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Unconventional superconductivity often competes or coexists with other electronic orders. In iron-based superconductors, a central issue has been the relationship between superconductivity and electronic nematicity, spontaneous breaking of the lattice rotational symmetry. Using spectroscopic-imaging scanning tunneling microscopy, we simultaneously investigated the electronic structure and the superconducting gap in FeSe$_{1-x}$S$_x$ where the nematicity diminishes above the nematic end point (NEP) at $x = 0.17$. The nematic band structure appears as anisotropic quasiparticle-interference patterns that gradually become isotropic with increasing $x$ without anomalies at the NEP. By contrast, the superconducting gap, which is intact in the nematic phase, discontinuously shrinks above the NEP. This implies that the presence or absence of nematicity results in two distinct pairing states, whereas the pairing interaction is insensitive to the strength of nematicity.

INTRODUCTION

Iron-based superconductors are characterized by multiple Fermi surface pockets, each of which is composed of multiple Fe 3d orbitals (1–3). Such a complicated electronic structure leads to spin (2, 4, 5) and orbital (6–9) fluctuations that are major candidates for the pairing interaction causing superconductivity. Electron interactions responsible for the pairing often give rise to other ordered phases that compete or coexist with superconductivity. In iron-based superconductors, the nematic phase, which is characterized by the broken lattice rotational symmetry in the electronic states, is regarded as a sister phase of superconductivity (10). Therefore, the interplay between superconductivity and nematicity is the key to clarifying the nature of the dominant fluctuation responsible for superconductivity.

Iron chalcogenide solid solution FeSe$_{1-x}$S$_x$ is an important model system for investigating the relationship between superconductivity and nematicity. The parent material FeSe exhibits tetragonal-orthorhombic structural phase transition at $T_c ~ 90$ K, without a subsequent magnetic order at a lower temperature (11, 12). Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) experiments have revealed that the structural transition accompanies the lifting of the degeneracy between $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ orbitals, of which energy splitting reaches values as large as ~50 meV at low temperatures (13–15). Although details of the interpretation of the ARPES data are still under debate (16–18), it is widely accepted that such a large energy splitting is difficult to be explained by the small orthorhombic lattice distortion (~0.2 %) (19), meaning that the orthorhombic phase is not associated with the lattice instability but nothing other than the electronically driven nematic phase. Superconductivity takes place in this nematic phase at $T_c ~ 9$ K (11). Nematicity can be systematically suppressed by isovalent sulfur substitution, and $T_c$ diminishes at the nematic end point (NEP) at $x = 0.17$ (12, 20), whereas superconductivity is observed all the way up to $x = 1.0$ (21, 22). High-quality single crystals are available over a wide $x$ range including the NEP (20), offering an ideal platform to experimentally examine the electronic-state evolutions as a function of $x$, namely, the strength of nematicity.

There are several experiments that have examined the electronic states of FeSe$_{1-x}$S$_x$. ARPES (23, 24) and quantum-oscillations (25) experiments have revealed that the size of the Fermi surface increases with increasing $x$ and in-plane band anisotropy disappears in the tetragonal phase (24). Superconducting (SC) gap has been detected by ARPES (26) and scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) (27), but $x$ ranges studied so far are limited. Comparative studies of the band structure and the SC gap across the NEP have remained unexplored.

To settle this issue, we have performed spectroscopic-imaging STM (SI-STM) on FeSe$_{1-x}$S$_x$ single crystals over a wide $x$ range $0 \leq x \leq 0.25$. Topographic and spectroscopic images gained by SI-STM allow us to concurrently determine the chemical composition, the band structure, and the SC gap in the same field of view. By repeating the same measurements and analyses on the samples with different $x$, we have examined the systematic evolutions of the electronic states across the NEP and have provided a spectroscopic evidence of phase transition in the SC state at the NEP.

