

FURUTA INEQUALITY AND p - $wA(s, t)$ OPERATORS

M. CHŌ, T. PRASAD, M. RASHID, K. TANAHASHI AND
A. UCHIYAMA

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Takayuki Furuta with deep gratitude

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to introduce small history with Furuta's inequality and relating class of p - $wA(s, t)$ operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $B(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . In 1987, Furuta [5] proved the following inequality.

Theorem 1. [Furuta inequality]

Let $0 < p, q, r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A, B \in B(\mathcal{H})$ satisfy $0 \leq B \leq A$. If $p + 2r \leq (1 + 2r)q$ and $1 \leq q$, then $B^{\frac{p+2r}{q}} \leq (B^r A^p B^r)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ and $(A^r B^p A^r)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq A^{\frac{p+2r}{q}}$.

This is a good extension of Löwner-Heinz's inequality ([7] and [12]).

Theorem 2. [Löwner-Heinz's inequality]

Let $A, B \in B(\mathcal{H})$ satisfy $0 \leq B \leq A$ and $0 < p \leq 1$. Then $B^p \leq A^p$.

Recall that an operator T is said to be hyponormal if $T^*T \geq TT^*$. For $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$, set $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as usual. By taking $U|T|x = Tx$ for $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $Ux = 0$ for $x \in \ker |T|$, T has a unique polar decomposition $T = U|T|$ with $\ker U = \ker |T|$. Aluthge [1] defined Aluthge transformation $\tilde{T} = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and studied interesting properties of p -hyponormal operators for $0 < p \leq 1$.

Definition 3. $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be p -hyponormal if $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p$ where $p \in (0, 1]$.

The class of p -hyponormal operators is a generalization of the class of hyponormal operators by Löwner-Heinz's inequality. Aluthge [1] proved following result.

Theorem 4. Let T be p -hyponormal. If $0 < p \leq 1/2$, then \tilde{T} is $(p + 1/2)$ -hyponormal. If $1/2 \leq p \leq 1$, then \tilde{T} is hyponormal.

This is a epoch making result. Aluthge transformation is a strong tool of operator theory and many applications have been studied, for example, Putnam inequality, Fuglede Putnam type theorem, Wyle type theorem. I think that generalization of class of operators may be a good way to investigate non-normal operators. Furuta [6] and Yoshino [17] defined generalized transformation $T(s, t) = |T|^s U |T|^t$ with $0 < s, t$ and Yanagida [15], Ito [8], Yamazaki [9], Fujii, Jung, Lee, Nakamoto [4] studied $wA(s, t)$ operators.

Definition 5. T is said to be $wA(s, t)$ if

$$(1.1) \quad (|T^*|^t |T|^{2s} |T^*|^t)_{\frac{t}{s+t}} \geq |T^*|^{2t}$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad |T|^{2s} \geq (|T|^s |T^*|^{2t} |T|^s)_{\frac{s}{s+t}}.$$

Hence generalized Aluthge transformation $T(s, t)$ of $wA(s, t)$ operator T enjoys the following property.

Proposition 6. Let T be $wA(s, t)$. Then

$$|T(s, t)|_{\frac{2t}{s+t}} \geq |T|^{2t}$$

and

$$|T|^{2s} \geq |T(s, t)^*|_{\frac{2s}{s+t}}.$$

Hence

$$|T(s, t)|_{\frac{2r}{s+t}} \geq |T|^{2r} \geq |T(s, t)^*|_{\frac{2r}{s+t}}$$

for all $r \in (0, \min\{s, t\}]$.

Ito and Yamazaki [9] proved that (1.1) implies (1.2). This is a good result. This means that class of $wA(s, t)$ operators are coincides with $A(s, t)$ operators.

Definition 7. T is said to be $A(s, t)$ if

$$(1.3) \quad (|T^*|^t |T|^{2s} |T^*|^t)_{\frac{t}{s+t}} \geq |T^*|^{2t}.$$

Class $A(1, 1)$ is said to be class A and class $A(1/2, 1/2)$ is said to be w -hyponormal [4, 9, 15]. Prasad and Tanahshi [16] defined p - $wA(s, t)$ operator for $0 < p \leq 1$ and $0 < s, t, s + t \leq 1$ as follows.

