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Objective. Autoantibodies against myeloperoxidase (MPO) that are expressed in neutrophils play an important role in the pathogenesis of microscopic polyangiitis (MPA). We recently observed that misfolded cellular proteins are transported to the cell surface by HLA class II molecules and are targeted by autoantibodies in patients with rheumatoid arthritis or antiphospholipid syndrome, suggesting that HLA class II molecules play an important role in autoantibody recognition. The aim of this study was to address the role of HLA class II molecules in the cell surface expression of MPO in patients with MPA.

Methods. The association of MPO with HLA–DR was analyzed using MPO and HLA–DR transfectants as well as neutrophils from healthy donors and patients with MPA. Autoantibody binding to the MPO/HLA–DR complex was analyzed by flow cytometry. The association of MPO with HLA–DR was assessed using the immunoprecipitation technique. The function of MPO–antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA) was assessed using a neutrophil-like cell line expressing HLA–DR and MPO.

Results. MPO protein was detected on the cell surface in the presence of HLA–DR, and the MPO/HLA–DR complex was recognized by MPO-ANCA. A competitive inhibition assay suggested that MPO associated with HLA–DR expresses cryptic autoantibody epitopes for MPO-ANCA. Autoantibody binding to the MPO/HLA–DR complex was correlated with disease susceptibility conferred by each HLA–DR allele, suggesting that the MPO/HLA–DR complex is involved in the pathogenicity of MPA. Indeed, MPO–HLA class II complexes were detected in neutrophils from a patient with MPA as well as in cytokine-stimulated neutrophils from healthy donors. Moreover, MPO-ANCA stimulated MPO/HLA–DR complex–expressing HL-60 cells.

Conclusion. Our findings suggest that MPO complexed with HLA class II molecules is involved in the pathogenesis of MPA as a target for MPO-ANCA.

Microscopic polyangiitis (MPA) is a type of systemic small vessel vasculitis that affects multiple organs (1). It is categorized as an antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)–associated vasculitis (1). Approximately 70% of patients with MPA possess myeloperoxidase (MPO)–ANCAs (2) that recognize MPO expressed in neutrophils (3). Although MPO is not expressed on the cell surface of neutrophils in a steady state, it is transported to the cell surface via cytokine stimulation, which enables MPO-ANCAs to bind to the cell surface of neutrophils (4,5). ANCAs bind to and stimulate neutrophils (4,6–8) and cause vasculitis in small vessels (9,10). A mouse model of MPA has demonstrated that the transfer of anti-MPO antibodies causes vasculitis (11), suggesting that MPO-ANCAs are directly involved in the pathogenesis of MPA.
MPO-ANCAs in patients with MPA. The role of HLA class II molecules in the pathogenesis of patients with MPA. Our findings provide new insight into II molecules in the cell surface expression of MPO in unknown. In this study, we addressed the role of HLA class
neutrophils in the pathogenesis of MPA has remained significantly associated with HLA–DRB1*09:01 (22,23). In Japanese individuals, MPA is recent genome-wide association study (GWAS) revealed
antigen types of inflammation or infection would induce expression of HLA class II molecules. Because the antigenicity of misfolded proteins seems to be different from that of normally folded proteins, we hypothesized that the misfolded proteins that are transported to the cell surface by these HLA class II molecules might be involved in the pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases (18).