RESULTS

Determining the sulfur contents by topographic imaging

First, we characterize the samples by topographic imaging. Figure 1 (A to E) shows the representative atomic-resolution topographic images of the cleaved surfaces of FeSe$_{1-x}$S$_x$ single crystals. In the sulfur-substituted samples, depressions are observed in a regular chalcogen lattice. These correspond to the sulfur atoms that have a smaller atomic radius than selenium. By counting the numbers of sulfur and selenium atoms in a wide field of view (100 nm × 100 nm), the chemical composition can be determined with high accuracy. Here, we have measured nine different samples ranging $0 \leq x \leq 0.25$. Next, we checked the spatial distribution of the sulfur atoms by plotting the locations of the sulfur atoms. As shown in Fig. 1 (F to J), the sulfur atoms are uniformly distributed in all fields of view without apparent tendency to the segregation. Details of the sample characterization by topographic imaging are given in the Supplementary Materials.

Evolution of the band structure upon sulfur doping

Having the chemical compositions of all the samples, we examine the systematic $x$ evolution of the band structure by analyzing the electronic standing waves generated by the quasiparticle interference (QPI) effect.
We observe the QPI patterns that appear in the energy ($E$)–dependent tunneling-conductance map $g(r, E = eV) = dl(r, V)/dV$, where $r$ is the lateral position at the surface, $e$ is the elementary charge, $V$ is the sample bias voltage, and $l$ is the tunneling current. Here, we analyze the normalized conductance map $L(r, E = eV) = (dl(r, V)/dV)/(l(r, V)/V)$ to avoid the error associated with the feedback loop of the constant-current scanning (28, 29). Fourier transformation of $L(r, E)$ yields QPI patterns $L_0(q, E)$ in scattering-vector $q$ space, allowing us to determine the set of characteristic scattering wave vectors $q(E)$ that connect two states on the same constant-energy surface in momentum $k$ space. The band dispersions can be inferred from $q(E)$.

To study the normal-state characters down to low energies, we suppressed the SC gap by applying a magnetic field of 12 T perpendicular to the surface. As we will explain later, the SC gap shrinks above the NEP. Therefore, we analyzed the zero-field data in the tetragonal phase. Figure 2 shows $x$-dependent $L_0(q, E)$ at representative energies. In FeSe ($x = 0$), QPI patterns exhibit strong in-plane anisotropy, manifesting itself as the nematic band structure. In the filled state ($E < 0$), there are spot- and arc-like features (red and orange arrows, respectively) along the $\mathbf{q}_b$ axis, whereas in the empty state ($E > 0$), a streak-like feature (white arrow) appears perpendicular to the $\mathbf{q}_b$ axis. Here, $\mathbf{q}_b \parallel \mathbf{a}_F$ and $\mathbf{q}_e \parallel \mathbf{b}_F$, where $\mathbf{a}_F$ and $\mathbf{b}_F$ are in-plane primitive vectors of the Fe lattice. Here, we adopt a coordinate system with $x \parallel \mathbf{a}_F$, $y \parallel \mathbf{b}_F$, and $z \parallel \mathbf{c}_F \equiv [a_F c]$. As we reported previously (30) and will be discussed in detail below, these QPI signals exhibit electron- and hole-like dispersions along $\mathbf{q}_b$ and $\mathbf{q}_e$, respectively, corresponding to the intraband backscatterings in the electron bands at the Brillouin zone corner and in the hole band at the zone center, respectively. With increasing $x$, spot-like features gradually spread out in $q$ space and evolve into almost isotropic QPI patterns above the NEP, as expected from the tetragonal symmetry. Residual anisotropy observed above the NEP may be associated with an extrinsic small strain in the sample that would be introduced by the thermal stress, etc. This could matter because of the large nematic susceptibility (20), or it would be intrinsic in origin, as suggested in other tetragonal iron-based superconductors (31–33). A QPI data set, including results of other $x$ and $E$ values, is available in the Supplementary Materials.

