1 **Associational effects and the maintenance of polymorphism in plant**

2 **defense against herbivores: review and evidence**

3

4 Yasuhiro Sato[1,2]

5

6 *[1]Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, Hirano 2-509-3, Otsu, Shiga*

7 *520-2113, Japan*

8 *[2]Department of Plant Life Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Ryukoku University,*

9 *Yokotani 1-5, Seta Oe-cho, Otsu, Shiga 520-2194, Japan*

10 *Phone: +81-77-599-5660; Fax: +81-77-599-5656*

11 *E-mail:* sato.yasuhiro.36c@kyoto-u.jp

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Abstract

Many plant species have evolved defense traits against herbivores. *Associational effects* (AE) refer to a kind of apparent interaction where the herbivory risk to a focal plant species depends on the composition of other plant species in a neighborhood. Despite ample evidence for AE between different plant species, this point of view has rarely been applied to polymorphism in defense traits within a plant species. The purpose of this review is to highlight an overlooked role of conspecific AE in maintaining polymorphism in antiherbivore defense. First, I present a general review of AE between plant species and its role in the coexistence of plant species. This viewpoint of AE can be applied to genetic polymorphism within a plant species, as it causes frequency- and density-dependent herbivory between multiple plant types. Second, I introduce a case study of conspecific AE in the trichome-producing (hairy) and glabrous plants of *Arabidopsis halleri* subsp. *gemmifera*. Laboratory and semi-field experiment illustrated that AE against the brassica leaf beetle *Phaedon brassicae* mediate a minority advantage in defense and fitness between hairy and glabrous plants. Combined with a statistical modeling approach, field observation revealed that conspecific AE can maintain the trichome dimorphism via negative frequency-dependent selection in a plant population. Finally, I discuss spatial and temporal scales at which AE contribute to shaping genetic variation in antiherbivore defense in a plant metapopulation. Based on the review and evidence, I suggest that AE play a key role in the maintenance of genetic variation within a plant species.

Keywords: Frequency-dependent selection, Genetic variation, Herbivory, Neighbor effects, Plant defense

1. Background

Many plant species have evolved defensive traits, such as spines and toxins, against herbivores (Schoonhoven *et al*. 2005). Natural plant populations exhibit genetic variation in regard to chemical (Hughes 1991; van Dam *et al*. 1999; Chan *et al*. 2010) and morphological defenses (Kivimäki *et al*. 2007; Wise *et al*. 2009). It is well known that these defense traits are costly for plant growth and/or reproduction (e.g., Mauricio 1998; Elle *et al*. 1999; Züst *et al*. 2011). The theory of plant defense assumes that the defense–growth tradeoff favors an optimal balance between the cost and benefit of defense (Simms 1992), extinguishing variation in defense levels. Thus, it is difficult for natural selection to maintain genetic variation in antiherbivore defense traits unless a stabilizing mechanism occurs.

Plant defense theory has focused on how individual plants allocate their resource to defensive traits (e.g., Rhoades 1979; Simms 1992; Stamp 2003). However, the defensive effects of a particular trait depend not only on a plant's own trait but also on the composition of the other plant types in a neighborhood (Agrawal *et al*. 2006; Barbosa *et al*. 2009; Underwood *et al*. 2014). These phenomena are called *associational effects* (AEs: also known as *neighbor effects*), which occur when "consumer effects on individuals of one resource organism type, at a given density of that type, are a function of the neighborhood composition of other resource types at particular spatial scales" (Underwood *et al*. 2014). An increasing number of studies have shown the importance of AE in maintaining plant species diversity (e.g., Hay 1986; Callaway *et al*. 2005; Stastny & Agrawal 2014), but this point of view has rarely been tested in the evolutionary ecology of antiherbivore defenses.

The purpose of the present review is to highlight the overlooked but potentially

73   critical role of conspecific AE in the maintenance of genetic variation in antiherbivore

74   defense traits. This paper consists of three chapters, in which I first outline the

75   ecological roles of AE in maintaining plant species diversity. Second, I introduce a case

76   study on *Arabidopsis* trichomes to expand the concept of AE to polymorphism in

77   defense within a plant species. Finally, I discuss how AE contributes to intraspecific

78   variation in plant defense at larger spatial and temporal scales. Based on the review and

79   evidence, the present paper suggests that AE plays a key role in maintaining not only

80   species diversity but also genetic variation in antiherbivore defense.

81

82   *1-1. Examples of AE in plant-herbivore interaction*

83   The phenomena of associational resistance or susceptibility have been reported across

84   agricultural (Tahvanainen & Root 1972; Risch 1981; Le Guigo *et al*. 2012), forest

85   (White & Whitham 2000; Sholes 2008; Castagneyrol *et al*. 2013), grassland (Agrawal

86   2004; Courant & Fortin 2010; Hahn & Orrock 2016), semi-arid (González-Teuber &

87   Gianoli 2007), wetland (Rand 2003; Hughes 2012), and aquatic (Hay 1986; Wahl &

88   Hay 1995) ecosystems (see Appendix for selected examples). Two major patterns have

89   been reported for AE between plant species: Associational *resistance* or *susceptibility*

90   refer, respectively, to a situation where a focal plant species is *less* or *more* damaged in

91   the presence of another plant species. Undefended plants may gain "associational

92   resistance" when the defended plants are protected from herbivory by nearby plants

93   (Tahvanainen & Root 1972; Rausher 1981; Hambäck *et al*. 2000). In the polyculture of

94   the collard green *Brassica oleracea* var. *acephala* (Brassicaceae), Root and colleagues

95   for the first time developed the concept of "associational resistance" and "resource

96   concentration," which refer to the effects of vegetation composition and total density on

97   herbivore populations, respectively (Tahvanainen & Root 1972; Root 1973). Recently, it

98   was also discovered that neighboring plants do not always reduce herbivory damage to

99   other plant species. The term "associational susceptibility" was coined to refer to the

100   situation where defended plants suffer from severe herbivory when they occur nearby

101   undefended ones (White & Whitham 2000; Rand 2003). Barbosa *et al*. (2009) introduce

102   many more examples of AE and Rautio *et al*. (2012) outline the terminology regarding

103   AE, comprising the terms "plant defense guild" (Atsatt & O'Dowd 1976), "shared

104   doom" (Wahl & Hay 1995; Emerson *et al*. 2012), and "associational refuge" (Hjältén *et*

105   *al*. 1993; Miller *et al*. 2009; Emerson *et al*. 2012; Hughes 2012).

106   The mechanisms underlying AE are involved in various combinations of plant

107   traits and herbivore behaviors. In general, herbivores alter their preferences and/or

108   performance depending on their dietary menu (e.g., Lefcheck *et al*. 2013; Sato & Kudoh

109   2016a; Wetzel *et al*. 2016). In particular, theory of optimal foraging suggests that

110   consumers should utilize suboptimal resource as the density of optimal resource

111   becomes low (e.g., Charnov 1976). This behavioral basis of consumers directly alters

112   the consumption rate and thus drives apparent competition between optimal and

113   suboptimal resource (Holt & Kotler 1987). Empirically, mammalian herbivores often

114   avoid spiny or toxic herbs and thereby lead these defended herbs to confer associational

115   resistance to undefended plant species at a patch level (e.g., Hjältén *et al*. 1993;

116   Callaway *et al*. 2005; Courant & Fortin 2010; Miller *et al*. 2009). Visual or chemical

117   crypsis conferred by neighboring plants results in associational resistance against insect

118   herbivores (Tahvanainen & Root 1972; Rauscher 1981; Hambäck *et al*. 2000). Volatile

119   organic chemicals from neighboring plants sometimes induce plant resistance traits and

120   thus drive associational resistance against herbivores (Karban & Maron 2002; Karban

121   2007; Zakir *et al.* 2013). Direct competition sometimes increases plants' investment in

122   defense traits and may promote associational resistance (Barton & Brower 2006;

123   González-Teuber & Gianoli 2007). Polyphagous herbivores move from primary to

124   secondary host species during their dispersal process, resulting in associational

125   susceptibility on the part of the former to the latter host plant (White & Whitham 2000;

126   Agrawal 2004). These examples suggest that the outcomes of AE depend on herbivore

127   behaviors and plant trait changes.

128   While long recognized as apparent competition, the outcomes of AE are more

129   complex than simple competition between two plant species. AE comprise facilitation,

130   exploitation, and other kinds of species interaction (Agrawal 2004; Barbosa *et al.* 2009).

131   In particular, recent reviews pointed out problems with the terminologies and

132   experimental designs used to test AE (Rautio *et al.* 2012; Underwood *et al.* 2014), as

133   there has been little consensus regarding the trait of a focal plant type (i.e., defended or

134   undefended) and manipulations on the neighborhood composition (presence/absence,

135   relative abundance, or total density of multiple plant types) (see also Appendix). For

136   example, a number of studies compared the magnitude of herbivory on one of two plant

137   species between the presence and absence of another species (Hambäck *et al.* 2000;

138   White & Whitham 2000); however, this setting is unable to determine the likelihood of

139   the coexistence of two plant species (Underwood *et al.* 2014). Many studies focused on

140   the absolute amount of herbivory (Tahvanainen & Root 1972; Hambäck *et al.* 2000;

141   White & Whitham 2000), while others compared the relative amounts of herbivory

142   between multiple plant types (Bergvall *et al.* 2006; Castagneyrol *et al.* 2013).

143   Furthermore, some studies focused on the herbivory load to individual plants within a

144   small spatial scale (referred to as a "patch": Rausher 1981; Hambäck *et al.* 2000;

145   Karban & Maron 2002) while others compared herbivory among the plant patches at

146   broader spatial scales (Bergvall *et al*. 2006; Castagneyrol *et al*. 2013). Details of the

147   experimental design and outcomes are listed for 16 publications (see Appendix).

