

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Research Paper

Title:

**Thermodynamic Analysis and Effect of Crystallinity for Silicon Monoxide
Negative Electrode for Lithium Ion Batteries**

Authors:

Kouji Yasuda,^{a,b,*} Yusuke Kashitani,^c Shingo Kizaki,^c Kohki Takeshita,^c
Takehisa Fujita,^c and Shinji Shimosaki^c

Affiliations:

^aEnvironment, Safety and Health Organization, Kyoto University, Yoshida-honmachi,
Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

^bGraduate School of Energy Science, Kyoto University, Yoshida-honmachi, Sakyo-ku,
Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

^cHigh-Performance Materials Manufacturing Department, OSAKA Titanium
technologies Co., Ltd., 1, Higashihama-cho, Amagasaki, Hyogo 660-8533, Japan

Corresponding Author:

*E-mail: yasuda.kouji.3v@kyoto-u.ac.jp (K. Yasuda); Tel: +81-75-753-4817; Fax: +81-
75-753-5906

Abstract

The electrochemical behavior of SiO negative electrodes for lithium ion batteries is thermodynamically and experimentally investigated. The analysis of the reaction pathway and the calculation of the reaction potentials during the Li insertion/extraction reactions are carried out by the construction of the ternary phase diagram for the Li–Si–O system. In the initial reaction of Li insertion, metallic Si and lithium silicates are formed above 0.37 V vs. Li/Li⁺ as a conversion reaction of the SiO negative electrode. Further Li insertion produces Li–Si alloys as reversible reaction phases. The decomposition of the Li₄SiO₄ phase begins before the formation of the Li–Si alloy is completed. The measured electrode behavior of the SiO negative electrode basically agrees with the thermodynamic calculations, especially at a low reaction rate; deviations can be ascribed to kinetic factors and electrode resistance. The values of over 1898 mA h g⁻¹ and 71.0% were obtained for the discharge capacity and the coulombic efficiency, respectively. Furthermore, the overvoltage for an amorphous SiO electrode was smaller than that for a disproportionated SiO electrode into Si and SiO₂ phases.

Highlights

- Thermodynamic analysis of SiO electrodes
- Highest discharge capacity and relatively high coulombic efficiency for SiO anode
- Superior characters of amorphous SiO than disproportionated SiO

Keywords

Silicon monoxide, Thermodynamics, Phase diagram, Lithium ion battery

1. Introduction

Lithium ion batteries (LIBs) are widely utilized as efficient storage devices for mobile systems such as laptop computers and cell phones. The large operational voltage derives from the redox reactions for lithium (Li) species both at the positive and negative electrodes. One major concern surrounding LIBs is the insufficient capacity of the graphite negative electrode in the context of long-distance driving for electric vehicles (EVs) and hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs). The development of alloying-dealloying processes with Li by using alloying materials such as silicon (Si) and tin (Sn) as well as conversion-type materials of metal oxides, nitrides, and phosphides constitutes a crucial task toward achieving large capacities [1–3]. Among the various candidates, silicon monoxide (SiO) is expected to have a high capacity and impressive cyclability by overcoming the drawbacks of a large volume expansion and a large irreversible capacity for alloying materials and conversion-type materials, respectively.

In the Si–oxygen (O) binary system [4], silicon dioxide (SiO₂) is the only stable oxide at ambient pressure and temperature. Silicon oxides with lower oxygen content, SiO_x – where x is the atomic ratio of oxygen with respect to silicon, and falls between 0 (Si) and 2 (SiO₂) – have no stable crystalline structure including SiO [5–11]. Among silicon lower oxides, solid SiO is the only commercially available variety, and is usually manufactured with a vapor deposition technique from the gaseous SiO produced by the reaction of Si and SiO₂ at high temperatures [11–13]. The notable characteristic of solid SiO is its disproportionation into solid nanocrystalline Si particles and solid SiO₂ matrix above ~1123 K [14,15]. The crystallinity of the SiO materials changes with the thermal history during the production processes. Accordingly, both the amorphous and disproportionated varieties of SiO are sometimes referred to as “commercial SiO.”

Nevertheless, it should be emphasized that the characteristics of amorphous SiO and disproportionated SiO are different. For instance, the Si valence states for amorphous SiO are evaluated using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis as Si(0), Si(1+), Si(2+), Si(3+), and Si(4+), depending on the number of bonds coordinated with oxygen; on the other hand, the valence states are evaluated as Si(0) and Si(4+) for disproportionated SiO [16–18].

The characteristics of SiO negative electrodes for LIBs have already been reported by many researchers for the case of both amorphous SiO and disproportionated SiO [19–32]. In addition to SiO negative electrodes, the electrochemical characteristics of (SiO + carbon) composite electrodes [33–44] and SiO₂ electrodes [28, 45–50] have also been reported. Table 1 summarizes the results for the SiO phase (amorphous or disproportionated), the intermediate phase during Li insertion/extraction, the initial charge and discharge capacities, the initial coulombic efficiency, and the analysis methods, where the charge/discharge rates and cut-off voltages are irrelevant.


Table 1 Representative reports on battery and electrode characteristics of the SiO negative electrode.

Author, Ref.	Year	SiO phase	Intermediate phase	Initial charge capacity (mAh g ⁻¹)	Initial discharge capacity (mAh g ⁻¹)	Initial coulombic efficiency (%)	Note
Yang et al. [19]	2002	Amor.	-	ca. 2250	ca. 1300	ca. 57.8	-
Nagao et al. [20]	2004	Amor.	SiO ₂	1594	-	-	Neutron elastic scattering
Miyachi et al. [21]	2005	Amor.	Li-Si-O, Li ₂ O	2404	598	24.9	XPS
Miyachi et al. [22]	2007	-	Li-Si-O, Li ₂ O	2520	1260	50.0	Metal-doped SiO, XPS
Kim et al. [23]	2007	-	Li-Si-O, Li ₂ O	2680	1470	54.9	NMR, HR-TEM, electrochemical dilatometry. Plateau at 0.8 V vs. Li ⁺ /Li in 1st discharge
Yamada et al. [24]	2007	-	-	-	-	-	Kinetics evaluation by AC impedance spectroscopy
Park et al. [25]	2010	Amor./dispro.	SiO ₂	2216/ 185	1104/ 94	49.8/ 50.8	TEM, Disproportionated at 800, 1000, and 1200 °C.
Komaba et al. [26]	2011	-	-	ca. 1800	826	45.9	-
Kim et al., [27]	2011	Amor./dispro.	Li-Si-O, Li ₂ O	2410	1300	53.9	NMR, HR-TEM, Differential capacity peaks at 0.08 and 0.24 V vs. Li ⁺ /Li
Yamamura et al. [28]	2011	Dispro.	Li-Si-O	2548	1791	70.3	Plateau at 0.2 and 0.5 V vs. Li ⁺ /Li in 1st discharge. First principles calculation. SiO ₂ was also reacted.
Miyuki et al. [29]	2011	Amor./dispro.	-	-	-	-	LiFePO ₄ /SiO fill cell.
Jeong et al. [30]	2012	Dispro.	-	1757	1265	72.0	TiO ₂ coated SiO
Kim et al. [31]	2013	Dispro.	-	1958	1413	72.2	Carbon coated SiO. Differential capacity peaks at 0.06, 0.21, 0.31 and 0.48 V vs. Li ⁺ /Li after 5th cycle
Takezawa et al. [32]	2013	Amor.	Li-Si-O, Li ₂ O	2418	1306	54.0	SiO _x (0.17 < x < 1.34) was used. XPS

-: Not given

1
2
3 In this paper, we use the phrases “charge” and “discharge” for Li insertion and
4
5 extraction reactions, respectively. The Li insertion reaction is reported to proceed with
6
7 the formation of Li–Si alloys, lithium silicates (Li–Si–O), and/or Li₂O [21,33,34];
8
9 moreover, the alloying-dealloying of Si contributes to the reversible capacity. Whereas
10
11 the formed silicates and Li₂O lead to the irreversible capacity, the silicates play the role
12
13 of a matrix of nano Si (2–10 nm diameter) for the fast diffusion of Li atoms and the
14
15 mitigation of structural collapse [24]. The formation of these oxide phases has been
16
17 evaluated by various techniques such as XPS, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), high-
18
19 resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM), and electrochemical dilatometry.
20
21
22

23
24 The theoretical reaction of a SiO negative electrode was reported as follows [28,
25
26 29,51]:
27
28
29
30
31



32
33
34
35
36 According to Eq. (1), the initial charge and discharge capacities and initial coulombic
37
38 efficiency are theoretically calculated as 2615 mA h g⁻¹, 2007 mA h g⁻¹, and 76.7%,
39
40 respectively. However, as understood from Table 1, the reported values for SiO negative
41
42 electrodes differ among researchers. In addition, the reaction potentials observed as
43
44 potential plateaus in the potential-charge curves and capacity peaks in voltammograms
45
46 also differ between reports [34,52]. Consequently, the nature of the electrochemical
47
48 characteristics of SiO has not yet been sufficiently clarified. Although Yang et al. have
49
50 provided a thermodynamic analysis of the reaction potentials of a SiO electrode [53],
51
52 their calculations are not entirely reliable because they do not take into account the Gibbs
53
54 phase rule that is indispensable for the evaluation of the equilibrium.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 In this paper, the reactions for a SiO negative electrode are thermodynamically
4
5 evaluated. Firstly, the Li–Si–O system is investigated on the basis of the construction of
6
7 the ternary phase diagram according to the Gibbs phase rule, in which the number of
8
9 degrees of freedom at a fixed temperature can be expressed as:

$$f = C - P + 1, \quad (2)$$

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19 where f stands for the number of degrees of freedom, C is the number of components, and
20
21 P is the number of equilibrated phases. Secondly, the formation potentials of Li–Si alloys,
22
23 lithium silicates, and Li_2O are calculated, and equilibrium potential curves for
24
25 charge/discharge reactions are drawn. Thirdly, the key factors for high capacity and
26
27 impressive cycle performance are discussed. Finally, the differences for the
28
29 charge/discharge behaviors between amorphous SiO and disproportionated SiO are
30
31 experimentally evaluated.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 2. Thermodynamic calculations

