets were analyzed based on an event-related design using a general linear model (FEAT program, part of FSL [FMRIB’s Software Library, www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl]). For the timing of spikes as events, a canonical hemodynamic response function (HRF) consisting of a double gamma function was convolved (phase = 0 s; standard deviations = 3 s, mean lag = 6 s). Then, considering the possibility that the patterns of BOLD signal change differ in different areas of the brain, multiple time-shift models in which HRF was applied before and after spikes were also produced (t = -8, -6, -4, -2, +2, +4 s) (Figure 1B). Previous studies revealed that this technique successfully revealed BOLD signal changes preceding focal- and generalized spike discharges (Hawco et al., 2007; Jacobs et al., 2009; Moeller et al., 2008). Each time-shift model related to spikes was used as the explanatory variable of interest, and eight nuisance covariates (six head motion parameters, and signals from the CSF and white matter [WM]) were regressed out as in a previous fMRI study (Fox et al., 2005). Basically, the areas of the CSF and WM were extracted from a standard brain image in MNI standard space (ICBM-152: “MNI152_T1_2mm.nii.gz”) and non-linearly coregistered to the anatomy image in each patient using FNIRT (www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fnirt). These processes were done successfully with “fsl_anat” script in almost all cases. After binarization (0 or 1) of the CSF and WM voxels, the images were coregistered to EPI space. In this coregistration process, each voxel has one probabilistic value (0–1) for each patient’s CSF and WM. The time course of the BOLD signal of the eigenvalues was calculated for each region where the value was ≥ 0.95 after the voxels with artifacts around the air-tissue interface were excluded manually. Each of these values was then used to regress out the effect of the CSF and WM, which reportedly involve much noise caused by the cardiac and respiratory cycles (Dagli et al., 1999; Windischberger et al., 2002); thus, we expected that this process enabled us to sensitively detect activation/deactivation of the small region near the ventricle, i.e., the hypothalamus. Time-series analysis was carried out using FILM with local autocorrelation
correction (Woolrich et al., 2001). In the individual EPI space, Z-statistic images were
thresholded using clusters determined by $Z > 2.3$ at voxel level and a corrected cluster
significance level of $p < 0.007$ (0.05/7; Bonferroni correction was made because we used
seven time-series models individually) (Worsley, 2001). The images were then coregistered
to each anatomy image. The laterality concordance between EEG spikes and cortical
activation was blindly evaluated by an author (R.M.) who did not analyze fMRI data. The
laterality of spikes was defined by the side on which focal spikes occurred, or the side on
which spikes had larger amplitude (twice the height of the other side), in the case of a
bilateral or generalized spike. The laterality of the fMRI cortical activation was defined by
the side containing the most significant cluster of cortical positive BOLD responses with
early time-shift models ($t = -8 \sim 0 \, s$). The results of earlier time shift models (-8 \sim 0 \, s) were
used for comparison based on previous reports (Hawco et al., 2007; Jacobs et al., 2009;
Moeller et al., 2008) because later models could involve the zones epileptic activity finally
spread to other than the areas that generate spikes.

2.5 Group analysis

Excluding one patient (pt. 5, 1-year-old female) in whom coregistration of the CSF and WM
was impossible, a random-effects group analysis was carried out using the FEAT program in
seven patients. Before analysis, MRI images were flipped in the left-right dimension in the
case of (1) patients with only the left side attachment or (2) those with left dominant
activation of the hypothalamus in the individual analysis (in case of bilateral attachment). Z-
statistic images were thresholded using clusters determined by the criteria of $Z > 2.3$ and a
(corrected) cluster significance threshold of $p = 0.007$. Results were coregistered to the
common MR image in MNI standard space. Thus, all the pathologic hemispheres were shown
in the right hemisphere in the MNI space. The impact of HH on image normalization was
thought be little. It is because HHs were small, especially in the case of Delalande classification type II, or big ones in the type I or III tended to be removed in an automatic process of brain-masking of the coregistration pipeline.

