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Abstract. We give a brief summary of Yang-Baxter deformations of the \( \text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5 \) superstring by focusing upon four examples, 1) gravity duals for noncommutative gauge theories, 2) \( \gamma \)-deformations of \( \text{S}^5 \), 3) Schrödinger spacetimes and 4) abelian twists of the global \( \text{AdS}_5 \).

1. Introduction

The most prototypical example of AdS/CFT correspondences [1] is the conjectured equivalence between type IIB superstring on the \( \text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5 \) background and the four-dimensional \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) \( SU(N) \) super Yang-Mills (SYM) theory in the large \( N \) limit. A great progress on this subject is that an integrable structure behind this duality was unveiled (For a comprehensive review, see [2]). Motivated by this discovery, we are interested in the associated classical integrability on the string-theory side. The classical string action on the \( \text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5 \) background was constructed by adopting the Green-Schwarz formulation [3] with the supercoset representation

\[
\text{super AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5 = \frac{\text{PSU}(2,2|4)}{\text{SO}(1,4) \times \text{SO}(5)}.
\]

This supercoset enjoys the \( Z_4 \)-grading property, which ensures the classical integrability [4] in the sense of kinematical integrability that means the existence of Lax pairs (For excellent reviews, see [5,6]). However, it should be remarked that the complete integrability in the sense of Liouville has not been shown due to the ambiguity stemming from non-ultra local terms in the Poisson structure.

As the next issue, it is intriguing to study integrable deformations of the \( \text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5 \) superstring. In fact, there is a long history along this direction and a vast number of the deformations are already known. Thus it is desirable to study them with the most systematic and efficient way. A promising way is to follow the Yang-Baxter sigma model description proposed by Klimcik [7]. This is such a way to study integrable deformations of 2D non-linear sigma models. By following
this approach, the integrable deformations are specified by skew-symmetric linear $R$-operators which satisfy the modified classical Yang-Baxter equation (mCYBE). The original work [7] was invented for principal chiral models, but it was recently generalized to the symmetric cosets by Delduc-Magro-Vicedo [8]. Then it was straightforwardly applied to construct a $q$-deformed action of the $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ superstring [14] with the classical $r$-matrix of Drinfeld-Jimbo type [15].

The metric in the string frame and NS-NS two-form have been obtained in [16] (Here “NS” is an abbreviation of Neveu-Schwarz). For generalizations to $\text{AdS}_n \times S^n$, see [17]. For the recent progress towards the full solution, see [18–20]. This issue is closely related to our interest here.

As a possible generalization, Jordanian deformations of the $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ superstring action were presented in [21] by adopting the homogeneous classical Yang-Baxter equation (CYBE). Here the Lax pair and kappa transformation are different from the ones of the work [14] and this generalization is not so difficult but not so obvious. One of the advantages of this formulation is that partial deformations of $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ are possible, because the zero map $R = 0$ is allowed as a solution of the CYBE, but not of the mCYBE. Then, many skew-symmetric solutions of the CYBE have been identified with well-known solutions of type IIB supergravity in a series of papers [22–30].

The list of the solutions includes $\gamma$-deformations of $S^5$ [31, 32], gravity duals for noncommutative (NC) gauge theories [33], Schrödinger spacetimes [34], abelian twists of the global $\text{AdS}_n$ [35] and further new backgrounds [22]. These identifications may be regarded as a new perspective of Yang-Baxter deformations. The conjectured relation between solutions of type IIB supergravity and classical $r$-matrices are called the gravity/CYBE correspondence [23] (For a short summary of the works in 2014, see [36]. This review is the update of [36] in 2015).

To establish this correspondence, it is necessary to do much effort. However, if it has been established, then it indicates that the moduli space of a certain class of solutions of type IIB supergravity can be described by the CYBE. Due to the fact that the size of classical $r$-matrices is finite and then the number of the solutions is also finite, the number of the associated solutions of type IIB supergravity should also be finite. It is also analogous to the bubbling scenario [37], where 1/2 BPS solutions of type IIB supergravity preserving a certain symmetry are specified by droplet configurations in a free fermion system.

Recently, Yang-Baxter deformations are further generalized to 4D Minkowski spacetime [38]. In this case, there is an obstacle that the inner product entering into the YB sigma model action is degenerate. A possible way around is to employ an embedding of 4D Minkowski spacetime into the bulk $\text{AdS}_5$ space. By adopting this resolution, a Yang-Baxter sigma model providing deformations of 4D Minkowski spacetime was proposed in [38]. Then classical $r$-matrices have been identified with a lot of gravity solutions such as Melvin backgrounds [39–42], pp-wave backgrounds [43], Hashimoto-Sethi backgrounds [44] and Spradlin-Takayanagi-Volovich backgrounds [45]. More interestingly, T-duals of dS$_4$ and AdS$_4$ also have been reproduced. In addition, new backgrounds generated by the standard $q$-deformation were also presented. Furthermore, the above result has an intimate connection with kappa-Minkowski spacetime [46] via preceding works e.g., [47]. For an argument with gravity duals, see [29].

It is worth noting that the gravity/CYBE correspondence may work beyond the integrability. There are many examples of non-integrable $\text{AdS}$/CFT correspondences. A landmark example is the $\text{AdS}_5 \times T^{1,1}$ background [48], for which the complete integrability is broken because chaotic string solutions appear on the $R \times T^{1,1}$ geometry [49, 50]. Hence TsT transformations of $T^{1,1}$ [31, 51] give rise to non-integrable deformations. Interestingly enough, these deformations can be reproduced as Yang-Baxter deformations with abelian classical $r$-matrices [52]. This result indicates that such Yang-Baxter deformations work well even for non-integrable backgrounds of type IIB supergravity.

---

1 For earlier arguments concerned with this generalization, see [9–13].
In this article, we will give a summary for a series of our works with some updates by focusing upon four examples of classical $r$-matrices. The explanation includes Lax pairs as well as the metric and NS-NS two-form.

This article is organized as follows. Section 2 is a brief review of Yang-Baxter deformations of the AdS$_{5} \times $S$^{5}$ superstring. In the subsequent sections, we explain four examples of classical $r$-matrices: (i) gravity duals of non-commutative gauge theories [in sec. 3], (ii) $\gamma$-deformations of S$^{5}$ [in sec. 4], (iii) Schrödinger spacetimes [in sec. 5], and (iv) abelian twists of the global AdS$_{5}$ [in sec. 6]. Section 7 is summary and outlooks. In Appendix A, our notation and convention are summarized.

2. Jordanian deformations of the AdS$_{5} \times $S$^{5}$ superstring

We give a brief review of Yang-Baxter deformations of the AdS$_{5} \times $S$^{5}$ superstring with the CYBE [21]. The deformations are often called Jordanian deformations.