43
44
45
46 Because a SiO electrode is composed of three elements (i.e., Li, Si, and O) during
47
48 the electrochemical insertion/extraction of Li, the solid electrode can be treated as a
49
50 material in the Li–Si–O system. From the standpoint of thermochemistry, the stability
51
52 and behavior of the electrode can be investigated from the ternary phase diagram.
53
54 However, the diagram for the Li–Si–O system has only been reported at high
55
56 temperatures above 600 K with the aim of the production of ceramics [54,55], and there
57
58 has been no report at room temperature. Accordingly, in this section the ternary phase
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 diagram is constructed at 298 K from the reported thermodynamic data of the compounds.
4
5 Furthermore, the phase change and equilibrium potentials in the Li insertion/extraction
6
7 reactions for a SiO negative electrode are analyzed. Against this background, the
8
9 dependence of battery performance on charge/discharge conditions is discussed.
10
11
12
13
14

15 2-1. Construction of the ternary phase diagram for the Li–Si–O system

16
17 In the Li–Si–O system at 298 K, the compounds including solid Li, solid Si, and O₂
18
19 gas (listed in Table 2) exist. Only solid Li₂O and SiO₂ are the stable phases in the binary
20
21 systems for the Li–O [4,56] and Si–O [4,57,58] systems, respectively. According to the
22
23 quasi-binary phase diagrams for the Li₂O–SiO₂ system [59–61], solid Li₂Si₂O₅, Li₂SiO₃,
24
25 and Li₄SiO₄ are stable phases among the lithium silicates. In the Li–Si system, four
26
27 compounds (Li₁₂Si₇, Li₇Si₃, Li₁₃Si₄, and Li₂₂Si₅) are reported [62]. These diagrams
28
29 indicate a negligible composition range for these compounds deriving from the vacancy
30
31 and solubility.
32
33
34
35

36
37 The thermodynamic data for these compounds in the Li–Si–O system (acquired
38
39 from the thermodynamic software HSC Chemistry[®] ver. 5.1 [63]) are also listed in Table
40
41 2 [64–72]. Because SiO has no stable crystalline phase at ambient temperature and
42
43 pressure, its data are not reported. Concerning the thermodynamic data for the Li–Si
44
45 compounds, there were several reports that used electromotive force (emf) measurements
46
47 [73–77]. Their data were recalculated by Demidov et al. [78] and Braga et al. [79] using
48
49 two assessment methods, which were adopted and updated for the construction of the
50
51 current phase diagram for the Li–Si system [62], respectively. In this study, the standard
52
53 Gibbs energies of formation at 298 K in the first assessment method in the report by
54
55 Braga et al. [79] were applied. The phase diagram was constructed by Chesta ver. 2.22,
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

which is a free software supplied by Kyoto University for creating chemical potential diagrams for multi-component systems [80].

Table 2 Thermodynamic data for Li–Si–O system at 298 K used for the calculation.

Formula	Compound			Standard Gibbs energy, $G^\circ / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$	Standard Gibbs energy of formation, $\Delta G_f^\circ / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$	Ref
	Li	Si	O			
Li	1	0	0	-8.7	0	[64–66]
Si	0	1	0	-5.6	0	[67]
O ₂	0	0	2	-61.1	0	[66,68]
Li ₁₂ Si ₇	12	7	0	-570.5	-426.9	
Li ₇ Si ₃	7	3	0	-312.7	-235.0	Calculated from [79].
Li ₁₃ Si ₄	13	4	0	-525.6	-390.1	
Li ₂₂ Si ₅	22	5	0	-758.8	-539.4	
Li ₂ O	2	0	1	-609.1	-561.2	[64,69,70]
SiO ₂	0	1	2	-923.2	-856.5	[71]
Li ₂ Si ₂ O ₅	2	2	5	-2598.3	-2416.9	[64]
Li ₅ SiO ₃	2	1	3	-1673.4	-1558.8	[67]
Li ₄ SiO ₄	4	1	4	-2366.2	-2203.6	[72]

Figure 1(a) shows the ternary phase diagram for the Li–Si–O system at 298 K calculated from the reported thermodynamic data in Table 2. As can be seen, the large triangle consists of Li, Si, and 1/2 O₂ vertices; the diagram is divided into small triangles, except for the upper part. According to the Gibbs phase rule at a fixed temperature indicated by Eq. (2) and the value of $C = 3$ (Li, Si, and O) in the system, three condensed phases indicated as the vertices are equilibrated ($f = 0$, $P = 4$ by three phases and gas). On the other hand, at the upper part of the diagram, the triangle of Li₂O–SiO₂–O₂ is not divided into small triangles. In the Li₂O–SiO₂–O₂ system, the value of P is 3 because two condensed phases and the gas phase exist. Thus, the value of $f = 1$ indicates that the system has one variable, such as the partial pressure of oxygen (p_{O_2}).




Fig. 1 (a) Calculated ternary phase diagram for the Li-Si-O system at 298 K. (b) Transition of formed phases during the Li insertion for a SiO negative electrode at 298 K shown in the ternary phase diagram for Li-Si-O system.

2-2. Phase change and equilibrium potential for a SiO electrode

Because the position in the ternary phase diagram indicates the nominal composition of the system, the phase change during the electrochemical Li insertion/extraction reaction of a SiO electrode can be discussed based on the equilibrium phases. Assuming that there are no ionic species containing Si and O related to the electrode reactions, the electrode potential, E , with respect to the standard potential of Li is a function of the Li activity in the electrode:




$$E = \frac{RT}{F} \ln \frac{a_{\text{Li}}}{a_{\text{Li}^+}} = \frac{2.303RT}{F} \log a_{\text{Li}}, \quad (4)$$

where R is the gas constant, T is the absolute temperature, F is the Faraday constant, and a_{Li} and a_{Li^+} are the activities of Li and Li^+ ions, respectively. Here, the standard states of Li and Li^+ ions are pure solid Li and ion concentration dispersed in the electrolyte, respectively. It should be mentioned that the calculation is based on the following assumptions, which are inherent to the discussion of chemical equilibria: the composition of the electrode is homogeneous; the compounds are electrochemically active with Li; and the electrode potential is determined by the activity of Li in the electrode, as expressed by Eq. (4). Another assumption is that the chemical potentials of Si and O_2 in the SiO phase are the same at the equilibrium of Si and SiO_2 . The three phases at the vertices are equilibrated when a nominal composition is located within the triangle. The electrode potential takes on a fixed value when the system has zero degrees of freedom according to Eq. (2). Another equilibrium is a two-phase equilibrium whose composition is positioned along a line. The two phases at the side ends are equilibrated with one degree of freedom ($f = 1$) – i.e., the electrode potential changes.

When the Li insertion reaction occurs for a SiO electrode, the change of nominal composition is expressed as the red arrow drawn from SiO to Li in Fig. 1(b). The calculation suggests the formation of $\text{Li}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5/\text{Si}/\text{SiO}_2$ in the initial reaction of SiO. In the actual reaction, SiO_2 is not formed and $\text{Li}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5/\text{SiO}/\text{Si}$ are equilibrated as the red broken line becomes a side of the triangle.

The formation of Li–Si–O compounds ($\text{Li}_a\text{Si}_b\text{O}_c$, where a , b , and c are stoichiometric ratios) from metallic Li and Si as well as O_2 gas at atmospheric pressure can be expressed as follows:



The chemical potentials of Li, Si, and O₂ can be expressed as a function of the standard Gibbs energy of formation of Li_aSi_bO_c ($\Delta G_f^\circ(\text{Li}_a\text{Si}_b\text{O}_c)$):

$$\begin{aligned} & a (G^\circ(\text{Li}) + RT \ln a_{\text{Li}}) + b (G^\circ(\text{Si}) + RT \ln a_{\text{Si}}) + c/2 (G^\circ(\text{O}_2) + RT \ln p_{\text{O}_2}) \\ & = G^\circ(\text{Li}_a\text{Si}_b\text{O}_c), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 2.303RT (a \log a_{\text{Li}} + b \log a_{\text{Si}} + c/2 \log p_{\text{O}_2}) \\ & = G^\circ(\text{Li}_a\text{Si}_b\text{O}_c) - (a G^\circ(\text{Li}) + b G^\circ(\text{Si}) + c/2 G^\circ(\text{O}_2)) \\ & = \Delta G_f^\circ(\text{Li}_a\text{Si}_b\text{O}_c). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here, $G^\circ(\text{Li})$, $G^\circ(\text{Si})$, and $G^\circ(\text{O}_2)$ are the standard Gibbs energy of Li, Si, and O₂, respectively, and a_{Si} is the activity of Si with respect to pure solid Si.