2.6 SPECT and SISCOM

In seven patients excluding pt. 6, interictal and ictal SPECT were performed. These patients were not included in our previous studies (Kameyama et al., 2010) at Nishi-Niigata Chuo Hospital. A T1-weighted volumetric scan (1.5 Tesla, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) was used for coregistration of SPECT images. The imaging techniques and method of SISCOM have been reported elsewhere (Kameyama et al., 2010). $^{99m}$Tc-ethyl cysteinate dimer (ECD) was injected interictally and ictally. The ictal and interictal SPECT images, normalized according to the global mean voxel counts, were subtracted to obtain ictal-to-interictal state difference images. Areas with counts above two standard deviations in subtraction images were adopted as significantly increased perfusion areas. Next, EEG-fMRI and SISCOM results were compared in terms of their sensitivity to identify the HH interface.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Individual analysis

The areas that showed positive/negative BOLD activity (activation/deactivation) included cortical (e.g., neocortices including the insula and anterior cingulate cortices) and subcortical regions (e.g., the hypothalamus, thalamus, the caudate, brainstem, and cerebellum) to various degrees in all patients. In all six patients who showed cortical BOLD responses with early time-shift models (-8 ~ 0 s), the laterality of cortical activation was concordant with that of EEG spikes (Table 2). In 6/8 patients, activation was observed at the HH interface of the hypothalamus, or at its adjacent area, with early time-shift models (Table 2, and see Figure 2
for representative patients). Two patients (pt. 3 and 4), who did not show any activation in or around the hypothalamus, had an intraventricular hamartoma (Delalande classification type II) of smaller size compared with other patients (diameter < 10 mm). Thalamic BOLD responses were observed in 6/8 patients with individual variance in activation/deactivation patterns (activation; n = 2, deactivation: n = 2, mixed: n = 2). The summary of activation/deactivation is shown in Table 3.

3.2 Group analysis

Group analysis revealed activation regions in the ipsilateral hypothalamus and brainstem tegmentum with earlier time-shift models, and bilateral cerebellar activation (that was dominant in the contralateral side) with later models (Figure 3). Deactivation areas included the cunei, bilateral thalami, caudate nuclei, hippocampi, paracentral gyri, and parts of the so-called default mode network (DMN), i.e., the precunei, and inferior lateral parietal lobules.

3.3 Comparison between EEG-fMRI and SISCOM

Both recording and analyses were successfully performed in 8/8 patients with EEG-fMRI and 5/7 patients with SISCOM (no seizure was captured in two patients). The laterality of EEG-fMRI (see above) was concordant to that of EEG spikes in 6/8 patients, while that of SISCOM (hyperperfusion) in 2/7 patients. Both EEG-fMRI and SISCOM were examined in five patients with unilateral HH attachment. The sensitivity to detect the activation area of the ipsilateral hypothalamus (i.e., HH interface) or its adjacent area was present in 3/5 patients with EEG-fMRI and 1/5 patients with SISCOM (Table 2).

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Strength of this study
We applied EEG-fMRI to patients with HH and revealed that its epileptic network comprised both neocortices and subcortical structures. Spike-related BOLD responses were observed interictally in all patients in various regions either with activation (positive BOLD) or deactivation (negative BOLD). In 6/8 patients, the hypothalamus with the HH interface or its adjacent area showed activation with a time-shift model before spike onset. Group analysis showed activation in the ipsilateral hypothalamus, brainstem tegmentum, and contralateral cerebellum. Deactivation was observed in the DMN and hippocampi. Among 5 patients with unilateral hypothalamic attachment, activation was observed at and around the attachment (HH interface) in 3/5 patients with EEG-fMRI whereas hyperperfusion was detected in 1/5 patients by SISCOM.

Recently, several lines of evidence suggested the utility of the time-shift models before spike onset for locating areas of cortical activation concordant with focal EEG spikes in partial epilepsy (Bagshaw et al., 2004; Jacobs et al., 2007; Jacobs et al., 2009). Prespike models were also reported to be useful for EEG-fMRI analysis of generalized epilepsy (Moeller et al., 2008). In line with these studies, we successfully detected lateralized cortical activation and hypothalamic activation using the prespike models. What generates the prespike BOLD responses is not exactly known, but some mechanisms are suggested: the different temporal profile of the hemodynamic response function for epileptic activity (Kang et al., 2003), or the metabolic process of neuronal or non-neuronal origin involving glial structures that precedes the epileptic spikes (Pittau et al., 2011).