Definition 8. T is said to be p - $wA(s, t)$ if

$$(1.4) \quad (|T^*|^t |T|^{2s} |T^*|^t)_{\frac{pt}{s+t}} \geq |T^*|^{2pt}$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad |T|^{2ps} \geq (|T|^s |T^*|^{2t} |T|^s)_{\frac{ps}{s+t}}.$$

Hence p - $wA(s, t)$ operator is a generalization of $wA(s, t)$ operator by Löwner-Heinz's inequality. The aim of this paper is to prove several properties of p - $wA(s, t)$ operator and show some open problems of p - $wA(s, t)$ operator. Main results are proved in [16] and [2].

2. RESULTS

At first, we show generalized Aluthge transformation $T(s, t)$ of p - $wA(s, t)$ operator T enjoys the following property [16].

Theorem 9. Let T be p - $wA(s, t)$. Then

$$|T(s, t)|_{\frac{2pt}{s+t}} \geq |T|^{2pt}$$

and

$$|T|^{2ps} \geq |T(s, t)^*|_{\frac{2ps}{s+t}}.$$

Hence

$$|T(s, t)|_{\frac{2pr}{s+t}} \geq |T|^{2pr} \geq |T(s, t)^*|_{\frac{2pr}{s+t}}$$

for all $r \in (0, \min\{s, t\}]$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (|T^*|^t |T|^{2s} |T^*|^t)^{\frac{pt}{s+t}} \geq |T^*|^{2pt} \\
& \iff (U|T|^t U^* |T|^{2s} U|T|^t U^*)^{\frac{pt}{s+t}} \geq U|T|^{2pt} U^* \\
& \iff U (|T|^t U^* |T|^{2s} U|T|^t)^{\frac{pt}{s+t}} U^* \geq U|T|^{2pt} U^* \text{ ([8, Lemma 2.1])} \\
& \iff (|T|^t U^* |T|^{2s} U|T|^t)^{\frac{pt}{s+t}} \geq |T|^{2pt} \text{ ([8, lemma 2.1])} \\
& \iff |T(s, t)|^{\frac{2pt}{s+t}} \geq |T|^{2pt}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (|T|^s |T^*|^{2t} |T|^s)^{\frac{ps}{s+t}} \leq |T|^{2ps} \\
& \iff (|T|^s U|T|^{2t} U^* |T|^s)^{\frac{ps}{s+t}} \leq |T|^{2ps} \\
& \iff |\{T(s, t)\}^*|^{\frac{2ps}{s+t}} \leq |T|^{2ps}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Next we show class of p - $wA(s, t)$ operators are decreasing class of operators with $0 < p \leq 1$ and increasing with $0 < s, t \leq 1$. The proof is essentially due to C. Yang and J. Yuan ([19] Proposition 3.4).

Lemma 10. *If T is p - $wA(s, t)$ and $0 < s \leq s_1, 0 < t \leq t_1, 0 < p_1 \leq p \leq 1$, then T is p_1 - $wA(s_1, t_1)$.*

Proof. Let T be p - $wA(s, t)$. Then

$$(2.1) \quad (|T^*|^t |T|^{2s} |T^*|^t)^{\frac{tp}{s+t}} \geq |T^*|^{2tp}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad |T|^{2sp} \geq (|T|^s |T^*|^{2t} |T|^s)^{\frac{sp}{s+t}}.$$

We prove that T is p - $wA(s_1, t_1)$. Then T is p_1 - $wA(s_1, t_1)$ by Lowner-Heinz's inequality.

Let $A_1 = (|T^*|^t |T|^{2s} |T^*|^t)^{\frac{tp}{s+t}}$ and $B_1 = |T^*|^{2tp}$. Since (1) implies $A_1 \geq B_1$, we have

$$\left(B_1^{\frac{r_2}{2}} A_1^{p_2} B_1^{\frac{r_2}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1+r_2}{p_2+r_2}} \geq B_1^{1+r_2}$$

for any $r_2 > 0$ and $p_2 \geq 1$ by Furuta's inequality [5]. Let

$$\beta \geq t, p_2 = \frac{s+t}{tp} \geq 1, r_2 = \frac{\beta-t}{tp} \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\left(|T^*|^\beta |T|^{2s} |T^*|^\beta \right)^{\frac{tp+\beta-t}{s+\beta}} \geq |T^*|^{2tp+2\beta-2t}.$$

Hence we have

$$\left(|T^*|^\beta |T|^{2s} |T^*|^\beta \right)^{\frac{w}{s+\beta}} \geq |T^*|^{2w}$$

for any $0 < w \leq tp + \beta - t$.