Energy-dependent line profiles from Fig. 2 along the $\mathbf{q}_b$ and $\mathbf{q}_e$ axes are shown in Fig. 3 (A to E and F to J, respectively). In the $x = 0$ sample, there is one electron-like branch ($\mathbf{q}_b$) along $\mathbf{q}_e$ (Fig. 3A), which we ascribe to the intra–electron-band scattering that connects the flat parts of the constant-energy surface (Fig. 3K). This is because the flatter the connected parts are, the more scattering channels become available at the similar $q$. Features appearing along $\mathbf{q}_e$ are rather complicated. There are four $q$ vectors labeled as $\mathbf{q}_e$ ($i = 1$ to 4) in Fig. 3F. Two of them, $\mathbf{q}_{e1}$ and $\mathbf{q}_{e2}$, cross the Fermi level ($E = 0$ meV). Because all of these vectors exhibit hole-like dispersions, they should correspond to the intra–hole-band scatterings. To clarify the relation between these QPI branches and the band structure, we compare our QPI data with the $q$ vectors expected from the intraband backscatterings ($k \leftrightarrow -k$ in the hole bands. According to the ARPES results (15, 34), there are two concentric hole bands and the outer one crosses the Fermi level. In contrast to the strongly two-dimensional electron band (17), the hole bands have three-dimensionality, and the constant-energy surface is warping along the $k_z$ direction (Fig. 3K). Because of this warping, backscattering $q$ vectors at $k_z = 0$ and $k_z = \pi/c$ are different ($c$ denotes the lattice constant along the $z$ axis). We calculate various backscattering $q$ vectors from the ARPES results (15) and plot them in the left half of Fig. 3F. Here, orange and red lines are for the outer hole band, and green and light blue lines are for the inner hole band. Solid and dashed lines represent the backscattering $q$ vectors at $k_z = 0$ and $k_z = \pi/c$, respectively, that measure the minor axes of the elliptical cross sections of the cylindrical constant-energy surface (Fig. 3K). Here, we note that $\mathbf{q}_e$, $\mathbf{q}_{e1}$, and $\mathbf{q}_{e2}$ coincide with the backscatterings in the outer hole band at $k_z = \pi/c$ and $k_z = 0$, respectively, meaning that they measure the minor axes of the elliptical cross sections of the cylindrical constant-energy surface (Fig. 3K). Here, we note that $\mathbf{q}_e$, $\mathbf{q}_{e1}$, and $\mathbf{q}_{e2}$ are different from the other states of the hole bands at $k_z = \pi/c$ and $k_z = 0$, respectively. The above comparison between QPI and ARPES data indicates that the different $k_z$ states of the hole bands are separately captured in the QPI patterns. This provides a new perspective because most of the QPI studies to date either have been performed on two-dimensional materials or have implicitly assumed the two-dimensional electronic states. The microscopic origin of this three-dimensional QPI is unclear at present. One possible scenario is that extrema in the constant-energy...
surface that bring about large density of states may play a role, as in the case of the quantum oscillations. In particular, if there is a flat part in the three-dimensional constant-energy surface, then strongly anisotropic QPI patterns for the electron-band QPI signal. Red and orange arrows denote the QPI signals associated with the outer hole band (see main text for details). The QPI patterns gradually become isotropic with increasing x. Fermi wave vectors, which correspond to the minor axes of the cross sections of warped Fermi cylinder at k_z = 0 and k_z = π/c, increase with increasing x. Because the increasing rates of the cross-sectional areas of the Fermi cylinder (25) are almost the same as the increasing rates of the Fermi wave vectors for the minor axes, it is suggested that the major axes of the cross sections of the Fermi cylinder are hardly affected by sulfur doping, meaning that the in-plane anisotropy decreases with increasing x. Fermi velocities are almost constant or slightly decrease with x, as depicted in Fig. 3M. The important observation is that both Fermi wave vector and Fermi velocity evolve smoothly without detectable anomalies at the NEP.

Evolution of the SC gap upon sulfur doping

Next, we examine the x dependence of the SC gap. Figure 4A shows a series of SC gap spectra averaged over the same fields of view used for the above QPI experiments. The spectrum in the nematic phase (x < 0.17) is apparently unaffected by sulfur doping. The V-shaped spectra indicate a nodal or highly anisotropic SC gap (38). We take the second derivative of the tunneling spectra to examine the fine structures of the gap. As shown in Fig. 4B, there are dips, which correspond to the peaks in the original spectra, at about ±0.6, ±2.5, and ±4.0 mV. These fine details of the SC gap are intact as long as the system is in the nematic phase. The situation changes markedly at the NEP, above which the SC gap suddenly shrinks. In Fig. 4C, we plot the apparent gap amplitude.