As shown in Eq. (7), a_{Li} , a_{Si} , and p_{O_2} are variables under the existence of a single phase only. On the other hand, they take on fixed values at the equilibrium state of three phases where the standard Gibbs energies of these compounds simultaneously satisfy Eq. (7). By combining the two compounds, the chemical potentials at the Li₂Si₂O₅/Si/SiO₂ equilibrium (region ② in Fig. 1(b)) can be calculated in Eq. (8):

$$2.303RT \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & \frac{5}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \log a_{\text{Li}} \\ \log a_{\text{Si}} \\ \log p_{\text{O}_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta G_f^\circ(\text{Li}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5) \\ \Delta G_f^\circ(\text{Si}) \\ \Delta G_f^\circ(\text{SiO}_2) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Namely, $\log a_{\text{Li}}$, $\log a_{\text{Si}}$, and $\log p_{\text{O}_2}$ are calculated by Eq. (9) as -24.2 , 0 , and -150.1 , respectively, from the values of ΔG_f° for $\text{Li}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5$, Si , and SiO_2 listed in Table 2. The electrode potential at this equilibrium is obtained to be 1.428 V vs. Li/Li^+ by Eq. (4).

With the progress of Li insertion, the nominal composition reaches the $\text{Li}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5/\text{Si}$ two-phase equilibrium (point ③). The potential shifts to a negative value during the two-phase equilibrium. Further Li insertion introduces the reaction state of the $\text{Li}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5/\text{Li}_2\text{SiO}_3/\text{Si}$ equilibrium (region ④). The chemical potentials and electrode potential can be calculated in the same manner as in the previous paragraph using Eqs. (4) and (8). At the end, the system reaches the $\text{Li}/\text{Li}_2\text{O}/\text{Li}_{22}\text{Si}_5$ equilibrium (region ⑧). The equilibrium phases and electrode potentials during the Li insertion of a SiO electrode are summarized in Table 3.


Table 3 Equilibrium phases and electrode potentials during the Li insertion for a SiO negative electrode at 298 K.

	Equilibrium phases			Activity or pressure				Equilibrium potential, E_e / V (vs. Li ⁺ /Li)
				$\log p_{O_2}$	$\log a_{Si}$	$\log a_{Li}$	a_{Li}	
①	Si	SiO ₂						
②	Si	SiO ₂	Li ₂ Si ₂ O ₅	-150.1	0	-24.2	6.9×10^{-25}	1.428
③	Si	Li ₂ Si ₂ O ₅						↓
④	Si	Li ₂ Si ₂ O ₅	Li ₂ SiO ₃	-150.4	0	-23.8	1.6×10^{-24}	1.406
⑤	Si	Li ₂ SiO ₃						↓
⑥	Si	Li ₂ SiO ₃	Li ₄ SiO ₄	-160.2	0	-16.5	3.4×10^{-17}	0.973
⑦	Si	Li ₄ SiO ₄						↓
⑧	Si	Li ₁₂ Si ₇	Li ₄ SiO ₄	-180.6	0	-6.24	5.8×10^{-17}	0.368
⑨	Li ₁₂ Si ₇	Li ₄ SiO ₄						↓
⑩	Li ₁₂ Si ₇	Li ₇ Si ₃	Li ₄ SiO ₄	-182.1	-2.27	-4.91	1.2×10^{-5}	0.290
⑪	Li ₇ Si ₃	Li ₄ SiO ₄						↓
⑫	Li ₇ Si ₃	Li ₁₃ Si ₄	Li ₄ SiO ₄	-183.2	-5.17	-3.67	2.1×10^{-4}	0.217
⑬	Li ₁₃ Si ₄	Li ₄ SiO ₄						↓
⑭	Li ₁₃ Si ₄	Li ₄ SiO ₄	Li ₂ O	-183.3	-6.21	-3.35	4.5×10^{-4}	0.198
⑮	Li ₁₃ Si ₄	Li ₂ O						↓
⑯	Li ₁₃ Si ₄	Li ₂₂ Si ₅	Li ₂ O	-190.4	-12.0	-1.58	2.7×10^{-2}	0.093
⑰	Li ₂₂ Si ₅	Li ₂ O						↓
⑱	Li ₂₂ Si ₅	Li ₂ O	Li	-196.7	-18.9	0	1	0


Figure 1(b) provides information on the reaction pathway for a SiO electrode. The reaction can be divided into three stages. (1) At the initial stage of the reaction, lithium silicates (Li₂Si₂O₅, Li₂SiO₃, and Li₄SiO₄) are formed together with metallic Si (from point ① to point ⑦). (2) Secondly, the formed metallic Si reacts with Li to form Li–Si alloys (Li₁₂Si₇, Li₇Si₃, and Li₁₃Si₄) that are in equilibrium with Li₄SiO₄ (from point ⑦ to point ⑬). (3) Thirdly, Li₄SiO₄ is decomposed to form Li₂O and Li₁₃Si₄. (4) Further Li insertion produces Li₂₂Si₅ from Li₁₃Si₄ (from point ⑮ to point ⑰). (5) Finally, metallic Li is deposited (after point ⑱). Steps (1) and (2) proceed in accordance with the various reports on the formation of Li–Si alloys in a lithium silicate matrix [21,33,34].

The calculated phase diagram also explains two other reactions. One is the electrochemical reaction of a SiO₂ electrode with Li. In spite of the strong insulating


1
2
3 characteristics of SiO₂, the Li insertion reaction forms lithium silicates in the initial stage
4
5 in the same manner as the SiO electrode; from this point the alloying reaction of Si
6
7 demonstrates a reversible capacity, as shown in Fig. 2(a). The formation of lithium
8
9 silicates agrees with the previous reports [28,46–48,50]. The other reaction is the
10
11 electrochemical inactiveness of Li₄SiO₄ as reported in Ref. [28], in which no Li
12
13 dissolution reaction occurs for Li₄SiO₄, even at the positive potential of 4.0 V vs. Li/Li⁺.
14
15 As shown in Fig. 2(b), the Li extraction reaction of Li₄SiO₄ leads to the formation of O₂
16
17 gas and other silicates with low Li concentration.
18
19
20
21
22
23



25
26
27
28
29 Therefore, Li₄SiO₄ is expected to be stable even at very positive potentials and until the
30
31 reaction of O₂ gas evolution (reaction (9)). The high stability of lithium silicates suggests
32
33 that their formation takes on an irreversible capacity, which enables the stable
34
35 charge/discharge reactions as a matrix of nano crystals of Li–Si alloys. Namely, the
36
37 electrode acts as a mixture of irreversible Li₄SiO₄ and reversible Li–Si alloys.
38
39
40
41
42
43



45
46
47
48
49 According to the previous reports [28,29,51], the alloy formation instead of the
50
51 composition of Li₂₂Si₅ proceeds as a reversible reaction.
52
53
54
55



On the other hand, the thermodynamic calculations suggest that the reversible reaction occurs between Si and $\text{Li}_{13}\text{Si}_4$ with the Li_4SiO_4 matrix. When alloying reaction is utilized for $\text{Li}_{22}\text{Si}_5$ composition, the decomposition of Li_4SiO_4 and formation of Li_2O are inevitable.




Fig. 2 Reaction pathway during (a) the Li insertion for a SiO_2 negative electrode and (b) the Li desorption for a Li_4SiO_4 electrode at 298 K shown in the ternary phase diagram for Li-Si-O system.

According to the calculated potentials in Table 3, the theoretical potential shift during the Li insertion reaction for a SiO electrode (with equilibrium maintained) is shown in Fig. 3(a). In practice, because the reaction does not proceed at equilibrium states, a similar shift can be obtained at an extremely slow charge rate. As explained

1
2
3 above, the electrode potential remains fixed at the three-phase equilibrium, which is
4
5 represented by the inside of the triangles according to the Gibbs phase rule. By way of
6
7 contrast, the potential changes at the two-phase equilibrium, which is represented by the
8
9 sides of the triangles. In the Li insertion reaction, the potential plateaus corresponding to
10
11 the formation of lithium silicates are initially observed around 1.4 V (point ②–④) and
12
13 1.0 V (⑥) vs. Li/Li⁺ with a capacity of 608 mA h g⁻¹. At this stage, the formation of Li–
14
15
16
17
18
19
20 Si alloys starts at 0.37 V (⑧) and continues until 0.22 V (⑩) with a capacity of 1482 mA
21
22
23 h g⁻¹. At state ④, the Li₄SiO₄ phase is decomposed into Li₂O and Li₁₃Si₄. Then, the Li–Si
24
25
26 alloy formation is finalized with the composition of Li₂₂Si₅ (point ⑦).

27
28
29 The relationship among the amount of inserted Li, the charge capacity, and the
30
31 reaction equation is theoretically summarized in Table 4. In the practical electrode, SEI
32
33 (solid-electrolyte interface) formation can also occur, especially at negative potentials. In
34
35 Ref. [23], the potential plateau at 0.8 V in the initial charge was ascribed to SEI
36
37 formation. The calculated potential shift in Fig. 3 strongly suggests that the plateau
38
39 corresponds to the formation of lithium silicates, as well as the deviation due to the
40
41 overvoltage for the reaction and the ohmic drop. Electrode behaviors reported by other
42
43 researchers, such as the differential capacity peaks [27,31], can be also explained by the
44
45 theoretical potential of as lithium silicate formation at 1.4 V and 1.0 V.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65




Fig. 3 Transition of equilibrium electrode potential during the Li (a) insertion and (b) desertion after the formation of $\text{Li}_{13}\text{Si}_4$ for a SiO negative electrode at 298 K shown in the ternary phase diagram for Li-Si-O system.

Figure 3(b) shows the equilibrium potential during the Li extraction reaction for a SiO electrode, which occurs after Li insertion and continues until the completion of the $\text{Li}_{13}\text{Si}_4$ formation (point 13). A reversible capacity of 1482 mA h g^{-1} with 70.9% coulombic efficiency from the Li-Si alloys appears until the reaction reaches the

formation of Si and Li₄SiO₄ (point ⑦). Further Li insertion indicated by the broken line does not occur because Li₄SiO₄ is inactive, as reported in Ref. [28]. When Li insertion further proceeds to form Li₂O, the discharge capacity and coulombic efficiency increase in spite of the decomposition of Li₄SiO₄ (until points ③ and ④). The amount of extracted Li, the discharge capacity, and the coulombic efficiency corresponding to each reaction are listed in Table 5.