Let

$$f_s(\beta) = \left(|T|^s |T^*|^{2\beta} |T|^s \right)^{\frac{s}{s+\beta}}$$

for $\beta \geq t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_s(\beta) &= \left\{ \left(|T|^s |T^*|^{2\beta} |T|^s \right)^{\frac{s+\beta+w}{s+\beta}} \right\}^{\frac{s}{s+\beta+w}} \\ &= \left\{ |T|^s |T^*|^\beta \left(|T^*|^\beta |T|^{2s} |T^*|^\beta \right)^{\frac{w}{s+\beta}} |T^*|^\beta |T|^s \right\}^{\frac{s}{s+\beta+w}} \\ &\geq \left\{ |T|^s |T^*|^\beta |T^*|^{2w} |T^*|^\beta |T|^s \right\}^{\frac{s}{s+\beta+w}} \\ &= \left\{ |T|^s |T^*|^{2(\beta+w)} |T|^s \right\}^{\frac{s}{s+\beta+w}} \\ &= f_s(\beta + w). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f_s(\beta)$ is decreasing for $\beta \geq t$.

Then, by (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} |T|^{2sp} &\geq \left(|T|^s |T^*|^{2t} |T|^s \right)^{\frac{sp}{s+t}} \\ &= \{f_s(t)\}^p \\ &\geq \{f_s(t_1)\}^p = \left(|T|^s |T^*|^{2t_1} |T|^s \right)^{\frac{sp}{s+t_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $A_2 = |T|^{2sp}$ and $B_2 = \left(|T|^s |T^*|^{2t_1} |T|^s \right)^{\frac{sp}{s+t_1}}$. Then

$$A_2^{1+r_3} \geq \left(A_2^{\frac{r_3}{2}} B_2^{p_3} A_2^{\frac{r_3}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1+r_3}{p_3+r_3}}$$

for any $r_3 \geq 0$ and $p_3 \geq 1$ by Furuta's inequality [5]. Let

$$p_3 = \frac{s+t_1}{sp} \geq 1, r_3 = \frac{s_1-s}{sp} \geq 0.$$

Then

$$|T|^{2sp+2s_1-2s} \geq \left(|T|^{s_1} |T^*|^{2t_1} |T|^{s_1} \right)^{\frac{sp+s_1-s}{s_1+t_1}}.$$

Since

$$sp + s_1 - s - s_1p = (s_1 - s)(1 - p) \geq 0,$$

we have

$$|T|^{2s_1p} \geq \left(|T|^{s_1} |T^*|^{2t_1} |T|^{s_1} \right)^{\frac{s_1p}{s_1+t_1}}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\left(|T^*|^{t_1} |T|^{2s_1} |T^*|^{t_1} \right)^{\frac{t_1p}{s_1+t_1}} \geq |T^*|^{2t_1p}.$$

Hence T is p - $wA(s_1, t_1)$. □

The following result seems new, even for class $A(s, t)$ operators.

Theorem 11. *If T is p - $wA(s, t)$ and T is invertible, then T^{-1} is p - $wA(t, s)$.*

Proof. Let $T = U|T|$ the polar decomposition of T . Then

$$|T^{-1}|^2 = (T^{-1})^*T^{-1} = (T^*)^{-1}T^{-1} = (TT^*)^{-1} = |T^*|^{-2}.$$

Hence

$$|T^{-1}| = |T^*|.$$

Also,

$$|(T^{-1})^*|^2 = (T^{-1})(T^{-1})^* = T^{-1}(T^*)^{-1} = (T^*T)^{-1} = |T|^{-2}.$$

Hence

$$|(T^{-1})^*| = |T|^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ |(T^{-1})^*|^s |T^{-1}|^{2t} |(T^{-1})^*|^s \}_{\frac{sp}{s+t}} \\ &= (|T|^{-s} |T^*|^{-2t} |T|^{-s})_{\frac{sp}{s+t}} \\ &= (|T|^s |T^*|^{2t} |T|^s)_{\frac{-ps}{s+t}} \\ &\geq |T|^{-2sp} = |(T^{-1})^*|^{2sp} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ |T^{-1}|^t |(T^{-1})^*|^{2s} |T^{-1}|^t \}_{\frac{tp}{s+t}} \\ &= \{ |T^*|^{-t} |T|^{-2s} |T^*|^{-t} \}_{\frac{tp}{s+t}} \\ &= (|T^*|^t |T|^{2s} |T^*|^t)_{\frac{-tp}{s+t}} \\ &\leq |T^*|^{-2tp} = |T^{-1}|^{2tp}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 12. *If T is $A(s, t)$ and T is invertible, then T^{-1} is $A(t, s)$.*