First of all, the deformed classical action of the AdS$_{5} \times $S$^{5}$ superstring is given by

$$S = -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_c}}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\sigma (\gamma^{\alpha\beta} - c^{\alpha\beta}) STr\left[A_\alpha d \circ \frac{1}{1 - \eta R_g \circ d}(A_\beta)\right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The left-invariant one-form $A_\alpha$ is defined as

$$A_\alpha \equiv g^{-1} \partial_\alpha g, \quad g \in SU(2,2|4).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Here $\alpha = (\tau, \sigma)$ is the world-sheet index. Then the world-sheet metric is taken as

$$\gamma^{\alpha\beta} = \text{diag}(-1,1,1),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

with the conformal gauge, in which there is no coupling of the dilaton to the world-sheet scalar curvature. The anti-symmetric tensor $c^{\alpha\beta}$ is normalized as $c^{\tau\sigma} = +1$. The constant parameter $\lambda_c$ in front of the action (2) is defined as

$$\sqrt{\lambda_c} \equiv 2\pi L^2 T = \frac{L^2}{\alpha'}, \quad T = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'},$$

where $T$ is the string tension and $L$ is the curvature radius of the undeformed AdS$_{5}$. Note that $\eta$ is a deformation parameter and hence the undeformed action [3] is reproduced when $\eta = 0$.

A key ingredient appearing in (2) is a chain of operations $R_g$, which is defined as

$$R_g(X) \equiv g^{-1} R(gXg^{-1}) g, \quad X \in \mathfrak{su}(2,2|4).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

A linear operator $R : \mathfrak{su}(2,2|4) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(2,2|4)$ is a solution of the CYBE$^2$,

$$[R(X), R(Y)] - R([R(X), Y] + [X, R(Y)]) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

This $R$-operator is related to a skew-symmetric classical $r$-matrix in the tensorial notation through the following supertrace operation on the second site:

$$R(X) = \text{STr}_2[r(1 \otimes X)] = \sum_i (a_i \text{STr}[b_i X] - b_i \text{STr}[a_i X]).$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$^2$ In the original work [21], a wider class of $R$-operators whose image is given by $\mathfrak{gl}(4|4)$ has been proposed. The $\mathfrak{gl}(4|4)$ image is restricted on $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|4)$ in essential under the coset projection $d$, as pointed out in [28]. We will concentrate here on a restricted class in which the image is $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|4)$ from the beginning, so as to deal with pre-projected quantities like the deformed current $J$ itself, without introducing extra generators. For general cases argued in [22,25], a more detailed study would be necessary.
Here the classical $r$-matrix is represented by
\[ r = \sum_i a_i \wedge b_i \equiv \sum_i (a_i \otimes b_i - b_i \otimes a_i) \quad \text{with} \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathfrak{su}(2, 2|4). \tag{8} \]

If $a_i$ and $b_j$ (do not) commute with each other, then $r$ is called (non-)abelian.

The coset (1) enjoys the $\mathbb{Z}_4$-grading property with the projections $P_i (i = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ to the graded components of the decomposition
\[ \mathfrak{su}(2, 2|4) = \mathfrak{su}(2, 2|4)_0 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2, 2|4)_1 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2, 2|4)_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2, 2|4)_3, \tag{9} \]
where $P_i(\mathfrak{su}(2, 2|4)) \equiv \mathfrak{su}(2, 2|4)_{(i)}$. Note that
\[ \mathfrak{su}(2, 2|4)_0 = \mathfrak{so}(1, 4) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(5) \tag{10} \]
is a local symmetry of the classical action (2). Then $d$ is defined as a linear combination of $P_1$, $P_2$ and $P_3$ like
\[ d \equiv P_1 + 2P_2 - P_3. \tag{11} \]

The numerical coefficients have been fixed by requiring the kappa-symmetry [21]. This $d$ is the same in the undeformed case [3], while it depends on $\eta$ in the case of the mCYBE [14].

In the following analysis, it is convenient to introduce the light-cone expression of $A_\alpha$ on the world-sheet like
\[ A_\pm \equiv A_\tau \pm A_\sigma. \tag{12} \]

In particular, it makes the expression of Lax pair much simpler.

2.1. The bosonic part of the Lagrangian

Let us study the bosonic part of the deformed action (2), which can be rewritten as
\[ L = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} \text{STr}(A_- P_2(J_+)). \tag{13} \]

Here $J_\pm$ is a deformed current defined as
\[ J_\pm \equiv \frac{1}{1 \mp 2\eta R_g \circ P_2} A_\pm. \tag{14} \]

Note here that the factor 2 in front of $\eta$ comes from the projection operator $d$ given in (11). The deformed current $J_\pm$ is determined by solving the following equations:\footnote{In order to derive the metric and NS-NS two-form, it is enough to determine the projected current $P_2(J_\pm)$ by solving the projected conditions
\[ (1 \mp 2\eta P_2 \circ R_g) P_2(J_\pm) = P_2(A_\pm). \]}
\[ (1 \mp 2\eta R_g \circ P_2) J_\pm = A_\pm. \tag{15} \]

Then the metric in the string frame and NS-NS two-form can be evaluated from the Lagrangian (13).

On the other hand, the unprojected current $J_\pm$ itself is necessary to derive the explicit form of Lax pair.
Taking a variation of the Lagrangian (13), the equation of motion is obtained as

\[ \mathcal{E} \equiv \partial_+ P_2(J_-) + \partial_- P_2(J_+) + [J_+, P_2(J_-)] + [J_-, P_2(J_+)] = 0. \]  

(16)

By definition, the undeformed current \( A_\pm \) satisfies the flatness condition,

\[ Z \equiv \partial_+ A_- - \partial_- A_+ + [A_+, A_-] = 0. \]  

(17)

Then, in terms of the deformed current \( J_\pm \), this condition can be rewritten as

\[ \partial_+ J_- - \partial_- J_+ + [J_+, J_-] + 2\eta R_g(\mathcal{E}) + 4\eta^2 \text{CYBE}_g(P_2(J_+), P_2(J_-)) = 0, \]  

(18)

where we have introduced a new quantity defined as

\[ \text{CYBE}_g(X, Y) \equiv [R_g(X), R_g(Y)] - R_g([R_g(X), Y] + [X, R_g(Y)]). \]  

(19)

Note that CYBE\(_g(X, Y)\) vanishes if the \( R \)-operator satisfies the CYBE in (6). Then the relation (18) indicates that \( J_\pm \) also satisfies the flatness condition with the equation of motion \( \mathcal{E} = 0 \). That is, \( J_\pm \) is the on-shell flat current, while \( A_\pm \) is the off-shell flat one.

It is helpful to decompose \( J_\pm \) with the projections \( P_0 \) and \( P_2 \) like

\[ J_\pm = P_0(J_\pm) + P_2(J_\pm) \equiv J_\pm^{(0)} + J_\pm^{(2)}, \]  

(20)

with the completeness condition \( P_0 + P_2 = 1 \). The concrete expressions of the projections are given in Appendix A. Then the equation of motion (16) can be rewritten as

\[ \mathcal{E} = \partial_+ J_\pm^{(2)} + \partial_- J_\pm^{(2)} + [J_\pm^{(0)}, J_\pm^{(2)}] + [J_\pm^{(0)}, J_\pm^{(2)}] = 0. \]  

(21)

The flatness condition (17) can also be rewritten in a similar way:

\[ Z = P_0(Z) + P_2(Z) = 0. \]  

(22)

With the help of the linear independence of the grade 0 and grade 2 parts, one can obtain the following two conditions:

\[ P_0(Z) = \partial_+ J_\pm^{(0)} - \partial_- J_\pm^{(0)} + [J_\pm^{(0)}, J_\pm^{(0)}] + [J_\pm^{(2)}, J_\pm^{(2)}] + 2\eta P_0(R_g(\mathcal{E})) = 0, \]  

\[ P_2(Z) = \partial_+ J_\pm^{(2)} - \partial_- J_\pm^{(2)} + [J_\pm^{(0)}, J_\pm^{(2)}] + [J_\pm^{(2)}, J_\pm^{(2)}] + 2\eta P_2(R_g(\mathcal{E})) = 0. \]  

(23)

Note here that the terms proportional to \( \eta \) vanish under the equation of motion, i.e., \( \mathcal{E} = 0 \).