Table 4 The calculated reactions for the Li insertion of a SiO negative electrode at 298 K.

	Reaction	Atomic ratio of Li with respect to SiO	Charge capacity, C _d / mA h g ⁻¹
③	5SiO + 2Li ⁺ + 2e ⁻ → 3Si + Li ₄ Si ₂ O ₅	0.40	243
⑤	3SiO + 2Li ⁺ + 2e ⁻ → 2Si + Li ₄ SiO ₃	0.67	405
⑦	4SiO + 4Li ⁺ + 4e ⁻ → 3Si + Li ₄ SiO ₄	1.00	608
⑨	28SiO + 64Li ⁺ + 64e ⁻ → 3Li ₁₂ Si ₇ + 7Li ₄ SiO ₄	2.29	1390
⑩	12SiO + 33Li ⁺ + 33e ⁻ → 3Li ₇ Si ₃ + 3Li ₄ SiO ₄	2.75	1672
⑬	16SiO + 55Li ⁺ + 55e ⁻ → 3Li ₁₃ Si ₄ + 4Li ₄ SiO ₄	3.44	2090
⑮	4SiO + 21Li ⁺ + 21e ⁻ → Li ₁₃ Si ₄ + 4Li ₂ O	5.25	3192
⑰	5SiO + 32Li ⁺ + 32e ⁻ → Li ₂₂ Si ₅ + 5Li ₂ O	6.40	3891

Table 5 The calculated reactions for the Li extraction of a SiO negative electrode at 298 K.

Charged state	Dis-charged state	Reaction	Atomic ratio of Li with respect to SiO	Discharge capacity, C _d / mA h g ⁻¹	Coulombic efficiency (%)
	⑪	3Li ₁₃ Si ₄ + 4Li ₄ SiO ₄ → 4Li ₇ Si ₃ + 4Li ₄ SiO ₄ + 11Li ⁺ + 11e ⁻	0.69	418	20.0
⑬	⑨	21Li ₁₃ Si ₄ + 28Li ₄ SiO ₄ → 12Li ₁₂ Si ₇ + 28Li ₄ SiO ₄ + 129Li ⁺ + 129e ⁻	1.15	700	33.5
		3Li ₁₃ Si ₄ + 4Li ₄ SiO ₄ → 12Si + 4Li ₄ SiO ₄ + 39Li ⁺ + 39e ⁻	2.44	1482	70.9
⑮	⑦	Li ₁₃ Si ₄ + 4Li ₂ O → 3Si + Li ₄ SiO ₄ + 17Li ⁺ + 17e ⁻	4.25	2584	81.0
⑰		4Li ₂₂ Si ₅ + 20Li ₂ O → 15Si + 5Li ₄ SiO ₄ + 108Li ⁺ + 108e ⁻	5.40	3283	84.4

2-3. Relationship between the charge/discharge conditions and performances for SiO electrodes

1
2
3 On the basis of the ternary phase diagram for the Li–Si–O system at 298 K (Fig. 1)
4
5 and the theoretical potential shift during the Li insertion/extraction reaction for a SiO
6
7 electrode (Fig. 3), the optimum conditions and the key factors for high capacity and
8
9 impressive cycle performance herein discussed.
10

11
12 The analysis of the previous reports listed in Table 1 indicates that the initial
13
14 discharge capacity is not necessarily dependent on the initial charge capacity. For
15
16 example, a discharge capacity of around 1300 mA h g⁻¹ is obtained even at charge
17
18 capacities of 1750 mA h g⁻¹ [30] and 2200–2500 mA h g⁻¹ [19,22,27,32]. Furthermore, a
19
20 discharge capacity of 1450 mA h g⁻¹ is obtained at charge capacities of 1950 mA h g⁻¹
21
22 [31] and 2700 mA h g⁻¹ [23]. Naturally, the initial coulombic efficiency is different
23
24 between the reports. Table 1 also clarifies that Li₂O is formed as the intermediate phase
25
26 in the reports of the higher charge capacity in addition to the lithium silicates
27
28 [22,23,27,32].
29
30
31
32
33

34 The fact that the initial discharge capacity is not necessarily dependent on the initial
35
36 charge capacity also explains the effects of Li₂O formation on discharge capacity.
37
38 Whereas different initial discharge capacities are obtained as 1300 [22,27,32] and 1800
39
40 mA h g⁻¹ [28] even at the initial capacity around 2500 mA h g⁻¹, the discharge capacity
41
42 remains low in case of Li₂O formation. However, according to Table 4, the atomic ratio
43
44 of Li/SiO corresponding to Li₁₃Si₄ alloy formation is higher for Li₂O (i.e., 5.25) than for
45
46 Li₄SiO₄ (i.e., 3.44). These values suggest that the discharge capacity after the charge and
47
48 until Li₂O formation has a theoretically higher value, and indicates the degraded kinetics
49
50 for Li₂O formation and/or lithium silicate decomposition while some research on Li
51
52 conduction for Li₂O has been investigated [81]. The matrix roles of lithium silicates for
53
54 Li conduction, expansion, relaxation, and electric contact are the key factors for high
55
56 performance.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 Consequently, the combination of the performance reported in the literature and the
4
5 calculated ternary phase diagram indicates that the crucial charge/discharge condition
6
7 consists of avoiding or minimizing the formation of Li_2O , which induces two negative
8
9 effects concerning the low utilization of the inserted Li and the poor reaction kinetics.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 **3. Experimental**

18
19 Amorphous SiO powder (Osaka Titanium technologies) with a mean diameter of
20
21 $D_{50} = 4.8 \mu\text{m}$ was used. The preparation of disproportionated SiO powder was carried out
22
23 by the thermal treatment of amorphous SiO powder. Amorphous SiO powder contained
24
25 in an alumina crucible was placed in an Ar atmosphere in an electric furnace maintained
26
27 at 1273 K or 1473 K for 5 h. As shown in the transmission electron microscope (TEM)
28
29 images (JEOL, JEM-ARM200F) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns (PANalytical,
30
31 X'Pert Powder) in Fig. 4, Si nano clusters with a diameter smaller than 10 nm were
32
33 formed in the SiO_2 matrix by the disproportionation of amorphous SiO.
34
35
36
37
38

39 For the preparation of SiO working electrodes, SiO powder (80 wt%) and Ketjen
40
41 black (KB) as a conductive additive (5 wt%) were thoroughly mixed with polyimide (PI)
42
43 as a binder (15 wt%). A slurry was prepared by adding N-methylpyrrolidinone as a
44
45 solvent to the mixture. For comparison, a slurry consisted of 25wt%-KB and 75wt%-PI
46
47 was also prepared. The obtained slurry was cast onto a sheet of copper foil, and predried
48
49 at 393 K in air to remove the solvent. The electrodes were obtained after a final dry at
50
51 623 K under a vacuum for 1 h in an electric furnace. The loading mass density fell in the
52
53 range of $3.5\text{--}4.5 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$. The SiO electrode was prepared by stamping the copper foil
54
55 into a circle with an area of 1 cm^2 . Two-electrode 2032-type coin cells – with the SiO and
56
57 lithium metal as working and counter electrodes, respectively – were assembled in an Ar
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65




Fig. 4 (a, b) TEM images and (c) XRD patterns for the silicon monoxide particles before and after the heat treatment in an Ar atmosphere at 1273 K and 1473 K.

glovebox. Ethylene carbonate (EC)/diethyl carbonate (DEC) (1:1 by volume) with the addition of $1 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ LiPF}_6$ was used as an electrolyte. The separator was made of polypropylene (PP). The $\text{SiO}/\text{EC}:\text{DEC}(1:1) + 1 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ LiPF}_6/\text{Li}$ cells were operated for charge/discharge reactions.

The formation potentials of the related species were evaluated at a slow rate reaction under the constant current (CC) mode at 15 mA g^{-1} until a voltage of 0 V (charge), and at 15 mA g^{-1} until a voltage of 1.5 V (discharge). The cell performance was evaluated under the constant-current/constant-voltage (CC-CV) mode in the voltage range of 0.005–1.5 V at 298 K. The constant-current/constant-voltage mode was used in order to investigate the lifetime of SiO material under the minimization of the overpotential and ormic drop. During the 1st cycle, the charge conditions were a CC of 150 mA g^{-1} until a voltage of 0.005 V, and a CV of 0.005 V until a current of 15 mA g^{-1} ; discharge conditions were a

1
2
3 CC of 150 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 1.5 V. During the 2nd cycle, the charge conditions
4
5 were a CC of 450 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 0.005 V, and a CV of 0.005 V until a current
6
7 of 15 mA g⁻¹; discharge conditions were a CC of 450 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 1.5 V.
8
9 After the 3rd cycle, the charge conditions were a CC of 750 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of
10
11 0.005 V, and a CV of 0.005 V until a current of 15 mA g⁻¹; discharge conditions were a
12
13 CC of 750 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 1.5 V.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21


22 **4. Results and discussion**

23 4-1. Confirmation of formation potentials

24
25
26
27 The analysis of the electrochemical reaction can be carried out by separating it into
28
29 equilibrium and kinetic factors. As the first component of the experimental, the electrode
30
31 behavior was examined at a low charge rate in order to minimize the kinetic factor.
32

