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Daily body temperature rhythm (BTR) is essential for maintaining homeostasis. BTR is regulated separately from locomotor activity rhythms, but its molecular basis is largely unknown. While mammals internally regulate BTR, ectotherms, including Drosophila, exhibit temperature preference rhythm (TPR) behavior to regulate BTR. Here, we demonstrate that the diuretic hormone 31 receptor (DH31R) mediates TPR during the active phase in Drosophila. DH31R is expressed in clock cells, and its ligand, DH31, acts on clock cells to regulate TPR during the active phase. Surprisingly, the mouse homolog of DH31R, calcitonin receptor (Calcr), is expressed in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) and mediates body temperature fluctuations during the active phase in mice. Importantly, DH31R and Calcr are not required for coordinating locomotor activity rhythms. Our results represent the first molecular evidence that BTR is regulated distinctly from locomotor activity rhythms and show that DH31R/Calcr is an ancient specific mediator of BTR during the active phase in organisms ranging from ectotherms to endotherms.
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The body temperature rhythm (BTR) is one of the most conspicuous outputs of the circadian clock (Aschoff 1983, Krauchi 2002, Weinert 2010) and is crucial for maintaining homeostasis in metabolism and sleep as well as entraining the peripheral clock in mammals (Refinetti and Menaker 1992, Gilbert et al. 2004, Krauchi 2007a, 2007b, Buhr et al. 2010; Morf and Schibler 2013). In humans, body temperature increases during wakefulness and decreases during sleep [Duffy et al. 1998]. As daily variations in BTR are robust and parallel fluctuations in locomotor activity rhythms, BTR is widely used to monitor circadian rhythms in mammals. The molecular mechanisms that regulate BTR remain largely uncharacterized, although a study in which subsets of neurons in the brains of rats were surgically ablated suggests that locomotor activity rhythms and BTR are controlled by different output pathways that originate from the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) [Saper et al. 2005]. In humans, body temperature fluctuates even when locomotor activity is restricted [Smith 1969, Gander et al. 1986], and BTR and locomotor activity rhythms can be experimentally dissociated, a phenomenon known as spontaneous internal desynchronization [Lavie 2001]. These accumulating data suggest that BTR is likely controlled separately from locomotor activity rhythms. However, no molecular evidence supporting this possibility has been reported. Therefore, there is a critical need to identify genes that regulate BTR.

While mammals and birds internally generate heat to regulate BTR, some ectotherms, such as Drosophila,
Ancient mediators for body temperature rhythms

Rely on behavioral strategies to regulate their daily body temperature changes (Stevenson 1985b; Refinetti and Menaker 1992; Ellis et al. 2007; Hamada et al. 2008; Dillon et al. 2009). We previously demonstrated that Drosophila exhibit a temperature preference rhythm (TPR), in which the preferred temperature increases during the day and decreases at the transition from day to night, i.e., night onset (Kaneko et al. 2012). As flies are ectotherms and their body temperature is therefore close to that of the ambient environment (Stevenson 1985a,b), the Drosophila TPR produces a daily rhythm in body temperature through the selection of a preferred temperature. Importantly, our previous data suggest that TPR is regulated separately from locomotor activity rhythms, as is the case for mammalian BTR (Kaneko et al. 2012). Therefore, the Drosophila TPR resembles mammalian BTR. Given that the molecular mechanisms underlying locomotor activity rhythms and sleep are well conserved from Drosophila to mammals (Sehgal and Mignot 2011; Dubowy and Sehgal 2017), we used Drosophila to identify the genes that regulate BTR.

To identify the mechanisms that underlie TPR, we focused on the secretin receptor family of G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), which play important conserved roles in not only circadian rhythms and sleep modulation (Taghert and Nitabach 2012; Bedont and Blackshaw 2015; Kunst et al. 2015) but also hypothalamus-mediated processes in mammals (McCoy et al. 2013; Wellman et al. 2015; Tan et al. 2016). One member of the secretin receptor family of GPCRs, the pigment-dispersing factor receptor (PDFR), is critical for the synchronization of the circadian clock in pacemaker cells and is required for robust circadian behavioral output in Drosophila (Taghert and Nitabach 2012). Importantly, PDFR is a functional homolog of vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) receptor 2 (Vipr2) in mammals. Although we initially expected that PDFR would be the major regulator of TPR, Pdfr mutation causes a partially abnormal TPR phenotype only at night onset (Zeitgeber time 10 [ZT10–ZT15] (Goda et al. 2016).

To better understand TPR, we therefore investigated the role of another secretin family GPCR, diuretic hormone 31 receptor (Dh31r), given that this protein shares a ligand with Pdfr, i.e., Dh31 (Johnson et al. 2005; Mertens et al. 2005; Shafer et al. 2008). Here, we determined that Dh31r mediates TPR during the daytime (active phase for flies) but does not mediate locomotor activity rhythms. Surprisingly, we also found that the mouse homolog of Dh31r, calcitonin receptor (Calcr), mediates BTR during the night (active phase for mice). Calcr is a member of the secretin family of GPCRs and is known to participate in calcium homeostasis in osteoclasts (Masi and Brandi 2007). Since Calcr is not involved in locomotor activity rhythmicity (Doi et al. 2016), these findings provide the first molecular evidence that BTR is regulated separately from locomotor activity rhythms. Although the mechanisms underlying thermoregulation in Drosophila and mammals are completely different, our data identify the calcitonin receptors Dh31r and Calcr as fundamental ancient mediators for daily BTR in both flies and mice.

**Results**

**Dh31r mediates TPR**

We demonstrated previously that flies exhibit a TPR (Kaneko et al. 2012). In w flips, the preferred temperature increased during the daytime (ZT1–ZT12) and decreased at night onset (ZT10–ZT15) (Fig. 1A). Due to the reasons mentioned above, we focused on flies mutant for Dh31r (Dh31r<sup>116546D</sup>/Df(2R)BSC273, referred to here as Dh31r<sup>1/12</sup>) (Supplemental Fig. S1A). In Dh31r flies, the mRNA levels of Dh31r in the head were 38% of those levels observed in w flies (Supplemental Fig. S1B). We found that Dh31r flies preferred a constant temperature of ∼27°C during the daytime (ZT1–ZT12; ANOVA: Dh31r<sup>1/12</sup>, P = 0.7555) and displayed TPR profiles different from those of w flies (Fig. 1B,C, red). However, Dh31r flies exhibited a normal decrease in the preferred temperature at night onset (ZT10–ZT15) (Fig. 1A–C). Heterozygous flies (Dh31r<sup>1/12</sup> or Dh31r<sup>1/3</sup>), which were used as controls, showed a normal TPR (Fig. 1B,C, gray). These data suggest that Dh31r flies exhibit an abnormal daytime TPR but a normal night-onset TPR.

