The Sayn theory of Grade II in Middle Chinese

中古漢語二等爲Sayn介音說

Xun Gong 冀勳 SOAS

The 51st International Conference on Sino-Tibetan Languages and Linguistics

Kyoto University, 25 – 28 September 2018

Introduction

- · We already *know* much about OC; sometimes we know OC better than MC.
- · In such cases, we can leverage knowledge about OC to give better hypotheses about MC.
- · example: What's Grade II 二等?
 - OC: type A (B-S *- $^{\circ}$ -) + *-r-
 - MC: hmmm...

Grade II

The existing reconstructions of Grade II by scholars:

	Grade II		cf. Grade I
	*- ^s ra-	*- ^s re-	*- ^s a-
高本漢 Karlgren (1957)	а	ă	а
蒲立本 Pulleyblank (1984)	a^{ι}	\mathcal{E}^{ι}	a
白一平 Baxter (1992)	æ	${oldsymbol{arepsilon}}$	a
鄭張尚芳 Zhèngzhāng (2003)	γa	$\gamma \varepsilon$	а
潘悟雲 Pan (2000)	ша	шæ	а

Despite their disparity, they reflect a set of valid observations and intuitions that, once all accounted of, actually point to a direction different from the current solutions.

1. Origins

The origin of Grade II syllables is now uncontroversial.

Grade II
$$<$$
 type A $+$ *- r -

2. Phonological nature

As is reflected in the different reconstructions, the nature of the Grade II is deeply ambiguous between a medial and a vowel quality.

3. æ-ness

Grade II is associated with both **low vowel height** and **frontness**. Hence, it is often represented in reconstructions with a vowel like [æ] or [a].

Low vowel height

The low vowel height is especially visible as a diachronic development from Old Chinese: every OC vowel under Grade II changes into some kind of *a* in Late Middle Chinese.

- · The final merger of the two Grade-II vowels: why did γa and $\gamma \varepsilon$ (Zhèngzhāng) merge together?
- · Extreme case: *-^rruk, *-^rrok in 'Phags-pa Chinese 蒙古字韻.
 - 角 *C.[k]^srok: kjaw, distinct from 腳 *[k]ak, pronounced kew.
 - cf. 榖 [k] sok: ku.

Frontness

- · Directly reflected in Southern Chinese and Chinese borrowings in other languages:
 - Southern Mǐn *æ: ¬泉州 Quánzhōu e ue 漳州 Zhāngzhōu ε ua
 - Goon 吳音: e.
 - (Roughly) Second wave of Chinese words in Vietnamese 古漢越音: *e* [ε]. 畫 **C-g*^wrek-s: Southern Mǐn ōe, Japanese 絵 ゑ, Vietnamese 腼 vẽ [vεͿʔ-]
- · Palatal excrescence(?) / breaking (?) after velars and laryngeals in current Northern Chinese and Sino-Xenic readings: $\bar{x} *k^{s}ra > ji\bar{a}$, Vietnamese gia etc.
- ・ Sogdian (Yoshida, 1994): 界 * $k^{s}r[e][t]$ -s Sogd. ky?y[qiai], 更 * $k^{s}ran$ Sogd. ky?nk[qiang]
 - Probably a Sogdian way to write the unfamiliar sound combination qx, cf. Japanese rendering of English + + y + etc.

4. philoretroflexity

Grade II has an affinity with retroflexes.

- · Distribution of initial consonants.
- · 莊三化二: MC retroflex fricatives/affricates (莊組) in Grade III loses the Grade III yod and end up with a rhyme that is reflected as akin to Grade II in rime tables 韻圖, Shào Yōng 邵庸 and Tangut transcription.
- · Nánjīng-type (南京型, Xióng, 1990): MC retroflex followed by a vowel that is not the Grade-II vowel in Proto-Southern Mandarin loses its retroflexity: Sichuanese 師 *srij > $s\bar{i}$, 責 *s- $t^{\varsigma}rek > z\hat{e}$, but 沙 * $s^{\varsigma}raj > sh\bar{a}$ etc.
 - In the ancestor of Nánjīng-type dialects, retroflexity becomes a strict allophonic feature of the Grade-II vowel.

a-colour and x-colour

There is a lot of terminological confusion concerning uvularization, pharyngealization. Sylak-Glassman (2014) demonstrated that at least phonologically there are two major types of gutturalish consonants and secondary articulations:

- · uvulars & uvularization 小舌音與小舌化: pulls the vowel quality back to a
- · pharyngeals & pharyngealization 咽音與咽化: pulls the vowel quality front to æ Under this scheme, Baxter-Sagart pharyngealization is rather uvularization.

