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## 1 Background

- $\mathbf{k}$ : field of characteristic $p \geq 0$
- $X$ : smooth projective surface over $\mathbf{k}$ such that $-K_{X}$ is big

Theorem 1.1 (=[4, Theorem 1]).
If $X$ is rational, $X$ is a Mori dream space.
Question 1.2.
What if $X$ is NOT rational?
$\Rightarrow \kappa(X)=-\infty$
$\Rightarrow X$ is obtained by repeatedly blowing up a geometrically ruled surface $\mathbb{P}_{C}(E) \rightarrow C$ with big anti-canonical line bundle.
(1) Classify geometrically ruled surfaces with big anti-canonical
bundle (Theorem 3.1).
(2) Apply (1) to show that the Picard group of (weak) del Pezzo
pairs $(X, \Delta)$ is finitely generated and torsion free (Corol-
lary 4.2). This is a version of the base point free theorem.

## 2 Stability of vector bundles on curves

$C$ : smooth projective curve
$E$ : vector bundle on $C$
$F: C \rightarrow C$ : the Frobenius map

## Definition 2.1.

$E$ is strongly semi-stable if the vector bundle $\left(F^{e}\right)^{*} E$ over $C$ is semi-stable for all $e \geq 0$.

## Remark 2.2.

$\operatorname{char}(\mathbf{k})=0 \Rightarrow$ semi-stable $=$ strongly semi-stable.
We use the following standard facts.

## Proposition 2.3.

(1) $E$ : strongly semi-stable $\Rightarrow$ the $n$-th symmetric power $S^{n}(E)$ is also strongly semi-stable.
(2) If $g(C) \leq 1$, semi-stable $=$ strongly semi-stable.

Proposition 2.4 (O-Okawa, [1]).
Suppose $g(C) \geq 1$, rank $E=2$. If $-K_{\mathbb{P}_{C}(E)}$ is big, then $E$ is not strongly semi-stable.

## 3 Geometrically ruled surface with big anticanonical divisor

Theorem 3.1 (O-Okawa, [1], Main theorem I).
Suppose $g(C) \geq 1$, rank $E=2$, and E: unstable. Then $-K_{X}$ is big $\Longleftrightarrow$ there are line bundles $L$ and $M$ such that $E \simeq L \oplus M$ and $\operatorname{deg} L-\operatorname{deg} M>2 g-2$.

## Remark 3.2.

If $\operatorname{char}(\mathbf{k})=0$ or $g(C)=1$, we can omit the assumption that $E$ is unstable by Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.4.

## Corollary 3.3.

Suppose $g(C) \geq 1$ and rank $E=2$. If $-K_{\mathbb{P}_{C}(E)}$ is big, there exists an integer $e \geq 0$ and line bundles $L^{\prime}, M^{\prime}$ such that $\left(F^{e}\right)^{*} E \simeq L^{\prime} \oplus M^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{deg} L^{\prime}-\operatorname{deg} M^{\prime}>2 g-2$.
The question below remains open.

## Question 3.4.

Is $E$ itself unstable under the same assumption?

## 4 Picard group of log del Pezzo surfaces via Theorem 3.1

Theorem 4.1 (O-Okawa, [1]).
Let $(X, \Delta)$ be a pair of a normal projective surface and an effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor such that $-\left(K_{X}+\Delta\right)$ is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier. If either
$\bullet\lfloor\Delta\rfloor=0$ and $-\left(K_{X}+\Delta\right)$ is nef and big, or
$\bullet \Delta \leq 1$ and $-\left(K_{X}+\Delta\right)$ is ample,
then there is a positive integer $e=e(X)$ such that $L^{\otimes e} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}$ for any numerically trivial line bundle $L$ on $X$.

Rough sketch of the proof
Take the minimal resolution $\widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$. One can easily check that $-K_{\tilde{X}}$ is big, so that there is a birational morphism $\varepsilon: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow$ $Y=\mathbb{P}_{C}(E)$ such that $-K_{Y}$ is also big. Then we can apply Corollary 3.3 to $E$ and obtain the following diagram.


When $\tilde{X}$ is not rational, consider the section $C^{\prime}$ of $\pi^{\prime}$ corresponding to $\left(F^{e}\right)^{*} E \rightarrow M^{\prime}$. It follows that $\varepsilon_{*}^{-1} f^{\prime}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ is contracted by $\varphi$. One easily sees that $\varphi^{*} L \simeq \varepsilon^{*} \pi^{*} L_{C}$ for some line bundle $L_{C}$ on $C$, but the contractibility implies that $\left.\left(\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{*} \pi^{*} L_{C}\right)\right|_{C^{\prime}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}$. Hence $L^{\otimes p^{e}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}$.
Corollary 4.2 (O-Okawa, [1], Main theorem II).
Let $(X, \Delta)$ be as in Theorem 4.1. Then $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is a free abelian group of finite rank.

## Remark 4.3.

Corollary 4.2 is shown in [3, Corollary 3.6] when $X$ has only rational singularities. In fact, the rest is covered by [2]. Our proof as an application of Theorem 3.1 is more straightforward.

## Remark 4.4.

The assumptions of Theorem 4.1 are optimal. In fact, consider a smooth cubic curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $X=\mathbb{P}_{C}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right)$. The projective cone over $C$ is obtained from $X$ by contracting the negative section $E$. Then $(X, \Delta=E)$ is a pair such that $-\left(K_{X}+\Delta\right)$ is nef and big, but Pic $(X)$ is not a free abelian group.
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