Then the three conditions in (21) and (23) can be recast into the following set of the equations \( \mathcal{C}_i = 0 \ (i = 1, 2, 3) \):

\[ \mathcal{C}_1 \equiv \partial_- J_\pm^{(2)} - [J_\pm^{(0)}, J_\pm^{(0)}], \]  

\[ \mathcal{C}_2 \equiv \partial_+ J_\pm^{(2)} + [J_\pm^{(0)}, J_\pm^{(2)}], \]  

\[ \mathcal{C}_3 \equiv \partial_+ J_\pm^{(0)} - \partial_- J_\pm^{(0)} + [J_\pm^{(0)}, J_\pm^{(0)}] + [J_\pm^{(2)}, J_\pm^{(2)}]. \]  

(24)

Namely, the relations \( \mathcal{C}_i = 0 \ (i = 1, 2, 3) \) are equivalent to the equation of motion (16) and the flatness condition (17).
2.2. Lax pair

Finally, let us introduce a Lax pair for the deformed Lagrangian (13), which is given by

$$L_\pm = J_\pm^{(0)} + \lambda^{\pm 1} J_\pm^{(2)}$$

with a spectral parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that the existence of the Lax pair (25) is based on the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading property of the bosonic AdS$_5 \times$S$^5$ group manifold.

As usual, the flatness condition of

$$0 = \partial_+ L_- - \partial_- L_+ + [L_+, L_-]$$

is equivalent to the equation of motion $\mathcal{E} = 0$ [in (16)] and the flatness condition $\mathcal{Z} = 0$ [in (17)]. In order to confirm the equivalence, it is helpful to notice that the right-hand side of (26) can be rewritten in terms of $C_i$ as follows:

$$\partial_+ L_- - \partial_- L_+ + [L_+, L_-] = -\lambda C_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} C_2 + C_3.$$

Thus the equivalence is now obvious.

In the following sections, we will explain classical $r$-matrices associated with four backgrounds, 1) gravity duals for noncommutative gauge theories, 2) $\gamma$-deformations of S$^5$, 3) Schrödinger spacetimes, and 4) abelian twists of the global AdS$_5$. For each of the examples, we show the metric (in the string frame) and NS-NS two-form, and present an explicit form of the associated Lax pair (25). For the conventions of the generators, see Appendix A.

3. Gravity duals of noncommutative gauge theories

Firstly, we will describe gravity duals of noncommutative gauge theories [33] from the viewpoint of Yang-Baxter deformations.

The backgrounds are associated with abelian Jordanian classical $r$-matrices [24]

$$r = c_1 p_2 \wedge p_3 + c_2 p_0 \wedge p_1,$$

which consist of the translation generators $p_\mu$ in $\text{su}(2, 2)$. The constant parameters $c_1$ and $c_2$ are related to magnetic and electric NS-NS two-forms in the solutions [33], respectively.

Note that $p_\mu$’s commute with each other and $p_\mu p_\nu = 0$ ($\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$). Then the square of the associated $R$-operator vanishes and the classical $r$-matrices (28) are of Jordanian type. Since the $r$-matrices include no $\text{su}(4)$ generator, only the AdS$_5$ part is deformed. Hence, we will concentrate on the AdS$_5$ part below, while ignoring the S$^5$ part.

3.1. The deformed metric and NS-NS two-form

Let us derive the metric and NS-NS two-form from the Lagrangian (13).

We first introduce a coordinate system through a parametrization of an $SU(2, 2)$ element,

$$g_\alpha(\tau, \sigma) = \exp\left[ p_0 x^0 + p_1 x^1 + p_2 x^2 + p_3 x^3 \right] \exp\left[ \gamma_0^0 \frac{1}{2} \log z \right] \in SU(2, 2).$$

By solving the relations in (15), the deformed current $J_\alpha$ is determined as

$$J_\pm = \frac{z}{z^2 - 4c_2^2 \eta^2} \left[ (z^2 \partial_+ x^0 \pm 2c_2 \eta \partial_\pm x^0)p_0 + (z^2 \partial_- x^1 \pm 2c_2 \eta \partial_\pm x^1)p_1 \right]$$
\[ + \frac{z}{z^4 + 4c_1^2 \eta^2} \left[ \left( z^2 \partial_{\pm} x^2 \mp 2c_1 \eta \partial_{\pm} x^3 \right) p_2 + \left( z^2 \partial_{\pm} x^3 \pm 2c_1 \eta \partial_{\pm} x^2 \right) p_3 \right] + \frac{1}{2z} \partial_{\pm} z \gamma_5^a . \] (30)

Then the resulting metric and NS-NS two-form are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
ds^2 &= \frac{z^2[-(dx^0)^2 + (dx^1)^2]}{z^4 - 4c_2^2 \eta^2} + \frac{z^2[(dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2]}{z^4 + 4c_1^2 \eta^2} + \frac{dz^2}{z^2} , \\
B &= -\frac{2c_2 \eta}{z^4 - 4c_2^2 \eta^2} dx^0 \wedge dx^1 + \frac{2c_1 \eta}{z^4 + 4c_1^2 \eta^2} dx^2 \wedge dx^3 . \tag{31}
\end{align*}
\]

This result exactly agrees with the solutions in [33]. When \( c_1 = c_2 = 0 \), the Poincaré AdS_5 is reproduced.

The result in (31) can also be reproduced as a special limit of the \( q \)-deformed AdS_5 \( \times S^5 \) [19]. This limit has been further confirmed at the level of Lax pairs [30].

### 3.2. Lax pair

Then let us see the associated Lax pair \( \mathcal{L}^{NC}_{\pm} \). It is explicitly given by [24, 30]
\[
\mathcal{L}^{NC}_{\pm} = \frac{z}{z^4 - 4c_2^2 \eta^2} \left[ \left( z^2 \partial_{\pm} x^0 \pm 2c_2 \eta \partial_{\pm} x^1 \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_{\pm 1}}{2} n_a^0 - n_0^a \right) \right. \\
+ \left( z^2 \partial_{\pm} x^1 \pm 2c_2 \eta \partial_{\pm} x^0 \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_{\pm 1}}{2} n_1^a - n_0^a \right) \right] \\
+ \frac{z}{z^4 + 4c_1^2 \eta^2} \left[ \left( z^2 \partial_{\pm} x^2 \pm 2c_1 \eta \partial_{\pm} x^3 \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_{\pm 1}}{2} n_2^a - n_3^a \right) \right. \\
+ \left( z^2 \partial_{\pm} x^3 \pm 2c_1 \eta \partial_{\pm} x^2 \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_{\pm 1}}{2} n_3^a - n_2^a \right) \left. \right] + \frac{\lambda_{\pm 1} \partial_{\pm} z}{2z} \gamma_5^a . \tag{32}
\]

In the undeformed limit \( c_1, c_2 \to 0 \), the above expression is reduced to
\[
\mathcal{L}^{\text{PAdS}_5}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{z} \left( \partial_{\pm} x^\mu \left( \frac{\lambda_{\pm 1}}{2} n_\mu^a - n_\mu^a \right) + \frac{\lambda_{\pm 1} \partial_{\pm} z}{2z} \gamma_5^a \right) . \tag{33}
\]

This is nothing but a Lax pair for the Poincaré AdS_5.

