- Title: Comparative evaluation of respiratory-gated and ungated FDG-PET for target volume
 definition in radiotherapy treatment planning for pancreatic cancer
- Takahiro Kishi, M.D.,¹ Yukinori Matsuo, M.D., Ph.D.,¹ Akira Nakamura, M.D., Ph.D.,¹ Yuji Nakamoto,
 M.D., Ph.D.,² Satoshi Itasaka, M.D.,³ Takashi Mizowaki, M.D., Ph.D.,¹ Kaori Togashi, M.D., Ph.D.,²
 Masahiro Hiraoka, M.D., Ph.D.¹
- 6 1. Department of Radiation Oncology and Image-applied Therapy, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto
 7 University, Kyoto, Japan
- 8 2. Department of Diagnostic Imaging and Nuclear Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto9 University, Kyoto, Japan
- 10 3. Department of Radiation Oncology, Kurashiki Central Hospital, Kurashiki, Japan
- 11 Running head: ITV definition from 4D-PET
- 12 Key words: pancreatic cancer, 4D-PET, treatment planning

- 1 Abstract
- 2 Objective

3 The purpose of this study was to evaluate the usefulness of respiratory-gated positron emission
4 tomography (4D-PET) in pancreatic cancer radiotherapy treatment planning (RTTP).

5 Materials and Methods

6 Fourteen patients with 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-avid pancreatic tumours were evaluated between 7 December 2013 and March 2015. Two sets of volumes were contoured for the pancreatic tumour of each 8 patient. The biological target volume in three-dimensional RTTP (BTV3D) was contoured using 9 conventional respiratory un-gated PET. The BTV3D was then expanded using population-based margins 10 to generate a series of internal target volume 3D (ITV3D) values. The ITV 4D (ITV4D) was contoured 11 using 4D-PET. Each of the five phases of 4D-PET was used for 4D contouring, and the ITV4D was 12 constructed by summing the volumes defined on the five individual 4D-PET images. The relative 13 volumes and normalized volumetric overlap were computed between ITV3D and ITV4D.

14 Results

On average, the FDG-avid tumour volumes were 1.6 (range: 0.8–2.3) fold greater in the ITV4D than in
the BTV3D. On average, the ITV3D values were 2.0 (range: 1.1–3.4) fold larger than the corresponding
ITV4D values.

18 Conclusion

19 The ITV generated from 4D-PET can be used to improve the accuracy or reduce normal tissue irradiation20 compared with conventional un-gated PET-based ITV.

1 Introduction

Pancreatic cancer is the fifth most common cancer and the fourth leading cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide. In the United States, 46,420 people are expected to be diagnosed with pancreatic cancer, and 39,590 are expected to die of the disease in 2014 [1]. Approximately 30% of patients with pancreatic cancer are diagnosed with unresectable, locally advanced pancreatic cancer (LAPC), and their prognosis is poor, with a 5-year survival rate <5% [2]. Local relapse with or without distant metastasis occurs in 30 to 50% of patients receiving definitive chemoradiotherapy (CRT) for LAPC [3–6], and the causes of death in patients with LAPC are often related to locally destructive complications [7].

9 The latest technological innovations in RT, including intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) or 10 stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT), allow precise local dose conformation, resulting in selective 11 tumour irradiation and dose sparing of normal adjacent organs and dose escalation of RT or concurrent 12 chemotherapy [8–10]. Thus, RT could play an important role in the local control of LAPC, although PC is 13 relatively radio-resistant, and neighbouring organs such as the duodenum, stomach, and intestines are 14 highly sensitive to radiation. Therefore, minimization of the dose to the normal neighbouring organs is a 15 critical issue, and the tumour must be accurately recognized to be used for RT planning. On the other 16 hand, the target definition is difficult in LAPC, because the pancreatic tumour moves during respiration. 17 According to previous studies using several modalities including fluoroscopy, ultrasound, magnetic 18 resonance imaging, and four-dimensional computed tomography (4D-CT), the magnitude of the 19 respiratory motion is as large as 20 mm [11-14]. The margins to account for the motion of pancreatic 20 tumours vary by institution and range from 1 to 2 cm or more. Feng et al. suggested that small 1-cm 21 margins may not provide complete geometric coverage [14]. However, the indiscriminate use of such 22 population-based margins could result in excessive irradiation of normal tissues in many cases.

