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Highly selective photocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide with water over silver-loaded calcium titanate
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Abstract
The once reported Ag-modified CaTiO₃ photocatalyst was reexamined by optimizing the Ag loading amount and using a conventional photochemical reactor. This revealed that the Ag-modified CaTiO₃ photocatalyst actually showed both high production rate of CO (54 µmol h⁻¹) and excellent selectivity toward CO formation (94%) by suppressing the H₂ production via water splitting. It is suggested that the high photocatalytic performance originates from not only the optimized amount of cocatalyst and the high irradiation light intensity but also the high concentration of dissolved CO₂ that was achieved by a bubbling flow of CO₂ at the lower reaction temperature. These reaction conditions provided ca. 40 times higher CO formation rate. It was proposed that the deposited small Ag nanoparticles are the selective active sites for CO formation and the CaTiO₃ crystal surface produces H₂ preferably.
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1. Introduction
Heterogeneous photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ by using water has been widely studied as one of the possible ways to convert CO₂ to other beneficial chemicals such as CO, CH₃OH, CH₄, etc. by using solar energy [1–3], which is called as artificial photosynthesis [4–7]. Recently, various heterogeneous powder photocatalysts that can constantly produce CO, H₂ and O₂ with the stoichiometric ratio of the reductive and oxidative products have been reported [8–16], such as Cu-loaded ZrO₂ [8], Ag-loaded ALₐ₅Ti₄O₁₅ (A = Ca, Sr and Ba) [9], Ag-loaded KCaSrTa₅O₁₅ [10], Ag-loaded La₂Ti₂O₇ [11], and Ag-loaded ZnTa₂O₆ [12]. In these photocatalytic reaction systems, the reduction of CO₂ to CO (eq. 1) and the reduction of proton to hydrogen (eq. 2), and the oxidation of water to O₂ (eq. 3) are promoted simultaneously, and thus, the CO₂ decomposition to CO and O₂ (eq. 4) and the water decomposition to H₂ and O₂ (eq. 5) take place.
competitively. From the equations 1 and 3, it is obvious that water is necessary for the CO₂ decomposition as an electron source in the oxidation part.

Since the redox potential for proton (0.0 V, vs. SHE) is higher than that for CO₂ to CO (−0.12 V, vs. SHE) [17], H₂ has always been observed as the competitive reductive products through water splitting. When no other reductive products than CO and H₂ are observed in these systems, the CO selectivity, $S_{CO} (%)$, and the ratio of the consumed electron and hole, $e^-/h^+$, can be calculated according to the equations 6 and 7, respectively [14], where the production rate of CO, H₂ and O₂ are referred to as $R_{CO}$, $R_{H2}$ and $R_{O2}$, respectively.

$$\text{CO}_2 + 2 \text{H}^+ + 2 e^- \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (1)$$
$$2 \text{H}^+ + 2 e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 \quad (2)$$
$$\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2 h^+ \rightarrow 1/2 \text{O}_2 + 2 \text{H}^+ \quad (3)$$
$$\text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO} + 1/2 \text{O}_2 \quad (4)$$
$$\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + 1/2 \text{O}_2 \quad (5)$$

$$S_{CO} (%) = 100 \times \frac{R_{CO}}{R_{CO} + R_{H2}} \quad (6)$$

$$e^-/h^+ = \frac{\text{(electrons consumed for H}_2\text{ and CO formation)}}{\text{(holes consumed for O}_2\text{ formation)}} \quad (7)$$

$$= \frac{R_{CO} + R_{H2}}{2 R_{O2}}$$

Recently, several photocatalysts have been found to exhibit high CO selectivity, i.e., higher production rate of CO than that of H₂, for example, Ag-loaded NaTaO₃:Ba [13], Ag-loaded Sr₂KTa₅O₁₅ [14], and Ag-loaded, Zn-doped Ga₂O₃ [15,16]. Among them, especially, Ag/ZnGa₂O₄ recorded a very high CO selectivity such as 96 % [16]. In these studies, some reasons for the high CO selectivity has been proposed, e.g., the bubbling CO₂ in the presence of sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO₃) would increase the concentration of CO₂ as a reactant around the photocatalyst [13,14], and the number of the active sites for H₂ formation would be decreased by the loaded Ag cocatalyst [15] or the inactive surface layer such as ZnGa₂O₄ on Ga₂O₃ photocatalyst [16].