### 3.3. Twisted boundary condition

In fact, the deformations with the r-matrices (28) can be regarded as twisted boundary conditions with the undeformed AdS_5 \( \times S^5 \), as argued in [32].

For simplicity, suppose \( c_1 \neq 0 \) and \( c_2 = 0 \). The analysis for the case with \( c_2 \neq 0 \) is quite similar, though there is a subtlety for the signature of the metric (For the detail, see [33]).

After performing the Yang-Baxter deformation (equivalently the associated TsT transformation), the original coordinates \( \tilde{x}^2 \) and \( \tilde{x}^3 \) for the undeformed AdS_5 \( \times S^5 \) are mapped to \( x^2 \) and \( x^3 \). Then the relations between the coordinates are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{z^2} \partial_{\pm} \tilde{x}^2 &= \frac{z^2}{z^4 + 4c_1^2 \eta^2} \left[ \partial_{\pm} x^2 \pm \frac{2c_1 \eta}{z^2} \partial_{\pm} x^3 \right] , \\
\frac{1}{z^2} \partial_{\pm} \tilde{x}^3 &= \frac{z^2}{z^4 + 4c_1^2 \eta^2} \left[ \partial_{\pm} x^3 \pm \frac{2c_1 \eta}{z^2} \partial_{\pm} x^2 \right] . \tag{34}
\end{align*}
\]
These relations indicate the following equivalence of Noether currents
\[ \tilde{P}_i^\alpha = P_i^\alpha \quad (i = 2, 3). \] (35)
Here \( P_i^\alpha \) and \( \tilde{P}_i^\alpha \) are conserved currents associated with translation invariance for the \( x^i \) and \( \tilde{x}^i \) directions, respectively. The \( r \)-component of (35) indicates that the momentum \( p_i \equiv P_i^r \) is identical to \( \tilde{p}_i \equiv \tilde{P}_i^r \), namely \( p_i = \tilde{p}_i \). Then the \( \sigma \)-component of (35) leads to the relations:
\[ \partial_\sigma \tilde{x}^2 = \partial_\sigma x^2 + \frac{2c_1 \eta}{\sqrt{\lambda_c}} p_3, \quad \partial_\sigma \tilde{x}^3 = \partial_\sigma x^3 - \frac{2c_1 \eta}{\sqrt{\lambda_c}} p_2. \] (36)
By integrating both relations, one can realize that the deformed backgrounds with the periodic boundary condition are equivalent to the undeformed \( \text{AdS}_5 \times S^5 \) with twisted boundary conditions:
\[ \tilde{x}^2(\sigma = 2\pi) = x^2(\sigma = 0) + \frac{2c_1 \eta}{\sqrt{\lambda_c}} p_3, \quad \tilde{x}^3(\sigma = 2\pi) = x^3(\sigma = 0) - \frac{2c_1 \eta}{\sqrt{\lambda_c}} p_2. \] (37)
Here \( P_i \) are Noether charges obtained by integrating \( p_i \).

Thus the Yang-Baxter deformations with the classical \( r \)-matrices (28) can be reinterpreted as twisted boundary conditions with the usual \( \text{AdS}_5 \times S^5 \) background.

4. \( \gamma \)-deformations of \( S^5 \)

An example of marginal deformations of \( N = 4 \) SYM, which preserves an \( N = 1 \) superconformal symmetry, is called the \( \beta \)-deformation [53]. The gravity dual for the \( \beta \)-deformation was constructed in [31], and then it was generalized to three-parameter cases called the \( \gamma \)-deformations [32]. The \( \text{AdS}_5 \) part is not deformed due to the conformal symmetry, while the \( S^5 \) part is deformed due to the reduced supersymmetry.

Let us study here the \( \gamma \)-deformations [31,32] from the viewpoint of Yang-Baxter deformations. In the following, the \( \text{AdS}_5 \) part will be dropped off.

The backgrounds are associated with abelian classical \( r \)-matrices [23],
\[ r = \mu_3 h_4 \wedge h_5 + \mu_1 h_5 \wedge h_6 + \mu_2 h_6 \wedge h_4. \] (38)
Here \( h_4, h_5 \) and \( h_6 \) are the three Cartan generators of \( \mathfrak{su}(4) \), and \( \mu_i \ (i = 1, 2, 3) \) are the deformation parameters.

4.1. The deformed metric and NS-NS two-form

It is useful to employ the following parametrization of a group element \( g_s \) of \( SU(4) \),
\[ g_s(\tau, \sigma) = \exp \left[ \frac{i}{2} (\phi_1 h_4 + \phi_2 h_5 + \phi_3 h_6) \right] \exp \left[ -\zeta n_{13}^i \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{i}{2} r \gamma_1^i \right]. \] (39)
In the undeformed case, this describes the round \( S^5 \) with the coordinates \( r, \zeta \) and \( \phi_i \ (i = 1, 2, 3) \).

By solving the equations in (15), the deformed current \( J^\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3 \) is determined as
\[ J^\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3 = -i\partial_\pm r \frac{\gamma_2^s}{2} - \partial_\pm \zeta \left[ i \sin r \frac{\gamma_2^s}{2} + \cos r n_{13}^i \right]. \]
This background is a holographic dual of the $\beta$-deformation of the Lunin-Maldacena solution [31], corresponding to the metric and NS-NS two-form of the Lunin-Maldacena solution [31],

$$ds^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} (d\rho_i^2 + G\rho_i^2 d\phi_i^2) + G\gamma_1^2 \rho_1^2 \rho_2^2 \rho_3^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_i d\phi_i \right)^2,$$

$$B_2 = G\gamma (\rho_1^2 \rho_2^2 d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_2 + \rho_2^2 \rho_3^2 d\phi_2 \wedge d\phi_3 + \rho_3^2 \rho_1^2 d\phi_3 \wedge d\phi_1),$$

where the scalar function $G(\gamma)$ is defined as

$$G^{-1}(\gamma) \equiv 1 + \frac{\gamma}{4} (\sin^2 2r + \sin^4 r \sin^2 2\zeta).$$

This background is a holographic dual of the $\beta$-deformation of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [53].
4.2. Lax pair