The usefulness of positron emission tomography (PET) with 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) has been reported in pancreatic cancer RT planning [15]. They mentioned the need for respiratory-gated PET (4D-PET), because pancreatic tumours move throughout the breathing cycle. Several authors have reported on the benefit of 4D-PET for target volume delineation in various cancers [16–22]. Lamb et al. reported on the clinical usefulness of 4D-PET for RT planning [20]. They concluded that 4D-PET can be used to

1	improve the accuracy and reduce normal tissue irradiation compared with conventional respiratory
2	ungated PET (3D-PET). To the best of our knowledge, no data are available to evaluate 4D-PET in
3	pancreatic cancer RT planning.
4	The purpose of this study was to evaluate the usefulness of 4D-PET in pancreatic cancer RT planning.
5	
6	Materials and methods
7	Patients
8	Twenty-one consecutive patients who underwent 4D-PET for histologically proven pancreatic tumours
9	from September 2013 to May 2015 were recruited for the present study. Among the recruited patients,
10	seven patients whose tumours did not show metabolic activity were excluded. The remaining 14 patients
11	with FDG-avid pancreatic tumours were considered eligible for the present study. All of the patients
12	underwent 4D-PET before treatment. The patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The patients
13	consisted of six females (43%) and eight males (57%), and the median age was 67 years (range, 53-78
14	years). Histological confirmation for adenocarcinoma was performed for all of the patients. The tumour
15	clinical stage was III, called LAPC, in 11 patients (79%) according to the 7 th edition of the Union
16	Internationale Contre le Cancer. The clinical stages of the other tumours were IIA in 1 patient (7%), IIB
17	in 1 patient (7%), and IV in 1 patient (7%). We also included these tumours in the present study, because
18	this study did not focus on the clinical outcome after CRT for LAPC but rather RT treatment planning.

19 This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

20 (approval number R0151).

21 4D-PET protocol

4D-PET was performed using a combined PET/CT scanner (Discovery ST Elite; GE Healthcare
Waukesha, WI, USA). All of the patients fasted for at least 6 hours before the administration of FDG. The
plasma glucose level was checked immediately before the injection of FDG and was confirmed to be less
than 120 mg/dL in all of the patients. Data acquisition started approximately 60 min after the injection of

1 a standard dose of 200-250 MBq. Low-dose CT scans were acquired during shallow breathing initially 2 starting at the level of the thigh using a 16-detector row scanner with the following parameters: 20-1003 mAs, 120 kV, 0.6-second tube rotation, 3.75-mm section thickness, 512×512 matrix, and a pitch of 1.75. 4 Immediately after the CT scans were acquired, whole-body 3D-PET scanning was performed using an 5 acquisition time of 2 min per bed position and respiratory-gated PET thereafter. The respiratory-gated 6 PET was applied to the upper abdomen, including the pancreas. Data acquisition was performed for 10 7 min without holding the breath. The same CT scan data were used for attenuation correction and 8 registration for both PET scans, and images were reconstructed using a 3D iterative reconstruction 9 algorithm VUE Point Plus. The respiratory gating system consisted of the Real-time Position 10 Management (RPM) system respiratory gating hardware (Varian Medical Systems Inc., Palo Alto, CA, 11 USA). The respiration cycle was divided into five phases, and 2-min acquisition images were 12 reconstructed for each respiratory phase. These five respiratory data sets were reconstructed using a 13 phase-sorting algorithm.