Let $0 < p \leq 1$ and $S, T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be non zero operators. In [3], Duggal proved that tensor product $T \otimes S$ is p -hyponormal if and only if T and S are p -hyponormal. The passage of class A operators is studied by Jeon and Duggal [10]. Tanahashi and Chō [13] proved that the tensor product $T \otimes S$ is of class $A(s, t)$ if and only if T and S are class $A(s, t)$. Now we will prove similar result for p -class $wA(s, t)$ operators by adopting the ideas in [13],[10].

Lemma 13. [11] *Let $T_1, T_2, S_1, S_2 \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be non negative operators. If $T_1 \neq 0$ and $S_1 \neq 0$, then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) $T_1 \otimes S_1 \leq T_2 \otimes S_2$.
- (2) There exists $c > 0$ such that $T_1 \leq cT_2$ and $S_1 \leq c^{-1}S_2$.

Lemma 14. [13] *Let $T = U|T|$ and $S = V|S|$ be the polar decompositions of $T, S \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) $|T \otimes S| = |T| \otimes |S|$.
- (2) $T \otimes S = (U \otimes V)(|T| \otimes |S|)$ is the polar decomposition of $T \otimes S$.
- (3) $(T \otimes S)(s, t) = T(s, t) \otimes S(s, t)$ for $s, t > 0$.

Theorem 15. *Let $S, T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be non zero operators. Then $T \otimes S$ is p - $wA(s, t)$ if and only if S, T are p - $wA(s, t)$.*

Proof. Let $S, T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be non zero p -class $wA(s, t)$ operators and $S = V|S|, T = U|T|$ be polar decompositions of S, T . Then $|T(s, t)|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} \geq |T|^{2tp}$ and $|S(s, t)^*|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} \geq |S|^{2tp}$ by Theorem 9. By applying Lemma 14, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(T \otimes S)(s, t)|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} &= |T(s, t) \otimes S(s, t)|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} \\ &= |T(s, t)|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} \otimes |S(s, t)|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} \geq |T|^{2tp} \otimes |S|^{2tp} = |T \otimes S|^{2tp}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$|T \otimes S|^{2sp} \geq |\{(T \otimes S)(s, t)\}^*|^{\frac{2sp}{s+t}}.$$

Hence $T \otimes S$ is p - $wA(s, t)$.

Conversely, suppose that $T \otimes S$ is p - $wA(s, t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(T \otimes S)(s, t)|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} &= |T(s, t)|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} \otimes |S(s, t)|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} \\ &\geq |T|^{2tp} \otimes |S|^{2tp} = |T \otimes S|^{2tp} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|T \otimes S|^{2sp} \geq |\{(T \otimes S)(s, t)\}^*|^{\frac{2sp}{s+t}}.$$

Hence there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$c|T(s, t)|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} \geq |T|^{2tp}$$

and

$$c^{-1}|S(s, t)|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} \geq |S|^{2tp}$$

by Lemma 13. Let x be a unit vector. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \||T|^{tp}x\|^2 &= \langle |T|^{2tp}x, x \rangle \leq \langle c|T(s, t)|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}}x, x \rangle \\ &\leq c\||T(s, t)|^{\frac{tp}{s+t}}\|^2 = c\||T(s, t)\|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} \\ &= c\||T|^sU|T|^t\|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} \\ &\leq c(\||T|^s\| \cdot 1 \cdot \||T|^t\|)^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} = c\||T|^{tp}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $1 \leq c$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \||S|^{tp}x\|^2 &= \langle |S|^{2tp}x, x \rangle \leq \langle c^{-1}|S(s, t)|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}}x, x \rangle \\ &\leq c^{-1}\||S(s, t)|^{\frac{tp}{s+t}}\|^2 = c^{-1}\||S(s, t)\|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} \\ &= c^{-1}\||S|^sV|S|^t\|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} \\ &\leq c^{-1}(\||S|^s\| \cdot 1 \cdot \||S|^t\|)^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} = c^{-1}\||S|^{tp}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $1 \leq c^{-1}$. Hence $c = 1$. This implies

