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Integrated heart/cancer on a chip to reproduce the side effects of anti-cancer drugs in vitro†

Ken-ichiro Kamei, Yoshiki Kato, Yoshikazu Hirai, Shinji Ito, Junko Satoh, Atsuko Oka, Toshiyuki Tsuchiya, Yong Chen and Osamu Tabata

Pre-clinical animal tests are used to assess drug efficacy and safety, but are limited by factors such as their suitability as a model for humans, robustness, cost, and ethical issues. While an organ-on-a-chip using human cells is promising for recapitulating human physiological conditions, it is highly desirable in investigations of the side effects of drugs to integrate more than one type of tissue as a designed circulatory system. We have developed a microfluidic device—an Integrated Heart/Cancer on a Chip (iHCC)—using human healthy heart cells (hCMs) and liver cancer cells (HepG2) to recapitulate the side effects of an anti-cancer drug, doxorubicin (DXR), to achieve individual cultures of cells from different tissues on a single device with three sets of artificial blood circulation loops, microfabrication technology for micro valves and a pump provides accurate fluid operation. Using improved soft lithography adopting numerical optimization simulation, the microfluidic device was fabricated with on-chip integration of pneumatic valves and a peristaltic micropump establishing precision fluid flow. The iHCC developed allows modelling of the side effects of DXR on heart cells caused by the production of toxic metabolites (doxorubicinol; DXRol) by HepG2 cells and the delivery of DXRol to heart cells via the circulation loop. Our findings open the door towards the development of a “Body-on-a-Chip.”

To address these issues, the “Organ on a Chip (OoC)”10–11 or “Body on a Chip (BoC)”12–19 technologies, which can mimic human physiological conditions in a microfluidic device, hold great promise as the next generation of pre-clinical tests. Indeed, OoC and BoC were considered as being the “Top 10 Emerging Technologies of 2016” by the World Economic Forum’s Meta-Council on Emerging Technologies. In particular, BoC technology allows the establishment of in vitro pre-clinical drug tests capable of assessing absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion processes (ADME) for pharmaceutical compounds, all of which profoundly influence the performance and pharmacological activity of a compound. Because BoC consists of multiple tissues in a single device, it also allows observation of the interaction between different tissues.

However, the current BoC platforms have several issues that need to be solved prior to their realization. Most BoC platforms have either a one-way flow stream or a few branches on a chip, so they cannot completely mimic the blood circulation system, or perform ADME tests for periods of days or weeks. Although Maschmeyer et al. reported a four-organ-chip enabling coculture of human intestine, liver, skin, and kidney cells with a medium circulation loop,20,21 it has not been using for drug testing, and is still at the initial stage. Some studies have achieved medium circulation using an external rotary peristaltic pump, but this requires a large amount of culture medium, and drugs and metabolites might be lost to the tubing.22,23 To the best of our knowledge, current devices have either a closed...
circulation loop to interconnect tissues or multiplexed capability within a single device. It is important to note that most of the aforementioned OoC or BoC systems have only a single set of experimental conditions in a chip, and do not allow side-by-side comparisons within a device. Furthermore, the direct integration of micropumps in the device often causes difficulties in processing, such as incompatibility and reproducibility, because the existing fabrication methods are not simple and reliable. Therefore, it is important to develop new microfabrication technologies capable of integrating pumps into a device to minimize the volume of the tested medium and prevent sample loss.

Here, we introduce a BoC, which we have named the Integrated Heart/Cancer on a Chip (iHCC), which offers (1) culture of cells of different tissues within a single device, (2) an artificial blood circulatory system in the form of a closed circulation loop without the use of an external pump, and (3) three sets of loops that can be used to apply different conditions. By using this iHCC, we provide proof of principle for recapitulation of the side effects and cardiotoxicity of an anti-cancer drug in vitro (Fig. 1). The cardiotoxicity induced by anti-cancer drugs is a major and serious concern in drug discovery, and the drug metabolites generated from cancerous tissues might exert such side effects on normal tissues via the blood circulatory system (Fig. 1A). In this study, doxorubicin (DXR) was selected as a model anti-cancer drug. DXR was originally obtained from Streptomyces peucetius (Fig. 1B) as a model anti-cancer drug. DXR was originally obtained from Streptomyces peucetius var. caesius, acts through DNA intercalation, and has been used for treating a variety of tumors, such as those of the lung, bladder, liver, breast, and prostate. DXR exerts serious side effects on the heart, such as cardiomyopathy caused by undesired apoptosis. The use of this combination of cells enables modelling of damage to the heart caused by both the anti-cancer drug and its metabolite produced in cancer cells. In this study, we attempted to reproduce the side effects of DXR using human primary cardiomyocytes (hCMs) from a healthy donor and human hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells, which have been widely used as a cancer cell model for the screening of anti-cancer drugs (Fig. 1B).