148

149   *1-2. Frequency/density-dependent damage caused by AE*

150   Recently, several authors have begun to discuss how AE enable the coexistence of

151   multiple plant species. Underwood *et al*. (2014) advocated that the focus should be on

152   the frequency- or density-dependent damage caused by AE to multiple plant species. If

153   AE is more likely to protect rare plant species than abundant species, this rarity

154   advantage (i.e., negative frequency dependence) in defense would prevent rare species

155   from going extinct due to herbivory, thereby promoting the coexistence of multiple

156   plant species. In this refined framework, negative or positive frequency-dependent

157   damage indicates associational resistance or susceptibility for rare plant species,

158   respectively. Even if multiple plant species can coexist via AE, recovery from low

159   density (i.e., negative density dependence) is necessary for the long-term persistence of

160   plant populations. The negative or positive density-dependent damage refers to the

161   "resource concentration or delusion" hypothesis, initially developed by Root (1973) and

162   recently reviewed by Underwood *et al*. (2014) and Hambäck *et al*. (2014).

163   Several studies suggest the importance of plant frequency and density in

164   determining the outcome of AE, although those showing the importance of both the

165   density and frequency dependence are still limited (Table 1). Kim and Underwood

166   (2015) manipulated the density and frequency of the tall goldenrod *Solidago altissima*

167   (Asteraceae) and the Carolina horsenettle *Solanum carolinense* (Solanaceae). As a result,

168   *S. carolinense* received more damage due to the combined effects of the high

169 conspecific density and intermediate frequency of the two species (Kim & Underwood

170 2015). Hahn and Orrock (2016) manipulated both the density and frequency of two

171 related species *Solidago nemoralis* and *S. odora* (Asteraceae) in the field and then

172 revealed herbivore foraging to be a key mechanism with a behavioral assay. The less

173 defended species *S. nemoralis* gained associational resistance when it was rare due to

174 the reduced foraging activity of grasshoppers, with the two *Solidago* species more likely

175 attacked at a higher density (Hahn & Orrock 2016). In relation to herbivore foraging,

176 Verschut *et al*. (2016) showed that low-concentration of balsamic vinegar was less

177 likely to attract the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* at a high frequency of high

178 concentration diets, while high-concentration diets were more likely to attract flies at a

179 high frequency of low-concentration diets. Previous studies on the fallow deer *Dama*

180 *dama* manipulated the tannin concentration as well as the frequencies of two resource

181 types (Bergvall & Leimar 2005; Bergvall *et al*. 2006). High tannin diets were consumed

182 less frequently when low tannin diets were abundant, whereas low tannin diets were

183 consumed more frequently when high tannin diets were abundant (Bergvall & Leimar

184 2005). Furthermore, if two species co-occur in their natural habitats, field surveys help

185 us capture the effects of plant density or frequency in the wild population. Russell and

186 Louda (2005) documented that the native thistle *Cirsium undlatum* (Asteraceae)

187 received less florivory from the exotic weevil *Rhinocyllus conicus* as the number of

188 another native thistle (*C. canescens*) increased in close vicinity. This long-term survey

189 found that an invasive herbivore creates a novel AE due to the overlap of flowering

190 phenology between two native plant species (Russell & Louda 2004; Russell & Louda

191 2005).

192   Although density- or frequency-dependent herbivory have been shown

193 experimentally, we still know little about how AE occurs and contributes to the

194 maintenance of plant species diversity. Thus, it is necessary to link the mechanisms and

195 patterns of AE in a single study system. There is a common set of empirical approaches

196 for understanding the mechanisms and consequences of AE from laboratory to the field

197 study (Fig. 1: see also Appendix for details). The first step is to discover candidate

198 mechanisms of AE (Fig. 1), including the identification of key plant traits and herbivore

199 behaviors, and then to address how they cause non-additive herbivory between multiple

200 plant species. Previous studies have done this by conducting laboratory choice

201 experiments (e.g., Tahvanainen & Root 1972; White & Whitham 2000; Rand 2003) or

202 by observing herbivore movements between plants (Rausher 1981; Bergvall *et al*. 2006).

203 The second step includes experimental tests to determine whether plant damage and/or

204 fitness depend on the neighborhood composition. This step is adopted by almost all the

205 studies and is the most important for illustrating the relevance of AE. The third step is

206 multi-year field survey to corroborate the experimental evidence with field observations

207 on plant damage and population dynamics across years (Fig. 1). This final step is

208 executable if the focal plant species co-occur within natural populations (Russell &

209 Louda 2004; Callaway *et al*. 2005). These comprehensive tests from laboratory

210 experiment to field observations will reveal the causes and consequences of AE in a

211 single study system.

212

213 *1-3. Plant defense polymorphism via the lens of conspecific AE*

214 While the concept of AE was originally developed in interspecific interactions

215 (Tahvanainen & Root 1972; Root 1973), it can be applied to genetic variation within the

216 same plant species. In agricultural ecosystems, polyculture has been carried out not only

217 for different species but also for different cultivars within the same plant species (e.g.,

218 Cantero & Sanford 1984; Hambäck *et al*. 2009). The emerging framework of

219 "community genetics" may be considered a kind of conspecific AE (Hughes *et al*. 2008),

220 as these studies have shown that the polyculture of different genotypes alters herbivore

221 abundance (e.g., Crutsinger *et al*. 2006; Johnson *et al*. 2006; Parker *et al*. 2010). Studies

222 on community genetics also revealed that plant genetic diversity altered the strength of

223 selection on plant genotypes via changes in plant–herbivore interaction (e.g., Johnson *et*

224 *al*. 2006; Lankau & Kliebenstein 2009; Parker *et al*. 2010), although the way in which

225 frequency-dependent selection occurs between plant genotypes remains to be explored.

226 Evolutionary game theory provides a logical basis for the roles of AE in

227 maintaining polymorphism in defense levels (Sabelis & de Jong 1988; Augner *et al*.

228 1991; Till-Bottraud & Gouyon 1992; Tuomi *et al*. 1999). Theoretical studies analyzed

229 conditions under which the benefits from AE and the intrinsic cost of defense allow

230 defended and undefended plants to coexist. In clonal plants, for example, Till-Bottraud

231 and Gouyon (1992) predicted that herbivore preference and the cost of defense lead to

232 the evolutionary stable strategy of producing cyanogenic and acyanogenic clones at an

233 intermediate frequency. Empirically, this hypothesis regarding evolutionary stability can

234 be tested by asking whether or not rarer plant types have higher fitness compared to

235 abundant ones under AE (reviewed by Rautio *et al*. 2012). If a rarity fitness advantage

236 reciprocally occurs among multiple plant genotypes, AE leads to negative

237 frequency-dependent selection that can prevent rare genotypes from going extinct

238 (Clarke 1964; Ayala & Campbell 1974). This criterion would allow empirical

239 researchers to test whether AE can be a mechanism maintaining polymorphism in

240 antiherbivore defense.

241   Despite its logical basis, only a few studies have focused on conspecific AE

242   with regard to the maintenance of polymorphism in antiherbivore defense (Table 1).

243   Wise *et al*. (2009) tested AE in the tall goldenrod *Solidago altissima*, which possesses a

244   genetically based phenotype of ducking stem (called the "candy-cane" morph). This

245   candy-cane morph occurs with a rare frequency but across natural populations of *S*.

246   *altissima* (Wise 2009). Wise *et al*. (2009) conducted a manipulative experiment with

247   two contrasting frequencies of candy-cane and erect-stem morphs (Table 1). The tall

248   goldenrod received less damage from the specialist gall fly *Eurosta solidaginis* when

249   the candy-cane morphs were abundant; however, the damage was frequency

250   independent, as shown by the lack of a significant morph-by-frequency interaction

251   (Wise *et al*. 2009). Recently, Garrido *et al*. (2016) tested frequency-dependent selection

252   between tolerant (i.e., defense mitigating negative effects of herbivory on plant fitness)

253   and resistant (defense directly reducing herbivore attacks) genotypes in the jimson weed

254   *Datura stramonium* (Solanaceae), but found a rarity "disadvantage" against the

255   maintenance of defense polymorphism. Thus, it remains unknown whether conspecific

256   AE can be a mechanism for the maintenance of defense dimorphism. My collaborators

257   and I have investigated AE against a leaf beetle in the trichome dimorphism of

258   *Arabidopsis halleri* subsp. *gemmifera* (Brassicaceae). In the next chapter, I will

259   introduce an example of conspecific AE and its stabilizing effect on a plant defense

260   polymorphism.

261

262   **2. Evidence**

263   Several species of the genus *Arabidopsis* exhibit a dimorphism of trichome-producing

264   (hairy) and trichomeless (glabrous) plants. The glabrousness of *Arabidopsis* species is

265   associated with the loss of function of the key gene of trichome development,

266   *GLABRA1* (*GL1* also known as *GLABROUS1*) (Hauser *et al*. 2001; Kivimäki *et al*.

267   2007; Kawagoe *et al*. 2011; Bloomer *et al*. 2012). Because *GL1* is a transcriptional

268   factor gene for the initiation of trichome development, the loss of function of this gene

269   results in distinct phenotypes of hairy and glabrous plants (Oppenheimer *et al*. 1991;

270   Hülskamp 2004; Ishida *et al*. 2008). The glabrous phenotype is recessive to the hairy

271   ones, and these phenotypes are inherited in the Mendelian fashion (Kärkkäinen & Ågren

272   2002). Laboratory studies and field surveys have shown that hairy plants incurred less

273   herbivory by insect herbivores compared to glabrous plants (Handley *et al*. 2005;

274   Sletvold *et al*. 2010; Løe *et al*. 2007; Kivimäki *et al*. 2007), while trichomes impose a

275   fitness cost on plants (Mauricio 1998; Sletvold *et al*. 2010; Züst *et al*. 2011). Owing to

276   the visible and discrete phenotypes with the antiherbivore function, *Arabidopsis*

277   trichomes provide an excellent system to investigate AE against herbivores within a

278   same-plant species.

279   Likewise, *Arabidopsis halleri* subsp. *gemmifera* (abbreviated hereafter as *A.*

280   *halleri*) have a dimorphism of hairy and glabrous plants (Fig. 2a). Hairy plants have

281   non-glandular trichomes on their leaves and stems, whereas glabrous plants produce no

282   trichomes, except on their stem and leaf margin. This presence/absence of leaf

283   trichomes is associated with the allelic status of a *GL1* orthologue (Kawagoe *et al*.