Some evidence shows the relationship between HH and various types of seizures including GS. One stereo-EEG study of a patient with HH revealed that GS was well correlated to ictal discharges in the HH (Munari et al., 1995). Another study showed that direct stimulation of HH through depth electrodes evoked GS (Kahane et al., 2003). Other studies revealed that ictal SPECT showed hyperperfusion in HH (Kuzniecky et al., 1997) or its interface to the
hypothalamus (Kameyama et al., 2010). Finally, it has also been shown that GS, focal and
generalized seizures, and associated cognitive/behavioral symptoms can improve after
appropriate surgery in HH (Kameyama et al., 2010; Kameyama et al., 2009; Striano et al.,
2012; Wethe et al., 2013).
Variable activation/deactivation patterns among individual patients most likely reflect
various seizure types other than GS, while the other clinical profiles, such as the size of the
HH and use of anti-epileptic drugs might be also responsible. Despite this variability, group
analysis showed activation in subcortical structures such as the hypothalamus and brainstem,
especially with early time-shift models, and the cerebellum with later time-shift models. Our
EEG-fMRI analysis based on interictal findings of HH emphasize the existence of a common
epileptic network often involved in patients having HH with GS, and reinforce the
importance of the subcortical epileptic network that Kameyama and colleagues addressed in a
previous SISCOM study (Kameyama et al., 2010). Ictal SPECT performed during GS
showed, at a group level, involvement of the ipsilateral hypothalamus, mediodorsal (MD)
nucleus of the thalamus and putamen, bilateral pontine tegmentum, and contralateral inferior
semilunar lobule of the cerebellum (Kameyama et al., 2010). Based on lesion studies (Parvizi
et al., 2001), it is suggested that the execution of laughter is automatically regulated by the
cerebropontocerebellar pathway, which decussates before entering the middle cerebellar
peduncle. Additionally, stimulation of a small fiber bundle within the dorsal midbrain
tegmentum reportedly induced a laughing-like reaction in primates (Weinstein and bender,
1943). The findings of previous EEG-fMRI studies with a smaller number of patients having
HH (Kokkinos et al., 2012; Leal et al., 2009) are consistent with the existence of a network
including the mammillo-thalamo-cingulate tract (Kahane et al., 2003). Some patients in our
study showed involvement of the hippocampi and thalamus (see Figure 2). The mammillo-
thalamo-cingulate tract could be another epileptic network involved in HH. The present EEG-
fMRI study showed thalamic deactivation at a group level, with large inter-individual variability in terms of BOLD response patterns. This observation is consistent with some EEG-fMRI studies of generalized epilepsy, which have shown either activation or deactivation of the thalamus, either ictally or interictally (Aghakhani et al., 2004; Carney et al., 2010; Gotman et al., 2005; Hamandi et al., 2006; Moeller et al., 2008; Siniatchkin et al., 2011). Multiple variables is likely to determine the strength that the HH affect the thalamus via its epileptic activity, including the patients’ age, the size of the HH and the location of its attachment. They may explain the inter-individual variability, but we need more patients for analysis.

The regions of the DMN, which show increased brain activity at rest (Raichle et al., 2001), showed activation/deactivation in our study. It has been reported these areas are involved in epileptic discharges in frontal- and temporal lobe epilepsy, posterior quadrant epilepsy and idiopathic generalized epilepsy (Fahoum et al., 2012; Gotman et al., 2005; Laufs et al., 2007). The network was evaluated in terms of the impairment of attention (Gotman et al., 2005) or cognitive dysfunction when damaged in neurodegenerative disorders such as Alzheimer’s disease (Johnson et al., 1998). Deactivation was also found in the bilateral hippocampi in the present study, which is thought to be related to memory encoding, consolidation, and retrieval (Carr et al., 2011). If frequent epileptic discharges from HH involve both the DMN and hippocampi, the core regions of the memory network from early childhood, there might be an interruption of the normal cognitive development process, and eventually a part of epileptic encephalopathy.

Ictal SPECT generally has a diagnostic value in locating the seizure onset zone among neuroimaging techniques (Spencer, 1994); however, in our pilot study, interictal EEG-fMRI of HH had no less sensitivity than SISCOM in detecting regional activation in and around the hypothalamus or lateralized cortical activation concordant with EEG spikes. This is partly
due to the higher spatiotemporal resolution of fMRI, which is sensitive enough to detect the
small and transient HH-related epileptic brain activity. Furthermore, EEG-fMRI requires a
short recording time of ~2 hours including preparation, as opposed to SISCOM, which
requires seizure recording that usually takes a long time for patients and medical staffs.
Therefore, we suggest that EEG-fMRI is a more practical and useful tool to identify the
laterality of HH attachment for surgical treatment by SRT.