Experimental

Materials and methods

Design of the microfluidic device used for iHCC. The iHCC was constructed from polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS; SYLGARD 184, Dow Corning), consisting of a perfusion layer and a control layer. PDMS was selected because it has good biocompatibility, gas permeability, and light transparency (Fig. 2A). The perfusion layer contained two cell culture chambers (2.1 mm in width and 220 μm in height), sufficient to supply the required growth factors, the anti-cancer drug, and allowing for reduced shear stress. Microchannels were used to interconnect the two cell culture chambers (150 μm in width, 45 μm in height) through inlet and outlet holes. The control layer, consisting of a thin and flexible PDMS membrane (200 × 200 μm², and 20 μm thickness), contained pneumatic valves to allow for the independent culture of two types of cells without cross contamination, and peristaltic micro pumps for precision medium flow control. Membrane actuation at the valves and pumps was performed by varying the positive hydraulic pressure from the control channel located under the perfusion layer, which was achieved using computer-controlled solenoid valves for accurate control. The micro pump consisted of three individual valves, providing a peristaltic motion for programmable fluid actuation. This micro pump was utilized for an embedded closed-circulation loop as an artificial circulation system to interconnect different cells (Fig. 2B). The set of micropumps and embedded circulation loop minimizes the loss of medium volume and reduces sample loss. Thus, this device comprised three sets of two cell culture chambers with a closed-circulation loop to allow for three different but simultaneous cell treatments within a device (Fig. 2C).

Device fabrication. A multilayer soft lithography replica molding technique was used for device fabrication (Fig. 3). The control layer was cast against the negative photoresist mold with microfluidic channel features with a thickness of 30 μm (TMMR S2000, Tokyo Ohka Kogyo) patterned by standard UV lithography. A resist mold for the perfusion layer was fabricated by a combination of standard UV lithography and grayscale lithography. First, the negative resist layer (TMMF S2045, Tokyo Ohka Kogyo) (thickness, 220 μm) was patterned for the perfusion layer using standard UV lithography. Next, the positive resist layer (PMER P-LA900PM, Tokyo Ohka Kogyo) was spin-coated at a thickness of 45 μm to fabricate the resist mold for the microchannels and pneumatic portions, and then digital micromirror device (DM)-based lithography (DL-1000GS/KCH, NanoSystems Solutions) was performed.

![Fig. 1 Communication between liver cancer cells and healthy heart cells via exchange of metabolites, and the side effects of an anti-cancer drug.](image)
bonded onto the control layer using the partial PDMS curing. Cured PDMS layers were aligned under a microscope and cell culture chambers (A). The pneumatic pump (yellow) provides medium circulation within a set of two culture chambers, and the dark blue color indicates the bottom layer containing the cell valves and peristaltic pumps is controlled by custom LabVIEW-based software. The pink color indicates the top layer containing the cell culture chambers, and the dark blue color indicates the bottom layer containing the valves and pumps.

For the pneumatic portion, DMD-based grayscale lithography using numerical optimization was employed for wafer-level fabrication (Fig. 3).

After fabrication of the resist molds, the PDMS base and curing agents (1 : 10 with a weight ratio, curing agent : base) were mixed well, degassed in a vacuum desiccator, and poured on the mold structures for the perfusion layer. After curing in a convection oven at 80 °C, the perfusion layer was released from the resist mold. Similarly, for the PDMS layer (thickness, 20 μm), the PDMS membrane was fabricated with the control layer by spin-coating the PDMS pre-polymer onto a resist mold. After spin-coating, the control layer was cured at 80 °C. The two cured PDMS layers were aligned under a microscope and bonded onto the control layer using the partial PDMS curing method, allowing for the spontaneous adhesive interaction between the two PDMS layers. Finally, the assembled device was permanently bonded using O2 plasma surface treatment (FA-1, SAMCO) onto a microscopic glass slide (25 mm × 75 mm).