33
34 Figure 5(a) shows the equilibrium potential shift until the end of the Li–Si alloy
35
36 formation with the charge/discharge curves of (SiO + KB + PI) anode recorded at a rate
37
38 of 15 mA g⁻¹, which is slower than a 0.01 C rate. For comparison, the curves of (25wt%
39
40 KB + 75wt% PI) are shown in Fig. 5(b). The rate was 10 mA g⁻¹, which was also as large
41
42 as a 0.01 C rate. Clearly, a potential plateau is observed until 600 mA h g⁻¹ at 0.35–0.5 V
43
44 for the curve of amorphous SiO (Fig. 5(a)). Almost the same capacity of 600 mA h g⁻¹
45
46 with the theoretical value indicates that the plateau corresponds to the formation of
47
48 lithium silicate. It should be noted that the potentials are identical between the
49
50 amorphous SiO and the equilibrium at the end of the plateau. This behavior indicates that
51
52 the potential difference observed by the overpotential for the Li insertion is negligibly
53
54 small, thus suggesting the high Li conduction of lithium silicate phases. Later, the
55
56 potential gradually shifts to the negative range of 0.05–0.35 V. These potentials
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

(a) SiO + KB + PI



(b) KB + PI




Fig. 5 (a) Charge and discharge curves for the 1st cycle of SiO/EC:DEC(1:1) + 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆/Li cells in the voltage range of 0–1.5 V at 298 K. Charge conditions: a constant current of 15 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 0 V. Discharge conditions: a constant current of 15 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 1.5 V. (b) Charge and discharge curves for the 1st cycle of KB+PI/EC:DEC(1:1) + 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆/Li cells in the voltage range of 0–1.5 V at 298 K. Charge conditions: a constant current of 10 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 0 V. Discharge conditions: a constant current of 10 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 1.5 V.

correspond to the formation of Li–Si alloys including the capacity of KB and PI. Also, the capacity should partially contain an irreversible one owing to the SEI formation at negative potentials. Even considering the charge capacity of KB conductive additive and

1
2
3 PI binder of 1292 mA h g⁻¹ (Fig. 5(b)), which corresponds to 323 mA h (g·SiO)⁻¹, the
4
5 capacity of 2610 mA h g⁻¹ is larger than the theoretical value at the start of the
6
7 decomposition of Li₄SiO₄ (2090 mA h g⁻¹), suggesting the partial decomposition of
8
9 lithium silicates to Li₂O. The relatively rapid potential shift after 2090 mA h g⁻¹ might
10
11 result from the large overpotential owing to the formed Li₂O. In contrast, the plateau that
12
13 corresponds to the formation of lithium silicate is not observed for the disproportionated
14
15 SiO. Especially, the charge curve for the disproportionated SiO at 1473 K shows an
16
17 immediate shift to 0.02 V before 350 mA h g⁻¹. Then, the potential remains around 0.05
18
19 V, and the charge reaction finishes at 2463 mA h g⁻¹. This capacity suggests the partial
20
21 decomposition of lithium silicates in addition to the formation of Li–Si alloys.
22
23
24
25
26


27 To summarize these charge behaviors, the following two points for the equilibrium
28
29 and kinetics are found out. First, the equilibrium potential curve calculated from the
30
31 thermodynamic data in this study is valid to discuss the reaction. Second, the
32
33 overpotential for the Li insertion for lithium silicate formation, which is observed as the
34
35 deviation from the equilibrium potential, is larger for the disproportionated SiO negative
36
37 electrode.
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 4-2. Cell performance

45
46 The electrochemical behaviors of the SiO electrodes were compared at a high charge
47
48 rate. The charge and discharge capacities and coulombic efficiency are summarized in
49
50 Table 6. Based on the capacities shown in Tables 4 and 5, the charge reaction is expected
51
52 to proceed between states B and B .
53
54
55

56 Figure 6(a) shows the equilibrium potential shift until the end of the Li–Si alloy
57
58 formation, with the charge curves in the initial cycle being recorded in the CC-CV mode.
59
60 Here, the graphs are standardized by the capacity in each charge run. Furthermore, only
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 the disproportionated SiO at 1473 K shows the curve in the 2nd cycle. At a high reaction
4
5 rate, the potential plateau for the formation of lithium silicate above 0.6 V is not observed.
6
7
8 However, the charge curve shows more positive potentials for the amorphous SiO than
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20



21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53 Fig. 6 (a) Charge and (b) discharge curves for the 1st cycle of
54 SiO/EC:DEC(1:1) + 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆/Li cells in the voltage range of
55 0.005–1.5 V at 298 K. Charge conditions: a constant current of 150
56 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 0.005 V and a constant voltage of 0.005 V
57 until a current of 15 mA g⁻¹. Discharge conditions: a constant current
58 of 150 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 1.5 V.
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

for the disproportionated SiO electrodes. The disproportionated SiO shows such a large overpotential in the Li insertion that the 1st cycle charge reaction ends at a small capacity of 337 mA h g⁻¹, as shown in Table 6. The cause of the different overpotential is the formed phases in the 1st cycle. Only the Li₂Si₂O₅/Si phases are formed in the initial reaction for the amorphous SiO electrode (Fig. 7(a)). On the other hand, the reaction state starts from the mixture of Si and SiO₂ for the disproportionated SiO, and the Li₂Si₂O₅/Si/SiO₂ phases are formed, as shown in Fig. 7(b). The high resistivity of SiO₂ would result in the large overpotential.

In the discharge reaction for all SiO electrodes, a high discharge capacity above 1898 mA h g⁻¹ and a coulombic efficiency of 71.0% were obtained. The values higher than 1482 mA h g⁻¹ and 70.9% suggest that the deterioration of a SiO electrode does not occur upon decomposition of a small amount of Li₄SiO₄ in the initial cycle. The curves for the electrodes exhibit almost the same behavior with the equilibrium potentials as shown in Fig. 6(b). In spite of the slightly larger discharge capacity, the curve for the disproportionated SiO at 1473 K shows a more positive potential than the other electrodes and the equilibrium curve. This behavior is also explained by the high resistivity and large overpotential of the electrodes.

Table 6 Charge and discharge capacities and coulombic efficiency for the 1st cycle of SiO/EC:DEC(1:1) + 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆/Li cells in the voltage range of 0.005–1.5 V at 298 K.

	Charge Capacity, C _c mAh g ⁻¹	Discharge Capacity, C _d mAh g ⁻¹	Coulombic efficiency (%)
Amorphous SiO	2753	1955	71.0
1273 K heat treatment	2623	1898	72.4
1473 K heat treatment	337 (2554)	132 (2001)	39.1 (78.4)







Fig. 7 Comparison of transition of formed phases during the Li insertion for (a) amorphous and (b) disproportionated SiO negative electrodes at 298 K.

The charge/discharge curves for the 2nd and 10th cycles are compared in Figs. 8(a) and (b), respectively. Also in these graphs, the curves for the 3rd and 11th cycle are shown for the disproportionated SiO at 1473 K. Compared to the behaviors in the 1st cycle in Fig. 6, all the SiO electrodes indicate almost the same potential curves in the charge reaction, which are similar with the 1st cycle behavior for the amorphous SiO. As a result of lithium silicate formation during the 1st cycle, the overpotential for Li

1
2
3 (a) 2nd cycle



22
23 (b) 10th cycle



42 Fig. 8 Charge and discharge curves for the (a) 2nd and (b) 10th cycles
43 of SiO/EC:DEC(1:1) + 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆/Li cells in the voltage range of
44 0.005–1.5 V at 298 K. Charge conditions (2nd cycle): a constant
45 current of 450 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 0.005 V and a constant
46 voltage of 0.005 V until a current of 15 mA g⁻¹. Charge conditions (after 3rd
47 cycle): a constant current of 750 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 0.005 V and
48 a constant voltage of 0.005 V until a current of 15 mA g⁻¹. Discharge
49 conditions (2nd cycle): a constant current of 450 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage
50 of 1.5 V. Discharge conditions (after 3rd cycle): a constant current of
51 750 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 1.5 V.
52
53
54
55
56

57 insertion became small even for the disproportionated SiO. However, the overpotential
58 for the discharge reaction remains larger for the disproportionated SiO electrodes. With
59 the repetition of cycles, the disproportionated SiO experiences a potential shift to the
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 positive direction – i.e., a smaller power density. One possible explanation for this
4
5 behavior concerns the slow kinetics for the discharge reaction; that is because the
6
7 inhomogeneous formation of $\text{Li}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5/\text{Si}/\text{SiO}_2$ phases disturbs a Li extraction owing to
8
9 the SiO_2 phase. The homogeneous formation of Li–Si alloys and lithium silicates in a
10
11 nano scale for the amorphous SiO electrode would enable a faster Li diffusion in the
12
13 discharge reaction compared to the disproportionated SiO producing large-sized SiO_2 and
14
15 Li_2O .
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 Conclusion

26
27 The electrochemical behavior of a SiO negative electrode was investigated based on
28
29 the calculated equilibrium curves. The ternary phase diagram for the Li–Si–O system at
30
31 298 K was calculated, and the equilibrium potentials for Li insertion/extraction reactions
32
33 were diagrammatically indicated. The formation of lithium silicates is the initial reaction
34
35 of the SiO electrodes, and occurs above 0.36 V vs. Li/Li^+ ; furthermore, the
36
37 decomposition of lithium silicates starts after the formation of the $\text{Li}_{13}\text{Si}_4$ alloy. The
38
39 kinetics was discussed from the experimental curves of the SiO electrodes with reference
40
41 to the equilibria. It was suggested that the Li_4SiO_4 phase formed as an irreversible
42
43 component had high Li conduction . The disproportionated SiO electrode demonstrated a
44
45 larger overvoltage than the amorphous SiO owing to the formation of SiO_2 . While the
46
47 overvoltage became identical in the charge reaction between the amorphous and
48
49 disproportionated SiO electrodes, a large overpotential (thus resulting in a smaller power
50
51 density) was observed for the disproportionated SiO in the discharge reaction.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Assistant Professor Naoyuki Hatada at Kyoto University for the use of Chesta ver. 2.22, and Editage (www.editage.jp) for English language editing.