To confirm that the Dh31r mutation caused the observed abnormal TPR, we used another mutant, Dh31r<sup>20658R/Df(2R)BSC273</sup> (Dh31r<sup>2/3</sup>) (Supplemental Fig. S1A), in which the mRNA levels of Dh31r in the head were 40% of that in w flies (Supplemental Fig. S1B). We found that Dh31r flies consistently preferred a higher temperature during the daytime, while control (Dh31r<sup>2/3</sup>) flies exhibited a normal daytime TPR (Fig. 1E). This result is consistent with those obtained for the Df1 flies (Fig. 1B,C). Furthermore, we created a genomic rescue fly line by inserting the Dh31r minigene in the Dh31r<sup>1/12</sup> background. We observed that the genomic rescue flies [rescue (Dh31r, Dh31r<sup>1/12</sup>) restored the daytime TPR (ANOVA: rescue, P = 0.0192)] (Fig. 1D, blue). Thus, we concluded that Dh31r is involved in regulating the daytime TPR.

To assess whether the defect in the daytime TPR is endogenously entrained, we examined the TPR of Dh31r flies maintained under constant darkness (DD) (Fig. 1F, G). While w flies exhibited time-dependent changes in preferred temperature during the subjective daytime (Fig. 1F), Dh31r flies did not show such fluctuations during the subjective daytime in DD and consistently preferred a temperature of ∼26°C in DD (ANOVA: P = 0.9062) (Fig. 1G, red). Furthermore, the genomic rescue [rescue (Dh31r), Dh31r flies displayed restored TPR during the subjective daytime in DD (ANOVA: rescue, P = 0.0075)] (Fig. 1G, blue). Notably, we showed previously that light positively affects temperature preference, a phenomenon referred to as light-dependent temperature preference (LDTP) (Head et al. 2015); here, the flies preferred a higher temperature in light than in dark conditions. Thus, we concluded that Dh31r mediates the daytime TPR and that its regulation is driven by the endogenous clock. As the disruption of the daytime TPR (ZT1–ZT12) is a robust phenotype of Dh31r mutants, we subsequently focused on the daytime TPR to examine the role of the Dh31r.
Dh31r mutants maintain normal molecular oscillations in the brain

Given that the Dh31r1/Df mutant showed an abnormal TPR, we sought to determine whether molecular clock oscillations are dampened in the Dh31r1/Df mutant. To this end, we performed immunostaining using antibodies against the proteins encoded by the circadian clock genes TIMELESS (TIM) and VRILLE (VRI) (Allada and Chung 2010). There are ∼150 central pacemaker cells in the fly brain, and these cells have functions similar to those of mammalian SCN neurons. The pacemaker cells can be divided into groups of lateral neurons (s-LNv, l-LNv, and LNd) and dorsal neurons (DN1, DN2, and DN3) based on their locations in the brain (Allada and Chung 2010). We observed a robust rhythmic oscillation of TIM and VRI expression in LNvs, DN1s, DN2s, and DN3s in both Dh31r1/Df flies and w1118 flies (Supplemental Fig. S2), indicating that the rhythmic oscillations of the molecular clock are intact in the Dh31r mutant. These data therefore suggest that DH31R regulates the clock output but not the clock molecular machinery.

DH31R is expressed in clock cells and nonclock peptidergic cells

To examine where DH31R is expressed in the brain, we generated an anti-DH31R antibody. DH31R is not expressed in the mushroom body (MB) [data not shown], but bright signals were detected when DH31R was ectopically expressed in the MB using OK107-Gal4 [a MB driver] (Supplemental Fig. S3A). This result suggests that the anti-DH31R antibody specifically recognized the ectopically expressed DH31R.

First, we sought to identify where DH31R is expressed in the brain. The results of previous studies using microarray analysis, in vivo physiological analyses, and RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis (Shafer et al. 2008; Kula-Eversole et al. 2010; Abruzzi et al. 2017) suggest that DH31R is expressed in LNvs. We therefore performed immunostaining with an anti-DH31R antibody using tim-Gal4 (a clock cell driver) flies (Fig. 2A,B). We found that DH31R was strongly expressed in DN1s and DN3s but absent in s-LNvs, LNds, and DN2s (Fig. 2A; Supplemental Fig. S3B,C). Although DH31R signals were detected in l-LNvs, these signals were relatively weak (Fig. 2A; Supplemental Fig. S3B,D). Notably, the intensity of the DH31R signals in l-LNvs was variable, and only 24 of 30 brain hemispheres (80%) showed positive DH31R signals in the l-LNv (11 brain hemispheres showed strong signals, and 13 brain hemispheres showed weak signals).

A previous study with a different anti-DH31R antibody showed that DH31R is expressed in corazonin (CRZ)-expressing cells located in the dorsal region of the brain (Johnson et al. 2005). Therefore, we also confirmed that the DH31R and CRZ signals in the cells in the dorsal brain region overlapped (Fig. 2D; Supplemental Fig. S3E). As CRZ is known to be expressed in short neuropeptide F (sNPF)-expressing cells (Supplemental Fig. S3F; Nassel et al. 2008), we also performed immunostaining with...
sNPF-Gal4 flies. We found that DH31R is expressed in sNPF-Gal4-expressing cells in the dorsal region of the brain [Fig. 2C]. Taken together, our data indicate that DH31R is expressed in a subset of clock neurons (DN1s, DN3s, and l-LNvs) and in other nonclock peptidergic neurons (sNPF- and CRZ-expressing neurons) located in the dorsal regions of the brain [Supplemental Fig. S3G].

To examine the residual DH31R expression levels in Dh31r1/Df flies, we compared the DH31R signals between w1118 and Dh31r1/Df flies (Supplemental Fig. S4A,B). Because we observed stronger DH31R expression in clock cells at the dorsal brain area, we focused on the DN1 and DN3 areas. We found that the DH31R signals in Dh31r1/Df flies in the DN1 and DN3 areas were 56% and 59% of the respective levels in w1118 flies [Supplemental Fig. S4A,B]. Thus, the data suggest that DH31R expression is lower in the DN1 and DN3 areas in Dh31r1/Df mutants compared with w1118 flies.