284   2011). Hairy plants showed a lower fecundity and slower growth than glabrous ones did

285   in the absence of herbivores (Kawagoe *et al*. 2011; Sato & Kudoh 2016b; Sato & Kudoh

286   2017b), indicating a fitness cost of the trichome production. Further, trichome

287   production is unlinked with glucosinolate profiles (Sato *et al*. 2014), which may act as a

288   chemical defense for Brassicaceae (e.g., Züst *et al*. 2012).

289   *Arabidopsis halleri* subsp. *gemmifera* is a self-incompatible perennial herb

290   distributed across Japan and the Russian Far East. Plants bloom from early April to May

291   at the lowland of Japan (Kawagoe & Kudoh 2010). Flowers are pollinated by hoverflies

292   and solitary bees. Seeds are dispersed by gravity. After flowering, plants produce clonal

293   rosettes on the main and lateral meristems (Sato & Kudoh 2017b), wherein late-spring

294   herbivory can directly affect the sexual and asexual reproduction. *Arabidopsis halleri*

295   subsp. *gemmifera* is attacked by multiple species of herbivorous insects including

296   beetles, butterflies, and sawflies from late-spring to early-summer (Sato & Kudoh 2015;

297   Sato & Kudoh 2017a). Among natural populations of *A. halleri*, the brassica leaf beetle,

298   *Phaedon brassicae* (Fig. 2a), occasionally outbreaks and impacts fruit production by

299   heavily infesting flowering stems (Kawagoe & Kudoh 2010).

300   In this second chapter, I introduce a case study of conspecific AE against the

301   leaf beetle on the trichome dimorphism of *A. halleri*. This study consists of three

302   specific approaches (Fig. 2). The first stage is to identify candidate mechanisms of

303   frequency-dependent damage between hairy and glabrous plants. In the second stage,

304   manipulative experiments are conducted to test fitness consequence and to address

305   whether AE against the leaf beetle results in negative frequency-dependent selection

306   between the two morphs. The final step is to link the experimental findings with field

307   observations by modeling mechanisms that govern plant population dynamics. These

308   three steps will provide comprehensive evidence that AE play a stabilizing role in plant

309   defense polymorphism.

310

311   *2-1. Candidate mechanisms of AE*

312   Behavioral response of herbivores to multiple resources can be a mechanism of AE (e.g.,

313   Bergvall *et al*. 2006; Verschut *et al*. 2016; Hahn & Orrock 2016). Choice assays are

314   often conducted to test the candidate mechanism of AE (Tahvanainen & Root 1972;

315   White & Whitham 2000; Rand 2003; Hahn & Orrock 2016). Several studies

316   documented that the feeding preferences of herbivores depend on the presence or

317   relative frequencies of multiple resource types (Chandra & Williams 1983; Cottam

318   1985; Behmer *et al*. 2001; Bergvall & Leimar 2005; Janz *et al*. 2005), but evidence

319   regarding such a frequency-dependent herbivory on natural variation in a plant defense

320   trait is limited.

321   My collaborators and I have conducted a four-way choice experiment

322   manipulating frequencies of hairy and glabrous leaf discs (Fig. 2b: Sato *et al*. 2014).

323   Adults of the brassica leaf beetle *P. brassicae* avoided hairy leaves when hairy leaves

324   were rare, whereas the adults did not show a feeding preference when hairy leaves

325   became abundant (Sato *et al*. 2014). The adult preference for glabrous leaves was also

326   observed in a binary choice experiment using a *gl1* mutant of the model plant species

327   *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Sato 2016). This result from a single-gene mutant provides strong

328   proof for the resistance function of the *GL1* gene against *P. brassicae*. Furthermore,

329   when adult beetles were starved or preconditioned with glabrous diets, they still

330   preferred glabrous plants (Sato & Kudoh 2016b). Contrarily, when adults were

331   preconditioned with hairy diets, this treatment weakened their feeding preference for

332   glabrous diets (Sato & Kudoh 2016b). The circumstantial evidence suggests that

333   sequential experience may trigger a non-random response of adult beetles to hairy and

334   glabrous diets. While adult beetles fed on both hairy and glabrous leaves at similar

335   levels under no-choice conditions, larvae fed on hairy diets grew slower than those on

336   glabrous diets. This indicates that hairy diets are suboptimal for *P. brassicae*.

337   The foraging behaviors of *P. brassicae* were then modeled to reveal the

338   mechanism underlying the pattern of leaf damage to hairy and glabrous plants. Given

339   that *P. brassicae* is flightless and incapable of selecting plants among patches, the

340   optimal diet choice (Charnov 1976) is assumed to be a mechanism by which rare

341   suboptimal diets (i.e., hairy leaves) are less consumed under the small enclosed

342   condition. My collaborators and I modified the original model of optimal diet choice to

343   consider imperfect host recognition by *P. brassicae*, and then fitted the model to the

344   laboratory experiment data of leaf damage under the contrasting frequency of hairy and

345   glabrous plants (Sato *et al*. 2017). As a result of the parameter estimation, it was

346   estimated that decreased energy intake and increased handling time for the leaf beetles

347   accounted for the frequency-dependent damage on hairy plants (Fig. 2c). Hairy plants

348   were less damaged when glabrous plants became abundant, indicating associational

349   resistance for hairy plants. Contrarily, damages to glabrous plants weakly depended on

350   the frequency of two plant morphs. These findings indicate that the optimal diet choice

351   by *P. brassicae* can be a determinant of the pattern of AE between hairy and glabrous

352   plants.

353

354   *2-2. Manipulative experiment showing a rarity advantage in plant defense and fitness*

355   Even if herbivores feed on hairy and glabrous plants in a frequency-dependent manner,

356   it is still unknown whether this candidate mechanism leads to frequency-dependent

357   selection on the trichome dimorphism. Specifically with regard to the brassica leaf

358   beetle *P. brassicae*, it seems unlikely that herbivore preference alone generates negative

359   frequency-dependent selection, as they did not avoid rare glabrous diets (Sato *et al*.

360   2014: Fig. 3a). However, game theoretical models suggest that, if plants have defense

361   traits at the cost of their growth or fecundity, the benefit from associational effects

362   allows defended and undefended plants to coexist (Augner *et al*. 1991). This hypothesis

363   was tested using a laboratory and semi-field experiment manipulating the morph

364   frequency and presence/absence of *P. brassicae* (Fig. 2b; Sato & Kudoh 2016b; Sato &

365   Kudoh 2017b).

366   Figure 3 shows a schematic explanation of a mechanism by which conspecific

367   AE could be a mechanism for the maintenance of trichome dimorphism. Consistent with

368   the feeding preference assay (Sato *et al*. 2014), hairy plants were less damaged than

369   glabrous plants only when the hairy ones were rare (Fig. 3a: Sato & Kudoh 2016b; Sato

370   & Kudoh 2017b). This explains the rarity advantage for hairy plants in defense and

371   fitness (Fig. 3a, c). At the end of the experiments, both the hairy and glabrous plants had

372   a rarity advantage in fitness in the presence of beetles (Fig. 3c: Sato & Kudoh 2016b;

373   Sato & Kudoh 2017b), while in the absence of herbivory the glabrous plants had a

374   higher growth and fecundity (Fig. 3b: Sato & Kudoh 2016b; Sato & Kudoh 2017b).

375   Because the benefit of associational effects to hairy plants no longer exists under the

376   hairy-abundant condition (Fig. 3a), the cost of defense can be detected when hairy

377   plants become abundant (Fig. 3c). Of note, these fitness consequences were consistently

378   observed for both sexual and asexual reproduction, namely the number of flowers and

379   clones, of *A. halleri* (Sato & Kudoh 2017b). These experimental findings indicate that,

380   together with the defense-growth tradeoff (Fig. 3c), conspecific AE against the leaf

381   beetle (Fig. 3a) lead to negative frequency-dependent selection between hairy and

382   glabrous plants (Fig. 3b) (Sato & Kudoh 2017b).

383

384   *2-3. Field patterns of herbivory and polymorphism dynamics*

385 The set of experiments illustrated conspecific AE that can maintain the trichome

386 dimorphism of *A. halleri*, but it is still unknown whether these experimental findings

387 represent AE in the wild. Next, field observations on plant damage and demography

388 were conducted in a natural population in which the brassica leaf beetle *P. brassicae*

389 was a major herbivorous insect on *A. halleri* (Fig. 2b). Because *P. brassicae* is flightless

390 and the *A. halleri* population has a fragmented structure, AE is assumed to occur on a

391 small spatial scale. Thus, my collaborators and I tracked the aggregated structure of the

392 plants (referred to hereafter as "patch") for four years in the field.

393   Hairy plants received less damage as the frequency of glabrous plants increased

394 in a series of plant patches (Sato *et al*. 2014; Sato & Kudoh 2017b). In contrast, leaf

395 damage on glabrous plants showed no significant and consistent relationship with the

396 frequency of glabrous plants in a patch (Sato *et al*. 2014; Sato & Kudoh 2017b). This

397 pattern of leaf damage was consistent with the results of a feeding assay. It was also

398 noteworthy that the relative fitness advantage of hairy plants as shown by flower

399 production in the presence of beetles increased with increasing frequencies of glabrous

400 plants (Sato & Kudoh 2017b). This pattern in the flower production agrees with the

401 manipulative experiment detecting negative frequency-dependent selection only in the

402 presence of *P. brassicae* (Fig. 3c). These findings support the relevance of

403 frequency-dependent herbivory and selection in the natural population.

404   A multi-year field survey also found that rarer morphs increased in frequency

405 in a series of plant patches over time (Sato & Kudoh 2017b). Statistical modeling was

406 used to reveal the mechanisms underlying the temporal dynamics of morph frequency in

407 the field (Sato *et al*. 2017). Based on the aforementioned theory of optimal diet choice,

408 my collaborators and I have modelled the effects of local-scale herbivory and spatial

409   structure on plant demography and then fitted the model to the observed changes in the

410   number of hairy and glabrous plants over four years. Based on a model selection

411   procedure, it was revealed that optimal diet choice by *P. brassicae* contributes to the

412   polymorphism dynamics observed in the field (Sato *et al*. 2017). The estimated

413   magnitude of interpatch dispersal suggested that long-distance seed dispersal was rare

414   and clonal reproduction largely contributed to the plant population growth. According to

415   the estimated parameters of antiherbivore defense, rarer morphs had higher fitness and

416   increased in frequency over time when the herbivore diet choice and cost of plant

417   defense were both involved (Fig. 2c). This joint approach using the optimality modeling

418   and field data strongly supports the hypothesis that AE against the leaf beetle promotes

419   the maintenance of the trichome dimorphism via negative frequency-dependent

420   selection.