$$|T(s, t)|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} \geq |T|^{2tp}$$

and

$$|S(s, t)|^{\frac{2tp}{s+t}} \geq |S|^{2tp}.$$

Similarly we have

$$|T|^{2sp} \geq |\{T(s, t)\}^*|^{\frac{2sp}{s+t}}$$

and

$$|S|^{2sp} \geq |\{S(s, t)\}^*|^{\frac{2sp}{s+t}}.$$

Thus T and S are p - $wA(s, t)$. □

Corollary 16. *Let $S, T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be non zero operators. Then $T \otimes S$ is p - $A(s, t)$ if and only if S, T are p - $A(s, t)$.*

Theorem 17. *Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be p - $wA(s, t)$ with $0 < s, t, s + t = 1$ and $0 < p \leq 1$. Let $\rho e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{C}$ be an isolated point of $\sigma(T)$ and $0 < \rho$. Then the Riesz idempotent E for T with respect to $\rho e^{i\theta}$ is self-adjoint with*

$$\text{ran } E = \ker(T - \rho e^{i\theta}) = \ker\left((T - \rho e^{i\theta})^*\right).$$

and coincides with the Riesz idempotent $E(s, t)$ for $T(s, t)$ with respect to $\rho e^{i\theta}$.

Proof. Since $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T(s, t))$ by Lemma 6 of [14], $\rho e^{i\theta}$ is an isolated point of $\sigma(T(s, t))$. Since $T(s, t)$ is rp -hyponormal for all $r \in (0, \min\{s, t\}]$, $E(s, t)$ is self-adjoint and satisfies

$$\text{ran } E(s, t) = \ker\left(T(s, t) - \rho e^{i\theta}\right) = \ker(T - \rho e^{i\theta})$$

and

$$\rho e^{i\theta} \notin \sigma\left(T(s, t)|_{\text{ran } E(s, t)}\right).$$

Since $\ker(T - \rho e^{i\theta}) = \text{ran } E(s, t)$ reduces T , we have

$$T = \rho e^{i\theta} \oplus T' \text{ on } \mathcal{H} = \text{ran } E(s, t) \oplus \text{ran } (1 - E(s, t)).$$

Then T' is also class p - $wA(s, t)$ and $T'(s, t) = T(s, t)|_{\text{ran } (1 - E(s, t))}$. Hence $\rho e^{i\theta} \notin \sigma(T'(s, t)) = \sigma(T')$ by Lemma 6 of [14]. Hence $T' - \rho e^{i\theta}$ is invertible and $T - \rho e^{i\theta} = 0 \oplus (T' - \rho e^{i\theta})$. This implies $\ker(T - \rho e^{i\theta}) = \ker((T - \rho e^{i\theta})^*)$ and

$$E = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} (z - \rho e^{i\theta})^{-1} \oplus (z - T')^{-1} dz = 1 \oplus 0 = E(s, t)$$

where γ is a small circle containing $\rho e^{i\theta}$. □

Theorem 18. *Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be p - $wA(s, t)$ with $0 < s, t, s + t \leq 1$ and $0 < p \leq 1$. Let $(T - \rho e^{i\theta})x_n \rightarrow 0$ for $x_n \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x_n\| = 1$ and $\rho e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < \rho$. Then $(|T| - \rho)x_n, (U - e^{i\theta})x_n, (U - e^{i\theta})^*x_n, (T - \rho e^{i\theta})^*x_n \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. We may assume $s + t = 1$ by Lemma 10. Since

$$\left(T(s, t) - \rho e^{i\theta}\right) |T|^s x_n = |T|^s \left(T - \rho e^{i\theta}\right) x_n \rightarrow 0,$$