14 Target volume delineation

15 Target volumes were delineated using the MIM maestro version 6.1 software (MIM Software Inc., 16 Cleveland, OH, USA). Two sets of target volumes were contoured for the pancreatic tumour of each 17 patient. For the first target volume, the three-dimensional biological target volume (BTV3D) was 18 contoured using 3D-PET. Next, the BTV3D was expanded by asymmetric margins to generate a series of 19 internal target volume 3D (ITV3D) values. The ITV margins were expanded asymmetrically by 1.0, 0.7, 20 and 0.6 cm along the respective superoinferior (SI), anteroposterior (AP), and medial-lateral (ML) 21 directions, based on Goldstein's report [23]. For the second target volume, the ITV4D was contoured 22 using 4D-PET. Each of the five phases of the 4D-PET was used for 4D contouring, and the ITV4D was 23 constructed by summing the volumes defined on the five individual 4D-PET scans. Both the BTV3D and 24 ITV4D were defined using the automatic definition technique. For each of the areas identified by a 25 radiation oncologist in the first step, the volume was defined using an automatic threshold of 50% of the 26 maximum standardized uptake value (SUV) of the lesion. This threshold has been suggested and also 27 used in previous reports, as the 50% SUVmax showed the best agreement with the pathology [24,25].

1 Analysis

We measured the tumour motions and tumour volumes from the 3D and 4D-PET scans. Tumour motion
was calculated by the movement of the geometric centre of the FDG-avid tumour in all phases of 4D-PET.
The displacement along the SI, AP, and ML axes and total displacement vector were assessed. BTV3D
and ITV4D were used to compare the volumes of FDG-avid tumour, while ITV3D and ITV4D were used
to compare the ITVs. Non-parametric Wilcoxon matched-pair tests were used for comparison between
BTV3D and ITV4D and between ITV3D and ITV4D. A two-tailed p value less than 0.05 was used to
indicate statistical significance.

9 All of the statistical analyses were performed using EZR version 1.26 (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi
10 Medical University, Saitama, Japan), which is a graphical user interface for R version 3.1.1 (The R
11 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

- 12
- 13 Results
- 14 Respiratory motion
- 15 FDG-avid tumours moved an average of 9.2, 2.7, and 3.1 mm, with ranges of 3.1–17.8, 0.7–9.4, and 0.4–

16 11.9 mm, in the SI, AP, and ML directions, respectively. The respiratory motions of the tumours were

17 greater than the above population-based margin in 6 of 14 (43%) tumours.

18 SUV

19 The SUV threshold was significantly higher using 4D-PET than 3D-PET (p<0.001). The median SUV

20 threshold values were 9.19 (range: 6.35–15.53) and 7.51 (range: 4.92–12.69), respectively.

21 Target volume delineation

- 22 The median BTV3D, ITV4D, and ITV3D values were 11.64, 14.15, and 24.33 mL, respectively (range:
- 23 2.16–15.66, 7.83–31.61, and 8.74–44.98 mL, respectively). FDG-avid tumours were, on average, 1.6 \pm
- 24 0.7 fold greater in ITV4D than in BTV3D (Figure 3a; p<0.001). The ITV3D values were, on average, 2.0

± 0.6 fold larger than the corresponding ITV4D values (Figure 3b; p<0.001). Nonetheless, ITV3D failed
to adequately cover the ITV4D in 6 out of 14 (43%) tumours (Figure 2g–l).

3

4 Discussion

In this study, we evaluated the usefulness of 4D-PET in pancreatic cancer RT planning. The tumour
volume was significantly larger when delineated using 4D-PET than 3D-PET. By contrast, the ITV
defined using 4D-PET was significantly smaller than that using 3D-PET. To our knowledge, this is the
first study comparing the target volume defined using 4D-PET and 3D-PET in pancreatic cancer RT
planning.

10 The pancreatic tumour motion was readily visible in 4D-PET images in this study, and the degree of 11 motion observed was consistent with that described in published reports [11–14]. Kim et al. analysed 12 pancreas movement using 4D-CT in nine volunteers and found that the mean motions of the pancreatic 13 head and tail were 12.8 and 13.0 mm, respectively [13]. To our knowledge, this is also the first report 14 concerning the respiratory motion of pancreatic cancer analysed by 4D-PET.