421   In contrast to the constant density in the manipulative experiment (Fig. 3), not

422   only frequency but also the number of plants varies among field patches. However, the

423   effects of plant density on damage were much less significant than that of frequency,

424   and its magnitude and direction were inconsistent among the study years (Sato *et al*.

425   2014; Sato & Kudoh 2017b). The resource concentration or delusion of *A. halleri* for *P.*

426   *brassicae* was tested in more detail using the field data on the leaf damage and number

427   of *A. halleri* plants (Sato *et al*. 2017). If these two effects of plant density occur, there is

428   a non-linear relationship between the total amount of herbivory load and the total

429   number of plants within patches (Hambäck *et al*. 2014). In *A. halleri*, however, the total

430   amount of leaf damage increased linearly with the total number of plants in a patch

431   (Sato *et al.* 2017), presumably because the plant density in the field was too low to

432   reach a peak of resource loss by herbivory. Thus, at least for the temporal scales

433 observed, the field survey could not detect a significant role of plant density in AE

434 against the leaf beetles.

435   Overall, the multiple lines of evidence have shown that conspecific AE

436 mediated by *P. brassicae* lead to negative frequency-dependent selection and thereby

437 maintain the trichome dimorphism in a natural *A. halleri* population. If hairy plants are

438 rare, they can escape from leaf beetles and increase in frequency. On the other hand, if

439 glabrous plants become rare, they outcompete hairy plants without any costs of

440 trichomes. Consequently, this negative feedback loop prevents rare morphs from going

441 extinct (Fig. 4). To date, negative frequency-dependent selection has been reported for

442 plant species interacting with pollinators (Gigord *et al*. 2001) and pathogens

443 (Antonovics & Ellstrand 1984; Brunet & Munht 2000), but it has not yet been

444 demonstrated in plant defense against herbivores. The case study on *A. halleri-P.*

445 *brassicae* interaction provides the first example to illustrate that conspecific AE drive

446 negative frequency-dependent selection on an antiherbivore defense trait.

447

448 **3. Perspective**

449 Genetic polymorphisms are commonly observed in plant defense traits (Hughes 1991;

450 Elle *et al*. 1999; Wise *et al*. 2009; Bernhardsson *et al*. 2013) and not limited to

451 *Arabidopsis* trichomes. For example, the genetic basis of cyanogenesis in white clover

452 *Trifolium repens* (Fabaceae) is well studied (Hughes 1991). Theory related to

453 herbivore-mediated frequency-dependent selection was applied to geographical

454 variation in a cyanogenesis polymorphism (Till-Bottraud & Gouyon 1992), but the

455 existence of frequency-dependent selection has not been tested experimentally.

456 Dimorphism of the glandular (sticky) and non-glandular (velvety) *Datura wrightii*

457 (Solanaceae) is inherited in the Mendelian fashion (van Dam *et al.* 1999). This chemical

458 defense utilizing glandular exudates is costly for plant fitness (Elle *et al.* 1999), but it

459 remains unknown why these sticky and velvety plants can coexist (Elle & Hare 2000).

460 The genetic basis of glucosinolates, a major secondary metabolite of Brassicales, has

461 been intensively studied. Genetic polymorphisms are observed in physiological

462 pathways in glucosinolate biosynthesis and result in diverse profiles among natural

463 *Arabidopsis* accessions (Chan *et al.* 2010). Conspecific AE have not yet been examined

464 in these defense traits but could provide insights into mechanisms for the maintenance

465 of antiherbivore defense polymorphism within a plant population.

466   We should note here that not only AE but also other factors may shape genetic

467 variation in antiherbivore defenses. It has been hypothesized that genetic variation may

468 be maintained by spatiotemporal fluctuation in selection pressure and stochastic gene

469 flow among populations (e.g., Levene 1953; Turelli *et al.* 2001; but see Spichtig &

470 Kawecki 2004). The present paper has focused on local-scale AE so far, but to what

471 spatial and temporal scales can AE contribute to the maintenance of polymorphism in

472 plant defense? In the final chapter, I discuss a spatial and temporal perspective of

473 conspecific AE and the maintenance of plant defense polymorphism. Concerning the

474 spatial scale, several researchers discussed interspecific AE at a landscape scale

475 (reviewed by Barbosa *et al.* 2009). The geographic mosaic of coevolution (Thompson

476 2005) proposes a landscape perspective of plant–insect interactions, and this spatial

477 point of view is applied to geographic variation in plant defense (e.g., Berenbaum &

478 Zangerl 1998; Zangerl & Berenbaum 2003; Toju *et al.* 2011; Bernhardsson *et al.* 2013).

479 In particular to temporal dynamics, the concept of eco-evolutionary dynamics has

480 recently suggested interactions between plant genetic variation and herbivore abundance

481 (Turcotte 2011; Turcotte *et al*. 2013; Agrawal *et al*. 2013). Because not only frequency-

482 but also density-dependent selection is a key aspect of AE (Table 1), conspecific AE

483 may provide insights into how rapid evolution in plant defenses affects the population

484 dynamics of plants and herbivores.

485

486 *3-1. Can AE create a source population for geographical variation in plant defense?*

487 Existing theory suggests that negative frequency-dependent selection contributes to

488 geographic cline in a polymorphism, as it makes morph frequency intermediate rather

489 than expected by the results of disruptive selection alone (Clarke 1966; Endler 1977;

490 Till-Bottraud & Gouyon 1992). Till-Bottraud & Gouyon (1992) applied this prediction

491 to explain a cyanogenesis polymorphism along an altitudinal cline in white clover.

492 However, herbivory regimes usually have more complex spatial patterns than a cline,

493 involving differences in herbivore species (Hare & Elle 2002) and the presence of other

494 host plants (Zangerl & Berenbaum 2003). Thus, plant–herbivore interactions are

495 expected to result in complex geographic variation rather than a simple cline in a plant

496 defense polymorphism.

497 　　　The geographic mosaic of coevolution proposes a conceptual framework for

498 investigating the complex metapopulation structure of local adaptation/maladaptation

499 (Thompson 2005). Specifically, the theory of geographic mosaic of coevolving

500 polymorphism hypothesizes that disruptive and balancing selection jointly shape

501 complex patterns of host defense polymorphism (Thompson 2005). In plant–herbivore

502 interactions, Berenbaum and Zangerl (1998) presumed that intense attacks by parsnip

503 webworm *Depressaria pastinacella* on abundant chemotypes of a wild parsnip

504 *Pastinaca sativa* (Apiaceae) led to negative frequency-dependent selection and thus

505 favored the intermediate chemotype frequency. Given that AE should occur among

506 individual plants in close vicinity, this may alter spatial patterns of plant defense only at

507 a local scale. More generally, the metapopulation framework assumes the existence of

508 source and sink populations of local adaptation (Thompson 2005). When AE favors

509 polymorphism within a local population, this polymorphic population could be a source

510 of polymorphism on a metapopulation scale via gene flow (Fig. 5).

511 Indeed, herbivore regimes and frequencies of hairy and glabrous plants vary

512 among natural populations of *Arabidopsis halleri* subsp. *gemmifera*, where AE do not

513 occur against all herbivorous insects on *A. halleri.* Sato and Kudoh (2015) found that

514 AE were detectable when *A. halleri* interacted with slow-moving herbivores such as *P.*

515 *brassicae*. AE tend to be obscured when plants are attacked by flying herbivores such as

516 the green-veined white butterfly *Pieris napi* and cabbage sawfly *Athalia infumata*,

517 although trichomes themselves can prevent herbivory by these herbivores (Sato &

518 Kudoh 2015). Field surveys revealed that frequencies of glabrous plants declined as

519 herbivory pressure became intense (Sato & Kudoh 2017a). Hairy-monomorphic

520 populations were discovered where white butterflies were frequently observed. Despite

521 experiencing the severest herbivory pressure, however, a population where *P. brassicae*

522 was predominant still had an intermediate morph frequency (Sato & Kudoh 2017a).

523 Furthermore, a proximate population was also polymorphic despite the prevalence of

524 white butterflies (Sato & Kudoh 2017a). These observations support the hypothesis that

525 herbivore-mediated frequency-dependent selection sustains polymorphism, which can

526 be a source of genetic variation in proximate populations (Fig. 5b). Further questions

527 remain about how conspecific AE contributes to the maintenance of polymorphism at

528 the metapopulation level.

529

3-2. Do AE affect population dynamics via the maintenance of defense polymorphism?

A growing number of studies have shown that herbivores drive the evolution of plant

defense on ecological time scales (Zangerl & Berenbaum 2008; Agrawal *et al*. 2012;

Fukano & Yahara 2012; Sakata *et al*. 2014). Recent studies have also shown that such

evolutionary changes in a host plant species alter the population dynamics and

community structures of herbivores (Crutsinger *et al*. 2006; Johnson *et al*. 2006;

Turcotte *et al*. 2011; Agrawal *et al*. 2013), which may in turn affect plant density. Now

that conspecific AE is known to maintain polymorphism in plant defense, it is possible

that such a sustained polymorphism affects eco-evolutionary dynamics in

plant–herbivore interactions. In the context of AE, Underwood *et al*. (2014) advocate

that manipulating both the density and frequency of multiple plant species is important

for complete understanding of the long-term coexistence of multiple plant species under

AE (as introduced in Section 1-2.). When this notion is applied to polymorphisms

within a plant species, AE may play an important role not only through frequency- but

also through density-dependent selection in plant defense.