Next, the explicit form of the Lax pair is given by [23, 30]
\[
\mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3} = -i \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \partial_{\pm} r \gamma_1^s - \partial_{\pm} \zeta \left[ i \sin r \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \gamma_3^s + \cos r n_{13}^s \right] \\
- G(\gamma_i) \left[ \partial_{\pm} \phi_1 \pm (\gamma_3^s - r \gamma_2^s - r \gamma_3^s) \partial_{\pm} \phi_3 \right] \\
+ \gamma_1 \sin^2 r \cos^2 r \sin^2 \zeta \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_i \partial_{\pm} \phi_i \\
\times \left[ \cos \zeta (i \sin r \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \gamma_2^s + \cos r n_{12}^s) + \sin \zeta n_{23}^s \right] \\
- G(\gamma_i) \left[ \partial_{\pm} \phi_2 \pm (\gamma_1^s - r \gamma_2^s + r \gamma_3^s) \partial_{\pm} \phi_1 \right] \\
+ \gamma_2 \sin^2 r \cos^2 r \cos^2 \zeta \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_i \partial_{\pm} \phi_i \\
\times \left[ \sin \zeta (i \sin r \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \gamma_3^s + \cos r n_{13}^s) + \cos \zeta n_{34}^s \right] \\
+ G(\gamma_i) \left[ \partial_{\pm} \phi_3 \pm (\gamma_2^s - r \gamma_3^s \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \gamma_1^s - r \gamma_1^s \partial_{\pm} \phi_2 \right] \\
+ \gamma_3 \sin^4 r \sin^2 \zeta \cos^2 \zeta \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_i \partial_{\pm} \phi_i \\
\times i \cos r \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \gamma_5^s - \sin r n_{15}^s \right) . \tag{48}
\]

This result is equivalent to the Lax pair obtained in [32]. Note here that, in the undeformed limit \( \gamma_1 \to 0 \), \( \mathcal{L}_{\pm}^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3} \) is reduced to
\[
\mathcal{L}_{\pm}^S = -i \partial_{\pm} r \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \gamma_1^s - \partial_{\pm} \zeta \left[ i \sin r \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \gamma_3^s + \cos r n_{13}^s \right] \\
- \partial_{\pm} \phi_1 \left[ \cos \zeta (i \sin r \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \gamma_2^s + \cos r n_{12}^s) + \sin \zeta n_{23}^s \right] \\
- \partial_{\pm} \phi_2 \left[ \sin \zeta (i \sin r \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \gamma_3^s + \cos r n_{13}^s) + \cos \zeta n_{34}^s \right] \\
+ \partial_{\pm} \phi_3 \left[ i \cos r \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \gamma_5^s - \sin r n_{15}^s \right] . \tag{49}
\]

This is just a Lax pair for the round \( S^5 \).

4.3. Twisted boundary condition

Again, the deformations can be regarded as the undeformed \( \text{AdS}_5 \times S^5 \) with twisted boundary conditions [32]. The twisted boundary conditions are given by
\[
\tilde{\phi}_1(\sigma = 2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_1(\sigma = 0) + \gamma_3 J_2 - \gamma_2 J_3 + 2\pi n_1 , \\
\tilde{\phi}_2(\sigma = 2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_2(\sigma = 0) + \gamma_1 J_3 - \gamma_3 J_1 + 2\pi n_2 , \\
\tilde{\phi}_3(\sigma = 2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_3(\sigma = 0) + \gamma_2 J_1 - \gamma_1 J_2 + 2\pi n_3 , \tag{50}
\]
with \( \gamma_i \equiv \gamma_i / \sqrt{\lambda} \). Here \( J_i \) are the Noether charges for rotation invariance in the \( \phi_i \) directions. The integers \( n_i \) are winding numbers along the \( \phi_i \) directions.
5. Schrödinger spacetimes

We are concerned with Schrödinger spacetimes here. Originally, 3D Schrödinger spacetimes were introduced as light-like deformations of AdS$_3$ [55]. Higher-dimensional Schrödinger spacetimes were constructed as holographic duals for non-relativistic conformal field theories [56]. For the coset construction of the metrics, see [54]. Then the backgrounds have been embedded into type IIB supergravity [34]$.^4$

In the following, let us study Schrödinger spacetimes embedded in type IIB supergravity [34] from the viewpoint of Yang-Baxter deformations. Then the backgrounds are associated with the following abelian $r$-matrix [27]:

$$r = \frac{i}{4\sqrt{2}} (p_0 - p_3) \wedge (h_4 + h_5 + h_6).$$

(51)

It contains generators of both $\mathfrak{su}(2, 2)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ and hence deforms both AdS$_5$ and S$^5$ parts.

5.1. The deformed metric and NS-NS two-form

Let us parametrize group elements of $SU(2, 2)$ and $SU(4)$ like

$$g_\alpha(\tau, \sigma) = \exp x^0 p_0 + x^1 p_1 + x^2 p_2 + x^3 p_3 \exp \gamma_5^a \frac{1}{2} \log z \in SU(2, 2),$$

$$g_\sigma(\tau, \sigma) = \exp \left[ i (\psi_1 h_4 + \psi_2 h_5 + \psi_3 h_6) \right] \exp \left[ -\zeta n_{13}^a \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{i}{2} \gamma_5^a \right] \in SU(4).$$

(52)

The deformed current $J_{\pm}$ can be expanded in terms of the generators of $\mathfrak{su}(2, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(4)$. Then, by solving the equations in (15), $J_{\pm}$ is determined as

$$J_+ = \frac{1}{2} \partial_+ \mu + \frac{1}{2} \partial_+ x \chi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_+ z \gamma_5$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} z} \partial_+ x^+ (p_0 + p_3)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} z} \left[ \partial_+ x^- \eta \partial_\pm \chi \pm \eta \sin^2 \mu (\partial_\mp \psi + \cos \theta \partial_\pm \phi) + \frac{\eta^2}{2} \partial_+ x^+ \right] (p_0 - p_3),$$

(53)

$^4$ For another embedding into type IIB supergravity, see [57].
Here we have performed a coordinate transformation,

\[ x^\pm = x^0 \pm x^3 \sqrt{2}, \]

\[ r = \mu, \quad \zeta = \frac{1}{2} \theta, \quad \psi_1 = \chi + \frac{1}{2}(\psi + \phi), \quad \psi_2 = \chi + \frac{1}{2}(\psi - \phi), \quad \psi_3 = \chi. \]

With the deformed current (53), the resulting background is given by

\[ ds^2 = -2dx^+dx^- + (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + dz^2 - \eta^2 \frac{(dx^+)^2}{z^4} + ds_{\mathbb{S}^5}^2, \]

\[ B_2 = \frac{\eta}{z^2} dx^+ \wedge (d\chi + \omega). \quad (54) \]

Here the \( \mathbb{S}^5 \) metric is written as an \( S^1 \)-fibration over \( \mathbb{C}P^2 \),

\[ ds_{\mathbb{S}^5}^2 = (d\chi + \omega)^2 + ds_{\mathbb{C}P^2}^2, \]

\[ ds_{\mathbb{C}P^2}^2 = d\mu^2 + \sin^2 \mu (\Sigma_1^2 + \Sigma_2^2 + \cos^2 \mu \Sigma_3^2). \quad (55) \]

Now \( \chi \) is the fiber coordinate and \( \omega \) is a one-form potential of the Kähler form on \( \mathbb{C}P^2 \). The symbols \( \Sigma_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3 \)) and \( \omega \) are defined as

\[ \Sigma_1 \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\cos \psi d\theta + \sin \psi \sin \theta d\phi), \]

\[ \Sigma_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\sin \psi d\theta - \cos \psi \sin \theta d\phi), \]

\[ \Sigma_3 \equiv \frac{1}{2}(d\psi + \cos \theta d\phi), \quad \omega \equiv \sin^2 \mu \Sigma_3. \quad (56) \]

It is remarkable that only the AdS\( S_5 \) metric is deformed while the \( S^5 \) part is not, in spite of the expression of the classical \( r \)-matrix (51). On the other hand, the NS-NS two-form carries two indices, one of which is from AdS\( S_5 \) and the other is \( S^5 \).