The effect of 4D-PET in the diagnostic imaging of pancreatic tumours, including pancreatic cancer, was reported by a few authors [25,26]. Yukutake et al. evaluated 36 patients with pancreatic cancer and found that 4D-PET reduced respiratory motion artefacts and allowed a significantly higher SUVmax. They reported a median SUVmax of 8.1 ± 2.5 and 6.2 ± 2.1 in 4D-PET and 3D-PET respectively (p<0.01) [25]. In our study, the median values were 9.19 (range: 6.35-15.53) and 7.51 (range: 4.92-12.69), respectively. The results of our study agreed with those of previous studies.

Several authors have previously evaluated the usefulness of 4D-PET in RT treatment planning of tumour motion during respiration. For example, Lamb et al. generated the lung tumour ITV from 4D-PET in three patients with four tumours and found that PET-maximum intensity projection (MIP) images matched CT-MIP images better than 3D-PET images [16]. Aristophanous et al. examined the clinical usefulness of 4D-PET in RT planning in 10 patients with NSCLC and demonstrated that the target volume defined by 4D-PET was significantly larger than that by 3D-PET (p<0.01) [17]. Our data also showed that ITV4Ds</p>

1 were significantly larger than BTV3Ds. In fact, ITV4Ds were derived from respiratory-gated images and 2 included respiratory motion. The results of our study agreed with those of previous studies. However, 3 ITV4Ds were smaller than BTV3Ds in two patients (tumour 11, 13). There are two possible reasons. First, 4 the breathing patterns of patients might be changed between 3D- and 4D-PET [28]. Second, the SUVmax 5 of tumours in 4D-PET was much higher than that in 3D-PET. The target volume was defined using an 6 automatic threshold of 50% SUVmax of the tumour; therefore, when the SUVmax was high, the target 7 volume might have been small. Indeed, the SUVmax of tumours 13 and 11 in 4D-PET were 1.92 and 1.34 8 fold higher than those in 3D-PET, respectively, and these changes were the greatest and the third greatest 9 in 14 tumours (median: 1.18).

10 Moreover, 4D-PET could significantly decrease the ITV compared with 3D-PET, but ITV4D values still 11 exceeded ITV3D values in 6 of 14 (43%) tumours. Two reasons are possible. The first is that the 12 respiratory motions of the tumours were greater than the population-based margin used in the present 13 study; the second reason is the presence of a baseline drift of the tumours. First, Goldstein et al. contoured 14 pancreatic tumours in planning 4D-CT and found that an asymmetric expansion of 1.0, 0.7, and 0.6 cm 15 along the respective SI, AP, and ML directions is recommended if respiratory-correlated 4D-CT is not 16 available to evaluate tumour motion during treatment planning [23]. The latter finding could have resulted, 17 in part, from 4D-CT pancreatic tumour sample motion over a small time period. An additional benefit of 18 4D-PET over 4D-CT could be the tumour sample motion over the time of the entire PET bed imaging, 10 19 min in our study, which could help capture variations in tumour motion amplitude and baseline [20]. 20 Indeed, the respiratory motions of the tumours were greater than the above population-based margin in 21 43% of tumours in this study, and Minn et al. reported that 4D-CT scans cannot accurately predict the 22 movement of pancreatic tumours during actual treatment [27]. Second, it is possible that the patients' 23 breathing pattern changed between the 3D and 4D-PET scan acquisitions [28].

Elective nodal irradiation is controversial for LAPC; therefore, involved-field RT (IFRT) is also used in clinical practice. Although non-standard treatments, simultaneous integrated boost IMRT and SBRT are also performed for LAPC. The identification of positive lymph nodes is more important in these treatments. Aristophanous et al. evaluated 10 patients with NSCLC and showed that 4D-PET resulted in the detection of additional suspicious positive lymph nodes that had been overlooked on 3D-PET in one patient [17]. Yukutake et al. reported that 4D-PET allowed a significantly higher SUVmax, and the rate of
 increase in SUVmax tended to be higher in lesions less than or equal to 2 cm in diameter in the study of
 pancreatic cancer [25]. More accurate characterization of 4D-PET may be useful in these treatments.