Once the mechanisms of frequency- and/or density-dependent selection are

experimentally shown, it is possible to estimate the probability of coexistence from the

experimental data (e.g., Damgaard 1998; Inouye 2001; Miller & Rudgers 2014) or to

predict temporal dynamics in the field (Kim *et al*. 2013; Le Rouzic *et al*. 2015) based on

a given theoretical model. This modeling approach, combined with empirical data, will

be a powerful tool to reveal how AE contribute to eco-evolutionary dynamics in

plant–herbivore interactions. For example, Sato *et al*. (2017) took such a modeling

approach to *A. halleri–P. brassicae* interactions although the modeling was limited in

553   predicting long-term interactions between the evolution of plant defenses and herbivore

554   population dynamics. Specifically, the magnitude of herbivory in the current year was

555   not clearly correlated with that in the previous year or with the frequency of hairy plants

556   (Sato *et al*. 2017). If long-term data on herbivory and population dynamics are obtained,

557   the modeling approach might enable us to predict how the existence of polymorphism

558   affects herbivory pressure and in turn alters the morph frequency within a plant species.

559

560   *3-3. Concluding remarks*

561   Associational effects, or neighbor effects, are remarkable phenomena in plant–herbivore

562   interaction, but evidence for conspecific AE is still limited. By focusing on polymorphic

563   defense traits within a plant species, the present review highlights the potential

564   importance of conspecific AE in maintaining polymorphism in an antiherbivore defense

565   trait. The multiple lines of evidence from *P. brassicae–A. halleri* interaction show that

566   conspecific AE maintains antiherbivore defense polymorphism via negative

567   frequency-dependent selection. Future studies should focus on the stabilizing role of AE

568   in genetic variation in plant defense, as diverse plant species possess considerable

569   variation in terms of antiherbivore defense traits.

570

580

581 References

582 Agrawal, A.A. (2004) Resistance and susceptibility of milkweed: competition, root
583 herbivory, and plant genetic variation. *Ecology* **85**:2118–2133.

584 Agrawal, A.A., Lau, J.A. & Hambäck, P.A. (2006) Community heterogeneity and the
585 evolution of interactions between plants and insect herbivores. *Quarterly*
586 *Review of Biology* **81**:349–376.

587 Agrawal, A.A., Hastings, A.P., Johnson, M.T.J., Maron, J.L. & Salminen, J.-P. (2012)
588 Insect herbivores drive real-time ecological and evolutionary change in
589 plant populations. *Science* **338**:113–116.

590 Agrawal, A.A., Johnson, M.T., Hastings, A.P. & Maron, J.L. (2013) A field experiment
591 demonstrating plant life-history evolution and its eco-evolutionary
592 feedback to seed predator populations. *The American Naturalist*
593 **181**:S35–S45.

594 Antonovics, J. & Ellstrand, N.C. (1984) Experimental studies of the evolutionary
595 significance of sexual reproduction. I. A test of the frequency-dependent
596 selection hypothesis. *Evolution* **38**:103–115.

597 Atsatt, P.R. & O'Dowd, D.J. (1976) Plant defense guilds. *Science* **193**:24–29.

598 Augner, M., Fagerström, T. & Tuomi, J. (1991) Competition, defense and games
599 between plants. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **29**:231–234.

600 Ayala, F.J. & Campbell, C.A. (1974) Frequency-dependent selection. *Annual Review of*
601 *Ecology and Systematics* **5**:115–138.

602 Barbosa, P., Hines, J., Kaplan, I., Martinson, H., Szczepaniec, A. & Szendrei, Z. (2009)
603 Associational resistance and associational susceptibility: having right or
604 wrong neighbors. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*
605 **40**:1–20.

606 Barton, K.E. & Bowers, M.D. (2006) Neighbor species differentially alter resistance
607 phenotypes in *Plantago*. *Oecologia* **150**:442–452.

608 Behmer, S.T., Raubenheimer, D. & Simpson, S.J. (2001) Frequency-dependent food
609   selection in locusts: a geometric analysis of the role of nutrient balancing.
610   *Animal Behaviour* **61**:995–1005.

611 Berenbaum, M.R. & Zangerl, A.R. (1998) Chemical phenotype matching between a
612   plant and its insect herbivore. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
613   *Sciences USA* **95**:13743–13748.

614 Bergvall, U.A. & Leimar, O. (2005) Plant secondary compounds and the frequency of
615   food types affect food choice by mammalian herbivores. *Ecology*
616   **86**:2450–2460.

617 Bergvall, U.A., Rautio, P., Kesti, K., Tuomi, J. & Leimar, O. (2006) Associational
618   effects of plant defences in relation to within-and between-patch food
619   choice by a mammalian herbivore: neighbour contrast susceptibility and
620   defence. *Oecologia* **147**:253–260.

621 Bernhardsson, C., Robinson, K.M., Abreu, I.N., Jansson, S., Albrectsen, B.R. &
622   Ingvarsson, P.K. (2013) Geographic structure in metabolome and herbivore
623   community co-occurs with genetic structure in plant defence genes.
624   *Ecology letters* **16**:791–798.

625 Bloomer, R.H., Juenger, T.E. & Symonds, V.V. (2012) Natural variation in *GL1* and its
626   effects on trichome density in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Molecular Ecology*
627   **21**:3501–3515.

628 Brunet, J. & Mundt, C.C. (2000) Disease, frequency-dependent selection, and genetic
629   polymorphisms: experiments with stripe rust and wheat. *Evolution*
630   **54**:406–415.

631 Callaway, R.M., Kikodze, D., Chiboshvili, M. & Khetsuriani, L. (2005) Unpalatable
632   plants protect neighbors from grazing and increase plant community
633   diversity. *Ecology* **86**:1856–1862.

634 Cantero, W.W. & Sanford, L.L. (1984) Insect population response to mixed and uniform
635   plantings of resistant and susceptible plant material. *Environmental*
636   *Entomology* **13**:1443–1445.

637 Castagneyrol, B., Giffard, B., Péré, C. & Jactel, H. (2013) Plant apparency, an
638   overlooked driver of associational resistance to insect herbivory. *Journal of*
639   *Ecology* **101**:418–429.

640   Chan, E.K., Rowe, H.C. & Kliebenstein, D.J. (2010) Understanding the evolution of
641   defense metabolites in *Arabidopsis thaliana* using genome-wide association
642   mapping. *Genetics* **185**:991–1007.

643   Chandra, S. & Williams, G. (1983) Frequency-dependent selection in the grazing
644   behaviour of the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria. Ecological*
645   *Entomology* **8**:13–21.

646   Charnov, E.L. (1976) Optimal foraging, the marginal value theorem. *Theoretical*
647   *Population Biology* **9**:129–136.

648   Clarke, B. (1964) Frequency-dependent selection for the dominance of rare
649   polymorphic genes. *Evolution* **18**:364–369.

650   Clarke, B. (1966) The evolution of morph-ratio clines. *The American Naturalist*
651   **100**:389–402.

652   Crutsinger, G.M., Collins, M.D., Fordyce, J.A., Gompert, Z., Nice, C.C. & Sanders, N.J.
653   (2006) Plant genotypic diversity predicts community structure and governs
654   an ecosystem process. *Science* **313**:966–968.

655   Cottam, D.A. (1985) Frequency-dependent grazing by slugs and grasshoppers. *Journal*
656   *of Ecology* **73**:925–933.

657   Courant, S. & Fortin, D. (2010) Foraging decisions of bison for rapid energy gains can
658   explain the relative risk to neighboring plants in complex swards. *Ecology*
659   **91**:1841–1849.

660   Damgaard, C. (1998) Plant competition experiments: testing hypotheses and estimating
661   the probability of coexistence. *Ecology* **79**:1760–1767.

662   Elle, E., van Dam, N.M. & Hare, J.D. (1999) Cost of glandular trichomes, a
663   ''resistance'' character in *Datura wrightii* Regel (Solanaceae). *Evolution*
664   **53**:22–35.

665   Elle, E. & Hare, J.D. (2000) No benefit of glandular trichome production in natural
666   populations of *Datura wrightii*? *Oecologia* **123**:57–65.

667   Emerson, S.E., Brown, J.S., Whelan, C.J. & Schmidt, K.A. (2012) Scale-dependent
668   neighborhood effects: shared doom and associational refuge. *Oecologia*
669   **168**:659–670.

670   Endler, J.A. (1977) *Geographic Variation, Speciation, and Clines*. Princeton University
671   Press, New Jersey.

672 Fukano, Y. & Yahara, T. (2012) Changes in defense of an alien plant *Ambrosia*
673   *artemisiifolia* before and after the invasion of a native specialist enemy
674   *Ophraella communa. PLoS ONE* **7**:e49114.
675 Garrido, E., Llamas-Guzmán, L.P. & Fornoni, J. (2016) The effect of
676   frequency-dependent selection on resistance and tolerance to herbivory.
677   *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **29**:483–489.
678 Gigord, L.D., Macnair, M.R., & Smithson, A. (2001) Negative frequency-dependent
679   selection maintains a dramatic flower color polymorphism in the rewardless
680   orchid Dactylorhiza sambucina (L.) Soò. *Proceedings of the National*
681   *Academy of Sciences USA* **98**:6253–6255.
682 González-Teuber, M. & Gianoli, E. (2007) Damage and shade enhance climbing and
683   promote associational resistance in a climbing plant. *Journal of Ecology*
684   **96**:122–126.
685 Hare, J.D. & Elle, E. (2002) Variable impact of diverse insect herbivores on dimorphic
686   *Datura wrightii. Ecology* **83**:2711–2720.
687 Hahn, P.G. & Orrock, J.L. (2016) Neighbor palatability generates associational effects
688   by altering herbivore foraging behavior. *Ecology* **97**:2103–2111.
689 Hjältén, J., Danell, K. & Lundberg, P. (1993) Herbivore avoidance by association: vole
690   and hare utilization of woody plants. *Oikos* **68**:125–131.
691 Hambäck, P.A., Ågren, J. & Ericson, L. (2000) Associational resistance: insect damage
692   to purple loosestrife reduced in thickets of sweet gale. *Ecology*
693   **81**:1784–1794.
694 Hambäck, P.A., Björkman, M., Rämert, B. & Hopkins, R.J. (2009) Scale-dependent
695   responses in cabbage herbivores affect attack rates in spatially
696   heterogeneous systems. *Basic and Applied Ecology* **10**:228–236.
697 Hambäck, P.A., Inouye, B.D., Andersson, P. & Underwood, N. (2014) Effects of plant
698   neighborhoods on plant–herbivore interactions: resource dilution and
699   associational effects. *Ecology* **95**:1370–1383.
700 Handley, R., Ekbom, B. & Ågren, J. (2005) Variation in trichome density and resistance
701   against a specialist insect herbivore in natural populations of *Arabidopsis*
702   *thaliana. Ecological Entomology* **30**:284–292.
703 Hauser, M.T., Harr, B. & Schlötterer, C. (2001) Trichome distribution in *Arabidopsis*

704   *thaliana* and its close relative *Arabidopsis lyrata*: molecular analysis of the

705   candidate gene *GLABROUS1*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*

706   **18**:1754–1763.