References

- 1 J. Cabana, L. Monconduit, D. Larcher, M. R. Palacín, *Adv. Mater.* 22 (2010) E170–E192.
- 2 C.-M. Park, J.-H. Kim, H. Kim, H.-J. Sohn, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 39 (2010) 3115–3141.
- 3 M. V. Reddy, G. V. Subba Rao, B. V. R. Chowdari, *Chem. Rev.* 113 (2013) 5364–5457.
- 4 T. B. Massalski, H. Okamoto, P. R. Subramanian, L. Kacprzak, eds., *Binary Alloy Phase Diagrams*, 2nd ed., ASM International, Metals Park, Ohio, 1990.
- 5 R. J. Temkin, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* 17 (1975) 215–230.
- 6 A. Hohl, T. Wieder, P. A. van Aken, T. E. Weirich, G. Denninger, M. Vidal, S. Oswald, C. Deneke, J. Mayer, H. Fuess, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* 320 (2003) 255–280.
- 7 H. R. Philipp, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* 8–10 (1972) 627–632.
- 8 H. R. Philipp, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* 32 (1971) 1935–1945.
- 9 K. Schulmeister, W. Mader, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* 320 (2003) 143–150.
- 10 J. Wang, X. F. Wang, Q. Li, A. Hryciw, A. Meldrum, *Philos. Mag.* 87 (2007) 11–27.
- 11 B. Hallstedt, *Calphad* 16 (1992) 53–61.
- 12 F. T. Ferguson, J. A. Nuth, III, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 57 (2012) 721–728.
- 13 S. M. Schnurre, J. Gröbner, R. Schmid-Fetzer, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* 336 (2004) 1–25.
- 14 M. Mamiya, H. Takei, M. Kikuchi, C. Uyeda, *J. Cryst. Growth* 229 (2001) 457–461.
- 15 M. Mamiya, M. Kikuchi, H. Takei, *J. Cryst. Growth* 237–239 (2002) 1909–1914.
- 16 A. Barranco, F. Yubero, J. P. Espinos, J. P. Holgado, A. Caballero, A. R. Gonzalez-Elipe, J. A. Mejias, *Vacuum* 67 (2002) 491–499.
- 17 R. Alfonsetti, L. Lozzi, M. Passacantando, P. Picozzi, S. Santucci, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 70–71 (1993) 222–225.

- 1
2
3 18 A. Hirata, S. Kohara, T. Asada, M. Arao, C. Yogi, H. Imai, Y. Tan, T. Fujita, M.
4
5 Chen, *Nat. Commun.* 7 (2016) 11591.
6
7
8 19 J. Yang, Y. Takeda, N. Imanishi, C. Capiglia, J. Y. Xie, O. Yamamoto, *Solid State*
9
10 *Ionics* 152–153 (2002) 125–129.
11
12 20 Y. Nagao, H. Sakaguchi, H. Honda, T. Fukunaga, T. Esaka, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*,
13
14 151 (2004) A1572–A1575.
15
16
17 21 M. Miyachi, H. Yamamoto, H. Kawai, T. Ohta, M. Shirakata, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*
18
19 152 (2005) A2089–A2091.
20
21
22 22 M. Miyachi, H. Yamamoto, H. Kawai, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 154 (2007) A376–A380.
23
24 23 T. Kim, S. Park, S. M. Oh, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 154 (2007) A1112–A1117.
25
26
27 24 Y. Yamada, Y. Iriyama, T. Abe, Z. Ogumi, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 157 (2010) A26–
28
29 A30.
30
31
32 25 C.-M. Park, W. Choi, Y. Hwa, J.-H. Kim, G. Jeong, H.-J. Sohn, *J. Mater. Chem.* 20
33
34 (2010) 4854–4860.
35
36
37 26 S. Komaba, K. Shimomura, N. Yabuuchi, T. Ozeki, H. Yui, K. Konno, *J. Phys.*
38
39 *Chem. C* 115 (2011) 13487–13495.
40
41
42 27 J.-H. Kim, C.-M. Park, H. Kim, Y.-J. Kim, H.-J. Sohn, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 661
43
44 (2011) 245–249.
45
46
47 28 H. Yamamura, K. Nobuhara, S. Nakanishi, H. Iba, S. Okada, *J. Ceram. Soc. Jpn.* 119
48
49 (2011) 855–860.
50
51
52 29 T. Miyuki, Y. Okuyama, T. Sakamoto, Y. Eda, T. Kojima, T. Sakai,
53
54 *Electrochemistry* 80 (2012) 401–404.
55
56
57 30 G. Jeong, J.-H. Kim, Y.-U. Kim, Y.-J. Kim, *J. Mater. Chem.* 22 (2012) 7999–8004.
58
59
60 31 K. W. Kim, H. Park, J. G. Lee, J. Kim, Y.-U. Kim, J. H. Ryu, J. J. Kim, S. M. Oh,
61
62 *Electrochim. Acta* 103 (2013) 226–230.
63
64
65

- 1
2
3 32 H. Takezawa, K. Iwamoto, S. Ito, H. Yoshizawa, J. Power Sources 244 (2013) 149–
4
5 157.
6
7
8 33 T. Morita, N. Takami, J. Electrochem. Soc. 153 (2006) A425–A430.
9
10 34 J.-H. Kim, H.-J. Sohn, H. Kim, G. Jeong, W. Choi, J. Power Sources 170 (2007)
11
12 456–459.
13
14
15 35 Y. Kobayashi, S. Seki, Y. Mita, Y. Ohno, H. Miyashiro, P. Charest, A. Guerfi, K.
16
17 Zaghib, J. Power Sources 185 (2008) 542–548.
18
19
20 36 A. Velucharmy, C.-H. Doh, D.-H. Kim, J.-H. Lee, D.-J. Lee, K.-H. Ha, H.-M. Shin,
21
22 B.-S. Jin, H.-S. Kim, S.-I. Moon, C.-W. Park, J. Power Sources 188 (2009) 574–577.
23
24
25 37 M. Yamada, A. Ueda, K. Matsumoto, T. Ohzuki, J. Electrochem. Soc. 158 (2011)
26
27 A417–A421.
28
29
30 38 J. Wang, H. Zhao, J. He, C. Wang, J. Wang, J. Power Sources 196 (2011) 4811–4815.
31
32
33 39 A. Guerfi, P. Charest, M. Dontigny, J. Trottier, M. Lagacé, P. Hovington, A. Vijh, K.
34
35 Zaghib, J. Power Sources 196 (2011) 5667–5673.
36
37
38 40 Q. Si, K. Hanai, T. Ichikawa, M. B. Phillipps, A. Hirano, N. Imanishi, O. Yamamoto,
39
40 Y. Takeda, J. Power Sources 196 (2011) 9774–9779.
41
42
43 41 Y. Ren, J. Ding, N. Yuan, S. Jia, M. Qu, Z. Yu, J. Solid State Electrochem. 16 (2012)
44
45 1453–1460.
46
47
48 42 C. Guo, D. Wang, Q. Wang, B. Wang, T. Liu, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci. 7 (2012)
49
50 8745–8752 .
51
52
53 43 H. Sepehri-Amin, T. Ohkubo, M. Kodzuka, H. Yamamura, T. Saito, H. Iba, K. Hono,
54
55 Scripta Materialia 69 (2013) 92–95.
56
57
58 44 Q. Yuan, F. Zhao, Y. Zhao, Z. Liang, D. Yan, Electrochim. Acta 115 (2014) 16–21.
59
60
61 45 Y. Yang, W. Peng, H. Guo, Z. Wang, X. Li, Y. Zhou, Y. Liu, Trans. Nonferrous Met.
62
63 Soc. China 17 (2007) 1339–1342.
64
65

- 1
2
3 46 B. Guo, J. Shu, Z. Wang, H. Yang, L. Shi, Y. Liu, L. Chen, *Electrochem. Commun.*
4
5 10 (2008) 1876–1878.
6
7
8 47 Q. Sun, B. Zhang, Z.-W. Fu, *Appl. Sur. Sci.* 254 (2008) 3774–3779.
9
10 48 Y. Yao, J. Zhang, L. Xue, T. Huang, A. Yu, *J. Power Sources* 196 (2011) 10240–
11 10243.
12
13
14 49 C. Ban, B. B. Kappes, Q. Xu, C. Engtrakul, C. V. Ciobanu, A. C. Dillon, Y. Zhao,
15
16 *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 100 (2012) 243905.
17
18
19 50 Y. Zhang, Y. Li, Z. Wang, K. Zhao, *Nano Lett.* 14 (2014) 7161–7170.
20
21
22 51 M. Yamada, A. Inaba, S. Nagayama, K. Matsumoto, A. Ueda, T. Ohzuku, Meeting
23
24 Abstract of The 50th Battery Symposium in Japan, Nov. 30–Dec. 2, Kyoto, Japan,
25
26 2009, p. 191.
27
28
29 52 Y. Hwa, C.-M. Park, H.-J. Sohn, *J. Power Sources* 222 (2013) 129–134.
30
31
32 53 X. Yang, Z. Wen, L. Zhang, M. You, *J. Alloy Compd.* 464 (2008) 265–269.
33
34
35 54 H. Migge, *J. Nucl. Mater.* 151 (1988) 101–107.
36
37
38 55 O. Götzmann, *J. Nucl. Mater.* 167 (1989) 213–224.
39
40
41 56 J. Sangster, A.D. Pelton, *J. Phase Equilibria* 13 (1992) 296–299.
42
43
44 57 H. A. Wriedt, *Bulletin of Alloy Phase Diagrams* 11 (1990) 43–61.
45
46
47 58 H. Okamoto, *J. Phase Equilibria Diffusion* 28 (2007) 309–310.
48
49
50 59 R. S. Roth, ed., *Phase Equilibria Diagrams: Phase Diagrams for Ceramists*, American
51
52 Ceramic Society, Waterville, Ohio, 1995.
53
54
55 60 S. S. Kim, T. H. Sanders, Jr., *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 74 (1991) 1833–1840.
56
57
58 61 A. Skokan, H. Wedemeyer, D. Vollath, E. Günther, *Proc. 14th Symp. on Fusion*
59
60 Technology, Avignon, p. 1255 (1986).
61
62
63 62 H. Okamoto, *Bull. Alloy Phase Diagrams* 11 (1990) 306–312.
64
65
66 63 HSC Chemistry[®] ver. 5.1, Outokumpu Research Oy, Poly, Finland, 2002.