4 The results of the present study indicate that 4D-PET is more accurate for ITV generation in the context 5 of FDG-avid pancreatic tumours. Moreover, Whitfield et al. reported that inter-patient variations were 6 greater than intra-patient variations, indicating a need for a patient-individualised approach to improve 7 targeting [29]. Where available, 4D-PET should be preferred for the generation of ITVs.

8 Murphy et al. showed that PTV volume correlated with significant gastrointestinal toxicity [30]. 9 Shrinking the target volume becomes then more important. Apart from 4D-PET another strategy for 10 reducing the target volume is the management of respiratory motion. Although specialized RT 11 equipments can perform dynamic tracking of moving targets, respiratory motion management options 12 commonly used on a conventional RT machine are end-exhalation or deep inhalation breath-hold, and 13 respiratory gating. 4D-PET can also be used for target delineation in RT treatment planning for breath-14 hold or respiratory gating. In our study, the median value of the ITV for respiratory gating RT, 15 constructed by summing the volumes defined on 2 exhale phases (40% and 60% of 4D-PET), was 11.16 16 mL (range: 4.17-18.47) and this contributed 21% reduction of ITV4D. Abdominal compression is also the 17 respiratory motion management technique and enables to shrink the target volume. However, there 18 remains concern about the reproducibility of the setup between simulation and each treatment [31]. 19 Furthermore, abdominal compression system attenuates beams, so that dosimetric uncertainties or 20 constraints on gantry angle are increased. Therefore, reducing the target volume by 4D-PET might be 21 useful way than abdominal compression.

This study had several limitations. First, only one method was applied for the contouring of target volumes. However, it has been commonly used in previous reports and commercially implemented in the workstation used for contouring. Moreover, there is no standard reference for metabolic target lesion contouring. Further studies combined with pathologic specimens are required to identify the optimal threshold of automated segmentation algorithms to define the pancreatic tumour. Second, we did not assess the interfractional positional variations. A single 4D-PET was acquired over a few minutes; 1 therefore, it cannot consider all of the random variations that could occur in the position of pancreatic 2 tumours resulting from nonrespiratory organ motion during daily treatment. Shiinoki et al. evaluated 3 interfractional reproducibility in the pancreatic position based on 4D-CT in 15 patients with pancreatic 4 cancer and found that the means of the interfractional positional variation were 0.9, 1.9, and 1.3 mm in 5 the LR, AP, and SI directions, respectively [32]. Third, we did not make the spatial and dosimetric 6 assessment of normal tissue irradiation, as the 4D-CT was not performed to avoid an additional radiation 7 exposure to patients. Further investigations of spearing normal tissue are warranted to evaluate the 8 contribution of 4D-PET combined with 4D-CT.

9 In conclusion, we demonstrated the usefulness of 4D-PET in pancreatic cancer RT planning. The use of
4D-PET in LAPC RT planning can reduce the ITV. ITV generated from 4D-PET can be used to improve
the accuracy or to reduce normal tissue irradiation compared with the ITV based on 3D-PET.

12

13 Acknowledgement

- 14 This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) 25253078 and Grant-in-Aid for
- 15 Scientific Research (C) 15K09992 from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

1 References

2

3

4	[2] Rosewicz S, Wiedenmann B. Pancreatic carcinoma. Lancet. 1997;349:485–489.
5	[3] Goldstein D, Van Hazel G, Walpole E et al. Gemcitabine with a specific conformal 3D 5FU
6	radiochemotherapy technique is safe and effective in the definitive management of locally advanced
7	pancreatic cancer. Br J Cancer 2007;97:464-471.
8	[4] Ko AH, Quivey JM, Venook AP et al. A phase II study of fixed-dose rate gemcitabine plus low-dose
9	cisplatin followed by consolidative chemoradiation for locally advanced pancreatic cancer. Int J Radiat
10	Oncol Biol Phys 2007;68:809-816.
11	[5] Shibuya K, Oya N, Fujii T et al. Phase II study of radiation therapy combined with weekly low-dose
12	gemcitabine for locally advanced, unresectable pancreatic cancer. Am J Clin Oncol 2011;34:115-119.
13	[6] Sudo K, Yamaguchi T, Ishihara T et al. Phase II study of oral S-1 and concurrent radiotherapy in
14	patients with unresectable locally advanced pancreatic cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2011;80:119-
15	125.

