

# REFINEMENTS OF HÖLDER-MCCARTHY INEQUALITY

MASATOSHI FUJII<sup>1</sup> and RITSUO NAKAMOTO<sup>2</sup>

Osaka Kyoiku University Ibaraki University

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this note, a capital letter means a (bounded linear) operator acting on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . An operator  $A$  is said to be positive, denoted by  $A \geq 0$ , if  $(Ax, x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

McCarthy [6] proved the following inequalities: Let  $A$  be positive operator acting on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then

(i)  $(A^\mu x, x) \leq (Ax, x)^\mu \|x\|^{2(1-\mu)}$  for  $\mu \in [0, 1]$  and  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

(ii)  $(A^\mu x, x) \geq (Ax, x)^\mu \|x\|^{2(1-\mu)}$  for  $\mu > 1$  and  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Moreover (i) and (ii) are simplified to the following (iii) and (iv), respectively:

(iii)  $(A^\mu x, x) \leq (Ax, x)^\mu$  for  $\mu \in [0, 1]$  and  $\|x\| = 1$ .

(iv)  $(A^\mu x, x) \geq (Ax, x)^\mu$  for  $\mu > 1$  and  $\|x\| = 1$ .

The inequalities (i) and (ii) are proved by using the integral representation of  $A$  and the Hölder inequality. Hence they are called the Hölder-McCarthy inequality. For readers' convenience, we cite a proof of (i) for the case where  $A$  is a positive definite diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . For  $r \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (A^r x, x) &= \sum a_i^r |x_i|^2 = \sum a_i^r |x_i|^{2r} |x_i|^{2(1-r)} \\ &\leq \left(\sum a_i |x_i|^2\right)^r \left(\sum |x_i|^2\right)^{1-r} \\ &= (Ax, x)^r \|x\|^{2(1-r)} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the following inequality is named as the Young inequality, cf. [2] and [3]: For  $A, B \geq 0$ ,

$$\mu A + (1 - \mu)B \geq B \#_\mu A \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \mu \leq 1,$$

where  $B \#_\mu A = B^{\frac{1}{2}}(B^{-\frac{1}{2}}AB^{-\frac{1}{2}})^\mu B^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is the  $\mu$ -operator geometric mean. Its simplified form is as follows: For  $A \geq 0$ ,

$$\mu A + 1 - \mu \geq A^\mu \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \mu \leq 1.$$

It is known that the Hölder-McCarthy inequality (iii) and the Young inequality are equivalent [3] and [2; §3.1.3].

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47A63; Secondary 47B10.

*Key words and phrases*. Hölder-McCarthy inequality, Young inequality, convexity of functions.

As a refinement of the Young inequality, Kittaneh and Manasrah [4] proposed that

$$(1 - \mu)a + \mu b \geq a^{1-\mu}b^\mu + \min\{\mu, 1 - \mu\}(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2$$

for all positive numbers  $a, b$  and  $\mu \in [0, 1]$ . It is simplified as follows:

$$\mu a + 1 - \mu - a^\mu \geq \min\{\mu, 1 - \mu\}(1 + a - 2\sqrt{a})$$

for all positive numbers  $a$  and  $\mu \in [0, 1]$ . We now understand it as the inequality

$$\mu A + 1 - \mu - A^\mu \geq \min\left\{\frac{1 - \mu}{1 - \nu}, \frac{\mu}{\nu}\right\}(\nu A + 1 - \nu - A^\nu).$$

As a matter of fact, if we take  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $A = aI$ , where  $I$  is the identity operator, then we easily obtain the simplified inequality mentioned above. In succession, Manasrah and Kittaneh generalized refined Young inequalities in [5].

Based on recent results on refinements of Young inequality, Alzer et al. proposed the following estimation [1: Theorem 2.1]: If  $0 < \mu < \nu < 1$ ,  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $a, b > 0$ , then

$$\left(\frac{1 - \nu}{1 - \mu}\right)^\lambda < \frac{A_\nu^\lambda - G_\nu^\lambda}{A_\mu^\lambda - G_\mu^\lambda} < \left(\frac{\nu}{\mu}\right)^\lambda$$

holds, where  $A_\tau = (1 - \tau)a + \tau b$  and  $G_\tau = a^{1-\tau}b^\tau$ .