Resting neutrophils do not express HLA class II molecules. However, HLA class II expression is induced in neutrophils by cytokine stimulation (19,20). In addition, a recent genome-wide association study (GWAS) revealed that susceptibility to MPA is associated with polymorphisms in HLA class II genes (21). In Japanese individuals, MPA is significantly associated with HLA–DRB1*09:01 (22,23). However, the role of HLA class II molecules expressed on neutrophils in the pathogenesis of MPA has remained unknown. In this study, we addressed the role of HLA class II molecules in the cell surface expression of MPO in patients with MPA. Our findings provide new insight into the role of HLA class II molecules in the pathogenesis of MPO-ANCAs in patients with MPA.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patient samples. Sera, peripheral blood neutrophils, and DNA from patients with MPA were collected from Kyoto University, Hokkaido University, and Osaka University. Diagnoses of MPA were based on the European Medicines Agency algorithm–based criteria (24) and the Chapel Hill Consensus Conference definition (1). Human peripheral blood neutrophils were obtained from healthy volunteers or patients with MPA, using the Ficoll-dextran method (25). IgG was purified from the plasma of MPA patients or healthy donors by affinity chromatography using protein G–Sepharose, as described previously (26).

Study approval. The protocol for the collection and use of human sera, peripheral blood cells, and DNA was approved by the institutional review boards (IRBs) of Kyoto University (E458, G1006–1), Hokkaido University (010–0326), and Osaka University (25–2, 12246). Written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki was obtained from all participants according to the relevant guidelines of the IRBs.

Plasmids. Complementary DNAs (cDNAs) for different HLA class II alleles and the invariant chain (accession no. NM_004355.2) were prepared as previously described (14–16). Human MPO (accession no. NC_000017.11) was cloned from cDNA prepared from human bone marrow total RNA (Clontech). Some HLA–DR alleles were generated using QuickChange mutamutagenesis kits (Agilent) from HLA genes with similar sequences. All cDNA sequences for HLA were based on information in the IMGT/HLA Database (www.ebi.ac.uk/ imgt/hla/index.html). The nucleotide sequences of all of the constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing (ABI 3130xl DNA Sequencer; Applied Biosciences). HLA–DRB1*01:01 containing a covalently attached transferrin receptor peptide (TIRpep–HLA–DRB1*01:01) and HLA–DRB1*04:04 containing a covalently attached HLA–Cw3 peptide (HLA–Cw3–pep–HLA–DRB1*04:04) were generated as previously described (27).

Antibodies. Anti–HLA–DR monoclonal antibody (L243; American Type Culture Collection) was used for the detection of HLA–DR by flow cytometry and immunoprecipitation (IP) of HLA–DR proteins. Anti–HLA–DR/DP monoclonal antibody (HL–38; Sigma-Aldrich) was used for IP detected by flow cytometry. Anti-human MPO monoclonal antibody (16E3; GeneWay Research) was used for flow cytometry. Anti-DYKDDDK–tagged (clone L5) monoclonal antibody (BioLegend) was used for IP. Rabbit anti–HLA–DRα antibody (FL–254; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-FLAG monoclonal antibody (clone M2; Sigma-Aldrich), and anti-human MPO polyclonal antibody (HPA021147; Sigma-Aldrich) were used for Western blotting.

Cell lines. HEK 293T cells (RCB2202) were purchased from the RIKEN BioResource Center (Tsukuba, Japan). HL-60 cells (JCRB0085) were purchased from the Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources Cell Bank (JCRB) (Osaka, Japan). We used polymerase chain reaction to confirm that the cells were negative for mycoplasma contamination. Expression plasmids containing each cDNA were transfected into cells, using Poly
ethylamine (Polyscience).

Flow cytometric analysis. Cells were incubated with mouse primary monoclonal antibodies (anti–HLA–DRα antibody [L243] or anti-human MPO antibody [16E3]), followed by allophycocyanin (APC)–conjugated anti-mouse IgG antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch). For intracellular staining, cells were fixed and permeabilized with Fixation/Permeabilization solution (BD Biosciences). Intracellular MPO was detected with anti-human MPO followed by APC-conjugated anti-mouse IgG antibody. Stained cells were analyzed using a FACSCalibur (Becton Dickinson) or FACSVerse cytometer (Becton Dickinson).