![Fig. 2. In-plane QPI patterns of FeSe_{1-x}S_x at representative energies. (A to O) Each panel depicts the QPI pattern L_q(E) in q space obtained by Fourier-transforming the normalized real-space conductance map L(r, E). Tunneling spectra for each L(r, E) map were taken on a grid of 256 × 256 pixels in a 100 nm × 100 nm (160 nm × 160 nm for x = 0) field of view. Measurement conditions were V_i = +50 mV, I_s = 100 pA, and the modulation amplitude for the lock-in detection V_{mod} = 0.85 mV_{rms}. For the samples in the nematic phase (x < 0.17), experiments were carried out under 12-T magnetic field perpendicular to the surfaces to suppress superconductivity. In other samples, no magnetic field was applied. Strongly anisotropic QPI patterns for the x = 0 sample (A, F, and K) reflect the nematic character of the band structure. White arrow indicates the inter–electron-band QPI signal. Red and orange arrows denote the QPI signals associated with the outer hole band (see main text for details). The QPI patterns gradually become isotropic with increasing x. a_r = |a_r|, b_r = |b_r|, E_a = |q_a|, and E_b = |q_b|.

\[ \Delta^+_p + \Delta^-_p \] and the zero-energy spectral weight normalized by the weights at the gap-edge energies \[ 2g(0)/g(\Delta^+_p) + g(-\Delta^-_p) \]. Here, \( \Delta^+_p \) denotes the energy of the main peak in the tunneling spectrum \( g(E) \) in the positive (negative) bias side (Fig. 4A). It is clear that there are abrupt changes in both quantities at the NEP, manifesting the phase transition in the SC state. Recent specific-heat measurement shows that tetragonal \( x = 0.20 \) sample has \( T_c \approx 4.5 \) K, being lower than \( T_c \approx 9 \) to 11 K in the nematic samples (39), being consistent with the SC-gap suppression observed in this study.

To obtain the \( k \)-space information of this transition, we study QPI patterns of Bogoliubov quasiparticles observed in the SC-gap energy scale. Energy-dependent line profiles of the Fourier-transformed Bogoliubov QPI (BQPI) patterns along \( q_x \) and \( q_y \) are shown in Fig. 4 (D to H and I to M, respectively). In both directions, patterns are almost particle-hole symmetric, being consistent with the nature of the Bogoliubov quasiparticles. In the \( x = 0 \) sample, there are two pairs of V-shaped dispersing branches along \( q_x \) (Fig. 4I). The lower- and higher-energy branches terminate at about \( \pm 2.5 \) and \( \pm 4.0 \) mV and are connected to the \( q_{h1} \) and \( q_{h2} \) branches, respectively. This suggests that the V-shaped dispersions are originated from the SC gap on the outer hole band, and the dips at \( \pm 2.5 \) and \( \pm 4.0 \) mV in Fig. 4B represent the gap amplitudes near \( k_z = \pi/c \) and \( k_z = 0 \), respectively. The dip at \( \pm 0.6 \) mV.