In this paper, we improve the Hölder-McCarthy inequality, whose point is the convexity of the function  $f(\mu) = \frac{(A^\mu x, x)}{(Ax, x)^\mu}$ . Moreover we point out that the improved Hölder-McCarthy inequality is equivalent to an improved Young inequality in the sense of Kittaneh and Manasrah.

## 2. HÖLDER-MCCARTHY INEQUALITY

As an approach to the Hölder-McCarthy inequality, we consider the function defined by the ratio;  $f(\mu) = \frac{(A^\mu x, x)}{(Ax, x)^\mu}$ . We first show the convexity of the function.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a positive operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $Ax \neq 0$ . If  $f(\mu) = \frac{(A^\mu x, x)}{(Ax, x)^\mu}$ , then  $f(\mu)$  is a convex function on  $[0, \infty)$ . Moreover if  $A$  is invertible, then  $f(\mu)$  is a convex function on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .*

*Proof.* First of all, we note that  $(A^\mu x, x)$  is log-convex, i.e.,

$$(A^{\frac{\mu+\nu}{2}} x, x) \leq (A^\mu x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^\nu x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is easily checked as follows:

$$(A^{\frac{\mu+\nu}{2}} x, x) \leq \|A^{\frac{\mu}{2}} x\| \|A^{\frac{\nu}{2}} x\| = (A^\mu x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^\nu x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By this and the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(A^\mu x, x)}{(Ax, x)^\mu} + \frac{(A^\nu x, x)}{(Ax, x)^\nu} \right) \geq \frac{(A^\mu x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^\nu x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(Ax, x)^{\frac{\mu+\nu}{2}}} \geq \frac{(A^{\frac{\mu+\nu}{2}} x, x)}{(Ax, x)^{\frac{\mu+\nu}{2}}},$$

that is,  $f(\frac{\mu+\nu}{2}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(f(\mu) + f(\nu))$ . □

*Remark 2.2.* It is remarkable that the convexity of  $f(\mu)$  implies the Hölder-McCarthy inequality. As a matter of fact, if  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  is unit vector, then  $f(\mu)$  defined in above satisfies  $f(0) = f(1) = 1$ . Hence the convexity of it implies the Hölder-McCarthy inequality (iii) and (iv).

Next we propose a refinement of the Hölder-McCarthy inequality:

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $A \geq 0$ ,  $\|x\| = 1$  and  $\lambda \geq 1$ . Then*

$$m(\mu, \nu) \left( 1 - \left( \frac{(A^\nu x, x)}{(Ax, x)^\nu} \right)^\lambda \right) \leq 1 - \left( \frac{(A^\mu x, x)}{(Ax, x)^\mu} \right)^\lambda \leq M(\mu, \nu) \left( 1 - \left( \frac{(A^\nu x, x)}{(Ax, x)^\nu} \right)^\lambda \right)$$

hold for  $\mu, \nu \in (0, 1)$ , where  $m(\mu, \nu) = \min\{\frac{1-\mu}{1-\nu}, \frac{\mu}{\nu}\}$  and  $M(\mu, \nu) = \max\{\frac{1-\mu}{1-\nu}, \frac{\mu}{\nu}\}$ . Moreover two inequalities in above are equivalent.

*Proof.* It follows from the preceding theorem that  $f^\lambda(\mu)$  is a convex function by  $\lambda \geq 1$ .