So far, we have considered the one-parameter deformation, but it is easy to reproduce three-parameter deformations of [58] and non-Cartan deformations of [59] (For the detail, see [27]).

### 5.2. Lax pair

In the present case, the associated Lax pair is a bit messy but given by [27, 30]

\[ \mathcal{L}^{\text{Sch}}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\pm} x^1 \left[ \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \gamma_1^a - n_1^a \right] + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\pm} x^2 \left[ \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \gamma_2^a - n_2^a \right] + \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2z} \partial_{\pm} z \gamma_3^a \]

\[ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}z} \partial_{\pm} x^+ \left[ \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \gamma_0^a + \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \gamma_3^a - n_0^a - n_3^a \right] \]

\[ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}z} \left[ \partial_{\pm} x^- \pm \eta \partial_{\pm} \chi \pm \frac{\eta}{2} \sin^2 \mu (\partial_{\pm} \psi + \cos \theta \partial_{\pm} \phi) + \frac{n_0^2}{z^2} \partial_{\pm} x^+ \right] \]

\[ \times \left[ \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \gamma_0^a - \frac{\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \gamma_3^a - n_0^a + n_3^a \right] \]

\[ - \frac{i\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \partial_{\pm} \mu \gamma_1^s - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\pm} \theta \left[ \frac{i\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \sin \mu \gamma_3^s + \cos \mu n_{1,3} \right] \]

\[ - \left[ \partial_{\pm} \chi \pm \frac{\eta}{2} \partial_{\pm} x^+ \right] \left[ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \left( \frac{i\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \sin \mu \gamma_4^s + \cos \mu n_{14} + n_{23}^s \right) \right. \]

\[ + \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \left( \frac{i\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \sin \mu \gamma_2^s + \cos \mu n_{12} + n_{34}^s \right) - \frac{i\lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \cos \mu \gamma_5^s + \sin \mu n_{15}^s \right] \]
invariance for the $P$ boundary condition. Here symmetric two-form studied in [54].

It may be interesting to consider a relation between the above argument and the 5 with the undeformed AdS

As $\eta \to 0$, the above Lax pair $\mathcal{L}^{\text{Sch}}_{\pm}$ is reduced to the following:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{z} \partial_{\pm} x^{1} \left[ \lambda^{\pm 1} - \frac{2}{2} \gamma_{1} - \eta \right] + \frac{1}{z} \partial_{\pm} x^{2} \left[ \lambda^{\pm 1} - \frac{2}{2} \gamma_{2} - \eta \right] + \frac{1}{z} \partial_{\pm} \gamma_{5}^{a}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} z} \partial_{\pm} x^{1} \left[ \lambda^{\pm 1} - \frac{2}{2} \gamma_{0} + \lambda^{\pm 1} - \frac{2}{2} \gamma_{3} - \eta \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} z} \partial_{\pm} x^{2} \left[ \lambda^{\pm 1} - \frac{2}{2} \gamma_{0} - \lambda^{\pm 1} - \frac{2}{2} \gamma_{3} - \eta \right]$$

$$- \frac{i \lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \partial_{\pm} \gamma_{5}^{a} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\pm} x^{1} \left[ \lambda^{\pm 1} - \frac{2}{2} \gamma_{3} + \cos \mu \right]$$

$$- \partial_{\pm} \chi \left[ \sin \theta \left( \frac{i \lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \sin \mu \right) + \cos \theta \left( \frac{i \lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \sin \mu \right) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\pm} \phi \left[ \sin \theta \left( \frac{i \lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \sin \mu \right) + \cos \theta \left( \frac{i \lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \sin \mu \right) \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\pm} \psi \left[ \sin \theta \left( \frac{i \lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \sin \mu \right) + \cos \theta \left( \frac{i \lambda^{\pm 1}}{2} \sin \mu \right) \right] \right].$$

5.3. Twisted boundary condition

The deformations can be reinterpreted as twisted boundary conditions, again. The following twisted boundary conditions

$$\tilde{x}^{-}(\sigma = 2\pi) = \tilde{x}^{-}(\sigma = 0) + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\lambda_{c}}} J_{\chi} + 2\pi n_{\chi},$$

$$\tilde{\chi}(\sigma = 2\pi) = \tilde{\chi}(\sigma = 0) - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\lambda_{c}}} P_{-}$$

with the undeformed AdS$_{5} \times S^{5}$ is equivalent to the deformed background with the periodic boundary condition. Here $P_{-}$ and $J_{\chi}$ are the Noether charges for translation and rotation invariance for the $x^{-}$ and $\chi$ directions, respectively. The integer $n_{\chi}$ is a winding number for the $\chi$ direction. It may be interesting to consider a relation between the above argument and the symmetric two-form studied in [54].
6. Abelian twists of the global AdS$_5$

In section 3, we have considered gravity duals for gauge theories on noncommutative planes. Thus the deformed backgrounds have been described as deformations of the Poincaré AdS$_5$.

On the other hand, one may consider noncommutative deformations of the global AdS$_5$ [35], in which the dual gauge theories are living on $R \times$ deformed $S^3$. The deformed backgrounds can be realized by performing abelian twists (equivalently TsT transformations) as in section 4 for the global AdS$_5$.

Here, let us consider abelian twists of the global AdS$_5$ as Yang-Baxter deformations. The twists are associated with the classical $r$-matrix [24],

$$ r = - \frac{i}{2} n_{12}^a \wedge n_{03}^a. \quad (60) $$

This $r$-matrix is composed of two Cartan generators of $su(2, 2)$ and deforms only the AdS$_5$ part. Hence we will omit the $S^5$ part hereafter.

6.1. The deformed metric and NS-NS two-form

Let us consider the following parameterization of a group element of $SU(2, 2)$:

$$ g_a(\tau, \sigma) = \exp \left[ \frac{i}{2} (\phi_1 h_1 + \phi_2 h_2 + \tau h_3) \right] \exp \left[ -\theta n_{13}^a \right] \exp \left[ -\rho \frac{\gamma_1}{2} \right] \in SU(2, 2). \quad (61) $$