707 Hay, M.E. (1986) Associational plant defenses and the maintenance of species diversity:

708   turning competitors into accomplices. *The American Naturalist*

709   **128**:617–641.

710 Holt, R.D. & Kotler, B.P. (1987) Short-term apparent competition. *The American*

711   *Naturalist* **130**:412–430.

712 Hughes, M.A. (1991) The cyanogenic polymorphism in *Trifolium repens* L (white

713   clover). *Heredity* **66**:105–115.

714 Hughes, A.R. (2012) A neighboring plant species creates associational refuge for

715   consumer and host. *Ecology* **93**:1411–1420.

716 Hughes, A.R., Inouye, B.D., Johnson, M.T.J., Underwood, N. & Vellend, M. (2008)

717   Ecological consequences of genetic diversity. *Ecology Letters* **11**:609–623.

718 Hülskamp, M. (2004) Plant trichomes: a model for cell differentiation. *Nature Reviews*

719   *Molecular Cell Biology* **5**:471–480.

720 Inouye, B.D. (2001) Response surface experimental designs for investigating

721   interspecific competition. *Ecology* **82**:2696–2706.

722 Ishida, T., Kurata, T., Okada, K. & Wada, T. (2008) A genetic regulatory network in the

723   development of trichomes and root hairs. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*

724   **59**:365–386.

725 Janz, N., Bergström, A. & Johansson, J. (2005) Frequency dependence of host plant

726   choice within and between patches: a large cage experiment. *Evolutionary*

727   *Ecology* **19**:289–302.

728 Johnson, M.T.J, Lajeunesse, M.J. & Agrawal, A.A. (2006) Additive and interactive

729   effects of plant genotypic diversity on arthropod communities and plant

730   fitness. *Ecology Letters* **9**:24–34.

731 Karban, R. (2007) Associational resistance for mule's ears with sagebrush neighbors.

732   *Plant Ecology* **191**:295–303.

733 Karban, R. & Maron, J. (2002) The fitness consequences of interspecific eavesdropping

734   between plants. *Ecology* **83**:1209–1213.

735 Kärkkäinen, K. & Ågren, J. (2002) Genetic basis of trichome production in *Arabidopsis*

736   *lyrata. Hereditas* **136**:219–226.

737   Kawagoe, T. & Kudoh, H. (2010) Escape from floral herbivory by early flowering in
738   *Arabidopsis halleri* subsp. *gemmifera. Oecologia* **164**:713–720.

739   Kawagoe, T., Shimizu, K.K., Kakutani, T. & Kudoh, H. (2011) Coexistence of trichome
740   variation in a natural plant population: a combined study using ecological
741   and candidate gene approaches. *PLoS ONE* **6**:e22184.

742   Kim, T.N., Underwood, N. & Inouye, B.D. (2013) Insect herbivores change the outcome
743   of plant competition through both inter- and intraspecific processes.
744   *Ecology* **94**:1753–1763.

745   Kim, T.N. & Underwood, N. (2015) Plant neighborhood effects on herbivory: damage is
746   both density and frequency dependent. *Ecology* **96**:1431–1437.

747   Kivimäki, M., Kärkkäinen, K., Gaudeul, M., Løe, G. & Ågren, J. (2007) Gene,
748   phenotype and function: *GLABROUS1* and resistance to herbivory in
749   natural populations of *Arabidopsis lyrata. Molecular Ecology* **16**:453–462.

750   Lankau, R.A. & Kliebenstein, D.J. (2009) Competition, herbivory and genetics interact
751   to determine the accumulation and fitness consequences of a defence
752   metabolite. *Journal of Ecology* **97**:78–88.

753   Le Guigo, P., Rolier, A. & Le Corff, J. (2012) Plant neighborhood influences
754   colonization of Brassicaceae by specialist and generalist aphids. *Oecologia*
755   **169**:753–761.

756   Lefcheck, J.S., Whalen, M.A., Davenport, T.M., Stone, J.P. & Duffy, J.E. (2013)
757   Physiological effects of diet mixing on consumer fitness: a meta-analysis.
758   *Ecology* **94**:565–572.

759   Le Rouzic, A., Hansen, T.F., Gosden, T.P. & Svensson, E.I. (2015) Evolutionary
760   time-series analysis reveals the signature of frequency-dependent selection
761   on a female mating polymorphism. *The American Naturalist*
762   **185**:E182–E196.

763   Levene, H. (1953) Genetic equilibrium when more than one ecological niche is
764   available. *The American Naturalist* **87**:331–333.

765   Løe, G., Toräng, P., Gaudeul, M., & Ågren, J. (2007) Trichome production and
766   spatiotemporal variation in herbivory in the perennial herb *Arabidopsis*
767   *lyrata. Oikos* **116**:134–142.

768 Mauricio, R. (1998) Costs of resistance to natural enemies in field populations of the
769   annual plant Arabidopsis thaliana. *The American Naturalist* **151**:20–28.

770 Miller, A.M., McArthur, C. & Smethurst, P.J. (2009) Spatial scale and opportunities for
771   choice influence browsing and associational refuges of focal plants.
772   *Journal of Animal Ecology* **78**:1134–1142.

773 Miller, T.E. & Rudgers, J.A. (2014) Niche differentiation in the dynamics of
774   host-symbiont interactions: symbiont prevalence as a coexistence problem.
775   *The American Naturalist* **183**:506–518.

776 Oppenheimer, D.G., Herman, P.L., Sivakumaran, S., Esch, J. & Marks, M.D. (1991) A
777   myb gene required for leaf trichome differentiation in *Arabidopsis* is
778   expressed in stipules. *Cell* **67**:483–493.

779 Parker, J.D., Salminen, J. & Agrawal, A.A. (2010) Herbivory enhances positive effects
780   of plant genotypic diversity. *Ecology Letters* **13**:553–563.

781 Rand, T.A. (2003) Herbivore-mediated apparent competition between two salt marsh
782   forbs. *Ecology* **84**:1517–1526.

783 Rausher, M.D. (1981) The effect of native vegetation on the susceptibility of
784   *Aristolochia reticulata* (Aristolochiaceae) to herbivore attack. *Ecology*
785   **62**:1187–1195.

786 Rautio, P., Bergvall, U.A., Tuomi, J., Kesti, K. & Leimar, O. (2012) Food selection by
787   herbivores and neighbourhood effects in the evolution of plant defences.
788   *Annales Zoologici Fennici* **49**:45–57.

789 Rhoades, D.F. (1979) Evolution of plant chemical defense against herbivores. In:
790   Rosenthal, G.A. & Janzen, D.H. (eds). *Herbivores: Their Interaction with*
791   *Secondary Plant Metabolites*. Academic Press, New York, pp. 2–54.

792 Risch, S.J. (1981) Insect herbivore abundance in tropical monocultures and
793   polycultures: an experimental test of two hypotheses. *Ecology*
794   **62**:1325–1340.

795 Root, R.B. (1973) Organization of a plant-arthropod association in simple and diverse
796   habitats: the fauna of Collards (*Brassica oleracea*). *Ecological Monographs*
797   **43**:95–124.

798 Russell, F.L. & Louda, S.M. (2004) Phenological synchrony affects interaction strength
799   of an exotic weevil with Platte thistle, a native host plant. *Oecologia*

800   **139**:525–534.

801 Russell, F.L. & Louda, S.M. (2005) Indirect interaction between two native thistles

802   mediated by an invasive exotic floral herbivore. *Oecologia* **146**:373–384.

803 Sabelis, M.W. & de Jong, M.C. (1988) Should all plants recruit bodyguards? Conditions

804   for a polymorphic ESS of synomone production in plants. *Oikos*

805   **53**:247–252.

806 Sakata, Y., Yamasaki, M., Isagi, Y. & Ohgushi, T. (2014) An exotic herbivorous insect

807   drives the evolution of resistance in the exotic perennial herb *Solidago*

808   *altissima*. *Ecology* **95**:2569–2578.

809 Sato, Y. (2016) Associational anti-herbivore defense in the trichome dimorphism of

810   *Arabidopsis halleri* subsp. *gemmifera* (Brassicaceae). Ph.D. Thesis, Kyoto

811   University.

812 Sato, Y., Kawagoe, T., Sawada, Y., Hirai, M.Y. & Kudoh, H. (2014)

813   Frequency-dependent herbivory by a leaf beetle, *Phaedon brassicae*, on

814   hairy and glabrous plants of *Arabidopsis halleri* subsp. *gemmifera*.

815   *Evolutionary Ecology* **28**:545–559.

816 Sato, Y. & Kudoh, H. (2015) Tests of associational defence provided by hairy plants for

817   glabrous plants of *Arabidopsis halleri* subsp. *gemmifera* against insect

818   herbivores. *Ecological Entomology* **40**:269–279.

819 Sato, Y. & Kudoh, H. (2016a) Presence of substitute diets alters plant resistance to

820   specialist and generalist herbivores: a meta-analysis. *Ecosphere* **7**:e01446.

821 Sato, Y. & Kudoh, H. (2016b) Associational effects against a leaf beetle mediate a

822   minority advantage in defense and growth between hairy and glabrous

823   plants. *Evolutionary Ecology* **30**:137–154.

824 Sato, Y. & Kudoh, H. (2017a) Fine-scale frequency differentiation along a herbivory

825   gradient in the trichome dimorphism of a wild *Arabidopsis*. *Ecology and*

826   *Evolution* **7**:2133–2141.

827 Sato, Y. & Kudoh, H. (2017b) Herbivore-mediated interaction promotes the

828   maintenance of trichome dimorphism through negative

829   frequency-dependent selection. *The American Naturalist* **190**:E67–E77.