**Device control.** The pneumatic control setup consisted of two sets of eight-channel manifolds (Microfluidic System Works Inc. and THE LEE Company). The setup was controlled using a controller board (VC3 8 controller [ALA Scientific Instruments] and NI USB-6501 [National Instruments]), connected to a computer through a USB port. Compressed nitrogen gas provided pressure (200 kPa) to the manifolds. Metal pins containing Tygon Microbore tubing (Saint-Gobain) filled with water were inserted to the holes of the top perfusion layer connected to the valves and pump, as indicated by the dark blue color shown in Fig. 2C. The other end of the Tygon Microbore tubing was connected to the corresponding control channels on the manifolds. Control channels in the chips were first filled with DI-water by applying pressure (30 kPa). The electronic manifold interface was created using LabVIEW (Version 11.0, National Instrument Inc.).

**Cell culture.** HepG2 hepatocellular carcinoma cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection. HepG2 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM; Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS, Cell Culture Bioscience), 1% (v/v) non-essential amino acids (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and 1% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific), referred to as HepG2 medium. Culture medium was changed every two days, and the cells were passaged with TrypLE express every four days.

Human primary cardiomyocytes (hCMs) were obtained from PromoCell, and were maintained in myocyte medium (PromoCell) supplemented with 0.05% (v/v) fetal calf serum (PromoCell), 0.5 ng mL$^{-1}$ recombinant human epidermal growth factor (PromoCell), 2 ng mL$^{-1}$ recombinant human basic fibroblast growth factor (PromoCell), and 5 μg mL$^{-1}$ recombinant human insulin (PromoCell) in a humidified incubator at 37 °C and 5% (v/v) CO$_2$. Cell culture medium was changed every three days, and the cells were passaged using a trypsin/ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (0.04%/0.03% [v/v]) solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific) every week.

**Cell culture in iHCC.** Prior to the use of iHCC for cell culture, the chip was washed with 70% (v/v) ethanol and irradiated with UV light to prevent contamination. Next, 100 μg mL$^{-1}$ human recombinant fibronectin (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.1% (w/v) bovine gelatin (Type B, Sigma-Aldrich), or 1.3% (v/v) Matrigel hESC (human embryonic stem cell)-Qualified Matrix (Corning) in DMEM/F12 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was introduced into the chip using a syringe inserted at the inlet hole, and incubated at 4 °C for at least 16 hours to allow coating of the extracellular matrices. After rinsing excess Matrigel with DMEM/F12 medium, the chip was placed in an incubator at 37 °C until use.

HepG2 and hCM cells were harvested from culture flasks using 2 mL of trypsin/EDTA (0.04%/0.03% [v/v]) solution and incubated at 37 °C for 5 minutes. After addition of 1 mL of trypsin neutralizing solution (TNS; PromoCell) to the hCM sample, HepG2 and hCM cells were resuspended in their corresponding medium at 1.0 × 10$^6$ cells per mL. Following this,
10 μL of the cell suspension was introduced using a pipette into the hole adjacent to the cell culture chamber to plate the device with cells at $7 \times 10^4$ cells per cm. The cell-loaded device was then placed in a humidified incubator at 37 °C under 5% (v/v) CO2.

Cell treatment in iHCC. Prior to cell treatment with doxorubicin (DXR; Sigma), DXR was dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO; Wako), to give a 10 mM DXR stock solution. Cells were treated with 20 μM DXR in 0.1% (v/v) DMSO in hCM medium for 24 hours with or without medium circulation. As positive and negative controls (PC and NC, respectively) for cell damage, hCM medium supplemented with 1 μM staurosporine (STS; Wako) in 0.1% (v/v) DMSO, or 0.1% (v/v) DMSO, respectively, was used. Medium circulation was applied using an integrated pump at 0.25 Hz and 200 kPa, controlled by the LabVIEW program (National Instruments). Every six hours, fresh hCM medium, present in the tubing inserted at the inlet port, was introduced automatically. During cell treatment, the iHCC was placed in a humidified incubator at 37 °C under 5% (v/v) CO2.