The deformed current $J_{\pm}$ is expanded in terms of the basis of $su(2, 2)$. Then, by solving the equations in (15), $J_{\pm}$ can be determined as

$$ J_{\pm} = -\partial_{\pm} \rho \frac{1}{2} \gamma_1^a - \partial_{\pm} \theta \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sinh \rho \gamma_2^a + \cosh \rho n_{13}^a \right] 
+ i \partial_{\pm} \tau \left[ \frac{1}{2} \cosh \rho \gamma_3^a + \sinh \rho n_{15}^a \right] 
- \hat{G} (\partial_{\pm} \phi_1 \mp \eta \sin^2 \theta \sinh^2 \rho \partial_{\pm} \phi_2) 
\times \left[ \cos \theta \left( \frac{1}{2} \sinh \rho \gamma_1^a + \cosh \rho n_{12}^a \right) + \sin \theta n_{23}^a \right] 
+ i \hat{G} (\partial_{\pm} \phi_2 \pm \eta \cos^2 \theta \sinh^2 \rho \partial_{\pm} \phi_1) 
\times \left[ \sin \theta \left( \frac{1}{2} \sinh \rho \gamma_0^a - \cosh \rho n_{01}^a \right) - \cos \theta n_{03}^a \right], \quad (62) $$

where $\hat{G}(\eta)$ is a scalar function defined as

$$ \hat{G}^{-1}(\eta) \equiv 1 + \eta^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \sinh^2 \rho. \quad (63) $$

By using the current (62), the deformed metric and NS-NS two-form are obtained as

$$ ds^2 = -\cosh^2 \rho \, dt^2 + d\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho \left( d\theta^2 + \frac{\cos^2 \theta \, d\phi_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta \, d\phi_2^2}{1 + \eta^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \sinh^4 \rho} \right), 
B_2 = -\frac{\eta \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \sinh^4 \rho}{1 + \eta^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \sinh^4 \rho} d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_2. \quad (64) $$

This result precisely agrees with the ones of abelian twists of the global AdS$_5$ [35]. Incidentally, three-parameter cases have been discussed in [24].
6.2. Lax pair

The next is to determine the associated Lax pair. By using the deformed current (62), the Lax pair can be explicitly evaluated. The resulting expression is given by [24,30]

\[
\mathcal{L}^\pm_{AT} = -\partial_\pm \rho \frac{\lambda^\pm_1}{2} \gamma_1^a - \partial_\pm \theta \left[ \frac{\lambda^\pm_1}{2} \sinh \rho \gamma_3^a + \cosh \rho n_{13}^a \right] \\
+ i \partial_\pm \tau \left[ \frac{\lambda^\pm_1}{2} \cosh \rho \gamma_5^a + \sinh \rho n_{15}^a \right] \\
- \hat{G} \left( \partial_\pm \phi_1 \mp \eta \sin^2 \theta \sinh \rho \partial_\pm \phi_2 \right) \\
\times \left[ \cos \theta \left( \frac{\lambda^\pm_1}{2} \sinh \rho \gamma_2^a + \cosh \rho n_{12}^a \right) + \sin \theta n_{23}^a \right] \\
+ i \hat{G} \left( \partial_\pm \phi_2 \pm \eta \cos^2 \theta \sinh \rho \partial_\pm \phi_1 \right) \\
\times \left[ \sin \theta \left( \frac{\lambda^\pm_1}{2} \sinh \rho \gamma_0^a - \cosh \rho n_{01}^a \right) - \cos \theta n_{03}^a \right].
\]

The existence of the Lax pair was anticipated in [35], but the explicit form has been derived in [24,30]. In the \( \eta \to 0 \) limit, the above Lax pair is reduced to the following form:

\[
\mathcal{L}^\pm_{\text{GAdS}_5} = -\partial_\pm \rho \frac{\lambda^\pm_1}{2} \gamma_1^a - \partial_\pm \theta \left[ \frac{\lambda^\pm_1}{2} \sinh \rho \gamma_3^a + \cosh \rho n_{13}^a \right] \\
+ i \partial_\pm \tau \left[ \frac{\lambda^\pm_1}{2} \cosh \rho \gamma_5^a + \sinh \rho n_{15}^a \right] \\
- \partial_\pm \phi_1 \left[ \cos \theta \left( \frac{\lambda^\pm_1}{2} \sinh \rho \gamma_2^a + \cosh \rho n_{12}^a \right) + \sin \theta n_{23}^a \right] \\
+ i \partial_\pm \phi_2 \left[ \sin \theta \left( \frac{\lambda^\pm_1}{2} \sinh \rho \gamma_0^a - \cosh \rho n_{01}^a \right) - \cos \theta n_{03}^a \right].
\]

This is nothing but a Lax pair for the global \( \text{AdS}_5 \). It may be possible to consider the three-parameter generalization, up to a subtlety of the signature for the time direction.

6.3. Twisted boundary condition

As in the previous three examples, the deformations can be reinterpreted as twisted boundary conditions again. After performing a similar analysis, one can see that the deformed backgrounds with the periodic boundary condition is equivalent to the undeformed theory with the following twisted boundary conditions:

\[
\tilde{\phi}_1(\sigma = 2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_1(\sigma = 0) + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\lambda_c}} J_2 + 2\pi n_1, \\
\tilde{\phi}_2(\sigma = 2\pi) = \tilde{\phi}_2(\sigma = 0) - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\lambda_c}} J_1 + 2\pi n_2.
\]

Here \( J_i \) are the Noether charges for rotation invariance in the \( \phi_i \) directions. The integers \( n_i \) are winding numbers along the \( \phi_i \) directions.
7. Summary and Outlooks

In this article, we have given a short summary of Yang-Baxter deformations of the AdS$_5 \times$S$^5$ superstring by focusing upon four type IIB supergravity backgrounds, 1) gravity duals for noncommutative gauge theories, 2) $\gamma$-deformations of S$^5$, 3) Schrödinger spacetimes and 4) abelian twists of the global AdS$_5$. All of them are associated with abelian classical $r$-matrices.

For all of the examples presented here, it has been shown that the deformed backgrounds with the periodic boundary condition are equivalent with the undeformed one with twisted boundary conditions. This result has been anticipated by the preceding works [32, 60], because these backgrounds can also be realized as TsT transformations of the AdS$_5 \times$S$^5$. Thus it seems likely that the abelian classical $r$-matrices can be seen as abelian twists (equivalently TsT transformations). In fact, there are general arguments supporting this statement [29, 61]. This would be the case even in non-integrable cases like $T^{1,1}$ [52].

However, in non-abelian cases, it would not be the case. Then non-local gauge transformations should enter into the argument and one needs to take account of the global structure like an integration constant matrix as argued in the case of 3D Schrödinger spacetime [12]. In particular, periodic boundary conditions are not suitable for non-local gauge fields and further the undeformed geometry cannot be reproduced even after undoing the twist for the affine extended algebra. The resulting geometry is described in terms of the dipole-like coordinates [12].

More interesting observation is that the gravity/CYBE correspondence may contain S-duality as argued in [25]. It is quite natural that the integrability survives T-dualities, but it is not certain for S-dualities. It would be very nice to reveal a relation between the integrability and S-dualities from the viewpoint of Yang-Baxter deformations.

Thus there are a lot of open problems in the case of non-abelian classical $r$-matrices. As a matter of course, it is of significance to study Jordanian deformations of the AdS$_5 \times$S$^5$ superstring. However, as a simpler exercise, it may be interesting to consider non-abelian classical $r$-matrices in the context of Yang-Baxter-deformations of 4D Minkowski spacetime [38]. An intriguing example is the $\kappa$-deformations of the Poincaré algebra. For the progress along this line, see the upcoming work [62].