830 Sato, Y., Ito, K. & Kudoh, H. (2017) Optimal foraging by herbivores maintains

831   polymorphism in defence in a natural plant population. *Functional Ecology*

832 **31**:2233–2243.

833 Schoonhoven, L.M., van Loon, J.J.A. & Dicke, M. (2005) *Insect-Plant Biology (2nd*
834 *edn)*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

835 Sholes, O.D.V. (2008) Effects of associational resistance and host density on woodland
836 insect herbivores. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **77**:16–23.

837 Simms, E.L. (1992) Costs of plant resistance to herbivory. In: Fritz, R.S., Simms, E.L.
838 (eds). *Plant Resistance to Herbivores and Pathogens: Ecology, Evolution,*
839 *and Genetics*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp. 392–425.

840 Sletvold, N., Huttunen, P., Handley, R., Kärkkäinen, K. & Ågren, J. (2010) Cost of
841 trichome production and resistance to a specialist insect herbivore in
842 *Arabidopsis lyrata*. *Evolutionary Ecology* **24**:1307–1319.

843 Spichtig, M. & Kawecki, T. (2004) The maintenance (or not) of polygenic variation by
844 soft selection in heterogeneous environments. *The American Naturalist*
845 **164**:70–84.

846 Stamp, N. (2003) Out of the quagmire of plant defense hypotheses. *Quarterly Review of*
847 *Biology* **78**:23–55.

848 Stastny, M. & Agrawal, A.A. (2014) Love thy neighbour? Reciprocal impacts between
849 plant community structure and insect herbivory in co-occurring Asteraceae.
850 *Ecology* **95**:2904–2914.

851 Tahvanainen, J.O. & Root, R.B. (1972) The influence of vegetational diversity on the
852 population ecology of a specialized herbivore, *Phyllotreta cruciferae*
853 (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Oecologia* **10**:321–346.

854 Thompson, J.N. (2005) *The Geographic Mosaic of Coevolution*. University of Chicago
855 Press, Chicago.

856 Till-Bottraud, I. & Gouyon, P.H. (1992) Intra-versus interplant Batesian mimicry? A
857 model on cyanogenesis and herbivory in clonal plants. *The American*
858 *Naturalist* **139**:509–520.

859 Tuomi, J., Augner, M. & Leimar, O. (1999) Fitness interactions among plants: optimal
860 defence and evolutionary game theory. In: Vuorisalo, T.O. & Mutikaine,
861 P.K. (eds). *Life-history Evolution in Plants*. Kluwer Academic Publishers,
862 Dordrecht, pp. 63–84.

863 Turcotte, M.M., Reznick, D.N. & Hare, J.D. (2011) The impact of rapid evolution on

864   population dynamics in the wild: experimental test of eco-evolutionary

865   dynamics. *Ecology Letters* **14**:1084–1092.

866 Turcotte, M.M., Reznick, D.N. & Hare, J. D. (2013) Experimental test of an

867   eco-evolutionary dynamic feedback loop between evolution and population

868   density in the green peach aphid. *The American Naturalist* **181**:S46–S57.

869 Turelli, M., Schemske, D.W. & Bierzychudek, P. (2001) Stable two-allele

870   polymorphisms maintained by fluctuating fitnesses and seed banks:

871   protecting the blues in *Linanthus parryae*. *Evolution* **55**:1283–1298.

872 Toju, H., Ueno, S., Taniguchi, F. & Sota, T. (2011) Metapopulation structure of a

873   seed–predator weevil and its host plant in arms race coevolution. *Evolution*

874   **65**:1707–1722.

875 Underwood, N., Inouye, B.D. & Hambäck, P.A. (2014) A conceptual framework for

876   associational effects: when do neighbors matter and how would we know?

877   *Quarterly Review of Biology* **89**:1–19.

878 van Dam, N.M., Hare, J.D. & Elle, E. (1999) Inheritance and distribution of trichome

879   phenotypes in *Datura wrightii*. *Journal of Heredity* **90**:220–227.

880 Verschut, T.A., Becher, P.G., Anderson, P. & Hambäck, P.A. (2016) Disentangling

881   associational effects: both resource density and resource frequency affect

882   search behaviour in complex environments. *Functional Ecology*

883   **30**:1826–1833.

884 Wahl, M. & Hay, M.E. (1995) Associational resistance and shared doom: effects of

885   epibiosis on herbivory. *Oecologia* **102**:329–340.

886 Wetzel, W.C., Kharouba, H.M., Robinson, M., Holyoak, M. & Karban, R. (2016)

887   Variability in plant nutrients reduces insect herbivore performance. *Nature*

888   **539**:425–427.

889 Wise, M.J. (2009) To duck or not to duck: resistance advantages and disadvantages of

890   the candy-cane stem phenotype in tall goldenrod, *Solidago altissima*. *New*

891   *Phytologist* **183**:900–907.

892 Wise, M.J., Yi, C.G. & Abrahamson, W.G. (2009) Associational resistance, gall-fly

893   preferences, and a stem dimorphism in *Solidago altissima*. *Acta Oecologica*

894   **35**:471–476.

895 White, J.A. & Whitham, T.G. (2000) Associational susceptibility of cottonwood to a box

896   elder herbivore. *Ecology* **81**:1795–1803.

897   Zakir, A., Sadek, M.M., Bengtsson, M., Hansson, B.S., Witzgall, P., Anderson, P. (2013)

898   Herbivore-induced plant volatiles provide associational resistance against

899   an ovipositing herbivore. *Journal of Ecology* **101**:410–417.

900   Zangerl, A.R. & Berenbaum, M.R. (2003) Phenotype matching in wild parsnip and

901   parsnip webworms: causes and consequences. *Evolution* **57**:806–815.

902   Zangerl, A.R., Stanley, M.C. & Berenbaum, M.R. (2008) Selection for chemical trait

903   remixing in an invasive weed after reassociation with a coevolved specialist.

904   *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* **105**:4547–4552.

905   Züst, T., Joseph, B., Shimizu, K.K., Kliebenstein, D.J. & Turnbull, L.A. (2011) Using

906   knockout mutants to reveal the growth costs of defensive traits.

907   *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*

908   **278**:2598–2603.

909   Züst, T., Heichinger, C., Grossniklaus, U., Harrington, R., Kliebenstein, D.J., &

910   Turnbull, L.A. (2012) Natural enemies drive geographic variation in plant

911   defenses. *Science* **338**:116–119.

912 **Table 1.** Examples of associational effects that cause frequency- and/or density-dependent herbivory between plant species/genotypes.

Factor	Article	Study system		Neighborhood composition
		Plant (or Resource)	Herbivore (or Consumer)	
Density	Rand (2003)	*Atriplex patula* and *Salicornia europaea*	Polyphagous beetle *Erynephala maritima*	Presence/absence of the other species with low/high density
	Russell & Louda (2005)	*Cirsium canescens* and *C. undulatum*	Florivorous weevil *Rhinocyllus conicus*	Density of the other species in natural populations
Frequency	Bergvall *et al.* (2006)	Low- and high-tannin food	Fallow deer *Dama dama*	Rare or abundant type A against B (= 2 frequency conditions)
	Wise *et al.* (2009)	Candy-cane and erect-stem genotype of *Solidago altissima*	Oligophagous gall-fly *Eurosta solidaginis*	Rare or abundant type A against B (= 2 frequency conditions)
	Sato & Kudoh (2016b)	Hairy and glabrous genotype of *Arabidopsis halleri* subsp. *gemmifera*	Oligophagous beetle *Phaedon brassicae*	Rare or abundant type A against B (= 2 frequency conditions)
	Garrido *et al.* (2016)	Resistant and tolerent genotype of *Datura stramonium*	Oligophagous beetle *Lema daturaphila*	Rare, even, and abundant type A against type B (= 3 frequency conditions)
Both	Kim & Underwood (2015)	*Solidago altissima* and *Solanum carolinense*	(incl. multiple species)	Response surface design of 4 frequency and 4 density conditions
	Hahn & Orrock (2016)	*Solidago nemoralis* and *S. odora*	Grasshoppers	Rare, even, and abundant type A against type B (= 3 frequency conditions) at high/low densities.
	Verschut *et al.* (2016)	Balsamic vinegar solutions	*Drosophila melanogaster*	Different resource concentration (2 levels) with 3 or 5 frequency conditions

913

Figure legends

Figure 1. Stepwise approach to reveal causes and consequences of associational effects (AE) on plant coexistence. The reference indicates studies comprising a focal approach. Details of the selected references are shown in Appendix.

Figure 2. Comprehensive test for the maintenance of the trichome dimorphism of *Arabidopsis halleri* subsp. *gemmifera* mediated by associational effects against a leaf beetle *Phaedon brassicae*. **(a)** Photographs of plants and insects; **(b)** Empirical approaches from laboratory experiments to field observation; **(c)** Modeling herbivory, plant fitness, and polymorphism dynamics. Insets in the panel **(c)** are modified from Sato *et al.* (2017).

Figure 3. Mechanism through which associational effects and a cost of defense jointly cause negative frequency-dependent selection between hairy and glabrous plants. Panels **(a)**, **(b)** and **(c)** represent differences in herbivory, intrinsic fitness, and realized fitness between hair and glabrous plants, respectively. H > G and H < G indicates hairy-abundant and glabrous-abundant condition, respectively.

Figure 4. Evolutionary dynamics wherein the trichome dimorphism of *Arabidopsis halleri* subsp. *gemmifera* can be maintained by the herbivore-mediated frequency-dependent selection. Frequency-dependent feeding preference by herbivores and plant defense-growth tradeoff results in a negative feedback loop that allows rarer plant morphs to invade into a population.

Figure 5. Specific hypothesis for how local-scale associational effects (AE) contribute to geographic variation in plant defense via the maintenance of source population in a polymorphism. **(a)** Relationship between the herbivory pressure and morph-frequency in the presence (solid line) and absence (dashed line) of AE. **(b)** Contribution of a source polymorphic population created by AE to the maintenance of polymorphism at a metapopulation scale. Grey and white square represents the region of intense and moderate herbivory, respectively. White arrows represent gene flow from a source to nearby populations.