**DH31R does not coordinate locomotor activity rhythms**

As DH31R belongs to the same group of class II GPCRs as PDFR (Kunst et al. 2015) and is expressed in the subset of clock neurons that is important for locomotor activity rhythms, we sought to determine whether DH31R is also involved in generating locomotor activity rhythms. We found that Dh31r1/Df mutants still maintained robust free-running rhythmicity (96% rhythmic; power = 939.6 ±...
DH31R expression in clock cells is sufficient for the

daytime TPR

To determine which DH31R-positive cells are important for the daytime TPR, we performed RNAi-mediated knockdown of Dh31r. To ensure that the phenotype was not caused by off-target effects, we targeted two independent RNAi lines, Dh31r-RNAi<sup>1</sup> and Dh31r-RNAi<sup>2</sup>, which target different regions of Dh31r mRNA. When RNAi-mediated knockdown of Dh31r was performed in all neurons of Dh31r-RNAi<sup>1</sup> and Dh31r-RNAi<sup>2</sup> flies using the pan-neuronal driver elav-Gal4<sup>+/−</sup>, UAS-Dcr2<sup>+/−</sup> and UAS-Dh31r-RNAi<sup>1</sup>/+ results in normal locomotor activity rhythms (Tables 1–3). These results suggest that DH31R does not regulate the rhythmicity, period, or morning anticipation of locomotor activity rhythms.

Both DH31 and PDF are involved in regulating the
daytime TPR

Because DH31R is required for the daytime TPR, we speculated that the DH31R ligand DH31 is also important for the daytime TPR. However, we found previously that the loss-of-function mutant Dh31<sup>551</sup> exhibited a normal daytime TPR (Fig. 4A; Goda et al. 2016). Similarly, PDF-null flies [Pdf<sup>01</sup>] also exhibited a daytime TPR, with advanced peaks and a longer free-running period (Tables 4, 5). Additional results suggest that DH31R expression in clock cells rescued the Dh31r mutant phenotype.

Table 1. Free-running rhythms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype [DD1–10]</th>
<th>Total n</th>
<th>Rhythmic n (Percentage)</th>
<th>Tau</th>
<th>Power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w&lt;sup&gt;1118&lt;/sup&gt;/++;</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>114 (92%)</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dh31r&lt;sup&gt;+/−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29 (97%)</td>
<td>23.9 h</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dh31r&lt;sup&gt;/+;Dcr2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31 (97%)</td>
<td>23.8 h</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dh31r&lt;sup&gt;/+;Dcr2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>44 (96%)</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elav-Gal4&lt;sup&gt;+/−&lt;/sup&gt;, UAS-Dcr2&lt;sup&gt;+/−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31 (100%)</td>
<td>23.8 h</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-Dh31r-RNAi&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;/&lt;sup&gt;/+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32 (100%)</td>
<td>23.9 h</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elav-Gal4&lt;sup&gt;+/−&lt;/sup&gt;, UAS-Dcr2, Dh31r-RNAi&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29 (100%)</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Free-running rhythms were calculated from the locomotor activity data sets from DD1 to DD10 for each genotype.
Membrane-tethered DH31 (t-DH31) expression in clock cells restores the daytime TPR

To assess whether DH31 acts on clock cells to mediate the daytime TPR, we used t-DH31 transgenic flies in the Dh31 and Pdf double-mutant background [Fig. 4E–K, Choi et al. 2009]. The membrane-tethered peptide has both linker and anchor peptides that couple with the cell membrane, resulting in cell-autonomous binding and activation of its receptors on specific cells [Choi et al. 2009]. We found that t-DH31 expression in clock cells using tim-Gal4 restored a rhythmic TPR during the daytime, with advanced peaks at ZT7–ZT9 [Fig. 4E]. However, control flies still showed an abnormally flattened daytime TPR [Fig. 4F,G]. These data suggest that DH31 acts on clock cells to mediate the daytime TPR.

To test the possibility that a specific subset of clock neurons is important for TPR, we expressed t-DH31 in either DN1s [R18H11-Gal4] or LNvs [Pdf-Gal4] in the Dh31/+; Pdf01 double-mutant. However, these flies still showed an abnormal daytime TPR and did not display restored daytime TPR [Fig. 4H,I]. Furthermore, because we showed previously that DH31 acts on DN2s to regulate night-onset TPR [ZT10–ZT15] [Goda et al. 2016], we also expressed t-DH31 in DN2s using Clk9M-Gal4; Pdf-Gal80. However, these flies also did not display a restored daytime TPR [Fig. 4J]. Moreover, as DH31R was expressed in sNPF-Gal4 cells [Fig. 2C], we expressed t-DH31 in non-clock neuropeptide cells [sNPF-Gal4 cells]. However, these flies did not exhibit a restored daytime TPR [Fig. 4K]. Therefore, t-DH31 expression can rescue the Dh31/+; Pdf01 double-mutant phenotype only when it is expressed in all clock neurons by tim-Gal4.

t-PDF expression in the clock cells also restores the daytime TPR

As flies in which t-DH31 expression was induced in clock neurons exhibited only a phase-advanced TPR, these flies did not exhibit a full recovery of the Dh31 and Pdf double-mutant phenotype [Fig. 4], raising the possibility that PDF also plays a role in mediating the daytime TPR. To address this possibility, we asked whether t-PDF expression in clock cells could also restore the daytime TPR. When t-PDF was expressed in clock cells using tim-Gal4, the flies showed an increased daytime TPR with advanced peaks at ZT7–ZT9 [Fig. 4L]. This phenotype was very similar to the flies expressing t-DH31 in clock cells in the Dh31/+; Pdf01 double mutant [Fig. 4E]. On the other hand, t-PDF expression in LNvs, DN1s, DN2s, or sNPF-Gal4 cells did not restore the daytime TPR [Fig. 4O–R], indicating that t-PDF expression can rescue the Dh31/+; Pdf01 double-mutant phenotype only when expressed in all clock neurons. Thus, either t-DH31 or t-PDF expression in all clock neurons partially rescues the Dh31/+; Pdf01 double mutant.

Mouse CalcR is expressed in the SCN shell

The Drosophila TPR, similar to the mammalian BTR, is regulated separately from locomotor activity rhythms [Kaneko et al. 2012] and follows a time-of-day-dependent pattern similar to that of the human BTR [Duffy et al. 1998]. Therefore, the Drosophila TPR may share mechanistic features with the mammalian BTR. As many molecular mechanisms underlying locomotor activity rhythms and sleep are well conserved from Drosophila to mammals [Sehgal and Mignot 2011; Dubowy and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2.</th>
<th>Morning anticipation index (AI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genotype</td>
<td>Average AI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w1118</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dh31r1/+</td>
<td>0.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dh31r1/Df</td>
<td>0.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dh31r1/Df</td>
<td>0.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elav-Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr2/+</td>
<td>0.713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-Dh31r-RNAi1/+</td>
<td>0.553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elav-Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr2; Dh31r-RNAi1</td>
<td>0.673</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The morning AI of each genotype is shown.