Cell treatment in a 96-well plate. Following the same procedure that was used in "Cell culture in iHCC," HepG2 and hCM cells were harvested from a cell culture flask. Subsequently, 100 μL of $1 \times 10^5$ cells per mL cell suspension in their corresponding medium was introduced in each well of a 96-well plate to give a cell density of $7.0 \times 10^4$ cells per cm, and then cultured in a humidified incubator at 37 °C under 5% (v/v) CO2 for 24 hours. For cell treatment, 20 μM DXR in hCM medium containing 0.1% (v/v) DMSO was used. As PC and NC for cell damage, hCM medium supplemented with 1 μM STS in 0.1% (v/v) DMSO or with only 0.1% (v/v) DMSO, respectively, was used.

Staining of proliferating cells. Staining of proliferating cells with EdU was performed according to the product manuals of the Click-iT EdU Imaging Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Briefly, after cell treatments, cells were treated with hCM medium supplemented with 10 μM EdU in a humidified incubator at 37 °C under 5% (v/v) CO2 for 30 min. After washing away excess EdU with hCM medium supplemented with 1 μM STS in 0.1% (v/v) DMSO, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at 25 °C, followed by permeabilization (0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS) for 16 hours at 25 °C. EdU incorporated into cellular DNA was labeled with Alexa Fluor 647 by means of a click reaction.

Dead cell staining. After cell treatment, cells were treated with 300 nM 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Thermo Fisher Scientific) dissolved in hCM medium (without DXR, STS, or DMSO), and incubated in a humidified incubator at 37 °C under 5% (v/v) CO2 for 30 min. Next, excess fluorescent dye was rinsed off and replaced with DMEM/F12 medium without phenol red for cell microscopic imaging.
**Image acquisition and processing.** The device was placed on the stage of a Nikon ECLIPSE Ti inverted fluorescence microscope equipped with a CFI Plan Fluor 4×/0.13 N.A. objective lens (Nikon), CCD camera (ORCA-R2, Hamamatsu Photonics), mercury lamp (Intensilight, Nikon), XYZ automated stage (Ti-SER motorized stage with encoders, Nikon), and filter cubes for two fluorescence channels (DAPI and Cy5; Nikon). The images were acquired using all motorized components (stage, filters, shutter, and focus system) that were controlled by the manufacturer’s NIS-elements imaging software. The exposure times were set at 1 s for DAPI (DAPI channel) and Edu (Cy5 channel). Following image acquisition, the CellProfiler software (Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Version 2.1.0) was used for identifying cells by employing Otsu’s method, and the fluorescent signals in individual cells were quantified automatically.

**Ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry.** Culture medium (24 μL) was mixed with 1 μL of internal standard (IS) solution. IS solution was prepared by mixing daunorubicin (DNM) hydrochloride (Sigma) with fresh culture medium at 3 μM. The solution was mixed with 75 μL of acetonitrile, vigorously mixed for 30 s, and centrifuged (16 000 × g, 10 min, 4 °C), and 30 μL of the supernatant was mixed with 82.5 μL of 3.45 mM ammonium acetate (pH 3.5).

Part of the resulting solution (30 μL) was separated on a Nexera UHPLC system (Shimadzu) using a binary gradient. The gradient program was as follows: 20 to 30% (v/v) B in 8 min, 40 to 100% (v/v) B in 2 min, 100% (v/v) B for 2 min, 100 to 20% (v/v) B in 0.01 min, then 20% (v/v) B for 3 min. The flow rate was set to 0.4 mL min⁻¹. Mobile phases A and B were 5 mM ammonium acetate (pH 3.5) and acetonitrile, respectively. An ACQUITY UPLC CSH C18 column (130 Å, 1.7 μm, 2.1 mm × 100 mm (Waters)) was used at 40 °C. The UHPLC eluates were infused online to the LC-MS 8030 plus system (Shimadzu), which was set to positive electrospray ionization (ESI) mode. The responses for DXRol, DXR, and DNM were monitored by multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) with transitions m/z 546.10 > 399.20, 544.10 > 397.20, and 528.15 > 321.15, respectively. All measurements were obtained in triplicate and the averaged responses were used. MRM transitions were optimized and peak areas were calculated using LabSolutions software (Shimadzu). The responses for DXRol and DXR were normalized to that of DNM in each sample. The calibration plots were prepared by measuring standard samples, which were generated by adding increasing amounts of DXR and DXRol and fixed amounts of DNM to fresh medium. Because HepG2 cells and hCMs were cultured with different media, the calibration plots were independently prepared for each medium to compensate for matrix effects.

**Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) cytotoxicity assay.** The LDH cytotoxicity assay (Cytotoxicity Detection Kit PLUS, Roche) was performed by following the manufacturer’s instruction. Briefly, after cell stimulation, the cell culture supernatant was harvested, and 100 μL of the supernatant was transferred into a well of a fresh 96-well plate. Then, 100 μL of a reaction mixture in the kit was added to the well and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. The reaction was stopped by adding the stop solution in the kit. Finally, the absorbance of the samples was measured at 490 and 690 nm.

**Statistics.** Statistical analyses, such as Mann Whitney’s U test and the Steel-Dwass test, were performed using R software (ver 3.2.2; https://www.r-project.org/).

**Results and discussion**

**Device characterization**

To characterize the performance of the directly embedded valves and the micropump, the threshold hydraulic pressure to completely close the microchannels was calibrated before the cell culture experiments. During the calibration, the control channels in the control layer were filled with DI water and pressurized using nitrogen gas with a pressure regulator. The inlet and outlet of the perfusion layer were connected to tubes...
and the gravity flow of DI water was generated at a pressure head of 400 mm. The flow rate was measured by means of the progress of the water front in the tube, and the valve efficiency was then defined by a change in relative flow rate. The efficiency of the valve fabricated by grayscale lithography increased steeply until it reached hydraulic pressure of 63 kPa compared to that of standard lithography (Fig. 4A). Taken together with the numerical simulation of a 200 × 200 μm square PDMS membrane having a threshold hydraulic pressure of 65 kPa (CoventorWare, Coventor), the measurements agree with the threshold hydraulic pressure obtained from the simulation (differing by less than 3%).

The fluorescent images of the integrated pneumatic valve in the open and closed states, revealed that the valve was 100% closed at a hydraulic pressure of 65 kPa (Fig. 4B). On the other hand, the efficiency of the valve fabricated by standard lithography continuously increased and did not close completely due to restrictions in PDMS’s mechanical deformability at the microchannel edges. The volumetric flow rate generated by the micropump was also measured by observing the moving water front without gravity flow (Fig. 4C). The measured volumetric flow rate was observed after the applied hydraulic pressure reached 65 kPa; under a driving pressure of 100 kPa, a flow rate of 26 nL min⁻¹ was obtained at 2 Hz. At this flow rate, drugs and metabolites moved from one chamber to another in two minutes. These data show that the present microfabrication technology can achieve reliability and accurate valve and micro pump actuation.

**Cell culture in iHCC**

To accomplish our iHCC goal, we first confirmed the applicability of our device for co-culturing two different cell types with circulation of the medium and then established an in vitro cell-based assay for reproduction of the side effects of DXR in the device.

To determine whether the fabricated device allowed simultaneous culture of two different cells, we introduced hCMs and HepG2 cells into the device and cultured them (Fig. 5A). Prior to cell culture, the culture chambers were coated with extracellular matrix (ECM) components such as fibronectin, gelatin, or...
Matrigel at 4 °C for at least 16 hours. Matrigel was found to be a more effective ECM than fibronectin and gelatin for both cell types, in terms of cell proliferation for the first 24 hours (data not shown). When changing the medium, 10 μL of fresh culture medium for each cell type was placed in a tube and introduced from an inlet into the respective cell culture chamber using a pipette. During cell culture, iHCC was placed in a humidified incubator at 37 °C under 5% (v/v) CO2. After introducing the cells, it took approximately three hours for the cells to attach to the Matrigel-coated substrate. Because PDMS is a porous material, CO2 was able to penetrate through the PDMS of iHCC and reach the cell culture chambers. Therefore, the pH in iHCC was maintained due to the equilibrium of HCO3– and CO2. Both cell types reached over 80% confluence after one day of culture on the chip without any damage. After establishing cell culture in each chamber, we also set up a closed-loop medium circulation. For this, we used hCM medium, which was changed every 6 hours. Although hCM medium was not established for HepG2 cells, both HepG2 and hCM cells showed normal morphology as well as growth in the circulating medium (Fig. 5B and C). These results suggest that the hCM medium allowed culture of both hCMs and HepG2 cells without damage during cell culture and treatment.