So far, we have concentrated on the metric and NS-NS two-form. One of the most important issues to be confirmed is the Ramond-Ramond (R-R) sector and the dilaton. These can be investigated by including the fermionic sector and then performing supercoset construction. The $\kappa$-symmetry is preserved even after the deformations have been performed. Hence, one may expect that the R-R sector and the dilaton would be reproduced as well. However, it is still necessary to be confirmed directly$^5$. A nice candidate is the Schrödinger spacetimes, where the dilaton is constant and the R-R sector is the same as the undeformed AdS$_5 \times$S$^5$ background.

Still, one need to make much effort towards establishing the gravity/CYBE correspondence.
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$^5$ At the present moment, there is a puzzle in the case of the $q$-deformation [19, 20].
Appendix A. Notation and convention

We summarize here our notation and convention of the \( su(2, 2) \) and \( su(4) \) generators.

The gamma matrices

Let us first introduce the following gamma matrices:

\[
\gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\gamma_0 = i \gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_5 = i \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.1}
\]

To embed \( su(2, 2) \) and \( su(4) \) into \( su(2, 2|4) \), we follow an \( 8 \times 8 \) matrix representation as

\[
\gamma_{\mu}^a = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{\mu}^a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{5}^s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{5}^s \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3,
\]

\[
\gamma_{i}^s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{i}^s \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{5}^s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{5}^s \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4. \tag{A.2}
\]

Note that each block of the matrices is a \( 4 \times 4 \) matrix.

The \( su(2, 2) \) and \( su(4) \) generators

The Lie algebras \( su(2, 2) \sim so(2, 4) \) and \( su(4) \sim so(6) \) are spanned as follows:

\[
su(2, 2) = \text{span}_\mathbb{R} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\mu}^a, \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{5}^s, n_{\mu5}^a = \frac{1}{4} [\gamma_{\mu}^a, \gamma_5^s], n_{\mu5}^s = \frac{1}{4} [\gamma_{\mu}^s, \gamma_5^a] \mid \mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3 \right\},
\]

\[
su(4) = \text{span}_\mathbb{R} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{i}^s, \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{5}^s, n_{ij}^a = \frac{1}{4} [\gamma_{i}^a, \gamma_{j}^a], n_{ij}^s = \frac{1}{4} [\gamma_{i}^s, \gamma_{j}^s] \mid i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \right\}. \tag{A.3}
\]

The subalgebras \( so(1, 4) \) and \( so(5) \) in the spinor representation are formed as

\[
so(1, 4) = \text{span}_\mathbb{R} \{ n_{\mu\nu}^a, n_{\mu5}^s \mid \mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3 \},
\]

\[
so(5) = \text{span}_\mathbb{R} \{ n_{ij}^a, n_{ij}^s \mid i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \}. \tag{A.4}
\]

For a coset construction of Poincaré AdS\(_5\), it is useful to employ the following basis:

\[
su(2, 2) = \text{span}_\mathbb{R} \{ p_{\mu}, k_{\mu}, h_1, h_2, h_3, n_{13}^a, n_{10}^a, n_{23}^a, n_{20}^a \mid \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3 \}. \tag{A.5}
\]

Here the generators \( p_{\mu}, k_{\mu} \) and the Cartan generators \( h_1, h_2, h_3 \) are defined as

\[
p_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\mu}^a - n_{\mu5}^a, \quad k_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\mu}^s + n_{\mu5}^s,
\]

\[
h_1 = 2i n_{12}^a = \text{diag}(-1, 1, -1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), \quad h_2 = 2n_{30}^a = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0),
\]
These coset projectors can be represented by the $\mathfrak{su}_5$ generators:

$P_{\mu}$ in deriving the bosonic part of Lax pairs, it is necessary to employ the coset projectors $P_{\mu}$ and $k_{\mu}$ commute each other,

$$[p_{\mu} , p_{\nu}] = [k_{\mu} , k_{\nu}] = [p_{\mu} , k_{\nu}] = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mu , \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$ (A.6)

For the $S^5$ part, the Cartan generators $h_4, h_5, h_6$ of $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ are given by

$$h_4 \equiv 2i n_{12} = \text{diag}(0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 1, -1, 1),$$
$$h_5 \equiv 2i n_{34} = \text{diag}(0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 1, -1, 1),$$
$$h_6 \equiv \gamma _5 = \text{diag}(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, -1, -1).$$ (A.7)

Since non-Cartan generators of $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ are not used in our analysis here, we will not write them down explicitly.

The bosonic coset projectors

In deriving the bosonic part of Lax pairs, it is necessary to employ the coset projectors $P_0$ and $P_2$ regarding the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading property. The projectors $P_0$ and $P_2$ are decomposed into the AdS$_5$ part and the $S^5$ part like

$$P_0(x) = P_0^a(x) + P_0^s(x), \quad P_2(x) = P_2^a(x) + P_2^s(x),$$ (A.8)

where $P_0^{a,s}$ and $P_2^{a,s}$ are the following coset projectors for $\mathfrak{so}(2,4)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(4)$,

$$P_0^a : \mathfrak{su}(2,2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(1,4), \quad P_2^a : \mathfrak{su}(2,2) \rightarrow \frac{\mathfrak{su}(2,2)}{\mathfrak{so}(1,4)},$$

$$P_0^s : \mathfrak{su}(4) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(5), \quad P_2^s : \mathfrak{su}(4) \rightarrow \frac{\mathfrak{su}(4)}{\mathfrak{so}(5)}.$$ (A.9)

These coset projectors can be represented by the $\mathfrak{su}(2,2)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ generators as follows:

$$P_0^a(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu, \nu = 0}^{3} \frac{\text{Tr}[n_{\mu \nu}^a x]}{\text{Tr}[n_{\mu \nu}^a n_{\mu \nu}^a]} n_{\mu \nu}^a + \sum_{\mu = 0}^{3} \frac{\text{Tr}[n_{\mu 5}^a x]}{\text{Tr}[n_{\mu 5}^a n_{\mu 5}^a]} n_{\mu 5}^a,$$

$$P_2^a(x) = \frac{3}{2} \sum_{\mu = 0}^{3} \frac{\text{Tr}[\gamma _5^a x]}{\text{Tr}[\gamma _5^a \gamma _5^a]} \gamma _5^a + \frac{\text{Tr}[\gamma _5^a x]}{\text{Tr}[\gamma _5^a \gamma _5^a]} \gamma _5^a,$$

$$P_0^s(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu, \nu = 0}^{4} \frac{\text{Tr}[n_{\mu \nu}^s x]}{\text{Tr}[n_{\mu \nu}^s n_{\mu \nu}^s]} n_{\mu \nu}^s + \sum_{\mu = 1}^{4} \frac{\text{Tr}[n_{\mu 5}^s x]}{\text{Tr}[n_{\mu 5}^s n_{\mu 5}^s]} n_{\mu 5}^s,$$

$$P_2^s(x) = \frac{4}{2} \sum_{\mu = 1}^{4} \frac{\text{Tr}[\gamma _5^s x]}{\text{Tr}[\gamma _5^s \gamma _5^s]} \gamma _5^s + \frac{\text{Tr}[\gamma _5^s x]}{\text{Tr}[\gamma _5^s \gamma _5^s]} \gamma _5^s.$$ (A.10)

The projectors are utilized in evaluating the deformed metric, NS-NS two-form and Lax pair.
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