Analysis of autoantibody binding to the MPO/HLA–DR complex. Human MPO was cotransfected together with HLA–DRα and green fluorescent protein (GFP). The transfectants were mixed with sera (dilution 1:300) from patients with MPA, followed by APC-labeled anti-human IgG Fc antibody
(Jackson ImmunoResearch). To determine specific autoantibody titers against MPO/HLA–DR complex, the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of autoantibody binding to HLA–DR9 alone transfectants was subtracted from the MFI of autoantibody binding to HLA–DR9 and human MPO transfectants. Anti–MPO/HLA–DR complex antibody titers were calculated based on the MFI of IgG autoantibody binding to MPO/HLA–DR9 cotransfectants, using a standard MPA serum for which the MPO–ANCA titer (720 units/ml) is known, as determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

Immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting. Cells were lysed in lysis buffer (10 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5) containing 0.5% Nonidet P40 (Sigma). HLA–DR and human MPO were precipitated with anti–HLA–DR monoclonal antibody (L243) and protein G–Sepharose (GE Healthcare) or anti–DYKKDDDDK monoclonal antibody (L5) and protein G–Sepharose. Mouse IgG2a (BD Biosciences) was used as an isotype-matched control. The immunoprecipitates were eluted by boiling with sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis sample buffer, separated on 10% (weight/volume) polyacrylamide gels (Atto), and transferred onto PVDF membranes (Millipore). The membranes were incubated with anti–HLA–DRα antibody (FL-254) or anti-human MPO antibody (HPA021147), followed by horseradish peroxidase (HRP)–conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The membranes were also incubated with anti–FLAG antibody (M2), followed by HRP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG antibody (Jackson Immunoresearch). Peroxidase activity was detected with SuperSignal reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

HLA–DRB1 genotyping and ELISA. HLA–DRB1 alleles were determined as previously described (28). Briefly, a WAKFlow system (Wakunaga Pharmaceutical) was used for the HLA–DRB1 typing.

MPO protein purified from human neutrophils (Sigma–Aldrich) was coated onto 96-microwell plates (3690; Costar), and IgG bound to the plates was detected by HRP-conjugated donkey anti-human IgG antibody (Jackson Immunoresearch). Peroxidase activity was detected using an OptEIA kit (BD Biosciences). Anti-MPO antibody titers were calculated using standard serum from a patient with MPA, for which the MPO–ANCA titer is known (720 units/ml). Interleukin-8 (IL-8) expression in the culture supernatant was determined by ELISA (eBioscience).

Competitive inhibition assay. Sera from MPA patients were mixed with various concentrations of MPO protein purified from human neutrophils (Sigma) and incubated overnight at 4°C. Anti–MPO/HLA–DR complex antibodies and anti-MPO antibodies were detected using flow cytometry and ELISA, respectively. Anti–MPO/HLA–DR complex antibody titers and anti-MPO antibody titers were calculated using standard MPA serum, as described above. The percent inhibition was defined as 100 – (titer of inhibited serum/titer of noninhibited serum) × 100.

Immunoprecipitation detected by flow cytometry (IP–FCM). For the IP–FCM assay, we used a modified version of the protocol described by Schrum et al (29). Anti–HLA–DRα monoclonal antibody HL-38 was coupled to Aldehyde/Sulfate Latex Beads (Life Technologies). The beads were washed twice with MES buffer. Anti–HLA–DRα monoclonal antibody (HL-38) was mixed with the beads and shaken at room temperature overnight. The antibody-coupled beads were then washed 3 times and suspended in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.1% glycine and 0.1% NaN3. Next, the antibody-coupled beads were mixed with cell lysate at 4°C for 1 hour. The beads were subsequently stained with biotinylated primary antibody, followed by APC–streptavidin (Jackson Immunoresearch). Finally, the stained beads were analyzed using a FACSVerse system (Becton Dickinson).

Stimulation of neutrophils. Isolated neutrophils were resuspended with RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% autologous serum. Neutrophils (1 × 107) were cultured for 48 hours in the presence of IFNγ (final concentration 100 units/ml) plus autologous serum in a 6-well plate. Thereafter, neutrophils were stained with anti–HLA–DR or anti–MPO antibody and analyzed by flow cytometry.