946 **Figure 1**

Mechanism

Approach 1: Identifying herbivore behaviors and plant traits

- Behavioral assay for herbivores[1,2,3]
- Measurement of key plant traits[3,4,5,8]

Approach 2: Manipulative experiments demonstrating AE

Manipulating
- Neighborhood composition in plants
- Presence/absence of herbivores[5,6,7]

Approach 3: Field pattern of herbivory and plant population dynamics

Multi-year field census on
- Patterns of the damage and herbivore abundance on plants[1,8]
- Plant fitness[5,9] and population growth[7]

Pattern

[1] Tahvanainen & Root (1972); [2] White & Whitham (2000); [3] Bergvall *et al*. (2006); [4] Barton & Browers (2006); [5] Agrawal (2004); [6] Rand (2003); [7] Callaway *et al*. (2005); [8] Russell & Louda (2005); [9] Karban & Maron (2002)

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

**Figure 2**

Figure 3

Figure 4

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000 **Figure 5**

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

Appendix. Selected examples of associational effects in plant-herbivore interaction. Study system (plants and herbivores), design (experimental design and spatial scales, reciprocal data or not), and results (measurement, outcome, and putative mechanism) are summarized.

Reference	Study system			Study design			Results		
	Herbivore	Plant species	Plant traits	Experimental design	Scale	Reciprocal or not	Measurement	Outcome	Mechanism
Tahvanainen & Root (1972)	A specialist flea beetle (*Phyllotreta cruciferae*)	*Brassica oleracea* and tomato or tobacco	Odor (shown by a choice assay)	Monoculture of *B. oleracea* and polyculture of three species. The tomato and tobacco was added to the equal density of *B. oleracea* in the polyculture.	Within-patch	NA for tomato and tobacco	The number of beetles per plant and plant biomass for *B. oleracea*	AR: The number of beetles per plant was higher, and plant biomass decreased under the monoculture.	Odor cues for herbivores
Rausher (1981)	A specialist butterfly (*Battus philenor*)	*Aristolochia reticulata* and others species in near vegetation	Unidentified	0.5 m-radius vegetation surrounding an *A. reticulata* individual was removed. Non-removed patches were assigned to the control.	Within-patch	NA for counterparts	Oviposition per *A. reticulata* plant	AR: Isolated plants suffer more oviposition, butterflies spend more time in the isolated patch.	Searching effeciency of herbivores
Risch (1981)	Mono- Oligo-, Polyphagous beetles (6 species)	Corn (*Zea mays*), beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*), and squash (*Cucurbita maxima*)	Unidentified	Intercropping one crops into another; monoculture, diculture triculture for 3 species (= 7 conditions)	Within-patch	Reciprocal	No of beetles per plant	AR: The beetle abundance reduced under polycultic conditions except for a squash-bean diculture	Resource concentration (plant density)
Hay (1986)	Generalist fishes	Multiple species of Marine algae	Unidentified	Solitary or paired culture in a closed cage	Within-patch	Reciprocal	Within-patch: predation risk by fishes	AR for palatable algae to generalist fishes	Physical attachments to unpalatable algae
White & Whitham (2000)	Fall cankerworm (*Alsophila pometaria*).	Cotton (*Populus angustifolia* × *P. fremontii*), and box elder (*Acer negundo*)	Unknown, (but a choice test showed that the cankerworm prefer to the box elder).	Transplanting juvenile cottons to three conditions: Nearby box elder, Nearby matured cotton, Stand alone.	Within-patch	NA for the box elder	Larvae density per shoot of the juvenile cotton	AS: Cotton suffered from the higher density nearby a heterospecific counterpart than nearby conspecifics or stand alone.	Herbivore dispersal from palatable to unpalatable plants
Karban & Maron (2002)	Generalist grass-hoppers (incl. multiple species)	Sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*) and tobacco (*Nicotiana attenuata*)	Volatile chemical	Tobacco was transferred near damaged or intact sagebrush.	Within-patch	NA for Sagebrush	The number of flowers and capsules per tobacco	AR: tobacco produced more flowers and capsules nearby damaged sagebrush.	Volatile communication between plants
Hambäck *et al.* (2000)	A specialist leaf beetle (*Galerucella calmariensis*)	An aromatic shrub (*Myrica gale*) and a perrenial herb (*Lythrum salicaria*)	Unidentified	Stand-alone or within-*M. gale* patch condition for *L. salicaria*	Within-patch	NA for *M. gale*	Oviposition, leaf damage, seed production per individual *L. salicaria*.	AR: *L. salicaria* incurred less oviposition and damage, and produce more seeds nearby *M. gale*	Odor or vidual cypsis. Predator abundance did not differ between the two conditions.
Rand (2003)	A generalist leaf beetle (*Erynephalam aritima*)	Two forbs, *Atriplex patula* var. *hastata* and *Salicorniae uropaea*	Unidentified (but feeding preference was shown by a choice test).	The presence/absence of herbivores for 3 conditions: *Atriplex* alone with low density, *Atriplex* alone with high density, *Atriplex* with *Salicornia*	Within-patch	NA for *Salicornia*	Leaf damage, the number of larvae, and survival (%) of plants.	AS: *Atriplex* suffered more leaf damage and less survival nearby *Salicornia*	Diet-mixing by generalist herbivore
Agrawal (2004)	A specialist beetle (*Tetraopes tetraophthalmus*)	a milkweed (*Asclepias syriaca*) and a grass (*Liriomyza asclepiadis*)	Trichome, Latex, Nitrogen contents	Grasses or beetles were added to a potted milkweed: 4 treatments of Control, Grass+, Beetle+, and Grass&Beetle+. Grass alone, Grass&Milk&Beetle, Grass&Milk was also set.	Within-patch	Grass biomass data: Grass alone = Grass&Milk&Beetle > Grass&Milk	Milkweed biomass, fruit production, fruit mass; and grass biomass	AS: milkweed biomass and fecundity was Control ≒ Grass+ > Beetle+ > Grass&Beetle+.	Dispersal from primary host plants to secondary ones.

Study	Herbivore	Plant species	Plant defense/trait	Experimental design	Scale	Design type	Response variable	Results	Mechanism
Callaway *et al.* (2005)	Mammals	Four unpalatable herbs (*Cirsium*, *Veratrum, Luzula, Alchemilla*) and two palatable herbs (*Anthoxanthum*, *Phleum*)	Spines (for *Cirsium*) or toxin (for *Veratrum*)	Four focal species × within/outside grazing fence × exclusion of *Cirsium* or *Veratrum* = 24 treatments	Within-patch	NA for *Cirsium* and *Veratrum*	Annual growth rates of four plant species.	AR: increased growth rate of two palatable species, no increase of growth rate of unpalatable two species nearby *Cirsium* and *Veratrum*	avoidance by grazers to plant spines and toxins.
Russell & Louda (2005)	Florivorous weevil, *Rhinocyllus conicus*	Two congeneric natives, *Cirsium canescens* and *C. undulatum*	Plant phenology	> 10-yrs field census in 13 sites where the two plant species co-occur	Within-site	NA for *C. canescens*	Proportion of weevils and their eggs on *C. undulatum*.	AR for *C. undulatum*: The proportion of weevils and eggs was negatively correlated with the number of *C. canescens*.	Phenological syncronicity of flowering between the two species.
Bergvall *et al.* (2006)	Fallow deer (*Dama dama*)	Unknown. Synthetic food containing different tannin levels	Chemical (Tannin)	Bad-patch and good-patch contains 7:1 and 1:7 of high:low tannin buckets, respectively. Single- and group-foraging of deers were also tested.	Within and between patch	Reciprocal	Log-consumption per bucket (g).	AS for low-tannin buckets: More consumption on low-tannin buckets in the bad-patch than those in the good-patch. AR for high-tannin buckets: Less consumption on high-tannin buckets in the good-patch than those in the bad-	within- and among-patch foraging behavior of mammalian herbivores.
Barton & Bowers (2006)	None (only defense phenotypes were evaluated)	Interspecific: two congeners, *Plantago lanceolata* and *P. major*	Chemical (Iridoid glucoside)	2 species × (conspecific, heterospecific, no neighbor) × 2 harvesting time points = 12 conditions	Within-patch	Reciprocal	Within-patch: % Dry Weight of Iridoid Glycosides	AS for *P. lanceolata*?: *P. lanceolata* surrounded by heterospecific plants produced less defensive chemicals compared to those surrounded by conspecifics.	Plant trait change via direct competition between plants.
Le Guigo *et al.* (2012)	A specialist aphid (*Brevicoryne brassicae*) and generalist aphid (*Myzus persicae*)	Three *Brassica* species and tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*)	Chemical (glucosinolate?)	A *Brassicae oleracea* individual was surrounded by 6 conspecific or heterospecific individuals (= 7 treatments in total).	Within-patch	Reciprocal	The number of aphids on a focal *B. oleracea*, compared between conspecific and interspecific conditions	AS when a herbivore is specialist; AR when a herbivore is generalist.	Chemical attraction or repulsion
Castagneyrol *et al.* (2013)	Leaf-chewers (beetles, caterpillars, and grasshoppers) or Leaf-miners (incl. 5 species)	*Betula pendula*, *Quercus robur*, *Quercus pyrenaica*, *Quercus ilex*, *Pinus pinaster*	Plant height, and leaf area, N and P contents, leaf life span	31 possible mono- and poly-culture combinations of 1~5 plant species	Within/Between patch	Relative values of two species (for plant height data)	Leaf area loss (by chewers) and leaf-miner abundance at plot and individual scale.	AR against leaf-miners: no AR against leaf-chewers	Variation in leaf traits and apparency (plant height)
Kim & Underwood (2015)	3 species of specialists (for *S. carolinense*), and 3 species of generalist herbivores	*Solanum carolinense, Solidago altissima*	Unidentified	Response surface design (1, 6, 12, 18 total plant number with 4 frequencies of *S. altissima*)	Within-patch	Reciprocal (shown in the supplement)	Leaf damage (%) of individual plants	AS for *S. carolinense* and damage was positively density-dependent.	Unknown (but those depending on the plant density and frequency)

Abbreviation: AR; Associational resistance, AS; Associational susceptibility, NA; No information available