If  $\nu \geq \mu$ , then we have

$$\frac{f^\lambda(\mu) - f^\lambda(0)}{\mu - 0} \leq \frac{f^\lambda(\nu) - f^\lambda(0)}{\nu - 0},$$

that is,

$$1 - f^\lambda(\mu) \geq \frac{\mu}{\nu}(1 - f^\lambda(\nu)).$$

Next, if  $\mu \geq \nu$ , then we have

$$\frac{f^\lambda(1) - f^\lambda(\mu)}{1 - \mu} \geq \frac{f^\lambda(1) - f^\lambda(\nu)}{1 - \nu},$$

that is,

$$1 - f^\lambda(\mu) \geq \frac{1 - \mu}{1 - \nu}(1 - f^\lambda(\nu)).$$

Hence the first inequality is proved. Finally, the equivalence between two inequalities is ensured by permuting  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . Actually, if we do in the first inequality, then we have the second one by  $\max\{a, b\} = [\min\{\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}\}]^{-1}$  for  $a, b > 0$ ; the converse is shown by the same way.  $\square$

We here discuss the previous result under the case  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $A \geq 0$ ,  $\|x\| = 1$  and  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ . If  $1 \geq \nu \geq \mu > 0$ , then*

$$1 - \left( \frac{(A^\mu x, x)}{(Ax, x)^\mu} \right)^\lambda \geq \frac{\mu}{\nu} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{(A^\nu x, x)}{(Ax, x)^\nu} \right)^\lambda \right).$$

*Proof.* It follows from the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality that

$$1 - \frac{\mu}{\nu} + \frac{\mu}{\nu} \left( \frac{(A^\nu x, x)}{(Ax, x)^\nu} \right)^\lambda \geq \left( \frac{(A^\nu x, x)}{(Ax, x)^\nu} \right)^{\lambda \frac{\mu}{\nu}} = \left( \frac{(A^\nu x, x)^{\frac{\mu}{\nu}}}{(Ax, x)^{\nu \frac{\mu}{\nu}}} \right)^\lambda \geq \left( \frac{(A^\mu x, x)}{(Ax, x)^\mu} \right)^\lambda$$

by  $\frac{\mu}{\nu} \in (0, 1)$ .  $\square$

### 3. HÖLDER-McCARTHY INEQUALITY AND YOUNG INEQUALITY

We first give an elementary proof to the following known refinement of the Young inequality

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $A \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \mu, \nu \leq 1$ , and  $m(\mu, \nu)$  and  $M(\mu, \nu)$  be as in Theorem 2.3. Then*

$$m(\mu, \nu)(\nu A + 1 - \nu - A^\nu) \leq \mu A + 1 - \mu - A^\mu \leq M(\mu, \nu)(\nu A + 1 - \nu - A^\nu).$$

Moreover, two inequalities in above are equivalent.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove the numerical case for the left hand side, i.e.,

$$\mu a + 1 - \mu - a^\mu \geq m(\mu, \nu)(\nu a + 1 - \nu - a^\nu) \quad \text{for } a > 0.$$

If  $\mu \geq \nu$ , then  $\frac{1-\mu}{1-\nu} \leq 1$  and  $\frac{\mu-\nu}{1-\nu} + \frac{1-\mu}{1-\nu} = 1$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu a + 1 - \mu - \frac{1-\mu}{1-\nu}(\nu a + 1 - \nu - a^\nu) \\ &= \mu a - \frac{\nu(1-\mu)}{1-\nu}a + \frac{1-\mu}{1-\nu}a^\nu \\ &= \frac{\mu-\nu}{1-\nu}a + \frac{1-\mu}{1-\nu}a^\nu \\ &\geq a^{\frac{\mu-\nu}{1-\nu}} a^{\frac{\nu(1-\mu)}{1-\nu}} = a^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\nu \geq \mu$ , then

$$\mu a + 1 - \mu - \frac{\mu}{\nu}(\nu a + 1 - \nu - a^\nu) = 1 - \frac{\mu}{\nu} + \frac{\mu}{\nu}a^\nu \geq a^\mu.$$

Hence we have the first inequality.