Stimulation of HLA–DR–transfected HL-60 cells. HLA–DR9 was stably transfected into HL-60 cells using a Platinum-E (Plat-E) system (30). HLA–DR9 and an amphotropic envelope were transfected into Plat-E cells. Culture supernatant containing a retroviral vector was collected 2 days after replacement and added to medium for the HL-60 cells. HLA–DR–positive cells were then sorted with a Sony SH800 cell sorter. GFP was stably transfected into HL-60 cells in the same manner, and these were used as mock cells. HL-60 cells were cultured in medium containing 10 μM all-trans-retinoic acid for 5 days in order to differentiate into neutrophil-like cells (31,32). Next, 20 μg/ml IgG purified from plasma was coated onto a 96-well plate. After incubation at 4°C overnight, the plate was washed twice with PBS. A total of 100 μl of 1 × 107 cells/ml of differentiated HL-60 cells was cultured with the precoated plate for 3 hours. The IL-8 concentration in culture supernatant was determined by ELISA.

Statistical analysis. Pearson’s product-moment correlation coefficient was used to assess the significance of correlations, and the correlation coefficient and P value of the linear regression line were calculated. Mann-Whitney U test, Student’s t-test, or one-way analysis of variance with Tukey’s post hoc test was used to determine the significance of differences. The odds ratios (ORs) for the association between different HLA–DRB1 alleles and MPA were log-transformed to normalize the distribution. HLA–DRB1 alleles with a frequency of >0.05% in MPA patients were analyzed for their association with autoantibody binding to the MPO/HLA–DR complex. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

MPO expression on the cell surface in association with HLA class II molecules. In order to address the role of HLA class II molecules in the cell surface expression of MPO, we transfected human MPO with MPA-susceptible HLA–DR9 (HLA–DRA*01:01/DRB1*09:01) (22) into HEK 293T cells and used flow cytometry to analyze the cell surface expression of MPO. Cell surface expression of MPO was low when MPO alone was transfected. However, when MPO and HLA–DR9 were cotransfected, the cell surface expression of MPO was markedly increased; the intracellular level of MPO was not affected by HLA–DR9 expression (Figure 1A). We then investigated whether MPO-ANCAbs could bind to cell surface–expressed MPO induced by HLA–DR. IgG autoantibodies from an MPA patient bound to MPO induced on the cell surface by HLA–
DR. In contrast, MPO-ANCA did not bind to the cells that were transfected with MPO alone.

Next, we used an IP assay to investigate whether full-length MPO is associated with HLA–DR. Full-length 90-kd MPO protein was coimmunoprecipitated with HLA–DR9, whereas MPO was not detected in the absence of HLA–DR9, indicating that full-length but not fragmented MPO is associated with HLA–DR (Figure 1B). Our group previously showed that various misfolded proteins are associated with the peptide-binding groove of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II molecules (14–16). To test the possibility that MPO also is
associated with the peptide-binding groove of HLA–DR, we measured MPO cell surface expression using HLA–DR1 that was covalently attached to a transferrin receptor peptide, which reportedly binds to the peptide-binding groove of HLA–DR1 with relatively high affinity (14,27,33). We also used HLA–DR4 that was covalently attached to a HLA–Cw3 peptide, which also binds to the peptide-binding groove of HLA–DR4 (15,34). When covalently attached to a peptide, both HLA–DR1 and HLA–DR4 transported less MPO to the cell surface than did wild-type HLA–DR (Figures 1C and D). These findings indicate that full-length MPO is associated with the peptide-binding groove of HLA class II molecules.