Fig. 3. Evolutions of QPI dispersion and band structure upon sulfur doping. (A to E) Energy-dependent line profiles of Fig. 2 along the \( q_x \) direction. Electron-like QPI branch \( q_e \) is identified. This branch becomes obscured with increasing \( x \). (F to J) Energy-dependent line profiles of Fig. 2 along the \( q_y \) direction. There are four QPI branches as labeled \( q_{hi} \) (\( i = 1 \) – 4). To analyze the dispersions of QPI branches that cross the Fermi level (\( q_{h1} \) and \( q_{h2} \)), we have determined the peak positions of the constant-energy line profile (scattering-vector distribution curve) by fitting the data with multiple Gaussian peaks. The obtained peak positions for \( q_{h1} \) and \( q_{h2} \) are plotted by orange and red open circles, respectively, on the right half of each panel. The obtained \( q_{h1}(E) \) and \( q_{h2}(E) \) data are fitted by quadratic functions of \( E \) shown by orange and red solid lines on the right half of each panel. On the left half of (F), intra–hole-band backscattering vectors expected from the ARPES data (15) are plotted. Orange and red lines are for the outer hole band, and green and light blue lines are for the inner hole band. Solid and dashed lines represent the backscattering \( q \) vectors at \( k_z = 0 \) and \( k_z = \pi/c \), respectively. (K) Schematic illustration of the constant energy surface in \( k \) space (not in scale). Colors on the surface denote different orbital characters: blue, \( d_{xz} \); green, \( d_{yz} \); red, \( d_{xy} \). The inner hole band is not shown. (L) Evolutions of the Fermi wave vectors as functions of sulfur content \( x \). \( q_{h1}(E = 0 \text{ meV})/2 \) and \( q_{h2}(E = 0 \text{ meV})/2 \) correspond to the minor axes of the elliptical cross sections of the outer hole Fermi cylinder at \( k_z = \pi/c \) and \( k_z = 0 \), respectively. Lines serve as visual guides. (M) Evolution of the Fermi velocity as a function of sulfur content \( x \). Lines serve as visual guides. Anomalies are observed neither in the Fermi wave vector nor in the Fermi velocity at the NEP at \( x = 0.17 \).
should also represent a feature related to the SC gap, but its location in k space is unclear at present. We could not observe BQPI signals associated with the electron band (35).

In general, dispersing BQPI signals mean that the SC gap is anisotropic in k space. In such a case, a constant-energy surface in k space surrounds the k point where the gap takes a minimum, and the dominant scattering vectors may connect the tips of the constant-energy surface that make joint density of states high. This model was developed in the field of cuprate superconductivity (40–42) and has also been applied to other unconventional superconductors including FeSe (35). In this way, dispersing BQPI signals carry information of the gap structure in k space. In the case of FeSe, if the observed V-shaped dispersions along q_b are associated with the intra–outer-hole-band backscattering, then the gap minimum should be located along the major axis of the elliptical cross section of the Fermi surface. We could not reconstruct the whole structure of the SC gap because the corresponding dispersions along q_a are not clear in our data (Fig. 4D).

In the nematic phase, there are two pairs of V-shaped dispersing BQPI branches that are smoothly connected to q_{in}(E) and q_{out}(E). These BQPI branches disappear above the NEP at x = 0.17.
tetragonal phase is unclear at present. Recent thermal conductivity measurement suggests that strong anisotropy in the SC gap remains in the tetragonal phase (39). It is an interesting future subject to perform higher energy-resolution BQPI experiments at lower temperatures to clarify the details of the k-space structure of the SC gap in the tetragonal phase.

**DISCUSSION**

Our concurrent study of the band structure and the SC gap in FeSe$_{1-x}$S$_x$ has revealed novel aspects of the interplay between nematicity and superconductivity. Upon sulfur substitution, the band anisotropy diminishes. This process evolves smoothly over the x range studied; no anomaly has been detected at the NEP. Within the nematic phase, the Fermi wave vector changes by several tens of a percent. In sharp contrast, even fine details of the SC gap are intact in the nematic phase.

The most striking observation is that there is a phase transition in the SC state at the NEP that accompanies a significant suppression of the SC gap on the outer hole band in the tetragonal phase. This should not be a result of enhanced quasiparticle damping, which could be caused by the strong nematic fluctuations, because residual resistivity does not exhibit noticeable increase above the NEP (20). Rather, it is reasonable to assume that the presence or absence of nematicity results in two distinct pairing states. Because the nematic phase is characterized by the lifting of degeneracy between $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ orbitals, it is suggested that the pairing interaction works effectively only on one of these two orbitals but not on both of them simultaneously. This is consistent with the orbital-selective pairing scenario suggested by the analysis of the SC gap structure in k space (35). The insensitivity of the SC gap to the band structure in the nematic phase indicates that as soon as the nematicity sets in, the pairing interaction grows rapidly and saturates immediately.