Drug testing in iHCC

Next, we performed iHCC-based assays to evaluate the efficacy and toxicity of DXR (Fig. 6). As a comparison, a conventional cell-based assay was also performed in a 96-well plate, in which only static conditions could be applied to the cells, and the interaction between hCMs and HepG2 cells via metabolites released from cells in cell culture medium could not be observed. As a positive control (P.C.) for cell damage,
Staurosporine (STS)\(^{43}\) was used to induce apoptosis. As a negative control (N.C.), a mock solution (hCM medium supplemented with 0.1% (v/v) DMSO) was used. EdU was used as an indicator of cells in the S-phase, as DXR inhibits cell proliferation by intercalating into the genome during DNA duplication. In both experimental setups, HepG2 cells treated with 20 \(\mu\)M DXR reduced EdU accumulation to levels comparable to those in cells treated with STS, resulting in lower cell proliferation (Fig. 6B). This result indicated that DXR showed anti-cancer effects on HepG2 cells. We also attempted EdU staining of hCMs, but since these were non-cancerous healthy cells and do not proliferate, no EdU signal was obtained, in contrast to HepG2 cells (Fig. S1 in ESIT).

For better observation of DXR-induced cell damage, DAPI fluorescent dye was used as a dead cell assay (Fig. 7A).\(^{44,45}\) DAPI does not pass through the intact cell membrane, but penetrates the membrane of damaged or apoptotic cells and binds to the DNA. Therefore, DAPI fluorescence is only observed for dead or apoptotic cells. To conduct a cell-based assay in a microfluidic device, \textit{in situ} methods such as cell imaging\(^\text{a}\) and the use of integrated sensors\(^\text{22}\) are more suitable than other methods involving cell lysis (\textit{e.g.}, enzyme-linked immune-sorbent assay, western blotting or quantitative polymerase chain reaction) or secretion (\textit{e.g.}, secreted alkaline phosphatase assay) because of the lack of sample loss in the device and tubing. To quantitatively analyze cell damage, single-cell profiling was also conducted using both IHCC and a parallel 96-well plate. Under static conditions in the 96-well plate, the number of cells damaged by DXR increased slightly, but only for HepG2 cells (\(***p < 0.001\), compared with N.C.), and not for the hCMs (Fig. 7B). This result indicated that DXR exerted an appropriate anti-cancer effect on HepG2 but did not cause cell damage to the hCMs. In contrast, the iHCC experiment produced different results (Fig. 7C). Following the application of closed-loop medium circulation with DXR in iHCC, the number of damaged hCMs increased significantly (\(***p < 0.001\), compared with NC), whereas no cell damage was observed without DXR. In light of these results, we hypothesized that the cytotoxicity was attributable to DXR metabolites being released from HepG2 cells, rather than to DXR itself, which then reached the hCMs via the circulating medium.

DXRol (doxorubicinol), a known DXR metabolite, was used to validate our hypothesis.\(^{46,47}\) While the side effects of DXR on cardiomyocytes and its toxicity mechanism has been intensively studied, the effects of DXRol are not known. First, we measured the levels of DXRol produced from DXR-treated HepG2 and hCM cells (Fig. 8A left panel and Fig. S21). HepG2 cells produced 0.20 \(\mu\)M of DXRol in 100 \(\mu\)L cell culture medium by metabolizing DXR, but hCMs did not. In fact, the amount of DXR in HepG2 culture was reduced compared with that in hCM culture due to its metabolism to DXRol (Fig. 8A right panel). To investigate the cytotoxic effects of DXR and DXRol, release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), a well-known indicator of cell damage, was determined in the 96-well plate assay (Fig. S31). While 20 \(\mu\)M DXR was cytotoxic to HepG2 cells, lower DXR concentrations did not show cytotoxicity. In terms of hCMs, DXR did not induce LDH release, indicating that DXR did not cause cell damage. In contrast, 20 \(\mu\)M DXRol caused cytotoxic effects in hCMs, but not in HepG2 cells. As shown in Fig. 8A, HepG2 cells produced only 0.20 \(\mu\)M DXRol from DXR, but this concentration did not cause cell damage to hCMs. This difference is largely due to the differences between the iHCC and 96-well plate systems. In the case of the 96-well plate, metabolites released from cells might be diluted in the cell culture medium, whereas, in the case of iHCC, the metabolites were not diluted as much due to the smaller volume used for cell culture. Therefore, metabolites released from cells in the iHCC might more effectively affect other cells than they would affect the cells in a 96-well plate. In this regard, further analysis using a 96-well plate assay and 20 \(\mu\)M of DXR and DXRol were used.