The second inequality and the equivalence between two inequalities are obtained by  $\max\{a, b\} = [\min\{\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}\}]^{-1}$  for  $a, b > 0$ , as in the proof of Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

Finally, we discuss the equivalence between refined Hölder-McCarthy inequality and refined Young inequality, which is analogous to the result in [3].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Refined Hölder-McCarthy inequality and refined Young inequality are equivalent, i.e.,*

$$(1) \quad 1 - \frac{(A^\mu x, x)}{(Ax, x)^\mu} \geq m(\mu, \nu) \left( 1 - \frac{(A^\nu x, x)}{(Ax, x)^\nu} \right) \quad \text{for unit vectors } x,$$

$$(2) \quad \mu A + 1 - \mu - A^\mu \geq m(\mu, \nu)(\nu A + 1 - \nu - A^\nu)$$

are equivalent for given  $\mu, \nu \in (0, 1)$ , where  $m(\mu, \nu)$  is as in Theorem 2.3.

*Proof.* Assume that (1) holds and  $x$  is a unit vector. If  $\nu \geq \mu$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(Ax, x) + 1 - \mu - \frac{\mu}{\nu}(\nu(Ax, x) + 1 - \nu - (A^\nu x, x)) \\ = \frac{\nu - \mu}{\nu} + \frac{\mu}{\nu}(A^\nu x, x) \geq (A^\nu x, x)^{\frac{\mu}{\nu}} \geq (A^\mu x, x) \end{aligned}$$

by the (classical) Young inequality and Hölder-McCarthy inequality.

If  $\mu \geq \nu$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(Ax, x) + 1 - \mu - \frac{1 - \mu}{1 - \nu}(\nu(Ax, x) + 1 - \nu - (A^\nu x, x)) \\ &= \left( \left( \frac{\mu - \nu}{1 - \nu} A + \frac{1 - \mu}{1 - \nu} A^\nu \right) x, x \right) \geq (A^{\frac{\mu - \nu}{1 - \nu}} A^{\frac{\nu(1 - \mu)}{1 - \nu}} x, x) = (A^\mu x, x). \end{aligned}$$

For the reverse implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1), we replace  $A$  by  $kA$  in (2) where  $k = (Ax, x)^{-1}$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(Ax, x)^{-1}(Ax, x) + 1 - \mu - (Ax, x)^{-\mu}(A^\mu x, x) \\ & \geq m(\mu, \nu)(\nu(Ax, x)^{-1}(Ax, x) + 1 - \nu - (Ax, x)^{-\nu}(A^\nu x, x)), \end{aligned}$$

which is just arranged as (1), i.e.,

$$1 - \frac{(A^\mu x, x)}{(Ax, x)^\mu} \geq m(\mu, \nu) \left( 1 - \frac{(A^\nu x, x)}{(Ax, x)^\nu} \right).$$

□

**Note.** This paper is based on our recent work [7].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Alzer, C. M. da Fonseca and A. Kovačec, *Young-type inequalities and their matrix analogues*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, **63** (2015), 622-635.
- [2] T. Furuta, *Invitation to Linear Operators*, Taylor & Francis, 2001.
- [3] T. Furuta, *The Hölder-McCarthy and Young inequalities are equivalent for Hilbert space operators*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **108** (2001), 68-69.
- [4] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, *Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **361** (2010), 262-269.
- [5] Y. Manasrah and F. Kittaneh, *A generalization of two refined Young inequalities*, Positivity, **19** (2015), 757-768.
- [6] C. A. McCarthy,  $C_p$ , Israel J. Math., **5** (1967), 249-271.
- [7] M. Fujii and R. Nakamoto, *Refinements of Hölder-McCarthy inequalities and Young inequality*, Adv. Oper. Theory, **1** (2016), 184-188.

<sup>1</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OSAKA KYOIKU UNIVERSITY, ASAHIGAOKA, KASHIWARA, OSAKA 582-8582, JAPAN.

*E-mail address:* mfujii@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp

<sup>2</sup>DAIHARA-CHO, HITACHI, IBARAKI 316-0021, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* r-naka@net1.jway.ne.jp