we have

$$\left(T(s, t) - \rho e^{i\theta}\right)^* |T|^s x_n \rightarrow 0,$$

because $T(s, t)$ is rp -hyponormal for all $r \in (0, \min\{s, t\}]$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leftarrow \left(T(s, t) - \rho e^{i\theta}\right)^* \left(T(s, t) - \rho e^{i\theta}\right) |T|^s x_n \\ &= (|T(s, t)|^2 - \rho^2) |T|^s x_n \\ &\quad - \rho e^{-i\theta} \left(T(s, t) - \rho e^{i\theta}\right) |T|^s x_n - \rho \left(T(s, t) - \rho e^{i\theta}\right)^* |T|^s x_n. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$(|T(s, t)|^2 - \rho^2) |T|^s x_n \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(|T(s, t)|^{rp} - \rho^{rp}) |T|^s x_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(|T(s, t)^*|^{rp} - \rho^{rp}) |T|^s x_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leftarrow \langle (|T(s, t)|^{rp} - \rho^{rp}) |T|^s x_n, |T|^s x_n \rangle \\ &\geq \langle (|T|^{rp} - \rho^{rp}) |T|^s x_n, |T|^s x_n \rangle \\ &\geq \langle (|T(s, t)^*|^{rp} - \rho^{rp}) |T|^s x_n, |T|^s x_n \rangle \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\langle (|T|^{rp} - \rho^{rp}) |T|^s x_n, |T|^s x_n \rangle \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\frac{r}{2} \in (0, \min\{s, t\}]$, we have

$$\langle (|T|^{\frac{rp}{2}} - \rho^{\frac{rp}{2}}) |T|^s x_n, |T|^s x_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

by the same argument. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\| (|T|^{\frac{rp}{2}} - \rho^{\frac{rp}{2}}) |T|^s x_n \|^2 \\ &= \langle (|T|^{\frac{rp}{2}} - \rho^{\frac{rp}{2}})^2 |T|^s x_n, |T|^s x_n \rangle \\ &= \langle (|T|^{rp} - \rho^{rp}) |T|^s x_n, |T|^s x_n \rangle - 2\rho^{\frac{rp}{2}} \langle (|T|^{\frac{rp}{2}} - \rho^{\frac{rp}{2}}) |T|^s x_n, |T|^s x_n \rangle \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left(|T|^{\frac{rp}{2}} - \rho^{\frac{rp}{2}} \right) |T|^s x_n \rightarrow 0$$

and so

$$(|T| - \rho) |T|^s x_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Then

$$|T|(|T| - \rho)x_n = |T|^{1-s}(|T| - \rho)|T|^s x_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim \langle |T|(|T| - \rho)x_n, x_n \rangle \\ &= \lim \| |T|x_n \|^2 - \rho \lim \langle |T|x_n, x_n \rangle \\ &= \lim \| |T|x_n \|^2 - \rho \lim \langle |T|x_n, x_n \rangle \\ &= \rho^2 - \rho \lim \langle |T|x_n, x_n \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\langle |T|x_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow \rho$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \| (|T| - \rho)x_n \|^2 &= \| |T|x_n \|^2 - 2\rho \langle |T|x_n, x_n \rangle + \rho^2 \\ &\rightarrow \rho^2 - 2\rho^2 + \rho^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$(|T| - \rho)x_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Since

$$0 \leftarrow (T - \rho e^{i\theta})x_n = U(|T| - \rho)x_n + \rho(U - e^{i\theta})x_n$$

and $0 < \rho$, we have

$$(U - e^{i\theta})x_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(U - e^{i\theta})^*x_n\|^2 &= \|U^*x_n\|^2 - \langle U^*x_n, e^{-i\theta}x_n \rangle - \langle e^{-i\theta}x_n, U^*x_n \rangle + 1 \\ &\leq 1 - e^{i\theta}\langle x_n, Ux_n \rangle - e^{-i\theta}\langle Ux_n, x_n \rangle + 1 \\ &\leq -e^{i\theta}\langle x_n, (U - e^{i\theta})x_n \rangle - e^{-i\theta}\langle (U - e^{i\theta})x_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(U - e^{i\theta})^*x_n \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(T - \rho e^{i\theta})^*x_n = |T|(U - e^{i\theta})^*x_n + e^{-i\theta}(|T| - \rho)x_n \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Open problems

It is known that class A operator satisfies Putnam type inequality. However it is not known that Putnam type inequality holds for p - $wA(s, t)$ operators. It seems a difficult problem. We note some open problems for p - $wA(s, t)$ operators.

(1) M. Ito and T. Yamazaki [9] proved that $A(s, t)$ implies $wA(s, t)$. However it is not known whether p -class $A(s, t)$ implies p - $wA(s, t)$ for $0 < p < 1$ or not.