- 1
2
3 64 I. Barin, Thermochemical Data of Pure Substances, VCH Verlags Gesellschaft,
4
5 Weinheim, 1993.
6
7
8 65 A. J. Bard, R. Parsons, J. Jordan, Standard potentials in aqueous solution, Marcel
9
10 Dekker Inc., New York, 1985.
11
12 66 Landolt-Börnstein: Thermodynamic Properties of Inorganic Material, Scientific
13
14 Group Thermodata Europe (SGTE), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, Part 1,
15
16 1999.
17
18
19 67 O. Knacke, O. Kubaschewski, K. Hesselman: Thermochemical Properties of
20
21 Inorganic Substances, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
22
23
24 68 B. J. McBride, S. Gordon, M. A. Reno, eds., Thermodynamic Data for Fifty
25
26 Reference Elements, NASA-TP-3287, N93-19977, 1993.
27
28
29 69 Glushko Thermocenter of the Russian Academy of Sciences, IVTAN Association,
30
31 Izhorskaya 13/19, 127412 Moscow, Russia, 1994.
32
33
34 70 Landolt-Börnstein: Thermodynamic Properties of Inorganic Material, Scientific
35
36 Group Thermodata Europe (SGTE), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, Part 4,
37
38 2001.
39
40
41 71 I. Barin, Thermochemical Data of Pure Substances, VCH Verlags Gesellschaft,
42
43 Weinheim, 1989.
44
45
46 72 I. Barin, O. Knacke, O. Kubaschewski: Thermochemical Properties of Inorganic
47
48 Substances, Supplement, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977, p. 861.
49
50
51 73 S. C. Lai, J. Electrochem. Soc. 123 (1976) 1196–1197.
52
53
54 74 R. A. Sharma, R. N. Seefurth, J. Electrochem. Soc. 123 (1976) 1763–1768.
55
56
57 75 V. P. Nikolaiev, A. G. Morachevskii, A. I. Demidov, E. V. Bairachnyi, Zh. Prikl.
58
59 Khim. 53 (1980) 2088–2090.
60
61 76 C. J. Wen, R. A. Huggins, J. Solid State Chem. 37 (1981) 271–278.
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

77 K. Amezawa, N. Yamamoto, Y. Tomii, Y. Ito, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 145 (1998) 1986–1993.

78 A. I. Demidov, A. G. Morachevskii, V. P. Nikolaev, N. V. Berenda, *Russ. J. Appl. Chem.* 61 (1988) 1373–1375.

79 M. H. Braga, L. F. Malheiros, I. Ansara, *J. Phase Equilib.* 16 (1995) 324–330.

80 N. Hatada, *Chesta ver. 2.22*, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Kyoto University, Japan. <http://www.aqua.mtl.kyoto-u.ac.jp/chestaEng.html>.

81 S. C. Jung, H.-J. Kim, J.-H. Kim, Y.-K. Han, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 120 (2016) 886–892.

Table 1 Representative reports on battery and electrode characteristics of the SiO negative electrode.

Author, Ref.	Year	SiO phase	Intermediate phase	Initial charge capacity (mAh g ⁻¹)	Initial discharge capacity (mAh g ⁻¹)	Initial coulombic efficiency (%)	Note
Yang et al. [19]	2002	Amor.	-	ca. 2250	ca. 1300	ca. 57.8	-
Nagao et al. [20]	2004	Amor.	SiO ₂	1594	-	-	Neutron elastic scattering
Miyachi et al. [21]	2005	Amor.	Li-Si-O, Li ₂ O	2404	598	24.9	XPS
Miyachi et al. [22]	2007	-	Li-Si-O, Li ₂ O	2520	1260	50.0	Metal-doped SiO, XPS
Kim et al. [23]	2007	-	Li-Si-O, Li ₂ O	2680	1470	54.9	NMR, HR-TEM, electrochemical dilatometry. Plateau at 0.8 V vs. Li ⁺ /Li in 1st discharge
Yamada et al. [24]	2007	-	-	-	-	-	Kinetics evaluation by AC impedance spectroscopy
Park et al [25]	2010	Amor./dispro.	SiO _x	2216/ 185	1104/ 94	49.8/ 50.8	TEM, Disproportionated at 800, 1000, and 1200 °C.
Komaba et al [26]	2011	-	-	ca. 1800	826	45.9	-
Kim et al., [27]	2011	Amor./dispro.	Li-Si-O, Li ₂ O	2410	1300	53.9	NMR, HR-TEM, Differential capacity peaks at 0.08 and 0.24 V vs. Li ⁺ /Li
Yamamura et al. [28]	2011	Dispro.	Li-Si-O	2548	1791	70.3	Plateau at 0.2 and 0.5 V vs. Li ⁺ /Li in 1st discharge. First principles calculation. SiO ₂ was also reacted.
Miyuki et al. [29]	2011	Amor./dispro.	-	-	-	-	LiFePO ₄ /SiO full cell.
Jeong et al. [30]	2012	Dispro.	-	1757	1265	72.0	TiO ₂ coated SiO
Kim et al. [31]	2013	Dispro.	-	1958	1413	72.2	Carbon coated SiO. Differential capacity peaks at 0.06, 0.21, 0.31 and 0.48 V vs. Li ⁺ /Li after 5th cycle
Takezawa et al. [32]	2013	Amor.	Li-Si-O, Li ₂ O	2418	1306	54.0	SiO _x (0.17 < x < 1.34) was used. XPS

-: Not given

Table 2 Thermodynamic data for Li–Si–O system at 298 K used for the calculation.

Formula	Compound			Standard Gibbs energy, $G^\circ / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$	Standard Gibbs energy of formation, $\Delta G_f^\circ / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$	Ref
	Component					
	Li	Si	O			
Li	1	0	0	-8.7	0	[64–66]
Si	0	1	0	-5.6	0	[67]
O ₂	0	0	2	-61.1	0	[66,68]
Li ₁₂ Si ₇	12	7	0	-570.5	-426.9	
Li ₇ Si ₃	7	3	0	-312.7	-235.0	Calculated from [79]
Li ₁₃ Si ₄	13	4	0	-525.6	-390.1	
Li ₂₂ Si ₅	22	5	0	-758.8	-539.4	
Li ₂ O	2	0	1	-609.1	-561.2	[64,69 ,70]
SiO ₂	0	1	2	-923.2	-856.5	[71]
Li ₂ Si ₂ O ₅	2	2	5	-2598.3	-2416.9	[64]
Li ₂ SiO ₃	2	1	3	-1673.4	-1558.8	[67]
Li ₄ SiO ₄	4	1	4	-2366.2	-2203.6	[72]

Table 3 Equilibrium phases and electrode potentials during the Li insertion for a SiO negative electrode at 298 K.

	Equilibrium phases			Activity or pressure				Equilibrium potential, $E, / V$ (vs. Li^+/Li)
				$\log p_{\text{O}_2}$	$\log a_{\text{Si}}$	$\log a_{\text{Li}}$	a_{Li}	
①	Si	SiO ₂						
②	Si	SiO ₂	Li ₂ Si ₂ O ₅	-150.1	0	-24.2	6.9×10^{-25}	1.428
③	Si	Li ₂ Si ₂ O ₅						↓
④	Si	Li ₂ Si ₂ O ₅	Li ₂ SiO ₃	-150.4	0	-23.8	1.6×10^{-24}	1.406
⑤	Si	Li ₂ SiO ₃						↓
⑥	Si	Li ₂ SiO ₃	Li ₄ SiO ₄	-160.2	0	-16.5	3.4×10^{-17}	0.973
⑦	Si	Li ₄ SiO ₄						↓
⑧	Si	Li ₁₂ Si ₇	Li ₄ SiO ₄	-180.6	0	-6.24	5.8×10^{-17}	0.368
⑨	Li ₁₂ Si ₇	Li ₄ SiO ₄						↓
⑩	Li ₁₂ Si ₇	Li ₇ Si ₃	Li ₄ SiO ₄	-182.1	-2.27	-4.91	1.2×10^{-5}	0.290
⑪	Li ₇ Si ₃	Li ₄ SiO ₄						↓
⑫	Li ₇ Si ₃	Li ₁₃ Si ₄	Li ₄ SiO ₄	-183.2	-5.17	-3.67	2.1×10^{-4}	0.217
⑬	Li ₁₃ Si ₄	Li ₄ SiO ₄						↓
⑭	Li ₁₃ Si ₄	Li ₄ SiO ₄	Li ₂ O	-183.3	-6.21	-3.35	4.5×10^{-4}	0.198
⑮	Li ₁₃ Si ₄	Li ₂ O						↓
⑯	Li ₁₃ Si ₄	Li ₂₂ Si ₅	Li ₂ O	-190.4	-12.0	-1.58	2.7×10^{-2}	0.093
⑰	Li ₂₂ Si ₅	Li ₂ O						↓
⑱	Li ₂₂ Si ₅	Li ₂ O	Li	-196.7	-18.9	0	1	0

Table 4 The calculated reactions for the Li insertion of a SiO negative electrode at 298 K.