---

**Fig. 8** Doxorubicinol (DXRol), but not doxorubicin (DXR), showed toxic effects on human cardiomyocytes (hCMs). (A) HepG2 cells metabolized DXR to DXRol, but hCMs did not (left panel). The DXR amount in the HepG2 culture was reduced compared with that in the hCM culture (right panel). HepG2 cells and hCMs were cultured in a 96-well plate and treated with DXR (20 \(\mu\)M) for 24 hours. The culture medium supernatant was collected and analysed using ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry to measure both DXR and DXRol. (B) Quantitative single-cell profiling to investigate the effects of doxorubicin (DXR) and its metabolite, doxorubicinol (DXRol), on hCMs. hCMs were cultured in a 96-well plate and treated with DXR (20 \(\mu\)M) or DXRol (20 \(\mu\)M) for 24 hours. DAPI fluorescence intensity in over 200 individual cells was analyzed for each sample. \(P\)-Values (\(***p < 0.001\)) were determined by Steel-Dwass test. The center lines show the medians; box limits indicate 25% and 75%; whiskers extend 1.5 times the interquartile range from 25% and 75%.
effects of DXRol on the proliferation of HepG2 cells, an EdU cell proliferation assay was carried out (Fig. S4†). As a result, DXRol significantly reduced the EdU staining intensity (**p < 0.001), indicating reduced cell proliferation; this result is similar to that obtained for DXR. Furthermore, hCMs treated with DXRol had more cell damage than hCMs treated with DXR or the N.C. (**p < 0.001; Fig. 8B). These results suggest that DXR is not toxic to healthy cardiomyocytes, but its metabolite DXRol is very toxic to cardiomyocytes.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we propose a proof of concept for recapitulation of the cardiotoxic side effects of an anti-cancer drug in vitro using a simple iHCC, which comprises a microfluidic device allowing for integrated culture of cancer and heart cells. The iHCC developed here permits (1) culture of cells from different types of tissues within a single device, (2) application of a closed circulation loop as an artificial blood circulatory system, and (3) multiple sets of different samples to be used for systematic tests. Essentially, it allows a drug to travel through tissues via the circulation loop and to produce tissue-specific metabolites. Thus, the cytotoxicity of an anti-cancer drug on cancer cells and normal cardiomyocytes can be successfully evaluated within a device, thereby recapitulating the side effects. Because this cannot be achieved using conventional cell cultureware, iHCC offers great advantages over conventional OoC/BoC platforms to accurately reproduce human physiological conditions by effectively utilizing its circulation loop to connect different tissues. Furthermore, the proposed fabrication method for on-chip integration of micro pumps is scalable and applicable for other studies. Therefore, this is the first step in recapitulating the side effects of a drug using the OoC/BoC platforms.

To make more advanced BoC platforms, there are several requirements. First, the use of more functional tissues for accurate drug testing is needed and this means that threedimensional cell culture needs to be performed in these BoC platforms. Of course, the microfluidic technique offers chemical and physical control over three-dimensional cellular environments.12,14,48–50 These advantages should allow for the generation of environments highly suitable for cells to express their functions, even in vitro.

Second, PDMS is known to absorb small molecules, such as hydrophobic molecules,39 which might influence the pharmacological results.41 Although alternatives to PDMS have been under development for a long time,39 no suitable substitutes are yet available. Therefore, a new material enabling better pharmacological studies needs to be developed.

Third, it is also important to note that the cell culture medium needs to be optimized for the tested cell types, especially, for performing BoC experiments for longer periods, such as a month or more. In this study, we used the hCM medium for both cardiomyocytes and hepatocellular carcinoma cells. This issue can be addressed by both development of the cell culture medium and design of the microfluidic device.

Fourth, it is also important to note that the results obtained using the BoC platform need to be reconciled with pharmacological data obtained in either preclinical animal studies or clinical trials. Therefore, it is also necessary to design experiments and analyses to compare iHCC-based and preclinical/clinical trial data.

Finally, we envision that by applying the recently developed induced pluripotent stem cell technology and increasing the number and complexity of tissues using advanced microengineering technology, this platform will be able to recapitulate physiological and pathological conditions for precise investigation of drug efficacy and toxicity, which would be a significant step toward realizing personalized medicine.
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