**Table 3.** Statistical analysis of morning anticipation

Tukey’s multiple comparisons test [ANOVA: P = 0.0073]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>q</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>w1118 vs. Dh31r1/+</td>
<td>0.01669</td>
<td>0.8139</td>
<td>0.9393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w1118 vs. Dh31r1/Df</td>
<td>0.05506</td>
<td>2.794</td>
<td>0.2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w1118 vs. Dh31r1/Df</td>
<td>0.15655</td>
<td>3.218</td>
<td>0.1069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dh31r1/+ vs. Dh31r1/Df</td>
<td>-0.03837</td>
<td>1.504</td>
<td>0.7119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dh31r1/+ vs. Dh31r1/Df</td>
<td>0.0734</td>
<td>3.054</td>
<td>0.1379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dh31r1/Df vs. Dh31r1/Df</td>
<td>0.1107</td>
<td>4.813</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tukey’s multiple comparisons test [ANOVA: P < 0.0001]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>q</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>w1118 vs. elav-Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr2/+</td>
<td>-0.02281</td>
<td>1.229</td>
<td>0.821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w1118 vs. UAS-Dh31r-RNAi1/+</td>
<td>0.1378</td>
<td>7.421</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w1118 vs. elav-Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr2/+</td>
<td>0.01729</td>
<td>0.9075</td>
<td>0.9183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elav-Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr2/+ vs. UAS-Dh31r-RNAi1/+</td>
<td>0.1606</td>
<td>8.654</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elav-Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr2/+ vs. elav-Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr2; Dh31r-RNAi1</td>
<td>0.0401</td>
<td>1.684</td>
<td>0.6354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-Dh31r-RNAi1/+ vs. elav-Gal4/+; UAS-Dcr2; Dh31r-RNAi1</td>
<td>-0.1205</td>
<td>5.059</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of a statistical analysis comparing morning AIs are shown. One-way ANOVA and Tukey-Kramer tests were used for the comparisons. (****) P < 0.0001; (**) P < 0.01; (ns) not significant.
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To determine whether Calcr is involved in mediating BTR, we used Calcr knockout mice that had been backcrossed to the C57BL/6J background for >10 generations. Using both in situ hybridization and anti-Calcr immunostaining, we confirmed that Calcr mRNA and protein were absent in the SCN of Calcr knockout mice (Fig. 6A). Importantly, Calcr knockout mice showed a normal free-running period of locomotor activity rhythm as well as normal total locomotor activity. These observations are consistent with previously reported data [Doi et al. 2016] [Fig. 6B].
In LD conditions, the body temperature of wild-type mice fluctuates over each 24-h period (Duffy et al. 1998). During the daytime, when the mice were primarily resting, their body temperature gradually decreased during the early phase and increased during the later phase (Fig. 6C; Shiromani et al. 2004; Nagashima et al. 2005; Gerhart-Hines et al. 2013). However, during the night, the animals’ body temperature displayed bimodal peaks in the early night and at dawn, with a deep trough late in the night (i.e., midnight trough) (Fig. 6C). The midnight

### Table 4. Total locomotor activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Averaged total activity [day]</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Averaged total activity [night]</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dh31r1/+</td>
<td>758.5</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>482.0</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dh31rDf/+</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>529.8</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dh31r1/Df</td>
<td>581.8</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>225.3</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The closest known homologs of Drosophila Dh31r in mammals are Calcr and Calcr-like receptor [Calcr] (Table 6; Supplemental Fig. S5; Kunst et al. 2015). The amino acid sequence similarities between DH31R and Calcr and between DH31R and Calcr1 are 67.9% and 67.4%, respectively, in the seven-transmembrane domain and 74.6% and 81.0%, respectively, in the N-terminal region. We and others reported previously that Calcr is highly expressed in the SCN in rats and mice [Nakamoto et al. 2000; Becskei et al. 2004; Doi et al. 2016], but Calcr1 is not expressed in the SCN [data not shown]. Importantly, these expression patterns are reproducibly observed in the Allen Brain Atlas [Lein et al. 2007]. We therefore further characterized Calcr expression in the SCN by in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry [Fig. 5].

We found that Calcr mRNA and Calcr protein were similarly distributed from the rostral to the caudal margins of the SCN [Fig. 5A,B]. Topographically, the Calcr mRNA and protein signals in SCN were detected mainly in the dorsomedial area, a region corresponding to the SCN shell. To gain additional insight into the regional distribution of Calcr in the SCN shell, double immunostaining was performed with anti-Calcr and anti-arginine vasopressin (AVP) antibodies [Fig. 5D], the latter of which was used as a marker of the SCN shell [Abrahamson and Moore 2001]. We found that the distributions of AVP and Calcr partially overlapped in the SCN shell: Approximately 84% of the Calcr-positive cells expressed AVP, while ~65% of the AVP-positive cells expressed Calcr [Fig. 5D]. Double immunostaining was also performed using anti-Calcr and anti-VIP, which delineates the SCN core [Abrahamson and Moore 2001]. We found that VIP and Calcr did not colocalize [Fig. 5C], suggesting that Calcr is not expressed in the VIP-ergic SCN core. Furthermore, Vipr2-expressing Calcr-immunopositive cells were observed in the SCN shell [Supplemental Fig. S6], suggesting a potential functional link between Calcr and Vipr2. Notably, this link may be similar to the situation that occurs in Drosophila, where DH31R and PDFR are expressed in the same group of clock cells [Fig. 2; Im and Taghert 2010]. Taken together, these findings show that Calcr expression is localized mainly to a part of the SCN shell that partially overlaps the AVP-expressing region of the SCN.

### Table 5. Statistical analysis of total locomotor activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>q</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dh31r1/+ vs. Dh31rDf/+</td>
<td>238.5</td>
<td>7.772</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dh31r1/+ vs. Dh31r1/Df</td>
<td>176.9</td>
<td>6.587</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dh31rDf/+ vs. Dh31r1/Df</td>
<td>−61.61</td>
<td>2.344</td>
<td>0.2258</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The statistical analysis comparing total locomotor activity among each genotype during the day and night. One-way ANOVA and Tukey-Kramer tests were used for the comparisons. (****) P < 0.0001; [ns] not significant.}
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tough in body temperature has been reported previously (Gerhart-Hines et al. 2013; Wolff et al. 2013), and our data confirmed that the difference between the body temperatures at the early peak (22:00) and at the trough data for individual animals in LD and DD are in Supplemental Figure S7. These data indicate that the patterns of temporal fluctuations in body temperature are controlled by the endogenous clock.

Although we found that the body temperatures of both wild-type and Calcr knockout mice fluctuated over a 24-h period, they were significantly different at midnight (Fig. 6C,D, black asterisks, \(* P < 0.05; \text{Supplemental Fig. S7}\). Specifically, the body temperatures of wild-type mice showed a deep trough at midnight (Fig. 6C,D, blue asterisk, \(* * * P < 0.001\)), whereas the body temperatures of Calcr knockout mice lost the characteristic trough and remained relatively unchanged during the night in both LD (Fig. 6C) and DD (Fig. 6D) conditions (Fig. 6C,D, orange asterisk, \(* P < 0.05\) for LD and n.s. for DD). These data indicate that the lack of Calcr expression causes a shallow midnight trough in body temperature, suggesting that Calcr is required for body temperature fluctuations, particularly during the night (the active phase of mice).

Because body temperature may be increased by locomotor activity, we speculated that higher levels of locomotor activity result in the higher body temperatures observed in Calcr knockout mice. To examine this possibility, we compared fluctuations in locomotor activity between wild-type and Calcr knockout mice in both LD (Fig. 6E) and DD (Fig. 6F) conditions; however, there was no significant difference in locomotor activity between the two groups. Therefore, we concluded that Calcr specifically mediates body temperature fluctuations during the night but does not affect locomotor activity rhythms.

**Discussion**

**Calcitonin receptors mediate daily BTRs**

Daily BTR is essential for homeostatic functions, such as metabolism and sleep (Refinetti and Menaker 1992). Several lines of evidence suggest that BTR is controlled separately from locomotor activity rhythms (Smith 1969; Gander et al. 1986; Lavie 2001; Saper et al. 2005); however, no molecular evidence supporting this model has been reported to date. Given that several mouse mutants that have defects in BTR also have defects in locomotor activity rhythms (Shiromani et al. 2004; Nagashima et al. 2005; Gerhart-Hines et al. 2013), it is crucial to find genes that specifically regulate daily BTR.

Here, we provide the first evidence that Calcr and its insect homolog, DH31R, play a role in mediating BTR during...
the active phase in mice and flies, respectively. We also noted several functional similarities between DH31R and Calcr with respect to the regulation of fly TPR and mouse BTR during the active phase (Supplemental Fig. S8). First, we found that DH31R and Calcr are expressed in the brain’s central clock neurons in flies and mice, respectively (Figs. 2, 5). Second, although both Dh31r and Calcr mutants showed almost no fluctuations in body temperature during the active phase (daytime for flies and nighttime for mice) (Figs. 1, 6), both showed normal rhythmicity of locomotor activity (Figs. 2E–G, 6B,E,F).

These observations thus revealed that calcitonin receptors play an important role in daily BTR during the active phase but not in locomotor activity in flies and mice. This molecular evidence therefore substantiates the premise that the daily profiles of body temperature and locomotor activity are controlled separately.

DH31 acts on clock cells via DH31R to mediate TPR in Drosophila

An important open question is the nature of the relationship between clock cells and DH31R in Drosophila. As (1) DH31 acts on clock cells to mediate daytime TPR [Fig. 4] and (2) DH31R expression in clock cells rescued the Dh31r mutant phenotype [Fig. 3], DH31 likely acts on clock cells via DH31R to mediate TPR (Fig. 4). These data suggest that the DH31–DH31R interaction in clock cells is sufficient to mediate TPR.

On the other hand, our data also showed that t-PDF expression in the clock cells could partially rescue the Dh31 Pdf double-mutant phenotype [Fig. 4L], suggesting that PDF also acts on the clock cells to mediate TPR. As we determined previously that PDFR is not required for regulating the daytime TPR (Goda et al. 2016), the PDF–PDFR interaction is unlikely to play a primary role in regulating daytime TPR. Therefore, we speculate that PDF might be able to weakly activate DH31R in the intact brain, although it has been shown that PDF does not activate DH31R (Johnson et al. 2005; Choi et al. 2009). Alternatively, t-PDF overload might be artificially able to activate DH31R-neurons via PDFR or DH31R. Thus, it is of interest to further investigate the relationship between DH31, PDF, and their respective receptors in terms of the regulation of TPR.
Furthermore, we showed previously that DN2s are the main clock regulators of TPR (Kaneko et al. 2012). However, we found that DH31 and DH31R were not expressed in DN2s (Fig. 2; Supplemental Fig. S3; Goda et al. 2016) and that the oscillations of the molecular clock (VRI expression) in DN2s were intact in both Dh31r mutants (Supplemental Fig. S2) and Dh31 Pdf double mutants (T Goda, Y Umezaki, HW Seo, and FN Hamada, in prep.). These data suggest that the DH31–DH31R interaction mediates the TPR downstream from DN2s.

A possible contribution of nonclock cells to the fly TPR and mouse BTR

In Drosophila, DH31R is expressed in not only a subset of clock cells but also a population of nonclock peptidergic cells expressing sNPF and CRZ. These latter cells are located in the dorsal portion of the fly brain (Fig. 2; Supplemental Fig. S3). Although we showed that DH31R expression in clock cells restored the Dh31r mutant phenotype (Fig. 3E), RNAi-mediated knockdown of Dh31r in clock cells did not completely eliminate TPR (Fig. 3C,D), suggesting that DH31R expression by clock cells is sufficient but not necessary for TPR. Therefore, DH31R-, sNPF-, and CRZ-expressing nonclock cells likely play some roles in mediating TPR in Drosophila.

In mice, we found that Calcr is present not only in the SCN but also in regions outside the SCN, such as the subparaventricular zone (SPZ), the medial preoptic area (MPO), and the arcuate nucleus (ARC) (data not shown). These findings are consistent with those of previous studies (Nakamoto et al. 2000; Becskei et al. 2004). Although it remains unclear which Calcr-expressing neurons are responsible for mediating BTR, these Calcr-expressing non-SCN neurons may have a function similar to that of DH31R-positive nonclock cells in flies. The neurons producing neuropeptide Y (NPY), a mouse homolog of Drosophila sNPF located in the ARC, respond to an endocrine hormone known as ghrelin, which is secreted by the stomach when appetite increases (Barsh and Schwartz 2002). Gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) (Campbell et al. 2009), functionally similar to Drosophila CRZ (Tian et al. 2016), is a hormone produced in the hypothalamus and is involved in the production of the male hormone testosterone by binding to pituitary GnRH receptors. Neurons that express GnRH are located in the MPO, project to the median eminence, and secrete GnRH into the hypophyseal portal vessels, thereby regulating pituitary luteinizing hormone (LH)/follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) release. Furthermore, the MPO is involved in thermoregulation (Morrison and Nakamura 2011), and ablation of the dorsal SPZ causes abnormal BTR but not abnormal locomotor rhythm in rats (Saper et al. 2005). Therefore, Calcr function may not be limited to clock cells, and it is possible that Calcr neurons at extra-SCN sites may contribute to mediating BTR.

Table 6. Sequence homology between the Drosophila DH31R and the human secretin family GPCRs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GPCR</th>
<th>Genbank ID</th>
<th>N-terminal region</th>
<th>Seven-transmembrane region</th>
<th>Full-length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CALCR</td>
<td>NP_001158210.1</td>
<td>52.4% 74.6%</td>
<td>34.7% 67.9%</td>
<td>38.2% 69.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALCRL</td>
<td>NP_005786.1</td>
<td>52.4% 81.0%</td>
<td>39.2% 67.4%</td>
<td>41.1% 68.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIPR</td>
<td>NP_000155.1</td>
<td>32.1% 60.4%</td>
<td>31.6% 62.1%</td>
<td>30.9% 59.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACAP-R1</td>
<td>NP_001109.2</td>
<td>27.3% 54.4%</td>
<td>33.5% 62.7%</td>
<td>30.5% 56.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPAC1</td>
<td>NP_004615.2</td>
<td>28.6% 51.0%</td>
<td>34.0% 66.0%</td>
<td>31.4% 62.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHRHR</td>
<td>NP_000814.2</td>
<td>35.7% 60.7%</td>
<td>28.8% 61.0%</td>
<td>29.5% 60.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLP1R</td>
<td>NP_002053.3</td>
<td>24.6% 50.7%</td>
<td>30.4% 60.7%</td>
<td>27.9% 57.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRHR2</td>
<td>NP_001874.2</td>
<td>32.5% 56.2%</td>
<td>29.5% 64.9%</td>
<td>29.5% 60.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCTR</td>
<td>NP_002971.2</td>
<td>28.8% 51.5%</td>
<td>32.7% 66.2%</td>
<td>31.1% 62.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTH2R</td>
<td>NP_005039.1</td>
<td>37.1% 57.3%</td>
<td>28.8% 57.7%</td>
<td>29.3% 56.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRHR1</td>
<td>NP_004373.2</td>
<td>29.1% 58.2%</td>
<td>33.1% 65.0%</td>
<td>30.5% 61.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLP2R</td>
<td>NP_004237.1</td>
<td>28.4% 59.7%</td>
<td>30.0% 61.2%</td>
<td>29.1% 59.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPAC2</td>
<td>NP_003373.2</td>
<td>33.3% 58.3%</td>
<td>31.7% 63.0%</td>
<td>30.4% 59.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GL-R</td>
<td>NP_000151.1</td>
<td>36.1% 60.7%</td>
<td>30.4% 62.6%</td>
<td>29.7% 60.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTH1R</td>
<td>NP_001171673.1</td>
<td>31.8% 50.5%</td>
<td>32.5% 61.1%</td>
<td>31.3% 57.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amino acid sequence of the Drosophila DH31R [NP_725278.1] was compared with that of the human secretin family GPCR using the FASTA program. Sequence similarity refers to the percentage of similar amino acids, as scored by the BLOSUM50 matrix. The seven-transmembrane region was defined according to the GPCRdb [http://gpcrdb.org].

The role of body temperature fluctuations during the night in mice

The mouse BTR includes two peaks, one in the early night and one at dawn, with a trough at midnight. As BTR is highly influenced by animal rest–activity states, BTR patterns temporally correlate with those of locomotor activity rhythms. Therefore, it is reasonable to speculate that the trough in the middle of the dark phase seen in wild-type mice could be due simply to the animals’ resting and may perhaps be a passive consequence of the activity burst that occurs during the early part of the night. However, given that Calcr deletion resulted in...
in a flat body temperature throughout the night without affecting locomotor activity (Fig. 6C–F), these results strongly suggest that the midnight body temperature trough is genetically and endogenously programmed and not merely a consequence of decreased locomotor activity. Interestingly, mice deficient in the nuclear receptor Rev-erba also show a reduced body temperature fluctuation amplitude as well as a reduced midnight trough [Gerhart-Hines et al. 2013]. Notably, it appears likely that human body temperature also drops during the active phase (at approximately 3:00 pm) [Patke et al. 2017]. Thus, our data raise the possibility that the midnight trough in body temperature may play a proactive role in homeostatic regulation, much like physiology and metabolism regulation; this would be an interesting subject for a future study.

Taken together, the findings of this study show that although Drosophila and mice have completely different thermoregulatory systems, they exhibit a daily BTR mediated by a conserved molecule, DH31R/Calcr. Thus, our data support the idea that circadian rhythms are evolutionarily conserved and essential for animal survival among different species. Given that studies in Drosophila have provided strong contributions to the discovery of many conserved mammalian circadian clock genes and regulatory mechanisms, we anticipate that understanding the fly TPR will provide us with novel insights into the molecular and neural mechanisms that control BTR in mammals.

Materials and methods

Fly lines and generation of transgenic flies

All of the flies were maintained under LD cycles at 25°C. In ZT, ZT0 is lights on, and ZT12 is lights off. Dh31r’ and Dh31r” are P-element insertion mutants [PBac{WH}/Dh31-R65546 and PBac{WH}/Dh31-R65658’, respectively] and were obtained from the Exelixis Collection of Harvard Medical School. Dh31rDf is a deletion mutant [Df(2R)BSC273: RRID: BDSC_23169] and was obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (stock no. 23169). The OK107-Gal4 [RRID: BDSC_854], elav-Gal4, UAS-Dcr2 [elav-Gal4; UAS-Dcr2] [RRID:BDSC_25750], tim-Gal4 [RRID: BDSC_7126], Pdf-Gal4 [RRID: BDSC_6900], R18H11-Gal4 [RRID: BDSC_48832], and UAS-Dcr2 [RRID: BDSC_24644] flies were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center. The CLK9M-Gal4, Pdi-Gal80, tim(UAS)-Gal4, UAS-t-Dh31 [t-DH31-ML:B4], and UAS-t-Pdf [t-PDF-ML: M2a] flies were kind gifts from Dr. Paul E. Hardin, Dr. Justin Blau, and Dr. Michael Nitabach, respectively. The sNPF-Gal4 [Drosophila Genomics Resource Center, 113901] flies were

Figure 5. The mouse Calcr is expressed in the SCN shell. (A,B) Topographical distribution of Calcr mRNA (A) and Calcr protein (B) in serial coronal brain sections covering the entire mouse SCN in the rostral-caudal direction. Bar, 100 µm. (C) Double-label confocal immunofluorescence of Calcr and VIP in the mouse SCN. The merged image shows combined images of Calcr-based (red), VIP-based (green), and 4,6-diamino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)-based nuclear staining (blue). The boxed area is enlarged in the right panel. Bars: left, 100 µm; right, 20 µm. (D) Double-label confocal immunofluorescence of Calcr and arginine vasopressin [AVP]. The boxes indicate the regions enlarged in the bottom panels. The arrows indicate cells double-immunolabeled for Calcr and AVP. Bars: top, 100 µm; bottom, 20 µm.
activity in LD (E) and DD (F). The locomotor activities of Calcr<sup>+/+</sup> and Calcr<sup>−/−</sup> were averaged and plotted as the mean ± SEM. n = 5 mice per genotype.

obtained from the Kyoto Stock Center. UAS-Dh31r-RNAi<sup>1</sup> [Vienna Drosophila Resource Center (VDRC), 8777] and UAS-Dh31r-RNAi<sup>2</sup> (17043R-4) flies were obtained from the VDRC and the National Institute of Genetics, Japan, respectively. All of the Gal4 driver and UAS reporter flies used in Figures 3E and 4, E–R, were generated via chromosome recombination with w; Dh31r<sup>+/+</sup> or w; Dh31r<sup>−/−</sup>, Pdf<sup>+/+</sup> double-mutant flies. elav<sup>CG6075</sup> w; UAS-Dcr2/UAS-Dh31r-RNAi<sup>1</sup>, elav<sup>CG6075</sup> w; UAS-Dcr2<sup>+</sup>, w; UAS-Dh31r-RNAi<sup>1</sup>/+, elav<sup>CG6075</sup> w; UAS-Dcr2/UAS-Dh31r-RNAi<sup>2</sup>, w; UAS-Dh31r-RNAi<sup>2</sup>/+, UAS-Dcr2 w; tim-Gal4/UAS-Dh31r-RNAi<sup>1</sup>, UAS-Dcr2 w; tim-Gal4<sup>+</sup>, and UAS-Dcr2 w; tim-Gal4/UAS-Dh31r-RNAi<sup>2</sup> flies were used in Figure 3. A–D, w; tim(UAS)-Gal4 Dh31r<sup>+/+</sup> [Dh31r<sup>+/+</sup>-gctctagagTCATACCT<sup>−/−</sup>], w; Dh31r<sup>+/+</sup>/UAS-Dh31r Dh31r<sup>+/+</sup> and w; tim(UAS)-Gal4 Dh31r<sup>−/−</sup> [UAS-Dh31r Dh31r<sup>−/−</sup>] flies were used in Figure 3E. All of the flies used for the behavioral experiments were on the white background.

Generation of the rescue (Dh31r<sup>+</sup>), Dh31r<sup>+/−</sup> and UAS-Dh31r fly lines

In the rescue [Dh31r<sup>+</sup>], Dh31r<sup>+/−</sup> fly line, which was obtained from [acman] resources, the Ch321-57F06 BAC clone covers the entire Dh31r gene region, including the surrounding genes. Purified BAC DNA was injected into attP40 embryos to generate the transgenic flies [BestGene, Inc.]. The rescue [Dh31r<sup>+</sup>], Dh31r<sup>+/−</sup> fly line was generated via chromosome recombination with w; Dh31r<sup>+/−</sup> mutant flies. In the UAS-Dh31r fly line, the DNA-coding sequence of the DH31R-RB [1–430 amino acids] with a Kozak sequence and a stop codon was amplified from Dh31r-cDNA that had been isolated from Canton-S by PCR with the sense primer 5′-gaagatctACGACCATGAGCAGCAT-3′ and the antisense primer 5′-ggtagtcgTCACTACCCCTTGTGC-3′. The full-length Dh31r-cDNA was subcloned into the pUAS-stringerAAP-attB vector (obtained from Dr. Emi Nagoshi), and the purified DNA was injected into attP embryos to generate the transgenic flies [BestGene, Inc.].

**DH31R antibody**

The DNA-coding sequence of the DH31R N-terminal region [1–138 amino acids] with a stop codon was amplified from Dh31r-cDNA that had been isolated from Canton-S by PCR with the sense primer 5′-cggagattTCAGCGACGACGCCCATTCCGAAA-3′ and the antisense primer 5′-ccctcgcACGCGAGTTCGCTGC-3′. The N terminus of the Dh31r-cDNA was subcloned into the pGEX-6P-2 vector (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and transformed into BL21 cells to produce GST-tagged N-terminal DH31R by IPTG induction. GST-tagged N-DH31R was purified from the cell lysates using a GST column. The molecular weight of the purified protein was ~40 kDa, as confirmed by SDS gel electrophoresis. The purified protein was injected into rats to produce antibodies (Covance).

**Immunohistochemistry of fly brains**

Immunostaining was performed as described previously (Hamada et al. 2008; Tang et al. 2013) with the following modifications: 10% normal goat serum in PBST (PBS plus 0.5% Triton X-100) was used for blocking and for incubating the brain tissues with the anti-DH31R antibody, and brain fixation was performed using 4% paraformaldehyde and 7% picric acid for 30 min at room temperature prior to immunostaining with anti-DH31R. The following antibodies at the indicated dilutions were used for this experiment: rat anti-DH31R [1:200], rat anti-TIM [1:200], donkey anti-rat Cy5 [1:200], Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc., rabbit anti-CRZ [1:200], donkey anti-rabbit Cy3 [1:200], Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc., guinea pig anti-VRI...
lamps (Toshiba, FL15D) were connected to an electric timer, MIR-154). Lights in the incubator (15-W cool white fluorescent trikinetics.com) was placed in an incubator (Sanyo Scientific, et al. 2011). Free-running periods and power values were calculated using Microsoft Excel.

Temperature preference behavioral assay and data analysis

Temperature preference behavior was assessed for 30 min in the light during the daytime and in the dark during the nighttime in an environmental room maintained at 25°C/65%–70% RH. The flies used for the behavioral assay were never reared. The behavioral apparatus and the conditions used are described in detail in Goda et al. (2014). It is worth noting that the preferred temperature was occasionally variable. Our recent data suggest that light exposure (Head et al. 2015) and feeding state (Umezaki et al. 2018) strongly affect preferred temperatures but not TPR.

The method used to calculate the mean preferred temperature has been described previously (Kaneko et al. 2012; Goda et al. 2014). After the 30-min behavioral assay, the number of flies that were completely on the apparatus was counted. The flies that were partially or completely on the walls of the apparatus cover were not counted or included in the data analysis. The percentage of flies within each 1°C temperature interval on the apparatus was calculated by dividing the number of flies within each 1°C interval by the total number of flies on the apparatus. The location of each 1°C interval was determined by measuring the temperature at six different points on both the top and the bottom of the apparatus. The data points were plotted as the percentages of flies within each 1°C temperature interval. The weighted mean preferred temperature was calculated by summing the products of the percentage of flies within each 1°C temperature interval and the corresponding temperature (i.e., the fractional number of flies × 18.5°C + fractional number of flies × 19.5°C + ..........fractional number of flies × 32.5°C). We tested the temperature preference behavior of the flies at least five times in each time segment (ZT1–ZT3, ZT4–ZT6, ZT7–ZT9, ZT10–ZT12, ZT13–ZT15, ZT16–ZT18, ZT19–ZT21, and ZT22–ZT24). If the SEM of the averaged preferred temperature was not <0.3 after five trials, we performed additional trials until the SEM was <0.3. At least 40 experiments were necessary to obtain a full curve for a 24-h period. In each time segment, the weighted mean preferred temperatures from each trial were averaged, and the SEM was calculated.

Behavioral analysis of locomotor activity

Locomotor activity assays and data analysis were performed as described previously (Kaneko et al. 2012; Umezaki et al. 2012, Goda et al. 2016). The flies were reared under LD cycles at 25°C. Male flies (1–5 d old) were used in the locomotor activity experiments. A Drosophila activity monitoring [DAM] system [http://www.trikinetix.com] was placed in an incubator [Sanyo Scientific, MR-154]. Lights in the incubator [15-W cool white fluorescent lamps [Toshiba, FL15D]] were connected to an electric timer, and the light intensity was ~800 lux. Locomotor activity was monitored in LD cycles for 5 d and in DD conditions for >11 d at 25°C. The data were analyzed with Actogram J software (Schmid et al. 2011). Free-running periods and power values were calculated using a χ² periodogram (Sokolove and Bushell 1978; Umezaki et al. 2012), and flies having a power value <100 were defined as arrhythmic (Goda et al. 2016). Only the rhythmic flies were used, and these data were averaged to generate a double-plotted actogram. Morning anticipation index [AI] values were calculated as described previously (Harriesingh et al. 2007, Sheeba et al. 2010, Seluzicki et al. 2014) Briefly, AI = [total activity 3 h before lights on]/[total activity 6 h before lights on]. All of the flies’ AIs over days 2–5 of the LD cycles were averaged in each genotype. AIs for different genotypes were compared using Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. Total locomotor activity was calculated by counting all of the activity during daytime (ZT0–ZT11.5) or night (ZT12–ZT23.5) in LD cycles. These data from days 2–5 were averaged. Total locomotor activity in each genotype was compared by using one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple comparisons test.

Real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from the heads of 30 flies using TRIzol (Qiagen). After DNase I treatment, cDNA was generated using SuperScript III [Invitrogen]. Real-time PCR was performed using SYBR Advantage qPCR mix on a StepOnePlus real-time PCR system [Applied Biosystems].

Control primers for e1b were F [GTACATCGAGGACGACAA GGT] and R [CTTGTGGAAGCGCAGCAAT], and primers for Dhs1r were 5F [TACATCCCTACGGCCCTTCTGCTCT] and RT-L-2R [GGCCACGACACACCTGAATGA].

Mouse strain

Mutant Calcr mice (Calcr<sup>−/−</sup>) with a mixed genetic background involving 129P2/OlaHsd × C57BL/6J were obtained from the Mutant Mouse Regional Resource Center at the University of North Carolina [https://www.mmrrc.org] and backcrossed to C57BL/6J for 10 generations. The Calcr<sup>+/−</sup> mice were then intercrossed to produce homozygous null and wild-type progenies by in vitro fertilization. All of the animal experiments were performed according to protocols approved by the Animal Care and Experimentation Committee of Kyoto University.

Measurements of mouse body temperature and locomotor activity

Single caged male littermate mice (aged 6 wk) were housed individually in light-tight ventilated closets in a temperature- and humidity-controlled facility. The animals were entrained on a LD cycle for at least 2 wk before the experiments. The core body temperatures of Calcr<sup>+/−</sup> and Calcr<sup>−/−</sup> mice (8 wk of age) were measured using a Thermochron iButton DS1921H [Maxim Integrated], which was inserted into the peritoneal cavity as described previously [Yamaguchi et al. 2013]. Following a week of convalescence, the mice were maintained in either LD or DD. The body temperatures of the animals were measured every 20 min, and three measurements obtained in each nonoverlapping 60-min interval were then averaged to obtain a single value for each clock hour. To extract circadian trends, we averaged the data obtained at each time of day on three consecutive days and smoothed the data once using a three-point moving average. Locomotor activity was simultaneously detected with passive [pyroelectric] infrared sensors [Omron, FA-05 FS], and the data were analyzed with ClockLab (Actimetrics) developed on MatLab [Mathworks], as described elsewhere [Yamaguchi et al. 2013].

Mouse histology

In situ hybridization was performed with free-floating brain sections (30 mm thick) using either a [³²P]-labeled or a digoxigenin-
labeled cRNA probe specific for mouse Calcr (nucleotides 670–1168), as described previously (Doi et al. 2016). Free-floating immunohistochemistry was also performed using 5 µg/mL anti-Calcr antibody (Abcam, ab11042), as described elsewhere (Doi et al. 2016), and immunoreactivity was visualized with a peroxidase-based Vectorstain Elite ABC kit (Vector Laboratories) with diaminobenzidine serving as the chromogen. For dual-label immunofluorescence, the free-floating sections were stained with 5 µg/mL anti-Calcr (rabbit polyclonal; Abcam, ab11042) along with either 4 µg/mL anti-Vipr2 (chicken polyclonal) [Doi et al. 2016], 1:1000 anti-VIP (guinea pig polyclonal; Abnova, PAB16848), or 0.2 µg/mL anti-AVP-associated neurophysin II (goat polyclonal; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-27093) antibodies. Immunoreactivity was visualized using Alexa 594-conjugated anti-rabbit (1:1000, Life Technologies) and Alexa 488-conjugated anti-chicken, anti-guinea pig, or anti-goat IgG (1:1000, Life Technologies) antibodies. The nuclei were visualized by staining with 4,6-diamino-2-phenylindole (DAPI).
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