[1] Surveillance, epidemiology, and end results program, Statistical summaries (2014) Cancer stat fact

sheets, Cancer of the pancreas. Available from: . (Accessed April 1, 2015).

- [7] Iacobuzio-Donahue CA, Fu B, Yachida S et al. DPC4 gene status of the primary carcinoma correlates
 with patterns of failure in patients with pancreatic cancer. J Clin Oncol 2009;27:1806-1813.
- [8] Hoyer M, Roed H, Sengelov L et al. Phase-II study on stereotactic radiotherapy of locally advanced
 pancreatic carcinoma. Radiother Oncol 2005;76:48-53.
- [9] Huang J, Robertson JM, Margolis J et al. Long-term results of full-dose gemcitabine with radiation
 therapy compared to 5-fluorouracil with radiation therapy for locally advanced pancreas cancer.
 Radiother Oncol 2011;99:114-119.
- [10] Ben-Josef E, Schipper M, Francis IR et al. A phase I/II trial of intensity modulated radiation (IMRT)
 dose escalation with concurrent fixed-dose rate gemcitabine (FDR-G) in patients with unresectable
 pancreatic cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012;84:1166-1171.

- 1 [11] Bryan PJ, Custar S, Haaga JR, Balsara V. Respiratory movement of the pancreas: an ultrasonic study.
- 2 J Ultrasound Med 1984;3:317-320.
- 3 [12] Bhasin DK, Rana SS, Jahagirdar S, Nagi B Does the pancreas move with respiration? J Gastroenterol
 4 Hepatol 2006;21:1424-1427.
- [13] Kim YS, Park SH, Ahn SD et al. Differences in abdominal organ movement between supine and
 prone positions measured using four-dimensional computed tomography. Radiother Oncol 2007;85:424428.
- 8 [14] Feng M, Balter JM, Normolle D et al. Characterization of pancreatic tumor motion using cine MRI:
 9 surrogates for tumor position should be used with caution. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2009;74:884-891.

[15] Wilson JM, Partridge M, Hawkins M. The application of functional imaging techniques to
personalise chemoradiotherapy in upper gastrointestinal malignancies. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)
2014;26:581-596.

- [16] Lamb JM, Robinson C, Bradley J et al. Generating lung tumor internal target volumes from 4D-PET
 maximum intensity projections. Med Phys 2011;38:5732-5737.
- [17] Aristophanous M, Berbeco RI, Killoran JH, Yap JT, Sher DJ, Allen AM, Larson E, Chen AB
 Clinical utility of 4D FDG-PET/CT scans in radiation treatment planning. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys
 2012;82:e99-105.
- [18] Bundschuh RA, Andratschke N, Dinges J et al. Respiratory gated [18F]FDG PET/CT for target
 volume delineation in stereotactic radiation treatment of liver metastases. Strahlenther Onkol
 2012;188:592-598.
- [19] Wang YC, Hsieh TC, Yu CY et al. The clinical application of 4D 18F-FDG PET/CT on gross tumor
 volume delineation for radiotherapy planning in esophageal squamous cell cancer. J Radiat Res
 2012;53:594-600.
- [20] Lamb JM, Robinson CG, Bradley JD, Low DA. Motion-specific internal target volumes for FDGavid mediastinal and hilar lymph nodes. Radiother Oncol 2013;109:112-116.