(2) It is known that if T is class $A(s, t)$ and $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is a T -invariant subspace, then $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is class $A(s, t)$. However it is not known whether this property holds for p - $wA(s, t)$ operator T .

(3) It is known that class A operator T is normaloid. But it is not known that p - $wA(s, t)$ operator T is normaloid or not.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aluthge, On p -hyponormal operators for $0 < p < 1$, Integral Equations Operator Theory. 13 (1990), 307 - 315.
- [2] M. Chō, M. Rashid, K. Tanahashi and A. Uchiyama, *Spectrum of class p - $wA(s, t)$ operators*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **82** (2016), 641–649.
- [3] B.P Duggal, Tensor Product of operators-strong stability and p -hyponormality, Glasgow Math. J 42.(200),371-381.
- [4] M. Fujii, D. Jung, S. H. Lee., M. Y. Lee., and R. Nakamoto, *Some classes of operators related to paranormal and log hyponormal operators*, Math. Japon., **51** (2000), 395–402.
- [5] T. Furuta, $A \geq B \geq O$ assures $(B^r A^p B^r)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq B^{\frac{p+2r}{q}}$ for $r \geq 0$, $p \geq 0$, $q \geq 1$ with $(1 + 2r)q \geq (p + 2r)$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **101** (1987), 85–88.
- [6] T. Furuta, *Generalized Aluthge transformation on p -hyponormal operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **124** (1996), 3071–3075.
- [7] E. Heinz, *Beiträge zur Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung*, Math. Ann., **123** (1951), 415–438.
- [8] M. Ito, *Some classes of operators associated with generalized Aluthge transformation*, SUT J. Math., **35** (1999), 149–165.

- [9] M. Ito and T. Yamazaki, *Relations between two inequalities $(B^{\frac{r}{2}}A^pB^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}} \geq B^r$ and $A^p \geq (A^{\frac{r}{2}}B^rA^{\frac{r}{2}})$ and their applications*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory, **44** (2002), 442–450.
- [10] I.H. Jeon and B.P Duggal, *On operators with absolute value conditions*, J. Korean. Math. Soc **41**(2004),617-627.
- [11] Jan Stochel., *Seminormality of operators from their tensor products*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc **124**(1996)435-440.
- [12] K. Löwner, *Über monotone Matrixfunktionen*, Math. Z., **38** (1934), 177–216.
- [13] K. Tanahashi and M. Chō, *Tensor Products of Log-hyponormal and of Class A (s, t) operators*, Glasgow Math J. **46**(2004) 91-91.
- [14] A. Uchiyama, K. Tanahashi and J. I. Lee, *Spectrum of class $A(s, t)$ operators*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **70** (2004), 279–287.
- [15] M. Yanagida, *Powers of class $wA(s, t)$ operators with generalised Aluthge transformation*, J. Inequal. Appl., **7** (2002), 143–168.
- [16] T. Prasad and K. Tanahashi, *On class $p-wA(s, t)$ operators*, Functional Analysis, Approximation Computation, **6** (2) (2014), 39–42.
- [17] T. Yshino, *The p -hyponormality of the Aluthge transform*, Interdiscip. Inform. Sci., **3** (1997), 91–93.
- [18] J. Yuan and C. Yang, *Spectrum of class $wF(p, r, q)$ operators for $p+r \leq 1$ and $q \geq 1$* , Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **71** (2005), 767–779.
- [19] C. Yang and J. Yuan, *On class $wF(p, r, q)$ operators*, Acta. Math. Sci., **27** (2007), 769–780.

M. Chō

Department of Mathematics, Kanagawa University, Yokohama 221-8686, Japan

E-mail address: chiyom01@kanagawa-u.ac.jp

T. Prasad

School of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research-Thiruvananthapuram , Thiruvananthapuram-695016, Kerala, India

E-mail address: prasadvalapil@gmail.com

M.H.M.Rashid

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science P.O.Box(7), Mu'tah university, Al-Karak, Jordan

E-mail address: malik_okasha@yahoo.com

K. Tanahashi

Tohoku Medical and Pharmaceutical University, Sendai 981-8558, Japan

E-mail address: tanahasi@tohoku-mpu.ac.jp

A. Uchiyama

Department of Mathematical Science, Faculty of Science, Yamagata University

Yamagata, 990-8560, Japan

it E-mail address: uchiyama@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp