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ABSTRACT

Manganese (Mn) homeostasis involves coordinated regulation of specific proteins involved in Mn influx and efflux. However, the proteins that are involved in detoxification/efflux have not been completely resolved, nor has the basis by which they select their metal substrate. Here, we compared six proteins, which were reported to be involved in Mn detoxification/efflux, by evaluating their ability to reduce Mn toxicity in chicken DT40 cells, finding that human ZnT10 (hZnT10) was the most significant contributor. A domain swapping and substitution analysis between hZnT10 and a zinc-specific transporter hZnT1 showed that residue N43, which corresponds to the His residue constituting the potential intramembranous zinc coordination site in other ZnT transporters, is necessary to impart hZnT10’s unique Mn mobilization activity; residues C52 and L242 in transmembrane domains II and V play a subtler role in controlling the metal specificity of hZnT10. Interestingly, the H->N reversion mutant in hZnT1 conferred Mn transport activity and loss of zinc transport activity. These results provide important information about Mn detoxification/efflux mechanisms in vertebrate cells as well as the molecular characterization of hZnT10 as a Mn transporter.

Manganese (Mn) is an essential trace element. Mn is a key cofactor for a variety of enzymes, including glutamine synthetase, superoxide dismutase 2, decarboxylases, and sugar transferases, and thus is indispensable for central nervous system functions, immune functions, and carbohydrate metabolism (1,2). At elevated levels, however, Mn is toxic and exposure to this trace element has been associated with various pathogeneses,
including a neurological syndrome called manganism, whose symptoms resemble those of Parkinson disease (3,4). For these reasons, Mn homeostasis must be tightly controlled at a systemic and cellular level. Much of our current understanding of Mn homeostatic mechanisms is derived from genetic studies of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (reviewed in (5,6)), which reveals that a number of membrane transporter/channel proteins contribute to the control of Mn homeostasis. Based on these results, the Mn import system, i.e., Mn transport in the direction of the cytosol, has been extensively investigated in vertebrate cells (7-9). Two ZIP zinc (Zn) transporters ZIP8 and ZIP14, known to function as Zn uptake proteins, are involved in Mn uptake from the extracellular site (10,11). In addition, two Nramp transporters Nramp1 and Nramp2/DMT1 are involved in Mn mobilization into the cytosol. Nramp1 acts to mobilize Mn into the cytosol from phagosomes in macrophages, thereby limiting Mn availability to invading microbes (12), whereas Nramp2/DMT1, a major iron transporter, probably functions in the uptake of Mn into the cytosol of all tissue (7). Mn can be transported via other membrane proteins including some types of calcium channels (7,13), although their contribution to cellular Mn homeostasis remains largely unknown.

In contrast, less is known about Mn transporters/channel proteins that participate in Mn detoxification/efflux (13), and further effort is currently needed to completely understand this important process. This is particularly urgent because these transporters/channel proteins may play a role in an established clinical condition caused by excessive Mn accumulation (3,8,14). Of the proteins postulated to be involved in Mn detoxification/efflux, the Golgi-localized secretory pathway Ca$^{2+}$-ATPase 1 (SPCA1), which is an ortholog of *S. cerevisiae* Pmr1p, is comparatively well characterized (15-17). Moreover, ATP13A2/PARK9 (hereafter ATP13A2) is involved in Mn detoxification (18) based on the observation that the *S. cerevisiae* ortholog Ypk9p protects cells from toxicity of Mn and other metals by vacuolar sequestration (19,20). Importantly, loss-of-function mutations of the *ATP13A2* gene were identified to cause an autosomal recessive form of early-onset parkinsonism (Kufor–Rakeb syndrome; KRS) (21). Recent significant findings show that loss-of-function mutations of the ZnT10/SLC30A10 gene result in parkinsonism with hypermanganesemia, syndrome of hepatic cirrhosis, polycythemia, and dystonia (22,23). ZnT10 is localized to the plasma membrane and functional in Mn metabolism by effluxing cytosolic Mn (24,25). ZnT10 is also involved in Zn homeostasis in subcellular localization (26,27). The finding that loss-of-function mutations of *ATP13A2* and ZnT10 genes result in the development of parkinsonism accelerates the necessity to clarify Mn detoxification/efflux mechanisms in cells.

We have previously established chicken DT40 cells as an important model system for studying zinc transporter functions using gene-targeting/re-expression strategies (28–30). This system is useful to explore metal homeostasis and membrane transport protein functions in vertebrate cells because DT40 cells have a similar homeostatic regulation system of metals to that of mammalian cells and allow efficient gene disruption owing to their high homologous recombination activity (31). In this study, we investigated transporter/channel proteins that function in detoxification/efflux of Mn using DT40 cells deficient in the *SPCA1* gene (*SPCA1*−/− cells) because SPCA1 is involved in Mn resistance via its re-delivery into the Golgi apparatus (16,17). Using *SPCA1*−/− cells, which showed extreme sensitivity to high Mn concentrations, we compared six human proteins that are involved in Mn detoxification/efflux. Moreover, we investigated how hZnT10, which belongs to the ZnT transporter family, could mobilize Mn by domain swapping/substitution mutational analyses. These studies provide molecular information of the transporters/channels involved in Mn detoxification/efflux in vertebrate cells.

**RESULTS**

**Characterization of DT40 Cells Deficient in the *SPCA1* Gene**—We established DT40 cells deficient in the *SPCA1* gene
(SPCA1−/−) using three KO vectors (Fig. 1A) because DT40 cells have trisomic chromosomes 2. SPCA1−/− cells did not show any apparent defects in normal culture, but they showed significantly reduced resistance to high Mn concentrations as expected (Fig. 1B). Here, the cells failed to grow in the presence of 40 μM MnSO₄ or higher concentrations. Consistent with this, SPCA1−/− cells failed to efflux Mn out of the cells in the Mn retention assay using radioisotope ⁵²Mn (Fig. 1C). Both reduced activities in SPCA1−/− cells were completely restored by expression of hSPCA1-GFP (Fig. 1, B and C), indicating that hSPCA1 has a crucial function in Mn homeostasis by controlling its efflux in DT40 cells. hSPCA1-GFP was localized to the Golgi apparatus in SPCA1−/− cells (Fig. 1D), indicating that SPCA1 functions by effluxing Mn to the extracellular side via the secretory pathway, as described previously (16,17).

Evaluation of Mn Detoxification/Efflux Protein Functions in SPCA1−/− cells—In vertebrates, a number of proteins are involved in Mn detoxification/efflux. We next evaluated these protein functions using SPCA1−/− cells by examining restoration of the viability of SPCA1−/− cells, stably expressing each of these proteins upon a cytotoxic challenge of increasing Mn concentrations. Specifically, we examined the functions of hFpn, hATP13A1, hATP13A2, hATP13A3, and hZnT10. Fpn locates to the plasma membrane and is indispensable for ferrous iron efflux to the extracellular site, but has also been shown to mobilize Mn (38,39). Expression of hFpn, however, failed to confer resistance to high Mn concentrations in SPCA1−/− cells, thus indicating that hFpn does not primarily contribute to Mn detoxification/efflux (Fig. 2A). Similarly, we evaluated hATP13A2, which is involved in early-onset parkinsonism, KRS (21), and its homologs hATP13A1 and hATP13A3. We also found that hATP13A1–3 showed virtually no effect on the Mn resistance in SPCA1−/− cells to high Mn concentrations in the Alamar Blue assays (Fig. 2B). However, a small fraction of the cells cultured at 40 μM MnSO₄ appeared morphologically normal by visual observation (data not shown), suggesting that hATP13A1-3 may slightly restore Mn resistance in SPCA1−/− cells. We then examined the contribution of hZnT10 to high Mn detoxification. As reported in a complementation assay using a S. cerevisiae pmr1 mutant (23), hZnT10 completely restored the viability of SPCA1−/− cells upon high Mn concentrations (Fig. 2C). Consistent with this, hZnT10 decreased accumulated ⁵²Mn in SPCA1−/− cells in the Mn retention assay (Fig. 2D). Both results indicate that hZnT10 contributes significantly to Mn detoxification. Co-expression of hZnT10 with hSPCA1 conferred greater resistance to high Mn concentrations than that observed for hSPCA1-only expression, and restored resistance to a similar level to that of hZnT10-only expression; the cells survived up to a concentration of 200 μM MnSO₄ (Fig. 2E). Although we could not examine a reduction of resistance to high Mn concentrations and its restoration by hZnT10 expression in ZnT10-deficient DT40 cells (because DT40 cells do not express ZnT10 mRNA (data not shown)), these results reiterate the key protective function of hZnT10 against high Mn concentrations. hZnT10 was localized mainly to the Golgi apparatus, but weak immunofluorescent signals were detected in the plasma membrane in SPCA1−/− cells (Fig. 2F). We closely examined its localization using a surface biotinylation assay with a membrane-impermeable biotinylation reagent and found the biotinylated hZnT10 protein in the cell surface fractions, which confirms that hZnT10 is localized on the cell surface, as reported previously (25) (Fig. 2G). However, the current data cannot be used to infer the relative distribution of hZnT10 between the Golgi apparatus and the plasma membrane because hZnT10 was overexpressed under the control of the strong β-actin promoter (30), which limits our ability to determine whether Mn efflux occurred from the plasma membrane or via a secretory pathway through the Golgi. These results, however, indicate that ZnT10, which is the primary transporter protein in excess Mn detoxification, can efflux Mn on the cell surface to the extracellular site.

ZnT10 is Involved in Excess Mn
Detoxification But Not in Excess Zn
Detoxification—ZnT10 belongs to the zinc transporter family, but is involved in Mn mobilization for its detoxification. Consequently, we investigated whether hZnT10 is involved in excess Zn detoxification using ZnT1\(^{-/-}\)MT\(^{-/-}\)ZnT4\(^{-/-}\) cells, which were used previously to evaluate Zn detoxification activity (33,40). Expression of hZnT10 had almost no effects on the resistance of ZnT1\(^{-/-}\)MT\(^{-/-}\)ZnT4\(^{-/-}\) cells to high Zn concentrations (Fig. 3A). Although the Alamar Blue assays showed no contribution to detoxification at a Zn concentration of 60 \(\mu\)M ZnSO\(_4\) or higher, visual observation of the cells cultured in the high Zn conditions showed that a small fraction of the cells appeared still morphologically normal (data not shown). To examine metal transport specificity of hZnT10 in more detail, we compared hZnT10 with that of hZnT1 because ZnT1 and ZnT10 can be subdivided into the same subfamily based on sequence similarities (37% identity between hZnT1 and hZnT10) (41,42). Expression of hZnT1 reversed the Zn-sensitive phenotype of ZnT1\(^{-/-}\)MT\(^{-/-}\)ZnT4\(^{-/-}\) cells, as described previously (33), but failed to confer Mn resistance in SPCA1\(^{-/-}\) cells (Fig. 3B). These results clearly showed that expression of hZnT1 or hZnT10 conferred completely different metal resistance in cells. We have not yet examined the cell surface localization of hZnT1 in DT40 cells (30,33), but close examination by immunofluorescence staining and the surface biotinylation assay showed that hZnT1 was localized to the cell surface in both SPCA1\(^{-/-}\) (Fig. 3C) and ZnT1\(^{-/-}\)MT\(^{-/-}\)ZnT4\(^{-/-}\) cells (Fig. 3D). The cell surface localization of hZnT10 was confirmed in both cells in parallel studies (Fig. 3, C and D).

Domain Swapping/Substitution Analysis between hZnT10 and hZnT1—To examine the differences in their metal resistance activity more closely, we constructed domain swapping/substitution mutants between hZnT10 and hZnT1 by considering the unique properties of ZnT10. Specifically, ZnT10 contains an Asn residue instead of a His residue at the conserved position of transmembrane domain (TMD) II, which is thought to form the intramembranous tetrahedral zinc coordination site in other ZnT transporters, including ZnT1 (33,43-48) (Fig. 4). Moreover, ZnT10 has Arg- and Lys-rich sequences in the cytosolic loop between TMDs III and IV, and in the cytosolic C-terminal region; although, the cytosolic loop is known to be rich in His residues in other ZnT transporters (49,50,51). Initially, we expressed the hZnT10 mutants, specifically hZnT10\(_{(N43H)}\), hZnT10\(_{(hZnT1Cter)}\), or hZnT10\(_{(hZnT1Loop)}\) in SPCA1\(^{-/-}\) or ZnT1\(^{-/-}\)MT\(^{-/-}\)ZnT4\(^{-/-}\) cells, in which the Asn residue in TMD II was substituted with His, the cytosolic C-terminal region or the cytosolic loop between TMDs III and IV was swapped with corresponding regions of hZnT1. We then examined whether these constructs conferred and altered Mn or Zn resistance in SPCA1\(^{-/-}\) or ZnT1\(^{-/-}\)MT\(^{-/-}\)ZnT4\(^{-/-}\) cells. Expression of hZnT10\(_{(hZnT1Cter)}\) and hZnT10\(_{(hZnT1Loop)}\) did not alter Mn resistance in SPCA1\(^{-/-}\) cells (Fig. 5, A and C) or Zn resistance in ZnT1\(^{-/-}\)MT\(^{-/-}\)ZnT4\(^{-/-}\) cells (Fig. 5, B and D) when compared with that of hZnT10, indicating that the cytosolic C-terminal region or the cytosolic loop between TMDs III and IV of hZnT10 did not impair Mn transport by hZnT10, and corresponding regions of hZnT10 are not essential for Mn resistance. In contrast, expression of hZnT10\(_{(N43H)}\) significantly decreased Mn resistance in SPCA1\(^{-/-}\) cells (Fig. 5E) and did not confer Zn resistance in ZnT1\(^{-/-}\)MT\(^{-/-}\)ZnT4\(^{-/-}\) cells (Fig. 5F). The surface biotinylation assay confirmed that all hZnT10 mutants were localized to the cell surface in both SPCA1\(^{-/-}\) (Fig. 5G) or ZnT1\(^{-/-}\)MT\(^{-/-}\)ZnT4\(^{-/-}\) cells (Fig. 5H), which excludes the possibility that mislocalization of hZnT10\(_{(N43H)}\) in SPCA1\(^{-/-}\) cells resulted in a decrease in Mn resistance, and indicates that the Asn residue in TMD II is extremely important for the Mn transport activity of hZnT10. Taken together, Arg- and Lys-rich sequences in the cytosolic C-terminal region and in the cytosolic loop between TMDs III and IV are not involved in the Mn transport property of hZnT10, whereas the Asn residue in TMD II primarily contributes to Mn transport.

We then constructed the domain swapping/substitution mutants of hZnT1 with
hZnT10 using a similar approach, specifically hZnT1([H43N]), hZnT1([hZnT10Cter]), and hZnT1([hZnT10Loop]) and performed the same experiments. Expression of hZnT1([hZnT10Cter]) and hZnT1([hZnT10Loop]) did not confer Mn resistance in SPCA1−/−/− cells (Fig. 6, A and C), and did not alter Zn resistance in ZnT1−/−/−/−ZnT4−/− cells (Fig. 6, B and D), as in the case of hZnT10. Unexpectedly, expression of hZnT1([H43N]), however, did confer Mn resistance in SPCA1−/−/− cells (Fig. 6E) and the ability to confer Zn resistance in ZnT1−/−/−ZnT4−/− cells was lost (Fig. 6F), indicating that the Asn residue in TMD II can confer Mn transport activity to ZnT1. The surface biotinylation assay confirmed that all hZnT1 mutants were localized at the cell surface in both SPCA1−/−/− cells (Fig. 6G) and ZnT1−/−/−ZnT4−/− cells (Fig. 6H). Taken together, the His-rich clusters in the cytosolic loop between TMDs III and IV of hZnT1 and the cytosolic C-terminal region of hZnT1 are not essential in determining the Zn transport property of hZnT1, while the His residue in TMD II is crucial. Moreover, only substitution of the Asn residue in TMD II is a major determinant of Mn specificity in hZnT1. Moreover, these results reveal that the cytosolic loop between TMDs III and IV and the cytosolic C-terminal region are compatible with each other between hZnT10 and hZnT1 in their Mn or Zn transport activities, despite the presence of several unique differences. The results of Figs. 3, 5, and 6 are summarized in Table 1.

Domain Swapping/Substitution Analysis between hZnT10 and hZnT2—We performed similar swapping/substitution analysis between hZnT10 and hZnT2, using hZnT2([H106N]), hZnT10([hZnT2Cter]), and hZnT2([hZnT10Cter]) mutants. hZnT2 is divided into a different subfamily from hZnT10 and hZnT1, and thus shows only moderate similarity with hZnT10 (28% identity between hZnT10 and hZnT2) (41,42). hZnT2 expression completely reversed the Zn-sensitive phenotypes of ZnT1−/−/−ZnT4−/− cells (33,40), but unlike hZnT1([H43N]), expression of hZnT2([H106N]) failed to confer Mn resistance in SPCA1−/−/− cells (Fig. 7A). However, the hZnT2([H106N]) mutant lost the ability to reverse Zn-sensitive phenotypes of ZnT1−/−/−ZnT4−/− cells, as observed for the hZnT1([H43N]) mutant (Fig. 7B). Moreover, the swapping of the cytosolic C-terminal region between hZnT10 and hZnT2 caused these mutants to lose Mn and Zn resistance in SPCA1−/−/− cells and ZnT1−/−/−ZnT4−/− cells. Specifically, expression of hZnT10([hZnT2Cter]) failed to reverse Mn resistance in SPCA1−/−/− cells and that of hZnT2([hZnT10Cter]) failed to reverse Zn resistance in ZnT1−/−/−ZnT4−/− cells (Fig. 7, C and F). In contrast, expression of hZnT10([hZnT2Cter]) did not confer Zn resistance in ZnT1−/−/−ZnT4−/− cells, whereas that of hZnT2([hZnT10Cter]) did not confer Mn resistance in SPCA1−/−/− cells (Fig. 7, D and E). These results suggest that the cytosolic C-terminal region is not compatible between hZnT10 and hZnT2 in their Mn or Zn transport activity.

We then investigated this incompatibility using complex domain swapping/substitution mutants between hZnT10 and hZnT2 in which all of the three aforementioned features were swapped and substituted. As observed for each mutant between hZnT10 and hZnT2, expression of hZnT10([N43H-hZnT2Loop-hZnT2Cter]) lost the ability to confer Mn resistance in SPCA1−/−/− cells, whereas that of hZnT2([H106N-hZnT10Loop-hZnT10Cter]) lost the ability to confer Zn resistance in ZnT1−/−/−ZnT4−/− cells (Fig. 8, A and D). Moreover, these swapping/substitutions did not have any effects on the metal substrate specificity between hZnT10 and hZnT2. Expression of hZnT10([N43H-hZnT2Loop-hZnT2Cter]) did not confer Zn resistance in ZnT1−/−/−ZnT4−/− cells, and expression of hZnT2([H106N-hZnT10Loop-hZnT10Cter]) did not confer Mn resistance in SPCA1−/−/− cells (Fig. 8, B and C). Taken together, unlike the case of hZnT1 and hZnT2, the abovementioned featured domains are incompatible between hZnT10 and hZnT2. The lower similarity of hZnT2 to hZnT10 than hZnT1 to hZnT10 may endow incompatibility with domain swapping/substitutions between hZnT10 and hZnT2. The results of Figs. 7 and 8 are summarized in Table 2.

Residues C52 and L242 in the TMDs II and V of hZnT10 are Involved in the Control of Metal Substrate Specificity in hZnT10—Expression of hZnT10([N43H]) failed to reverse Zn resistance in ZnT1−/−/−ZnT4−/− cells despite the fact that reverse substitution...
of hZnT1 (hZnT1\textsubscript{H43N}) conferred Mn resistance to hZnT1 in the Mn detoxification assay using SPCA1\textsuperscript{-/-} cells. This observation indicates that hZnT10 may have a specific mechanism to reduce Zn transport. Finally, we tried to identify specific residues in hZnT10 that are involved in this mechanism. We found that residue C52 in TMD II and L242 in TMD V are present in hZnT10 but not in other hZnT transporters (Fig. 4), and that both residues are thought to be located on the cytosolic side of the putative intramembranous tetrahedral metal coordination site, based on the structure of a bacterial homolog YiiP (42-46). In other hZnT transporters, these positions are predominantly composed of Val, Ile, and Met in TMD II, and Phe in TMD V (Fig. 4). We expressed hZnT10 mutants in ZnT1\textsuperscript{-/-}MT\textsuperscript{-/-}ZnT4\textsuperscript{-/-} cells, in which the Cys and/or Leu residues were substituted with Val and Phe, respectively, in addition to the N43H substitution (hZnT10\textsubscript{N43H, C52V}, hZnT10\textsubscript{N43H, L242F} and hZnT10\textsubscript{N43H, C52V, L242F}) (Fig. 9, A–C). Expression of neither hZnT10\textsubscript{N43H, C52V} nor hZnT10\textsubscript{N43H, L242F} reversed Zn resistance in ZnT1\textsuperscript{+/-}MT\textsuperscript{-/-}ZnT4\textsuperscript{+/-} cells (Fig. 9, A and B). However, expression of hZnT10\textsubscript{N43H, C52V, L242F} moderately restored the ability to confer Zn resistance in ZnT1\textsuperscript{+/-}MT\textsuperscript{-/-}ZnT4\textsuperscript{+/-} cells (Fig. 9C). The cells grew in the presence of 60 µM ZnSO\textsubscript{4} but failed to grow in the presence of 80 µM ZnSO\textsubscript{4}. Moreover, we investigated whether the conferment of Zn resistance by hZnT10\textsubscript{N43H} was facilitated by domain swapping of the cytosolic C-terminal region of hZnT1 with the corresponding region (hZnT10\textsubscript{N43H, C52V, L242F}-hZnT1\textsubscript{Cter}). Swapping of the cytosolic C-terminal region did not lead to significant effects (Fig. 9C). Consistent with this, expression of hZnT10\textsubscript{N43H}-hZnT1\textsubscript{Cter} did not significantly reverse Zn-sensitive phenotypes of ZnT1\textsuperscript{+/-}MT\textsuperscript{-/-}ZnT4\textsuperscript{+/-} cells (Fig. 9D). Last, we examined whether substitution of C52 and L242 with Val and Phe in hZnT10 (hZnT10\textsubscript{C52V,L242F}) may confer Zn resistance in ZnT1\textsuperscript{+/-}MT\textsuperscript{-/-}ZnT4\textsuperscript{+/-} cells or impair Mn resistance in SPCA1\textsuperscript{-/-} cells. However, these mutations did not confer Zn resistance or impair Mn resistance in the respective cell types (Fig. 9, E and F). These results indicate that, in addition to the primary role of N43, both C52 in TMD II and L242 in TMD V of hZnT10 are involved in the regulation of metal substrate specificity in hZnT10.

**DISCUSSION**

Genetic studies using *S. cerevisiae* have contributed significantly to our understanding of Mn metabolism in cells; however, several differences exist between *S. cerevisiae* and vertebrate cells. For example, Ccc1p functions as a major transporter of iron and Mn that is sequestered into vacuoles and thus detoxifies Mn in *S. cerevisiae* but is not identified in vertebrate cells. In contrast, Fpn and ZnT10 are expressed in vertebrate cells but are not present in *S. cerevisiae*. Moreover, in an Mn resistance assay using a pmr1 mutant of *S. cerevisiae*, extremely high Mn concentrations were required to discriminate Mn resistance (53,54) when compared with those in vertebrate cells. Thus, Mn metabolism should be studied in vertebrate cells. In this study, we compared six human proteins that are involved in Mn detoxification/efflux by using genetically engineered vertebrate cells, SPCA1\textsuperscript{-/-} cells, whose extreme sensitivity to high Mn concentrations enabled us to evaluate their contribution to Mn toxicity without directly measuring Mn mobilization.

The Mn detoxification/efflux functions of the evaluated six proteins were examined in different evaluation cell systems and thus their contributions have not yet been directly compared with each other. The present study is important in this aspect because we evaluated the contribution of each protein in the same cell system using *SPCA1\textsuperscript{-/-}* cells. ATP13A proteins, including ATP13A2, are postulated to contribute to Mn detoxification/efflux in both yeast and vertebrate cells when overexpressed (18-20). However, our results indicate that ATP13A proteins have almost no contribution to Mn detoxification/efflux under high Mn conditions. ATP13A2 is suggested to be involved in intracellular Zn homeostasis (55,56), but our re-experiments revealed no contribution of hATP13A2 to conferring Zn resistance in ZnT1\textsuperscript{+/-}MT\textsuperscript{-/-}ZnT4\textsuperscript{+/-} cells under high Zn concentrations (Nishito, Tsuji and Kambe, unpublished data). Fpn is also
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reported to be involved in Mn mobilization (38,39). However, our results indicate that hFpn does not contribute to Mn detoxification/efflux in SPCA1−/− cells under high Mn concentrations. In contrast to these proteins, SPCA1 and ZnT10 operate to detoxify/efflux Mn via exporting Mn to an extracellular site in vertebrate cells. Our results indicate that ZnT10 is a primary contributor to Mn mobilization in the cell, while mutations of the SPCA1 gene results in Hailey–Hailey diseases (MIM No. 169600), which is thought to be related to Ca levels and not to disturbances in Mn metabolism (57).

ZnT10 has received much attention because loss of ZnT10 function results in parkinsonism with hypermanganesemia (22,23), despite belonging to ZnT zinc transporters. However, the molecular basis of this condition is missing as well as how ZnT10 recognizes and mobilizes Mn as a transport substrate. Among several characteristic amino acid residues and sequences, we clearly showed that N43 in TMD II plays a critical role for ZnT10 function. Interestingly, hZnT1(H43N) converted hZnT1 from Zn mobilization to Mn mobilization. These results confirmed that the position in TMD II is important for determining the transport metal specificity (42,51), as previously reported using hZnT5 and hZnT8, in which substitution of the His residue with an Asp residue conferred Cd transport activity in addition to Zn (58). The plant MTP8, which transports Mn but not Zn, has an Asp amino acid at this position. MTP8 has four Asp residues, including the Asp residue in TMDs II and V, which are thought to form an intramembranous tetrahedral metal coordination site (59,60). Thus, the finding that the Asn residue at this position of TMD II regulates Mn transport ability is unique, and thus clarification of its specific importance should provide insight into understanding the metal coordination properties in TMDs of ZnT transporters and their homologs. The CDF family of proteins are generally divided into three groups based on their phylogenetic relationships: Zn-CDF, Zn/Fe-CDF, and Mn-CDF (51,61). In this grouping, CDF proteins with the ability to transport Mn, such as MTP8, are classified into the Mn-CDF group, while all of the ZnT transporters are divided into the Zn-CDF family (51,61,62). Thus, careful re-grouping should be performed.

The present study also revealed that the cytosolic loop between TMDs III and IV and the cytosolic C-terminal region are compatible between hZnT1 and hZnT10. This observation is intriguing because the cytosolic C-terminal portion and the cytosolic loop between TMDs III and IV are thought to form a binuclear zinc-binding site allosterically operating for Zn transport activity in a zinc-regulated fashion (43) and likely contribute to a metal substrate determinant (63,64). In contrast to the compatibility between hZnT1 and hZnT10, the domain swapping between hZnT10 and hZnT2 was found to be incompatible. This observation suggests that these regions may be functionally and structurally different among ZnT transporters. However, incompatibility between hZnT10 and hZnT2 may result from their different subcellular localization. Studying and comparing three-dimensional structures of these regions of hZnT10 with those of other hZnT transporters will undoubtedly facilitate answers to this issue.

ZnT10 plays a role in Zn metabolism, locating the early/recycling endosomes or the Golgi apparatus (26,27,65). However, we have no data to show that hZnT10 is involved in the detoxification of high Zn concentrations. Importantly, this study does not completely exclude the possibility that ZnT10 has Zn transport activity and contributes to Zn metabolism because this study was performed under conditions of detoxification/efflux against high Zn concentrations (over 60 µM ZnSO4) using ZnT1-/-MT-/-ZnT4-/- cells. In support of this idea, overexpression of hZnT5 and hZnT6 failed to confer resistance to ZnT1-/-MT-/-ZnT4-/- cells in the presence of 60 µM ZnSO4 (Nishito and Kambe, unpublished data). A recent report that the Zn transport function of ZnT10 was accelerated by heterodimer formation with other ZnT transporters, such as ZnT3 (65), suggests that the absence of ZnT transporters in
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ZnT1−/−MT−/−ZnT4−/− cells may mask the ability of hZnT10 to exert robust Zn transport activity in our evaluation. Because C52 and L242 residues in the TMDs II and V were found to be involved in the regulation of metal substrate specificity in hZnT10, heterodimer formation may affect the conformation of both residues and accessibility of Zn to the intramembranous metal coordination site, which may also change the affinity of N43 towards Zn. Further investigation is required to clarify how ZnT10 contributes to Zn metabolism at the molecular level, as well as its primary function in Mn metabolism.

Because Mn levels are reported to increase in patients of several neurodegenerative diseases (8,14), Mn detoxification/efflux proteins have potential therapeutic functions owing to their ability to decrease Mn accumulation. Thus, the robust Mn detoxification/efflux function of ZnT10 may be important against these pathologies. The present results contribute to providing clues that should facilitate Mn transport activity of ZnT10 in a specific manner, as well as information that aids our understanding of the relationship between Mn and Zn metabolic systems.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell Culture and Transfection**—Chicken B lymphocyte-derived DT40 cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (Multiser, Trace Scientific, Melbourne, Australia), 1% chicken serum (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and 50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) at 39.5 °C, as described previously (31). DNA transfection into DT40 cells was carried out using electroporation, as described previously (31). DT40 cells deficient in the SPCA1 gene (SPCA1−/− cells) were established using three KO vectors shown in Fig. 1A. Southern and northern blot analysis was performed as described previously (32). Genomic DNA (20 μg) prepared from DT40 cells or 20 μg total RNA extracted from the cells using Sepasol I (Nacalai Tesque) was used. Radioimages were obtained using a FLA5000 Bio imaging analyzer (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan). More than three independent clones were established per disruptants and transfectants. ZnT1−/−MT−/−ZnT4−/− cells have been established and reported previously (33).

**Plasmid Construction**—Plasmids used for the expression of C-terminally GFP-tagged hSPCA1 (hSPCA1-GFP) (15), N-terminally FLAG-tagged hATP13A1 (FLAG-hATP13A1), C-terminally HA-tagged hATP13A2 (hATP13A2-HA), N-terminally HA-tagged hATP13A3 (HA-hATP13A3), C-terminally HA tagged hZnT10 (hZnT10-HA), N-terminally Myc-tagged hZnT10 (Myc-hZnT10), N-terminally FLAG-tagged hZnT1 (FLAG-hZnT1), or C-terminally HA tagged hZnT2 (hZnT2-HA) were constructed by inserting each cDNA into pA-Puro or pA-Zeocin vectors (34). The truncated forms of hATP13A1 and hATP13A3 cDNAs were purchased from DNAFORM (http://www.dnaform.jp/ja/) and their full-length forms were prepared by ligating them with the lacking fragments of RT-PCR-amplified hATP13A1 or hATP13A3 cDNA. The hATP13A2-HA was constructed by replacing a V5-His tag (21) with the HA tag, or the hZnT10-HA was constructed by fusing hZnT10 (23) with the HA tag. The hFpn gene, which is fused with the C-terminally V5 tag, was described previously (35). All cDNAs constructed here were sequenced in both directions. The KO vectors used for disruption of the SPCA1 gene were constructed using the amplified genomic DNA fragments with gene-specific primers by KOD-FX polymerase (Toyobo, Osaka Japan), as described previously (31). All plasmids were linearized with appropriate restriction enzymes prior to electroporation.

**Cytotoxicity Assay Against High Mn or Zn Concentrations**—DT40 cells were inoculated at a density of 10 × 10^4 cells/mL in 96-well plates and treated with MnSO₄ or ZnSO₄ at the indicated concentrations for 2 d. Alamar Blue reagent (AbD Serotec, Ltd., Oxford, UK) was then added to the culture media and incubated for 3−4 h. The absorbance in the medium was measured at 570 and 600 nm using PowerScan 4 (DS Pharma Biomedical, Osaka, Japan), according
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Measurement of Mn Transport Activity—DT40 cells were cultured in 6-well plates and 1 µM [54Mn]-labeled MnCl₂ (PerkinElmer, Boston, MA) was added to the culture media in all wells. After 24 h, the cells were washed twice with ice-cold culture medium and suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.05% EDTA. The radioactivity of 54Mn was measured using an auto-well gamma counter (Wizard²; PerkinElmer Japan, Kanagawa, Japan) and data normalized by the total cellular protein. Data are depicted as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was determined by the Student’s t test and accepted at p < 0.01.

Generation of Anti-hZnT10 and Anti-hZnT1 Monoclonal Antibodies—Fused proteins consisting of the cytosolic carboxyl terminal portion of hZnT10 (95 residues from Leu391 to stop codon) and maltose binding protein, or the cytosolic carboxyl terminal portion of hZnT1 (167 residues from Leu341 to stop codon) and maltose binding protein were used as antigens. The anti-hZnT10 or anti-hZnT1 monoclonal antibody was produced as described previously (36,37). An ascites was generated by injection of 1 × 10⁷ hybridoma cells into pristine-primed mice.

Immunoblotting—Immunoblotting was performed as described previously (30). Briefly, the cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and then EZ-Link, a sulfo-NHS-SS-biotin reagent (Pierce Protein Biology, ThermoFisher Scientific) was used to biotinylate lysine residues exposed on the extracellular surface. Biotinylated proteins were recovered from streptavidin-coupled beads in 6× SDS sample buffer and then immunoblotted.

Cell Surface Biotinylation Assay—Cells stably expressing WT or mutant hZnT10 or hZnT1 were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and then EZ-Link, a sulfo-NHS-SS-biotin reagent (Pierce Protein Biology, ThermoFisher Scientific) was used to biotinylate lysine residues exposed on the extracellular surface. Biotinylated proteins were recovered from streptavidin-coupled beads in 6× SDS sample buffer and then immunoblotted.

Immunofluorescence Staining—Double immunostaining for tagged proteins used in this study and GM130 was performed as described previously (30). Briefly, the cells were stained with an anti-HA tag polyclonal antibody (1:500, MBL, 561), an anti-FLAG tag polyclonal antibody (anti-DDDK; 1:500, MBL, PM020), anti-GFP (1:50, Invitrogen, G10362) or anti-GM130 (1:100, BD Transduction Laboratories, G65120), followed by goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated to Alexa 594 (Molecular Probes, A11032) or donkey anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to Alexa 488 (Molecular Probes, A21206) as the secondary antibodies. The stained cells were observed using a Zeiss Axioplan 2 microscope equipped with an Olympus digital camera (Metamorph, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) or a fluorescent microscope FSX100 (Olympus). Images were analyzed using Adobe Photoshop Elements (Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, CA).
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FOOTNOTES
The abbreviations used are: Mn, manganese; Zn, zinc; Ca, calcium; SPCA, secretory pathway calcium channel; ZnT, zinc transporter; TMD, transmembrane domain.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. *SPCA1\(^{-/-}\)* cells show significantly reduced resistance to high Mn concentrations. **A**, targeted disruption of the c*SPCA1* gene. Three targeting constructs were designed to disrupt the exon encoding actuator domain. The *HisD*, *Bsr*, or *Puro* drug-resistant marker cassettes were flanked by mutated loxP sites indicated by gray arrowheads. Gray boxes indicate the position of 5′ and 3′ probes. Southern blot (right upper panels) and northern blot (right bottom panel) analyses confirmed the disruption of the *SPCA1* gene. **B**, *SPCA1\(^{-/-}\)* cells were significantly sensitive to high Mn concentrations. Cells were grown in the presence of the indicated concentrations of MnSO\(_4\) for 2 d and the number of living cells was counted (left
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graph), and evaluated by the Alamar Blue assay (right graph). Relative values are plotted as a percentage of living cells without MnSO₄ for each group of cells. The growth curves of wild-type (WT), \( SPCA1^{-/-} \), and \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) stably expressing hSPCA1-GFP are shown. Each experiment was performed at least three times. Note that hSPCA1-GFP expression reversed the phenotypes of \( SPCA1^{-/-} \) cells. C, \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) cells accumulated high Mn concentrations in the cells. Amounts of Mn in the cells were evaluated by measuring \( ^{54} \text{Mn} \) accumulated in the cells. The growth curves of wild-type (WT), \( SPCA1^{+/+} \), \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) stably expressing hSPCA1-GFP, and \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) stably expressing hFpn-V5 are shown. Confirmation of stable hFpn and hSPCA1 expression in \( SPCA1^{-/-} \) cells by immunoblotting (lower panels). B, hATP13A1, hATP13A2, and hATP13A3 had almost no effects on Mn resistance in \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) cells. The growth curves of wild-type (WT), \( SPCA1^{+/+} \), \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) stably expressing FLAG-hATP13A1, hATP13A2-HA, or HA-hATP13A3 are shown. Confirmation of stable hATP13A1, hATP13A2, and hATP13A3 expression in \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) cells by immunoblotting (lower panels). C, hZnT10 completely reversed the phenotypes of \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) cells. The growth curves of wild-type (WT), \( SPCA1^{+/+} \), and \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) stably expressing hZnT10-HA are shown. Confirmation of stable hZnT10 expression in \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) cells by immunoblotting (lower panels). D, hZnT10 decreased accumulated \( ^{54} \text{Mn} \) in \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) cells. Amounts of accumulated \( ^{54} \text{Mn} \) in the cells at 24 h were evaluated as in Fig. 1C. Each value is the mean ± SD of three independent experiments (* \( P < 0.01 \)). E, co-expression of hZnT10 with hSPCA1 conferred more resistance to high Mn concentrations than that of single expression of hSPCA1. The growth curves of wild-type (WT), \( SPCA1^{+/+} \), \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) stably expressing hZnT10, \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) stably expressing hSPCA1 and \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) stably expressing both hZnT10 and hSPCA1 are shown. Confirmation of stable hZnT10 and hSPCA1 expression in \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) cells by immunoblotting (lower panels). Note that co-expression of hZnT10 with hSPCA1 conferred greater resistance to high Mn concentrations compared with that of only hSPCA1 expression. F, immunofluorescence staining of hZnT10 expressed in \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) cells. hZnT10 (green), GM130 (red), and the merged images are shown. G, the cell surface localization of hZnT10 evaluated by the surface biotinylation assay. Cells treated with the biotinylation reagent (sulfo-NHS-SS-biotin) were solubilized and the biotinylated protein was then captured using streptavidin beads and analyzed by immunoblot analysis. Input refers to aliquots of the biotinylated proteins before avidin capture (i.e., total cell lysate), while biotinylation refers to avidin-captured proteins. Tubulin and IgM were used as loading controls for input and biotinylation, respectively. The representative results of three independent experiments are displayed. In (A)–(C) and (E), cells were grown in the presence of the indicated concentrations of MnSO₄ for 2 d and the numbers of living cells were evaluated by the Alamar Blue assay at least three times. Tubulin and calnexin are shown as the loading controls.

Figure 2. Evaluation of the conferment of Mn detoxification using \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) cells. A, hFpn failed to reverse the phenotypes of \( SPCA1^{-/-} \) cells. The growth curves of wild-type (WT), \( SPCA1^{+/+} \), \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) stably expressing hSPCA1-GFP, and \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) stably expressing hFpn-V5 are shown. Confirmation of stable hFpn and hSPCA1 expression in \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) cells by immunoblotting (lower panels). B, hATP13A1, hATP13A2, and hATP13A3 had almost no effects on Mn resistance in \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) cells. The growth curves of wild-type (WT), \( SPCA1^{+/+} \), \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) stably expressing FLAG-hATP13A1, hATP13A2-HA, or HA-hATP13A3 are shown. Confirmation of stable expression of hATP13A1, hATP13A2, and hATP13A3 in \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) cells by immunoblotting (lower panels). C, hZnT10 completely reversed the phenotypes of \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) cells. The growth curves of wild-type (WT), \( SPCA1^{+/+} \), and \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) stably expressing hZnT10-HA are shown. Confirmation of stable hZnT10 expression in \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) cells by immunoblotting (lower panels). D, hZnT10 decreased accumulated \( ^{54} \text{Mn} \) in \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) cells. Amounts of accumulated \( ^{54} \text{Mn} \) in the cells at 24 h were evaluated as in Fig. 1C. Each value is the mean ± SD of three independent experiments (* \( P < 0.01 \)). E, co-expression of hZnT10 with hSPCA1 conferred more resistance to high Mn concentrations than that of single expression of hSPCA1. The growth curves of wild-type (WT), \( SPCA1^{+/+} \), \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) stably expressing hZnT10, \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) stably expressing hSPCA1 and \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) stably expressing both hZnT10 and hSPCA1 are shown. Confirmation of stable hZnT10 and hSPCA1 expression in \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) cells by immunoblotting (lower panels). Note that co-expression of hZnT10 with hSPCA1 conferred greater resistance to high Mn concentrations compared with that of only hSPCA1 expression. F, immunofluorescence staining of hZnT10 expressed in \( SPCA1^{+/+} \) cells. hZnT10 (green), GM130 (red), and the merged images are shown. G, the cell surface localization of hZnT10 evaluated by the surface biotinylation assay. Cells treated with the biotinylation reagent (sulfo-NHS-SS-biotin) were solubilized and the biotinylated protein was then captured using streptavidin beads and analyzed by immunoblot analysis. Input refers to aliquots of the biotinylated proteins before avidin capture (i.e., total cell lysate), while biotinylation refers to avidin-captured proteins. Tubulin and IgM were used as loading controls for input and biotinylation, respectively. The representative results of three independent experiments are displayed. In (A)–(C) and (E), cells were grown in the presence of the indicated concentrations of MnSO₄ for 2 d and the numbers of living cells were evaluated by the Alamar Blue assay at least three times. Tubulin and calnexin are shown as the loading controls.

Figure 3. ZnT10 is involved in Mn transport rather than Zn transport. A, expression of hZnT10 did not restore Zn resistance of \( ZnT1^{-/-}MT^{-/-}ZnT4^{-/-} \) cells. Cells stably expressing hZnT10 or hZnT1 were grown in the presence of the indicated concentrations of MnSO₄ for 2 d
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and the numbers of living cells were evaluated by the Alamar Blue assay. Confirmation of stable hZnT10 or hZnT1 expression in ZnT1<sup>-/-</sup>Mt<sup>-/-</sup>ZnT4<sup>-/-</sup> cells by immunoblotting (lower panels). B, expression of hZnT1 failed to confer Mn resistance of SPCA1<sup>+/+</sup> cells. Cells stably expressing hZnT1 or hZnT10 were grown in the presence of the indicated concentrations of MnSO<sub>4</sub> for 2 d and the number of living cells were evaluated by the Alamar Blue assay. Confirmation of stable hZnT10 or hZnT1 expression in SPCA1<sup>+/+</sup> cells by immunoblotting (lower panels). In (A) and (B), the Alamar Blue assay was performed at least three times. Calnexin is shown as the loading controls. C, the plasma membrane localization of hZnT1 and hZnT10 expressed in SPCA1<sup>+/+</sup> cells are shown. Immunofluorescence staining of both proteins was performed as presented Fig. 2F (left part). The biotinylation assay was performed as in Fig. 2G (right part). D, the plasma membrane localization of hZnT1 and hZnT10 expressed in ZnT1<sup>-/-</sup>Mt<sup>-/-</sup>ZnT4<sup>-/-</sup> cells are shown. Immunofluorescence staining of both proteins was performed as in Fig. 2F (left part). The biotinylation assay was performed as in Fig. 2G (right part). In (C) and (D), tubulin and IgM were used as loading controls for input and biotinylation, respectively. The representative results of three independent experiments are presented.

Figure 4. Multiple sequence alignment of TMDs II and V among hZnT transporters. The sequences of TMDs II and V of hZnT transporters were aligned. The sequence order is according to their sequence similarity (41). The conserved His and Asp residues postulated as the Zn-binding site in TMDs II and V are highlighted in orange and blue. Residue N43 in TMD II of hZnT10 is highlighted in green. C52 and L242 residues of hZnT10 that were investigated in Fig. 9 are shown in red. The indicated TMDs of hZnT5 correspond to TMDs XI and XIV.

Figure 5. Asn residue in TMD II of hZnT10 is essential for Mn transport activity. A, expression of hZnT10<sub>(hZnT1Cter)</sub> did not alter Mn resistance in SPCA1<sup>+/+</sup> cells. B, expression of hZnT10<sub>(hZnT1Cter)</sub> did not confer Zn resistance in ZnT1<sup>-/-</sup>Mt<sup>-/-</sup>ZnT4<sup>-/-</sup> cells. C, expression of hZnT10<sub>(hZnT1Loop)</sub> did not alter Mn resistance in SPCA1<sup>+/+</sup> cells. D, expression of hZnT10<sub>(hZnT1Loop)</sub> did not confer Zn resistance in ZnT1<sup>-/-</sup>Mt<sup>-/-</sup>ZnT4<sup>-/-</sup> cells. E, expression of hZnT10<sub>(N43H)</sub> significantly decreased Mn resistance in SPCA1<sup>+/+</sup> cells. F, expression of hZnT10<sub>(N43H)</sub> did not confer Zn resistance in ZnT1<sup>-/-</sup>Mt<sup>-/-</sup>ZnT4<sup>-/-</sup> cells. In (A), (C), and (E), or in (B), (D), and (F), cells were grown as in Fig. 3A and B, and the numbers of living cells were evaluated by the Alamar Blue assay. In (A)–(F), the Alamar Blue assay was performed at least three times. Confirmation of stable expression of WT and mutants of hZnT10 in SPCA1<sup>+/+</sup> cells or ZnT1<sup>-/-</sup>Mt<sup>-/-</sup>ZnT4<sup>-/-</sup> cells by immunoblotting (lower panels). Tubulin is shown as a loading control. G, the cell surface localization of hZnT10 mutants in SPCA1<sup>+/+</sup> cells was evaluated by the surface biotinylation assay. H, the cell surface localization of hZnT10 mutants in ZnT1<sup>-/-</sup>Mt<sup>-/-</sup>ZnT4<sup>-/-</sup> cells was evaluated by the surface biotinylation assay. In (G) and (H), the biotinylation assay was performed as in Fig. 2G. The representative results of three independent experiments are presented.

Figure 6. Substitution of Asn residue for His residue in TMD II confers the activity to transport Mn with hZnT1. A, expression of hZnT1<sub>(hZnT10Cter)</sub> did not confer Mn resistance in SPCA1<sup>+/+</sup> cells. B, expression of hZnT1<sub>(hZnT10Cter)</sub> did not alter Zn resistance in ZnT1<sup>-/-</sup>Mt<sup>-/-</sup>ZnT4<sup>-/-</sup> cells. C, expression of hZnT1<sub>(hZnT10Loop)</sub> did not confer Mn resistance in SPCA1<sup>+/+</sup> cells. D, expression of hZnT1<sub>(hZnT10Loop)</sub> did not alter Zn resistance in ZnT1<sup>-/-</sup>Mt<sup>-/-</sup>ZnT4<sup>-/-</sup> cells. E, expression of hZnT1<sub>(H43N)</sub> did confer Mn resistance in SPCA1<sup>+/+</sup> cells. F, expression of hZnT1<sub>(H43N)</sub> lost the ability to confer Zn resistance in ZnT1<sup>-/-</sup>Mt<sup>-/-</sup>ZnT4<sup>-/-</sup> cells. In (A), (C), and (E), or in (B), (D), and (F), cells were grown as in Fig. 3A and B, and the numbers of living cells were evaluated by the Alamar Blue assay. In (A)–(F), the Alamar Blue assay was performed at least three times. Confirmation of stable WT and mutants of hZnT1 expression in SPCA1<sup>+/+</sup> cells or ZnT1<sup>-/-</sup>Mt<sup>-/-</sup>ZnT4<sup>-/-</sup> cells by immunoblotting (lower panels).
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Tubulin and calnexin are shown as the loading controls. G, the cell surface localization of hZnT1 mutants in SPCA1−/− cells was evaluated by the surface biotinylation assay. H, the cell surface localization of hZnT1 mutants in ZnT1−/−MT−/−ZnT4−/− cells was evaluated by the surface biotinylation assay. In (G) and (H), hZnT1(hZnT10Cter) was detected by an anti-hZnT10 antibody, whereas WT ZnT1 and other hZnT1 mutants were detected by an anti-hZnT1 antibody. The biotinylation assay was performed as in Fig. 2G. The representative results of three independent experiments are displayed.

Figure 7. Domain swapping and substitution analysis between hZnT10 and hZnT2. A, expression of hZnT2(H106N) failed to confer Mn resistance in SPCA1−/− cells. B, expression of hZnT2(H106N) lost the ability to confer Zn resistance in ZnT1−/−MT−/−ZnT4−/− cells. C, expression of hZnT10(hZnT10Cter) lost the ability to confer Mn resistance in SPCA1−/− cells. D, expression of hZnT10(hZnT10Cter) did not confer Mn resistance in ZnT1−/−MT−/−ZnT4−/− cells. E, expression of hZnT10(hZnT2Cter) did not confer Mn resistance in SPCA1−/− cells. F, expression of hZnT2(hZnT10Cter) did not confer Mn resistance in SPCA1−/− cells. In (A), (C), and (E), or in (B), (D), and (F), cells were grown as presented in Fig. 3A and B, and the numbers of living cells were evaluated by the Alamar Blue assay. In (A)–(F), the Alamar Blue assay was performed at least three times. Confirmation of stable expression of WT and mutants of hZnT2 and hZnT10 in SPCA1−/− cells or ZnT1−/−MT−/−ZnT4−/− cells by immunoblotting (lower panels). Tubulin is shown as the loading control.

Figure 8. Domain swapping and substitution of specific sequences failed to be compatible between hZnT10 and hZnT2. A, expression of hZnT10(N43H-hZnT2Loop-hZnT2Cter) lost the ability to confer Mn resistance in SPCA1−/− cells. B, expression of hZnT10(N43H-hZnT2Loop-hZnT2Cter) did not confer Zn resistance in ZnT1−/−MT−/−ZnT4−/− cells. C, expression of hZnT2(H106N-hZnT10Loop-hZnT10Cter) did not confer Mn resistance in SPCA1−/− cells. D, expression of hZnT2(H106N-hZnT10Loop-hZnT10Cter) lost the ability to confer Zn resistance in ZnT1−/−MT−/−ZnT4−/− cells. In (A) and (C), or in (B) and (D), cells were grown as presented in Fig. 3A and B, and the numbers of living cells were evaluated by the Alamar Blue assay. In (A)–(F), the Alamar Blue assay was performed at least three times. Confirmation of stable expression of WT and mutants of hZnT2 and hZnT10 in SPCA1−/− cells or ZnT1−/−MT−/−ZnT4−/− cells by immunoblotting (lower panels). Tubulin is shown as the loading control.

Figure 9. Residues C52 and L242 in the TMDs II and IV of hZnT10 are involved in the control of metal substrate specificity in hZnT10. A, expression of hZnT10(N43H-c52V) did not confer Zn resistance in ZnT1−/−MT−/−ZnT4−/− cells. B, expression of hZnT10(N43H, L242F) did not confer Zn resistance in ZnT1−/−MT−/−ZnT4−/− cells. C, expression of hZnT10(N43H, c52V, L242F-hZnT1Cter) partially restored the ability to confer Zn resistance in ZnT1−/−MT−/−ZnT4−/− cells. D, expression of hZnT10(N43H-hZnT1Cter) did not confer Zn resistance in ZnT1−/−MT−/−ZnT4−/− cells. E, expression of hZnT10(c52V, L242F) did not confer Zn resistance in ZnT1−/−MT−/−ZnT4−/− cells. F, expression of hZnT10(c52V, L242F) did not impair Mn resistance in SPCA1−/− cells. In (A)–(E), or in (F), cells were grown as presented in Fig. 3A and B, and the numbers of living cells were evaluated by the Alamar Blue assay. The Alamar Blue assay was performed at least three times in (A), (B), and (D)–(F), and four times in (C). Confirmation of stable expression of hZnT10 mutants in SPCA1−/− cells or ZnT1−/−MT−/−ZnT4−/− cells by immunoblotting (lower panels). Tubulin is shown as the loading control.
Table 1. Conferment of Mn and Zn resistance by hZnT10 or hZnT1 and their domain swapped/substituted mutants in SPCA1<sup>+/−</sup> and ZnT1<sup>−/−</sup>MT<sup>−/−</sup>ZnT4<sup>−/−</sup> cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expressed gene</th>
<th>Mn resistance in SPCA1&lt;sup&gt;+/−&lt;/sup&gt; cells</th>
<th>Zn resistance in ZnT1&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;MT&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;ZnT4&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt; cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hZnT10</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>−∗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hZnT1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hZnT10&lt;sub&gt;N43H&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>−∗</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hZnT1&lt;sub&gt;H43N&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hZnT10&lt;sub&gt;hZnT1Cter&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hZnT1&lt;sub&gt;hZnT10Cter&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hZnT10&lt;sub&gt;hZnT1Loop&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hZnT1&lt;sub&gt;hZnT10Loop&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relative values presented are evaluations of the results shown in Figures 3, 5, and 6 as follows.

+++: >75% viability compared with that of WT in SPCA1<sup>+/−</sup> cells at 40 µM MnSO<sub>4</sub> or that of WT in ZnT1<sup>−/−</sup>MT<sup>−/−</sup>ZnT4<sup>−/−</sup> cells at 60 µM ZnSO<sub>4</sub>; ++, +: less growth (25–75%, or ≤ 25% relative to the viability of each WT); −: no growth; * a small fraction of the cells appeared morphologically normal by visual observation.

Table 2. Conferment of Mn and Zn resistance by hZnT10 or hZnT2 and their domain swapped/substituted mutants in SPCA1<sup>+/−</sup> and ZnT1<sup>−/−</sup>MT<sup>−/−</sup>ZnT4<sup>−/−</sup> cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expressed gene</th>
<th>Mn resistance in SPCA1&lt;sup&gt;+/−&lt;/sup&gt; cells</th>
<th>Zn resistance in ZnT1&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;MT&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;ZnT4&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt; cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hZnT10</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>−∗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hZnT2</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hZnT10&lt;sub&gt;N43H&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hZnT2&lt;sub&gt;H106N&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hZnT10&lt;sub&gt;hZnT2Cter&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hZnT2&lt;sub&gt;hZnT10Cter&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−∗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hZnT10&lt;sub&gt;N43H-hZnT2Loop-hZnT2Cter&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hZnT2&lt;sub&gt;H106N-hZnT10Loop-hZnT10Cter&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Relative values presented are evaluations of the results shown in Figures 7 and 8, as described in Table 1.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>TMD II</th>
<th>TMD V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZnT3</td>
<td>105 DAAVLLAVGSMGSLFSIWLST</td>
<td>230 TSVRAAFVHVGLSDLL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT2</td>
<td>103 DAAVLLTIFASMLISLFSLWMSS</td>
<td>215 FSVRAAFNIVGDDFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT8</td>
<td>103 DAAVLLTILSFLLSFLSFLWLSS</td>
<td>212 ASVRAAFVHAGDLF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT4</td>
<td>143 DALLMTLeILAITTLALWLSS</td>
<td>269 LAVRAAFVHAGDLV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT1</td>
<td>40 DSFVMLSFLALVVALVAERFAR</td>
<td>243 LNMGRGFHLVGLDAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT10</td>
<td>40 DSFVMLSFLISLAVGSLAYIAR</td>
<td>236 LNMGRGFHLVGLDAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT5</td>
<td>448 DGFVMLFLCSAVMLFAALMSR</td>
<td>587 ANMGRGFHLVADTL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT7</td>
<td>67 DSFHMFFSTAILAGLAASVSK</td>
<td>232 QILQGVFLHADTL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnT6</td>
<td>63 YTYLTIFSLFSLMTCLISYWVTɆ</td>
<td>193 IFLPQMNFFVLIDLA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 8
Figure 9
Direct Comparison of Manganese Detoxification/Efflux Proteins and Molecular Characterization of ZnT10 as a Manganese Transporter
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