The invariant chain binds to the peptide-binding groove of newly synthesized MHC class II molecules at the ER and transports them to the endolysosomal pathway. In addition, the invariant chain inhibits the binding of certain proteins to MHC class II molecules at the ER (35). We investigated the effect of the invariant chain on the association of MPO with HLA–DR. In the absence of the invariant chain, HLA–DR7, an allele not associated with susceptibility to MPA, transported MPO to the cell surface in a manner similar to that of HLA–DR9 (Figures 2A and B). In the presence of the invariant chain, the cell surface expression of MPO induced by HLA–DR7 was clearly inhibited (Figure 2A). On the other hand, inhibition of MPO cell surface expression by the invariant chain was low when HLA–DR9 was transfected (Figure 2B). Therefore, the affinities of HLA class II molecules to the invariant chain and MPO differed depending on the alleles of HLA class II molecules that were expressed.

**Figure 2.** Cell surface expression of MPO induced by HLA–DR with an MPA-susceptible allele is less affected by the invariant chain (Ii) than by an MPA-nonsusceptible allele (HLA–DR7). MPO and GFP were cotransfected with HLA–DR into HEK 293T cells in the presence (broken lines) or absence (solid lines) of the invariant chain. Cell surface expression of HLA–DR and MPO on GFP-positive cells was analyzed. Cells transfected without HLA–DR were stained as a control (shaded histograms). Expression of the invariant chain was analyzed by intracellular staining. A, MPO was transfected with HLA–DR7. B, MPO was transfected with HLA–DR9. Results are representative of at least 3 independent experiments. See Figure 1 for other definitions.
value was defined as the mean \( \pm 2SD \) of the titers in healthy controls. The titers of anti–MPO/HLA–DR complex antibody in patients with MPA were significantly higher than those in healthy controls (Figure 3A). This finding suggests that the MPO/HLA–DR complex is recognized by autoantibodies from patients with MPA. We next analyzed whether anti–MPO/HLA–DR complex antibody titers were correlated with MPO-ANCAs detected by conventional ELISA. The anti–MPO/HLA–DR complex antibody titer was significantly correlated with the MPO-ANCA titer determined by ELISA (Figure 3B). These results imply that MPO/HLA–DR complexes are targets for MPO-ANCAs in patients with MPA.

We investigated the effects of the HLA–DR of different alleles on the transport of MPO to the cell surface. MPO was transfected into HEK 293T cells with different HLA–DR alleles, and its cell surface expression was analyzed (Figure 3C). The results indicated that the cell surface expression of MPO differed depending on the HLA–DR alleles. As observed in other autoimmune diseases, susceptibility to MPA is associated with certain HLA–DRB1 alleles (22,23). The ORs for the association between MPA and each HLA–DR allele were plotted against autoantibody binding to the MPO/HLA–DR complex for each allele (Figure 3C). There was a significant positive correlation between autoantibody binding to the MPO/HLA–DR complex and the OR for the correlation conferred by each HLA–DR allele \( (r = 0.64, P = 0.014) \). These findings suggest that the MPO/HLA–DR complex is involved in the pathogenesis of MPA.

Cryptic autoantibody epitopes expressed by MPO associated with HLA–DR. Because the structure of the proteins associated with HLA–DR differs from that of native proteins (14–16), there is a possibility that cryptic autoantibody epitopes that are not exposed on the surface of native MPO proteins are exposed on MPO by association with HLA–DR. To test this possibility, we neutralized patient sera containing MPO-ANCAs using native MPO protein purified from human neutrophils. Anti–native MPO antibody titers detected by ELISA were decreased in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 4). In contrast, autoantibodies against the MPO/HLA–DR complex were still detected in sera from MPA patients even after neutralization with native MPO (Figure 4). In particular, there was a significant difference between the anti–MPO/HLA–DR antibody titer and the anti-MPO antibody titer after neutralization with 3.16 \( \mu g/ml \) native MPO. These results suggest that MPO associated with HLA–DR might express cryptic autoantibody epitopes that are not exposed on native MPO.

Formation of the MPO/HLA–DR complex in neutrophils stimulated with IFN\( \gamma \). The presence of autoantibodies specific to MPO complexed with HLA–DR suggests that under certain conditions, MPO is associated with HLA–DR in neutrophils. Although neutrophils
contain high levels of intracellular MPO, resting neutrophils express few HLA class II molecules. However, it has been reported that human neutrophils express HLA class II molecules after stimulation with IFN\(\gamma\) (19,20). We thus analyzed HLA–DR expression on the surface of neutrophils isolated from healthy donors, following IFN\(\gamma\) stimulation (Figure 5A). As reported previously, neutrophils expressed HLA–DR following stimulation with IFN\(\gamma\).

When HLA–DR was immunoprecipitated from the cell lysates of neutrophils from healthy donors, MPO was coprecipitated with HLA–DR from the cell lysates of IFN\(\gamma\)-stimulated neutrophils with MPA-susceptible HLA–DRB1*09:01, but not from those of unstimulated neutrophils. In addition, MPO was not coprecipitated with HLA–DR from the cell lysates of IFN\(\gamma\)-stimulated neutrophils with MPA-nonsusceptible HLA–DRB1*12:01/15:02 (Figure 5B). These findings indicate that MPO can be complexed with HLA class II molecules in neutrophils, under pathophysiologic conditions.

**Detection of the MPO/HLA–DR complex in neutrophils isolated from a patient with MPA.** We next analyzed neutrophils obtained from a patient with a diagnosis of MPA. The patient, a 75-year-old female, had an MPO-ANCA titer of 220 units/ml. She had a fever and interstitial pneumonia but no glomerulonephritis or neuropathy. We isolated neutrophils from her peripheral blood at onset and after immunosuppressive therapy and analyzed them using flow cytometry. A small but significant amount of HLA–DR was expressed on the surface of neutrophils at onset, but this decreased after treatment (Figure 5C). We next investigated whether the MPO/HLA–DR complex was present in the patient’s neutrophils, using the IP-FCM method, which is much more sensitive for the detection of molecular interactions compared with conventional methods using IP and Western blotting (29,36). The association of MPO with HLA–DR was detected in neutrophil cell lysates obtained from the patient at onset (Figure 5D). The amount of MPO complexed with HLA–DR decreased after treatment. In contrast, the association of MPO with HLA–DR was not detected in cell lysates from healthy donors. These results indicate that MPO forms a complex with HLA–DR in the neutrophils of MPA patients.

**Activation of neutrophil-like cell lines by MPO-ANCA autoantibodies.** Next, we investigated the pathophysiologic function of the MPO/HLA–DR complex on the surface of neutrophils. We hypothesized that MPO-ANCAs activate neutrophils through MPO/HLA–DR complexes. However, in vitro functional analyses of the MPO/HLA–DR complex on IFN\(\gamma\)-stimulated neutrophils were not successful, because resting peripheral neutrophils are nonspecifically activated by IFN\(\gamma\). Accordingly, we stably transfected
HLA–DR9 into HL-60 cells, which constitutively express MPO and differentiate into mature neutrophil-like cells upon stimulation with all-trans-retinoic acid (31,32). Intrinsic MPO expressed in HL-60 cells was expressed on the cell surface in the presence of HLA–DR9, suggesting that MPO formed a complex with HLA–DR (Figure 6A). HL-60 cells were differentiated into neutrophil-like cells by stimulation with all-trans-retinoic acid and then were stimulated with

Figure 5. The MPO/HLA–DR complex is detected in neutrophils stimulated with interferon-γ (IFNγ) and neutrophils isolated from patients with MPA. A, Neutrophils from healthy donors (HDs) were isolated and incubated for 2 days in the presence or absence of IFNγ. After stimulation, neutrophils were stained with anti–HLA–DR antibody (Ab). The expression of HLA–DR on neutrophils with (thick line) or without (thin line) stimulation by IFNγ is shown. B, MPO protein was coimmunoprecipitated with HLA–DR9. After stimulation with IFNγ, cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti–HLA–DR or isotype-matched control IgG antibody. Immunoprecipitates were blotted with anti-MPO or anti-HLA–DR antibody. HD 1 = a donor carrying HLA–DRB1*09:01/09:01; HD 2 = a donor carrying HLA–DRB1*12:01/15:02. Results are representative of at least 3 independent experiments. C, Neutrophils were isolated from a patient with MPA at disease onset and after immunosuppressive therapy. Freshly isolated neutrophils were stained with anti–HLA–DR antibody. Numbers in the boxes are the proportions of HLA–DR–positive cells. D, Anti–HLA–DR antibody-coupled beads were mixed with cell lysates of neutrophils obtained from a patient with MPA and shaken at 4°C for 1 hour. The beads were stained with biotinylated anti–HLA–DR or anti-MPO antibody, followed by allophycocyanin–streptavidin. Staining of isotype-matched control IgG-coupled beads is shown as shaded histograms. Results are representative of at least 3 independent experiments. See Figure 4 for other definitions.
IgG purified from the sera of MPA patients or healthy donors. IL-8 was secreted by HLA–DR9–expressing HL-60 cells upon stimulation with IgG from MPA patients, but not with IgG from healthy donors (Figure 6B). These results suggest that the MPO-ANCAs stimulate neutrophils through the MPO/HLA–DR complex.

DISCUSSION

In the current study, we demonstrated that intracellular MPO is transported to the cell surface by HLA class II molecules with an MPA-susceptible allele. MPO expressed on the cell surface by HLA class II molecules was recognized by MPO-ANCAs, which was involved in neutrophil activation by MPO-ANCAs. These findings suggest that HLA class II molecules are involved in the pathogenesis of MPA by direct association with MPO.

The major function of HLA class II molecules is to present antigenic peptides to T cells. However, it has remained unclear how HLA class II molecules control susceptibility to autoimmune diseases. However, we have observed that misfolded ER proteins are transported to the cell surface by MHC class II molecules without processing to peptides when they are associated with MHC class II molecules (14,18). In the current study, we demonstrated that MPO proteins are also transported to the cell surface by associating with HLA–DR. Although mature MPO consists of a heavy subunit (60 kd) and a light subunit (12 kd), the MPO protein that coimmunoprecipitated with HLA–DR was 90 kd, which is a molecular weight compatible with apopro-MPO or pro-MPO (37). Because both ends of the peptide-binding groove of HLA class II are open (38–40), it is possible (structurally) that the peptide-like structure exposed on full-length MPO proteins binds to the peptide-binding groove. Although we cannot determine the conformation of MPO proteins that are bound to HLA class II molecules, it is likely that MPO proteins associated with HLA class II molecules are
structurally different from native MPO proteins, because only misfolded proteins are associated with HLA class II molecules and are transported to the cell surface (14,15). Indeed, native MPO protein efficiently blocked anti-native MPO autoantibodies, whereas autoantibodies against the MPO/HLA–DR complex were not completely blocked by native MPO protein. These results suggest that cryptic autoantibody epitopes on MPO might be exposed by an association with HLA–DR. These findings are consistent with our hypothesis that MPO associated with HLA–DR is structurally altered compared with native MPO protein.

The ORs for the association between each HLA–DRB1 allele and MPA were significantly correlated with autoantibody binding to MPO complexed with HLA–DR for each allele. This is the first study to show a molecular mechanism that is associated with MPA susceptibility conferred by each HLA–DR allele. HLA–DR of MPA-susceptible alleles efficiently transported MPO to the cell surface, suggesting a relatively high affinity for MPO. The invariant chain binds to newly synthesized HLA class II molecules at the ER and blocks the binding of ER proteins to HLA class II molecules (35). Interestingly, the effect of the invariant chain on the binding of MPO to HLA–DR was lower for susceptible alleles than for nonsusceptible alleles. The affinities of MPO to HLA–DR seem to be higher than the affinities of the invariant chain to HLA–DR in the case of MPA-susceptible HLA–DR alleles.

Significant associations of HLA–DRB1*09:01 with MPA and MPO-ANCA-positive vasculitis in Japanese patients have been reported (22,23). HLA–DRB1*09:01 is the most common HLA–DRB1 allele in Japanese individuals but is rare in Caucasians (Allele*Frequencies in Worldwide Populations [http://www.allelefrequencies.net/]) (41). Our results demonstrating the efficient binding of MPO protein with HLA–DRB1*09:01 might explain why MPA is more common than granulomatosis with polyangiitis (Wegener’s) (GPA) in Japan, while GPA is more common in Europe (42). A previous GWAS demonstrated that positivity for MPO-ANCAs, not susceptibility to MPA, is significantly associated with a single-nucleotide polymorphism (rs5000634) in the HLA–DQ region (21). Because the HLA–DR region was not included in the GWAS, and there is strong linkage disequilibrium between HLA–DQ and HLA–DR, HLA–DR is also a possible candidate gene. Because HLA–DRB1*09:01 is a dominant allele in Japan, the high frequency of MPO-ANCAs in Japanese individuals (43), unlike that in Caucasians, might be a result of the high-affinity binding between MPO and HLA–DR9.

The presence of autoantibodies against MPO/HLA–DR complexes suggested that MPO/HLA–DR complexes are generated in vivo. Neutrophils possess high levels of MPO but express very few HLA–DR molecules in a steady state. Previous studies have shown that HLA–DR molecules are induced on neutrophils after stimulation with cytokines such as IFNγ (20,44). Indeed, it has been reported that neutrophils isolated from patients with GPA express MHC class II molecules (45,46). Similarly, we showed that neutrophils from MPA patients express HLA–DR. In addition, we demonstrated that HLA–DR9–positive neutrophils but not HLA–DR12–positive or HLA–DR15–positive neutrophils from healthy donors produce MPO/HLA–DR complexes following stimulation with IFNγ. Therefore, in certain pathologic conditions such as viral infections in which various cytokines are produced, HLA–DR induced by cytokines forms a complex with MPO. Indeed, MPO/HLA–DR complexes were detected in freshly isolated neutrophils from MPA patients. These results imply that the MPO/HLA–DR complex is involved in the pathogenesis of MPA.

We demonstrated that MPO-ANCAs activated HL-60 cells that expressed the MPO/HLA–DR complex. Pathologic conditions in which cytokines such as IFNγ are overproduced might lead to cell surface expression of the MPO/HLA–DR complex in neutrophils, which could be a target for MPO-ANCAs. Several studies have demonstrated that HLA class II molecules transduce activating signals in MHC class II–expressing cells such as dendritic cells and B cells (47–50). Although the precise mechanism of neutrophil activation by autoantibodies is unclear, it is possible that HLA–DR complexed with MPO transduces activating signals in neutrophils by MPO-ANCAs.

The mechanism by which MPO-ANCAs are produced in patients with MPA remains unclear. The presence of autoantibodies against the MPO/HLA–DR complex suggests that MPO proteins complexed with HLA–DR are the targets of autoantibodies against MPO. It is possible that structurally altered MPO proteins that are associated with HLA–DR could be recognized as “altered-self” or “neo-self” antigens by immune cells and initiate autoantibody production (18). Indeed, misfolded protein–HLA class II complexes stimulate antigen-specific B cells (14). At present, it remains unclear how T cells are involved in the production of autoantibodies against the complex. Considering that IgG autoantibodies are the most common, T cells must be involved in production of autoantibodies against the misfolded protein–HLA class II complexes. Further analyses are necessary to elucidate how autoantibodies against MPO/HLA class II complexes are produced.
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