1	[21] Guerra L, Meregalli S, Zorz A et al. Comparative evaluation of CT-based and respiratory-gated
2	PET/CT-based planning target volume (PTV) in the definition of radiation treatment planning in lung
3	cancer: preliminary results. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2014;41:702-710.
4	[22] Riou O, Serrano B, Azria D et al. Integrating respiratory-gated PET-based target volume delineation
5	in liver SBRT planning, a pilot study. Radiat Oncol 2014;9:127.
6	[23] Goldstein SD, Ford EC, Duhon M, McNutt T, Wong J, Herman JM. Use of respiratory-correlated
7	four-dimensional computed tomography to determine acceptable treatment margins for locally advanced
8	pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2010;76:597-602.
9	[24] Dalah E, Moraru I, Paulson E, Erickson B, Li XA. Variability of target and normal structure
10	delineation using multimodality imaging for radiation therapy of pancreatic cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol
11	Biol Phys 2014;89:633-640.
12	[25] Yukutake M, Sasaki T, Serikawa M et al. The effect of respiratory-gated positron emission
13	tomography/computed tomography in patients with pancreatic cancer. Hell J Nucl Med. 2014;17:31-6.
14	[26] Kasuya T, Tateishi U, Suzuki K et al. Role of respiratory-gated PET/CT for pancreatic tumors: a
15	preliminary result. Eur J Radiol. 2013;82:69-74.
16	[27] Minn AY, Schellenberg D, Maxim P et al. Pancreatic tumor motion on a single planning 4D-CT does
17	not correlate with intrafraction tumor motion during treatment. Am J Clin Oncol 2009;32:364-368.
18	[28] Hugo G, Vargas C, Liang J, Kestin L, Wong JW, Yan D. Changes in the respiratory pattern during
19	radiotherapy for cancer in the lung. Radiother Oncol. 2006;78:326-31.
20	[29] Whitfield G, Jain P, Green M et al. Quantifying motion for pancreatic radiotherapy margin
21	calculation. Radiother Oncol. 2012;103:360-6.

- [30] Murphy JD, Adusumilli S, Griffith KA et al. Full-dose gemcitabine and concurrent radiotherapy for
 unresectable pancreatic cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2007;68:801-8.
- [31] Mampuya WA, Matsuo Y, Ueki N et al. The impact of abdominal compression on outcome in
 patients treated with stereotactic body radiotherapy for primary lung cancer. J Radiat Res. 2014;55:934-9.

- 1 [32] Shiinoki T, Shibuya K, Nakamura M et al. Interfractional reproducibility in pancreatic position based
- 2 on four-dimensional computed tomography. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2011;80:1567-72.

1 Figure legends

2	Figure 1. Comparison of the maximum standardized uptake value (SUVmax) in respiratory ungated
3	positron emission tomography (3D-PET) and respiratory-gated PET (4D-PET).

- 4 Figure 2. Sagittal slices of tumour 2. Biological target volume in the third dimension (BTV3D) (cyan) and
- 5 internal target volume in the fourth dimension (ITV4D) (magenta) were delineated on (a) respiratory
- 6 ungated positron emission tomography (3D-PET); (b) respiratory-gated PET (4D-PET) 0%; (c) 4D-PET
- 7 20%; (d) 4D-PET 40%; (e) 4D-PET 60%; (f) 4D-PET 80%. ITV3D (green) and ITV4D (magenta) were
- 8 delineated on (g) 3D-PET; (h) 4D-PET 0%; (i) 4D-PET 20%; (j) 4D-PET 40%; (k) 4D-PET 60%; and (l)
- 9 4D-PET 80%.
- Figure 3a. Comparison of fluorooxyglucose (FDG)-avid tumours using respiratory ungated positron
 emission tomography (3D-PET) and respiratory-gated PET (4D-PET).
- 12 Figure 3b. Comparison of the internal target volume using 3D-PET and 4D-PET.
- 13

- The English in this document has been checked by at least two professional
 editors, both native speakers of English. For a certificate, please see:
- 3
- 4 http://www.textcheck.com/certificate/ZGSvIZ
- 5