Arabic is the best example to illustrate the difference between uvularity-a and pharyngeality-a. Compare the a-colouring consonant a with the a-colouring consonants a and a data from Cairene Arabic (Watson, 2002, 272).

- hadd → [ħadd] "someone"
- balaħ → [belaħ] "dates"
- · qatal → [qatel] "he killed"
- t^s alab \rightarrow [t^s alab] "he demanded" (in fact t^s , unlike traditional unifying analysis of all emphatic consonants as pharyngealized)

Pharyngeals often have a fronting effect to the vowel, a phenomenon first noticed in Trubetzkoy (1931) as "Emphatische-mouillierung".

In Avar (Charachidzé, 1981, 17 cited from Sylak-Glassman, 2014):

- $\cdot /goh/ \rightarrow [gøh]$ "mountain"
- · /ħor/ → [ħør] "lake"
- · /ħundul/ → [ħýndul] "testicles"

The Sayn hypothesis

The hypothesis:

- · In Pre-Middle-Chinese (\sim 200CE), Grade-II syllables had a f medial.
- The Γ medial continuously dragged the vowels towards a^{Γ} , so that in the Táng dynasty, Grade-II vowels have mostly merged into the single Grade-II vowel (Γ) a^{Γ} .

	*-r ^s e-	*-r ^s a-	*-r ^s ə-
Late OC 建安	ſe	ſa	ſə
Qièyùn 切韻	٢æ٩	ſaˤ	٢æ٩
Late MC	(s)as	(s)as	(s)as

Discussion

Origin

Grade II
$$<$$
 type A $+$ *- r -

One possibility: *- r^{ν} - > *- ν - > -\$-

· cf. French, German, Hebrew

Other possibility: English-type pharyngealized r with only pharyngealization left.

Philoretroflexivity

- · Classical account (cf. manuals of phonetics like Catford, 1977 or Trask, 1996: retroflex always involves something at the back of the mouth (uvular/pharyngeal constriction).
- · Bhat (1974): Hey, there are languages with retroflexion but not retraction!
- · Hamann (2003): No, there are not.

Bibliography

William H. III Baxter. *A Handbook of Old Chinese Phonology*. Trends in Linguistics, Studies and Monographs 64. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 1992.

DNS Bhat. Retroflexion and retraction. Journal of Phonetics, 2(3):233-237, 1974.

John Cunnison Catford. Fundamental problems in phonetics. Midland Books, 1977.

Georges Charachidzé. Grammaire de la langue avar: langue du caucase nord-est. 1981.

Silke Renate Hamann. *The phonetics and phonology of retroflexes*. PhD thesis, Utrecht University, 2003.

Bernhard Karlgren. Grammata serica recensa. *Bulletin of the Museum of Far Eastern Antiquities*, 29:1–332, 1957.

Wuyun Pan. Hànyǔ lìshǐ yīnyùnxué 汉语历史音韵学. Shanghai Jiaoyu, Shànghǎi, 2000.

Edwin Pulleyblank. Middle Chinese: A study in historical phonology. UBC Press, Vancouver, 1984.

John Sylak-Glassman. *Deriving natural classes: the phonology and typology of post-velar conso- nants.* PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2014.

Lawrence Robert Trask. A dictionary of phonetics and phonology. Routlege, New York, 1996.

Nikolai Trubetzkoy. Die konsonantensysteme der ostkaukasischen sprachen. *Caucasica*, 8:1–52, 1931.

Janet Watson. *The phonology and morphology of Arabic*. Oxford University Press on Demand, 2002.

Zhènghuī Xióng. 官话区方言分ts ts的类型. 方言, (1):3-12, 1990.

Yutaka Yoshida. ソグド文字で表記された漢字音. 東方學報, 66, 11994.

Shàngfāng Zhèngzhāng. Shànggǔ yīnxì 上古音系. Shanghai Jiaoyu, Shànghǎi, 2003.