Calcium titanate has been studied as a photocatalyst for water splitting [18,19], photocatalytic steam reforming [19,20] and so on. The CaTiO₃ photocatalyst has a conduction band with a high potential enough to reduce both CO₂ and proton to produce CO and H₂, respectively [21]. Previously, we once reported that Ag-loaded CaTiO₃ polyhedral crystals can promote the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to produce CO and O₂ along with the water splitting to form H₂ and O₂ [22], where the CO selectivity was not so high such as
45% at the steady state [22]. In the present study, we examined the Ag-loaded CaTiO₃ photocatalyst by optimizing Ag-loading amount and changing the reactor. As a results, the original photocatalytic activity of the Ag-loaded CaTiO₃ photocatalyst was uncovered, i.e., the new reaction condition much improved the photocatalytic activity of the Ag-loaded CaTiO₃ photocatalyst for the CO₂ reduction, not only the CO production rate but also the CO selectivity, compared to those in the previous study [22].

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation

CaTiO₃ sample was similarly prepared via a flux method [22] from CaCO₃ (Kojundo 99.99%) and TiO₂ (rutile, Kojundo 99.99%) as starting materials with NaCl (Kishida 99.5%) as a flux. The starting materials and the flux were physically mixed by a mortar, where the molar ratio of CaCO₃ to TiO₂ was 1:1 and that of the starting materials to the flux was 4:6. The mixed powder in an aluminum crucible was heated by an electric muffle furnace up to 1373 K at a rate of 200 K h⁻¹, held at this temperature for 10 h, cooled down to 773 K at a rate of 100 K h⁻¹ and then naturally cooled down to room temperature. The resulting powder was washed four times with hot water (353 K, totally 2 L). Ag cocatalyst was loaded on the surface of the CaTiO₃ photocatalysts by a photodeposition method. The CaTiO₃ powder of 1.0 g was introduced to a quartz tube with 20 ml of aqueous NaHCO₃ solution (1.0 mol L⁻¹) and required amount of aqueous AgNO₃ solution. Bubbling with a helium gas, photoirradiation was carried out using a 300 W xenon lamp for 24 h, where the light intensity was measured to be 50 mW cm⁻² at 365±20 nm in wavelength. The irradiation wavelength was limited to the range from 350 to 500 nm by using both a UV cold mirror and an ultraviolet-cut filter. The loading amount of Ag was confirmed by X-ray florescence analysis. The obtained samples were referred as to Ag(x)/CaTiO₃, where x means the loading amount of Ag cocatalyst in weight %.

2.2. Characterizations

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image was recorded by a JEOL JSM-890 in a secondary electron detection mode. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern was recorded by a Shimadzu Lab X XRD-6000 with Cu Kα radiation (40 kV, 30 mA). Diffuse reflectance UV–Vis spectrum was recorded on a JASCO V-670 equipped with an integrating sphere covered with BaSO₄ as the reference.

2.3. Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂

Photocatalytic reaction test was carried out in a commercially obtained conventional inner irradiation photochemical reactor equipped with a 100 W high pressure mercury lamp, where the light intensity was
measured to be 44 mW cm$^{-2}$ at 254±10 nm in wavelength (Table S1, Reactor B). The reaction temperature was 288 K. The irradiation area was 154.5 cm$^2$. The Ag(x)/CaTiO$_3$ photocatalyst powder of 0.3 g was dispersed in 360 mL of an aqueous NaHCO$_3$ solution (1.0 mol L$^{-1}$), where bicarbonate ion (HCO$_3^-$) derived from NaHCO$_3$ works as a buffer to enable the dissolution of much more CO$_2$ into the solution [23]. The photocatalyst was suspended with magnetically stirring in a bubbling flow of gaseous CO$_2$ at a flow rate of 30 mL min$^{-1}$ for 4 h in the dark, and then the lamp was switched on to start the photocatalytic reaction. The light intensity became the maximum in a few minutes. The reaction temperature was around 288 K. The produced gases were carried with the bubbling flow of CO$_2$ from the reactor and a portion was periodically sampled to be analyzed by an on-line chromatograph (Shimadzu, GC-8A, TCD, Shincarbon ST, He carrier). In order to find the other products in the liquid phase, a part of the liquid phase was sampled and analyzed by GC-MS (GCMS-Q5050).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of photocatalysts

Fig. 1 shows a SEM image of the Ag(3.5)/CaTiO$_3$ photocatalyst before the reaction test. The CaTiO$_3$ particles had a polyhedral crystal shape covered with flat facets. The particle size of the CaTiO$_3$ crystals observed was in the range of 0.2–3 μm. The Ag nanoparticles with the size of 10–120 nm were observed to be deposited preferably on the selected facets. Fig. S1 shows a XRD pattern of the sample, confirming the presence of CaTiO$_3$ crystallites and Ag metal particles. The average crystallites size of the Ag nanoparticles was estimated to be 31.5 nm from the diffraction at 38.1 degree by using Scherrer equation, which consists with the SEM observation. These observations are almost consistent with those in the previous study [22].

Fig. 1. SEM image of the Ag(3.5)/CaTiO$_3$ sample before use for the photocatalytic reaction test.
3.2. Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂

Fig. 2 shows the time course of the production rates in the photocatalytic CO₂ reduction with water over the Ag(3.5)/CaTiO₃ sample in the standard condition with the CO₂ bubbling flow at 288 K. The products were mainly CO and O₂ as well as a small amount of H₂. The production rate of CO and O₂ gradually increased and that of H₂ decreased for initial 6 h. After that, the production rates became constant to be 54 μmol h⁻¹ for CO and 25 μmol h⁻¹ for O₂, where the former is almost two times higher than the latter. It is noted that the reduction of H⁺ to H₂ was very suppressed, i.e., the rate for H₂ production at the initial and the steady state were only 6.3 and 3.1 μmol h⁻¹, respectively, even though the redox potential for H₂ formation (0.0 V, vs. SHE) is obviously higher than that for CO formation (−0.12 V, vs. SHE) [17].

Other gaseous reduction products such as methane was not detected by online TCD-GC. Any other products in the liquid phase, such as methanol, formic acid, and formaldehyde, were not detected by GC-MS. The consumed ratio of the photoexcited electrons and holes was calculated from the production rate of CO, H₂, and O₂ was almost unity (e⁻/h⁺=1.1) according to the eq. 7, meaning that the products would be almost limited to CO, H₂, and O₂. Based on these facts, the CO selectivity can be calculated as mentioned above (eq. 6). As a result, the reaction selectivity for the CO₂ reduction to CO reached 94% over the Ag(3.5)/CaTiO₃ photocatalyst.

![Fig. 2. Time courses of the production rates of CO (circle), H₂ (triangle), and O₂ (square) in the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with water over the Ag(3.5)/CaTiO₃ sample.](image)
In the present study, the optimum loading amount of Ag was examined and found to be 3.5 wt% as shown in Fig. 3. The photocatalyst without Ag cocatalyst produced H\textsubscript{2} at 6.0 μmol h\textsuperscript{-1} as the main product and the CO very slowly at 2.2 μmol h\textsuperscript{-1}, where the CO selectivity was low such as 26%. This shows that the surface of the bare CaTiO\textsubscript{3} photocatalyst would be preferably responsible for the H\textsubscript{2} evolution and the Ag cocatalyst promotes the CO formation. It is suggested that the loading Ag cocatalyst would diminish the active sites for H\textsubscript{2} production on the CaTiO\textsubscript{3} surface, and thus increase the high CO selectivity.

![Fig. 3](https://repository.kulib.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

**Fig. 3.** Production rates of CO (circle), H\textsubscript{2} (triangle), and O\textsubscript{2} (square) over various Ag(x)/CaTiO\textsubscript{3} photocatalysts with different loading amount of Ag cocatalyst.

The products were not detected without the photocatalyst or without photoirradiation. As mentioned above, the consumed ratio of the photoexcited electrons and holes was consistent (e\textsuperscript{-}/h\textsuperscript{+}=1.1). The formation rates for these products became constant and the reaction continuously proceeded for a long time in a steady state. These facts support that this reaction is evidently a photocatalytic reaction. The chemical equations for the predominant reaction can be described as follows: The photocatalytic reduction of CO\textsubscript{2} with photoexcited electrons and protons can form CO and water (eq. 1) and the photocatalytic oxidation of water can produce oxygen and protons (eq. 2). These equations give the total equation of the photocatalytic CO\textsubscript{2} splitting into CO and O\textsubscript{2} (eq. 3), which is a multi-photon process. Although water does not appear in the total equation, it works as an electron source.

Compared with the previous study, many parameters in the reaction condition were changed by changing the reactor as listed in Table S1, such as the amount of catalyst, the light intensity, the irradiation area, the light source giving the light with the specific wavelength distribution, the introducing method of
CO₂ gas, and the reaction temperature. As a result, it is the fact that the production rate of CO was improved as 154 times larger, and also the CO selectivity was improved from 45% to 94%. The selectivity is almost the same level as, or rather slightly higher than, that reported in the electrochemical CO₂ reduction by using a Ag electrode (87%) [24], implying that the high CO selectivity would originate from the property of the Ag cocatalyst. This high selectivity is one of the highest records so far [13,16] and the best value among the heterogeneous photocatalytic CO₂ reduction with water over titanium-based photocatalysts such as Ag-loaded BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅ (69%) [9] and Ag-loaded La₂Ti₂O₇ (51%) [11].

The reaction test was additionally performed in the reactor employed in the previous study (reactor A) with the present Ag(3.5)/CaTiO₃ sample (Fig. S2). The production rates of CO, H₂, and O₂ were 1.3, 0.65, and 0.98 µmol h⁻¹, respectively, and the CO selectivity was 67%. Both the photocatalytic activity and the selectivity towards CO formation with the reactor A were certainly much less than those with the reactor B. When the same photocatalyst was used, the CO formation rate with the reactor B was 41 times higher than that with the reactor A. This fact evidences that the new reaction condition using the present reactor is essential for the high and selective formation of CO. To discuss the reason for the high conversion, the intensity of light that reached to the photocatalyst was roughly estimated to be 0.05 and 0.10 mW for the previous and current reactor, respectively, which were calculated by multiplying the following three values, the light intensity (22 and 44 mW cm⁻² at 254 nm in wavelength), the photoirradiation area of the reactor (20 and 155 cm²), and the relative area of the light emission spectra from each lamp (1.0 and 0.13, in the range of 200–350 nm in wavelength). Thus, it was confirmed that the current reactor provided 2 times larger light intensity than the previous one. It is expected that the production rate of CO would become 2 times larger. In the separate experiment, the reaction test was carried out by using 0.1 g of photocatalyst. The CO production rate was almost the same (49 µmol h⁻¹), meaning that the amount of photocatalyst around 0.1–0.3 g does not influence the production rate so much. Therefore, the difference of the light condition and the catalyst amount cannot explain the high CO formation rate such as 41 times in the present condition, even though the high light intensity would be helpful for the multi-photon reaction to some extent.

Here, it is suggested that another clear factor would be the flow of bubbling CO₂ directly into the liquid phase. Fig. 4 shows the time course of the reaction test when the CO₂ gas was introduced to the reactor without the bubbling. The flow of CO₂ passed through the headspace of the reactor before the reaction for 24 h and also during the photocatalytic reaction. It is obvious that the formation rate of CO was lower than that with the CO₂ bubbling flow even at the initial state, and further it drastically decreased with time. This means that the CO₂ concentration in the aqueous solution would be low at the start of the reaction test and decreased further under photoirradiation. Thus, it is suggested that the bubbling flow is quite efficient for
the dissolution of CO₂ into the aqueous solution. The concentration of CO₂ molecules in the liquid phase should be very important for this photocatalytic reaction. Lower reaction temperature in the present study (Table S1) would also contribute to increase the CO₂ concentration in the solution. To confirm the effect of the concentration of dissolved CO₂ in the solution, the reaction test was carried out at higher temperature (306 K) in the reactor B (Fig. 5). The production rate of H₂ was almost the same as that performed at lower temperature. But the production rate of CO was lower at higher temperature. Therefore, selectivity towards CO formation was lower at higher temperature. This result supports that the higher concentration of dissolved CO₂ provides the higher CO production rate and the higher CO selectivity. In addition, as another possibility, the bubbles might physically facilitate the desorption of gaseous products as small bubbles from the photocatalyst surface.

Fig. 4. Time courses of the production rates of CO (circle), H₂ (triangle), and O₂ (square) in the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with water over the Ag(3.5)/CaTiO₃ sample without the CO₂ bubbling flow.
Fig. 5. Time courses of the production rates of CO (circle), H$_2$ (triangle), and O$_2$ (square) in the photocatalytic reduction of CO$_2$ with water over the Ag(3.5)/CaTiO$_3$ sample at 288 K (a) and 306 K (b).

As mentioned above, the product selectivity varied from 89% to 94% with time at the initial period. This implies that the state of the photocatalyst might change as pointed out in the previous study [22]. Fig. 6 shows diffuse reflectance UV−Vis spectra of the Ag(3.5)/CaTiO$_3$ photocatalyst before and after the photocatalytic reaction test, along with that of the pristine CaTiO$_3$ sample. The absorption bands due to the Ag nanoparticles are clearly shown in the range of 350–800 nm in wavelength. Before the reaction, a very broad band with the maximum around 520 nm was observed, which would be derived from the surface plasmon resonance of the Ag nanoparticles. After the reaction, the maximum of the band shifted to 400 nm. These bands depend on silver nanoparticle size [25]. The broad absorption band in fresh catalyst is attributed to the wide particle size distribution, while the relatively narrow absorption band in spent catalyst is attributed to the narrow particle size distribution with smaller particles. As mentioned above, Ag nanoparticles in the fresh Ag(3.5)/CaTiO$_3$ sample was in the size range 10−120 nm estimated from SEM (Fig. 1) and the average crystallites size was 31.5 nm from XRD (Fig. S1). In contrast, Ag nanoparticles in the used sample had relatively narrow size distribution in the range of 20–80 nm after use as shown in the SEM image (Fig. S3), and the average crystallites size was 21.7 nm estimated from XRD (Fig. S4). In addition, Ag loading amount before and after use was almost the same, which was confirmed by XRF analysis. It is suggested that the Ag atoms of the nanoparticles on the oxidative facets are photooxidized to Ag$^+$ cations and dissolved in the aqueous solution, and the Ag$^+$ cations are photodeposited on the reductive
facets to form more dispersed Ag nanoparticles. Thus, the Ag nanoparticles responsible for the CO production dispersed via the photooxidation and photodeposition process of the Ag species and diminish the number of the active sites for the H2 production on the reductive facets of CaTiO3 photocatalyst. From the above reasons, the structural variation of the Ag nanoparticles would increase the production rates of CO slightly and decreased that of H2 by half at the early stage in Fig. 2.

Here, it is notable that, although the change in the DR UV-Vis spectra was drastic during the reaction test as mentioned above (Fig. 6), the change in the photocatalytic production rates in the time course was not so large (Fig. 2). This means that the Ag species showing the adsorption bands in the visible light region would less contribute to the production rates while the other species would contribute dominantly. In literature, it is proposed that very small Ag nanoclusters exhibiting the absorption band around 350 nm are responsible as the efficient cocatalyst for the photocatalytic reduction of CO2 [26]. Thus, in the present system, it can be suggested that the dispersed Ag species like as small nanoclusters exhibiting the absorption band in the UV light region are the predominant cocatalyst for CO2 decomposition.

![Diffuse reflectance spectra of the Ag(3.5)/CaTiO3 sample (a) before and (b) after the photocatalytic reaction for CO2 reduction, and (c) that of the pristine CaTiO3 sample.](image)

**Fig. 6.** Diffuse reflectance spectra of the Ag(3.5)/CaTiO3 sample (a) before and (b) after the photocatalytic reaction for CO2 reduction, and (c) that of the pristine CaTiO3 sample.

On the other hand, the evolution rate of O2 at the initial stage was not consistent with the production rates of CO and H2, where the consumed ratio of the photoexcited electrons and holes was apart from unity ($e^-/h^+=1.9$). The lack of the amount of evolved O2 was estimated as 46 µmol. Although the reason was not
clarified in this study, oxygen might be photoadsorbed on the surface of photocatalyst as pointed out in the case of TiO$_2$ [27].

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, in the present study it was revealed that the Ag loaded CaTiO$_3$ crystals is one of the most selective photocatalysts for the photocatalytic CO$_2$ reduction into CO and O$_2$ in an aqueous NaHCO$_3$ solution. The selectivity for the CO$_2$ reduction reached 94%, where the water splitting to produce H$_2$ was almost suppressed even though the redox potential for H$_2$ formation is obviously higher than that for the CO formation. It was confirmed that the introducing gaseous CO$_2$ by a bubbling flow into the reaction solution is quite efficient.

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas “All Nippon Artificial Photosynthesis Project for Living Earth (AnApple)” (No. 25107515) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS), and the Program for Element Strategy Initiative for Catalysts & Batteries (ESICB), commissioned by the MEXT of Japan. This work was partly carried out by the joint research program of the Artificial Photosynthesis, Osaka City University, Japan.

References