4.2 Limitations

We should also be cautious in interpretation of the present data as follows. First, the variety
of the age, drugs that were used for sedation, and the status of pre-/post-operation of the
patients are all confounding factors that may influence the results in this preliminary study as
mentioned earlier. The sample size of the patients is small in the present study, and future
studies with a larger cohort warrant the validity of the findings in this small pilot study. Some
patients might have slept during recording and it would affect results in terms of BOLD
response through metabolic change. However, event-related design that our study used is
immune to sleep-related change compared to block design because individual spikes are
extremely transient than sleep. Second, the difference in activation patterns in terms of the
location of HH attachment to the hypothalamus could not be evaluated due to the small
number of patients. Third, we arbitrarily analyzed the most frequent interictal discharges in
each patient. However, these discharges could contain epileptic activities that have no direct
relationship with HH, or activities from secondary epileptic foci. Last, EEG-fMRI has an
intrinsic methodological limitation. Spikes must be large enough in amplitude to be detected
in the filtered EEG, and frequent enough to reveal the areas of statistical significance in fMRI
analysis. This contrasts with ictal SPECT that does not require EEG for analysis. A large
cohort study is warranted in the future to establish analysis methods, sensitivity, and clinical
utility of EEG-fMRI for detecting seizure onset zones in patients with HH.

5. Conclusion

In this study, we showed that EEG-fMRI in patients with HH detected brain areas possibly
involved in epileptogenesis/encephalopathy, and that it had comparable sensitivity with
SISCOM in detecting the HH interface. Future studies using EEG-fMRI would further
expand our understanding about HH and its epileptogenesis, and corroborate the clinical
usefulness of this technique.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1.

(A) An example of identified interictal paroxysmal discharges from pt. 2 is displayed (arrow).

(B) Time-shift model of hemodynamic response function (HRF). For the timing of spikes as events (such as the spike shown in A), the canonical HRF consisting of a double gamma function was convolved (yellow trace). The model was shifted in steps of 2 s, creating additional models to account for the differences in the time-course of blood oxygenation-level dependent (BOLD) signal changes associated with different brain regions.

Figure 2.

Representative findings of EEG-fMRI analysis and SISCOM. In two patients, representative slices with time-shift models are shown. Arrowheads show the hamartoma and attachment side in each patient. The voxels with significant activation [positive blood oxygenation-level dependent (BOLD)] /deactivation (negative BOLD) by cluster-level statistics (p < 0.007 after Bonferroni correction) are overlaid on each anatomical image. (A) In pt. 2 with left side attachment to the hypothalamic hamartoma (HH), activation was observed in the HH interface of the left hypothalamus and left lateral frontal cortex (t = -2). The result was comparable with that of the subtraction ictal SPECT coregistered to MRI (SISCOM). Other activations included the bilateral cerebellum (contralateral side dominant), and lateral temporal lobes. Deactivations included the bilateral cunei, bilateral precunei, bilateral hippocampi, and bilateral thalami. (B) In pt. 8 with bilateral attachments to the HH, activations included the HH interface of the left hypothalamus, and left hippocampus and amygdala. SISCOM did not yield significant hyperperfusion at and around HH. Deactivation included the precunei, medial frontal cortices, and bilateral thalami. The regions satisfying p < 0.007 by cluster-level statistics are shown for the EEG-fMRI analysis.
Figure 3.

Group analysis of EEG-fMRI. Group analysis of seven patients revealed the common epileptic network associated with epilepsy with HH. Before group analysis, MRI images were flipped in the left-right dimension so that the right hemisphere shows the side of attachment (unilateral attachment) or that of predominant hypothalamic activation (bilateral attachment). The voxels with significant activation/deactivation by cluster-level statistics (p < 0.007 after Bonferroni correction) are overlaid on the group mean anatomical image in the MNI standard space. Activations included the ipsilateral hypothalamus, brainstem tegmentum, bilateral precunei, and lateral parietal lobes, mainly with early time-shift models, and the contralateral dominant bilateral cerebellum (contralateral dominant) with later time-shift models. Deactivations included the ipsilateral cuneus, bilateral caudate nuclei, thalami, hippocampi, precunei, and lateral parietal lobes.
## Table 1  Clinical profiles of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No., Age/gender</th>
<th>Attachment side</th>
<th>Delalande classification</th>
<th>Maximum diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Gelastic Sz</th>
<th>Other types of Sz</th>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>AED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. 15/M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>GTC</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>VPA, CBZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 8/M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>GTC, TC, CPS</td>
<td>PP, BD, MR</td>
<td>CZP, CLB, LTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 3/M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>VPA, LTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. 27/M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>GTC, SPS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CBZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. 1/F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>GTC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ZNS, LEV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. 19/F</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>AT</td>
<td>PP, MR</td>
<td>VPA, CBZ, GBP, TPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. 13/M</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>TC, CPS</td>
<td>PP, BD, MR</td>
<td>CBZ, TPM, SL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. 23/F</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>GTC, CPS</td>
<td>PP, BD</td>
<td>VPA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Sz = seizure, GTC = generalized tonic clonic, CPS = complex partial Sz, TC = tonic Sz, SPS = simple partial Sz, AT = atonic Sz, PP = precocious puberty, BD = behavioral disorder, MR = mental retardation.