	Reaction	Atomic ratio of Li with respect to SiO	Charge capacity, C_c / mA h g ⁻¹
③	$5\text{SiO} + 2\text{Li}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow 3\text{Si} + \text{Li}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5$	0.40	243
⑤	$3\text{SiO} + 2\text{Li}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow 2\text{Si} + \text{Li}_2\text{SiO}_3$	0.67	405
⑦	$4\text{SiO} + 4\text{Li}^+ + 4\text{e}^- \rightarrow 3\text{Si} + \text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4$	1.00	608
⑨	$28\text{SiO} + 64\text{Li}^+ + 64\text{e}^- \rightarrow 3\text{Li}_{12}\text{Si}_7 + 7\text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4$	2.29	1390
⑪	$12\text{SiO} + 33\text{Li}^+ + 33\text{e}^- \rightarrow 3\text{Li}_7\text{Si}_3 + 3\text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4$	2.75	1672
⑬	$16\text{SiO} + 55\text{Li}^+ + 55\text{e}^- \rightarrow 3\text{Li}_{13}\text{Si}_4 + 4\text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4$	3.44	2090
⑮	$4\text{SiO} + 21\text{Li}^+ + 21\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Li}_{13}\text{Si}_4 + 4\text{Li}_2\text{O}$	5.25	3192
⑰	$5\text{SiO} + 32\text{Li}^+ + 32\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Li}_{22}\text{Si}_5 + 5\text{Li}_2\text{O}$	6.40	3891

Table 5 The calculated reactions for the Li extraction of a SiO negative electrode at 298 K.

Charged state	Dis-charged state	Reaction	Atomic ratio of Li with respect to SiO	Discharge capacity, C_d / mA h g ⁻¹	Coulombic efficiency (%)
	⑪	$3\text{Li}_{13}\text{Si}_4 + 4\text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4 \rightarrow 4\text{Li}_7\text{Si}_3 + 4\text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4 + 11\text{Li}^+ + 11\text{e}^-$	0.69	418	20.0
⑬	⑨	$21\text{Li}_{13}\text{Si}_4 + 28\text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4 \rightarrow 12\text{Li}_{12}\text{Si}_7 + 28\text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4 + 129\text{Li}^+ + 129\text{e}^-$	1.15	700	33.5
		$3\text{Li}_{13}\text{Si}_4 + 4\text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4 \rightarrow 12\text{Si} + 4\text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4 + 39\text{Li}^+ + 39\text{e}^-$	2.44	1482	70.9
⑮	⑦	$\text{Li}_{13}\text{Si}_4 + 4\text{Li}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 3\text{Si} + \text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4 + 17\text{Li}^+ + 17\text{e}^-$	4.25	2584	81.0
⑰		$4\text{Li}_{22}\text{Si}_5 + 20\text{Li}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 15\text{Si} + 5\text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4 + 108\text{Li}^+ + 108\text{e}^-$	5.40	3283	84.4

Table 6 Charge and discharge capacities and coulombic efficiency for the 1st cycle of SiO/EC:DEC(1:1) + 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆/Li cells in the voltage range of 0.005–1.5 V at 298 K.

	Charge Capacity, C_c mAh g ⁻¹	Discharge Capacity, C_d mAh g ⁻¹	Coulombic efficiency (%)
Amorphous SiO	2753	1955	71.0
1273 K heat treatment	2623	1898	72.4
1473 K heat treatment	337 (2554)	132 (2001)	39.1 (78.4)




Fig. 1 (a) Calculated ternary phase diagram for the Li-Si-O system at 298 K.
 (b) Transition of formed phases during the Li insertion for a SiO negative electrode at 298 K shown in the ternary phase diagram for Li-Si-O system.




Fig. 2 Reaction pathway during (a) the Li insertion for a SiO₂ negative electrode and (b) the Li desertion for a Li₄SiO₄ electrode at 298 K shown in the ternary phase diagram for Li-Si-O system.




Fig. 3 Transition of equilibrium electrode potential during the Li (a) insertion and (b) desertion after the formation of Li₁₃Si₄ for a SiO negative electrode at 298 K shown in the ternary phase diagram for Li-Si-O system.




Fig. 4 (a, b) TEM images and (c) XRD patterns for the silicon monoxide particles before and after the heat treatment in an Ar atmosphere at 1273 K and 1473 K.





Fig. 5 (a) Charge and discharge curves for the 1st cycle of SiO/EC:DEC(1:1) + 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆/Li cells in the voltage range of 0–1.5 V at 298 K. Charge conditions: a constant current of 15 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 0 V. Discharge conditions: a constant current of 15 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 1.5 V. (b) Charge and discharge curves for the 1st cycle of KB+PI/EC:DEC(1:1) + 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆/Li cells in the voltage range of 0–1.5 V at 298 K. Charge conditions: a constant current of 10 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 0 V. Discharge conditions: a constant current of 10 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 1.5 V.




Fig. 6 (a) Charge and (b) discharge curves for the 1st cycle of SiO/EC:DEC(1:1) + 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆/Li cells in the voltage range of 0.005–1.5 V at 298 K. Charge conditions: a constant current of 150 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 0.005 V and a constant voltage of 0.005 V until a current of 15 mA g⁻¹. Discharge conditions: a constant current of 150 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 1.5 V.





Fig. 7 Comparison of transition of formed phases during the Li insertion for (a) amorphous and (b) disproportionated SiO negative electrodes at 298 K.

(a) 2nd cycle



(b) 10th cycle




Fig. 8 Charge and discharge curves for the (a) 2nd and (b) 10th cycles of SiO/EC:DEC(1:1) + 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆/Li cells in the voltage range of 0.005–1.5 V at 298 K. Charge conditions (2nd cycle): a constant current of 450 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 0.005 V and a constant voltage of 0.005 V until a current of 15 mA g⁻¹. Charge conditions (after 3rd cycle): a constant current of 750 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 0.005 V and a constant voltage of 0.005 V until a current of 15 mA g⁻¹. Discharge conditions (2nd cycle): a constant current of 450 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 1.5 V. Discharge conditions (after 3rd cycle): a constant current of 750 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 1.5 V.

Table and figure captions

Table 1 Representative reports on battery and electrode characteristics of the SiO negative electrode.

Table 2 Thermodynamic data for the Li–Si–O system at 298 K used for the calculation.

Table 3 Equilibrium phases and electrode potentials during the Li insertion reaction for a SiO negative electrode at 298 K.

Table 4 The calculated reactions for the Li insertion of a SiO negative electrode at 298 K.

Table 5 The calculated reactions for the Li extraction of a SiO negative electrode at 298 K.

Table 6 Charge and discharge capacities and coulombic efficiency for the 1st cycle of SiO/EC:DEC(1:1) + 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆/Li cells in the voltage range of 0.005–1.5 V at 298 K.

Fig. 1 (a) Calculated ternary phase diagram for the Li–Si–O system at 298 K. (b) Transition of formed phases during the Li insertion for a SiO negative electrode at 298 K shown in the ternary phase diagram for Li–Si–O system.

Fig. 2 Reaction pathway during (a) the Li insertion for a SiO₂ negative electrode and (b) the Li extraction for a Li₄SiO₄ electrode at 298 K shown in the ternary phase diagram for Li–Si–O system.

Fig. 3 Transition of equilibrium electrode potential during the Li (a) insertion and (b) extraction after the formation of $\text{Li}_{13}\text{Si}_4$ for a SiO negative electrode at 298 K shown in the ternary phase diagram for Li–Si–O system.

Fig. 4 (a, b) TEM images and (c) XRD patterns for the silicon monoxide particles before and after the heat treatment in an Ar atmosphere at 1273 K and 1473 K.

Fig. 5 (a) Charge and discharge curves for the 1st cycle of SiO/EC:DEC(1:1) + 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆/Li cells in the voltage range of 0–1.5 V at 298 K. Charge conditions: a constant current of 15 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 0 V. Discharge conditions: a constant current of 15 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 1.5 V. (b) Charge and discharge curves for the 1st cycle of KB+PI/EC:DEC(1:1) + 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆/Li cells in the voltage range of 0–1.5 V at 298 K. Charge conditions: a constant current of 10 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 0 V. Discharge conditions: a constant current of 10 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 1.5 V.

Fig. 6 (a) Charge and (b) discharge curves for the 1st cycle of SiO/EC:DEC(1:1) + 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆/Li cells in the voltage range of 0.005–1.5 V at 298 K. Charge conditions: a constant current of 150 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 0.005 V, and a constant voltage of 0.005 V until a current of 15 mA g⁻¹. Discharge conditions: a constant current of 150 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 1.5 V.

Fig. 7 Comparison of transition of formed phases during the Li insertion for (a) amorphous and (b) disproportionated SiO negative electrodes at 298 K.

Fig. 8 Charge and discharge curves for the (a) 2nd and (b) 10th cycles of SiO/EC:DEC(1:1) + 1 mol L⁻¹ LiPF₆/Li cells in the voltage range of 0.005–1.5 V at 298 K. Charge conditions (2nd cycle): a constant current of 450 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 0.005 V, and a constant voltage of 0.005 V until a current of 15 mA g⁻¹. Charge conditions (after 3rd cycle): a constant current of 750 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 0.005 V, and a constant voltage of 0.005 V until a current of 15 mA g⁻¹. Discharge conditions (2nd cycle): a constant current of 450 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 1.5 V. Discharge conditions (after 3rd cycle): a constant current of 750 mA g⁻¹ until a voltage of 1.5 V.