It is important to compare the effect of isovalent sulfur substitution with that of hydrostatic pressure. Because sulfur has a smaller atomic radius than selenium, sulfur substitution may cause chemical pressure that mimics the application of hydrostatic pressure. The suppression of the nematic transition temperature is commonly initiated by sulfur substitution (12, 20) and external hydrostatic pressure (43, 44). However, in sharp contrast to the suppressed SC gap in FeSe$_{1-x}$S$_x$ above the NEP, $T_c$ is largely enhanced under hydrostatic pressure and reaches about 40 K at ~6 GPa (12, 43, 44). The key phenomenological difference between sulfur substitution and hydrostatic pressure is that, whereas the former keeps the system nonmagnetic all the way down, the latter induces a magnetic phase (44, 45). The highest $T_c$ ~ 40 K under hydrostatic pressure is achieved at the verge of the magnetic phase (44, 45), suggesting an important role of spin degrees of freedom for the pairing interaction. In the case of FeSe$_{1-x}$S$_x$, however, it is unlikely that the observed phase transition in the SC state at the NEP is related to the spin degrees of freedom because both nematic and tetragonal phases are nonmagnetic. Rather, it should predominantly be associated with the imbalance between $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ orbitals, as mentioned above. Namely, pairing interaction in FeSe should be related to both spin and orbital degrees of freedom. Interplay between spin and orbital may also play a role (19).

Another interesting observation in this work is that our QPI data separately capture the electronic states at different $k_z$'s. Although the condition to observe this $k_z$-dependent QPI remains elusive, this may expand the application possibilities of SI-STM technique. In the case of FeSe$_{1-x}$S$_x$, we find that the SC gap on the outer hole hand near $k_z = 0$ is larger than that near $k_z = \pi/a_c$, whereas the in-plane gap-anisotropy pattern is similar at both $k_z$'s. We anticipate that these results can act as a touchstone for the theories of iron-based superconductivity.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

FeSe$_{1-x}$S$_x$ single crystals were prepared by chemical vapor transport technique using KCl and AlCl$_3$ as the transport agents (20, 46). SI-STM experiments were carried out using a commercial low-temperature ultrahigh vacuum STM instrument (USM-1300, Unisoku), of which STM unit was replaced with our home-made one (47). Clean and flat (001) surfaces necessary for SI-STM were prepared by in situ cleaving at liquid nitrogen temperature under ultrahigh vacuum (~10$^{-10}$ torr). After the cleaving, samples were immediately transferred to the STM unit and kept below ~10 K. Electrochemically etched tungsten wires were used as scanning tips. All tips were cleaned by field evaporation with a field-ion microscope and were checked for the spectra on clean Au(111) or Cu(111) surfaces. Tunneling spectra were taken with a built-in numerical lock-in detector of a commercial STM controller (Nanonis) at a modulation frequency of 617.3 Hz. All the SI-STM experiments were performed at 1.5 K, except for the sample with x = 0.23, which was measured at 0.45 K. Spectra of the x = 0.23 sample shown in Fig. 4 (A and B) in the main text are numerically convoluted with the Fermi-Dirac function at 1.5 K to compare them with the results of other samples. Fourier-transformed spectroscopic image at each energy was calculated after subtracting the average value (spatially uniform component) and was symmetrized by assuming the point group symmetry of 2mm to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio. Energy-dependent line profiles shown in Figs. 2 and 4 were taken along the principle axes after the symmetrization and were averaged over a finite width of 0.025(2π/ω$_{FS}$).

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/4/5/eaar6419/DC1

**Supplementary Text**

fig. S1. Evolution of the histogram of the apparent heights at the lattice points in FeSe$_{1-x}$S$_x$ single crystals.

fig. S2. Analysis of orthorhombic lattice distortion using the twin boundary.

fig. S3. Extended and localized electronic modulations in the spectroscopic maps of FeSe.

fig. S4. A complete set of the in-plane QPI patterns of FeSe$_{1-x}$S$_x$.
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