AED = antiepileptic drug
### 1 Table 2  Activation (positive BOLD) revealed by EEG-fMRI and SISCOM results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No., Age/Gender</th>
<th>Spike location</th>
<th>Sedation: pentobarbital (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Total recording time (min)</th>
<th>No. of analyzed spikes</th>
<th>Laterality concordance between EEG spike and cortical activation from t = -8 to 0 model</th>
<th>Activation in or around the hypothalamus which has the HH interface (The earliest model that showed activation)</th>
<th>Laterality concordance between EEG spike and cortical hyperperfusion (the cortex showing most significant hyperperfusion)</th>
<th>Hyperperfusion in or around the hypothalamus which has the HH interface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. 15/M</td>
<td>L PQ</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>✓ (L LF/ P) (L T, L P)</td>
<td>✓ (L LF/ P)</td>
<td>No Sz recorded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 8/M</td>
<td>L FC</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>✓ (L LF/ P)</td>
<td>✓ (L LF/ P)</td>
<td>✓ (L LF/ P)</td>
<td>- (Not clear, R O) (L T, L P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 3/M</td>
<td>L FC (ST)</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>✓ (L LF/ P)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. 27/M</td>
<td>R AQ</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>✓ (R O)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>✓ (L LF/ P)</td>
<td>- (Not clear, R O) (L T, L P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. 1/F</td>
<td>G (R &gt; L)</td>
<td>2.6 (+trichlofos 0.5 mg/kg)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>✓ (R MF)</td>
<td>✓ (R MF)</td>
<td>✓ (R MF)</td>
<td>✓ (R MF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. 19/F</td>
<td>Bilateral F (L &gt; R)</td>
<td>1.31 (+midazolam 0.65 mg/kg)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>✓ (L LF)</td>
<td>✓ (L LF)</td>
<td>✓ (L LF)</td>
<td>- (Not clear, R O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. 13/M</td>
<td>G (L &gt; R)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>✓ (L LF)</td>
<td>✓ (L LF)</td>
<td>✓ (L LF)</td>
<td>- (Not clear, R O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. 23/F</td>
<td>L AQ</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>✓ (L P)</td>
<td>✓ (L P)</td>
<td>✓ (L P)</td>
<td>- (Not clear, R O)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Abbreviations: Sz = seizure. PQ = posterior quadrant, FC = frontocentral, ST = sharp transients, AQ = anterior quadrant, G = generalized, F = frontal. MF = medial frontal, LF = lateral frontal, P = parietal, T = temporal, O = occipital, FP = frontal pole, “n.d.” = no cortical BOLD activity

3 (EEG-fMRI) / no hyperperfusion (SISCOM) detected.
Table 3 Summary of Activation/Deactivation by EEG-fMRI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No., Age/Gender</th>
<th>Hypothalamus</th>
<th>Thalamus</th>
<th>Caudate</th>
<th>Midbrain</th>
<th>Cerebellum</th>
<th>Frontal-Parietal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Central sulcus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Operculum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lateral Frontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medial Frontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Insula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lateral parietal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Precuneus/PCu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. 15/M</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 8/M</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;b/D&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;c/D&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 3/M</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. 27/M</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a,D&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. 1/F</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. 19/F</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a,D&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. 13/M</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; /D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. 23/F</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No., Age/Gender</th>
<th>Temporal</th>
<th>Occipital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>medial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. 15/M</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 8/M</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; /D</td>
<td>D&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 3/M</td>
<td>D&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. 27/M</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. 1/F</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. 19/F</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; /D</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. 13/M</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; /D</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; /D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. 23/F</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; /D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation; A: activation, D: deactivation.

- a: the earliest time-shift model activation,
- b: ipsi/unilateral dominant,
- c: contralateral dominant.
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