#### Electrodeposition of bright Al-Zr alloy coatings from dimethylsulfone-based baths

Suguru Shiomi, Masao Miyake, and Tetsuji Hirato

Graduate School of Energy Science, Kyoto University Yoshida-hommachi, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

\*Address correspondence to: Prof. T. Hirato hirato.tetsuji.2n@kyoto-u.ac.jp +81-75-753-5432

# Abstract

Electrodeposition of Al coatings from dimethylsulfone (DMSO<sub>2</sub>)-AlCl<sub>3</sub> baths with the addition of ZrCl<sub>4</sub> was studied. Although pure Al coatings electrodeposited from the bath without ZrCl<sub>4</sub> are lusterless, bright and smooth coatings were obtained when the ZrCl<sub>4</sub> content in the baths was 0.005-0.015 mol per 10 mol DMSO<sub>2</sub>. The Zr content in the coatings varied up to 3.5 at% in proportion to the ZrCl<sub>4</sub> content in the baths. The bright Al-Zr alloy coating showed high reflectance of 50-80% in the wavelength range of 450-1000 nm, whereas that of the matte pure Al coating was 10-20%. Morphological observations confirmed a reduction in the grain size of Al and surface leveling caused by the addition of ZrCl<sub>4</sub> to the baths. Moreover, a strong <100> preferential orientation of Al crystals was observed for the bright coatings. The bright coating containing ~3.5 at% Zr had a higher corrosion potential by 0.1 V than the pure Al coating.

Keywords: electroplating, aluminum alloy, organic solvent

Aluminum offers good corrosion resistance owing to the natural oxide layer formed on its surface and thus can be used as a corrosion-resistant coating for metallic materials. In general, electrodeposition is the preferred method for the fabrication of such coatings because it is simple and cost-effective compared to other common processes such as hot dipping,<sup>1</sup> thermal spraying<sup>2</sup> and chemical vapor deposition.<sup>3</sup> Moreover, electrodeposition has merits that complex-shaped components can be coated and the thickness of the coatings can be easily controlled.

However, it is well known that metallic Al cannot be electrodeposited from commonly-used aqueous solutions, and hence a number of non-aqueous media including aromatic hydrocarbons,<sup>4</sup> etheric solvents<sup>4</sup> and inorganic molten salts<sup>5</sup> have been studied to date. However, they have some drawbacks such as combustibility, high vapor pressure and dendritic growth of deposit. In recent years, ionic liquids, also known as room temperature molten salts, have been extensively explored for the electrodeposition of pure Al as well as Al alloys.<sup>6-12</sup> The ionic liquids are attractive media since they have low vapor pressure, high electrical conductivity and a wide electrochemical window.<sup>6</sup> Dimethylsulfone (DMSO<sub>2</sub>)-AlCl<sub>3</sub> electrolyte is also an attractive medium for the electrodeposition of Al because it is more stable and therefore easier to handle than the conventional media. In this electrolyte, AlCl<sub>3</sub> undegoes a solvolysis reaction and forms two soluble species, AlCl<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> and Al(DMSO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub><sup>3+</sup> and electrodeposition of Al occurs from the Al(DMSO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub><sup>3+</sup>complex.<sup>17</sup> Smooth, dense Al coatings are reportedly obtained from DMSO<sub>2</sub>-AlCl<sub>3</sub> baths.<sup>13-16,18-20</sup>

Although electrodeposition of Al-Ti,<sup>9,10</sup> Al-Mo,<sup>11</sup> Al-Zr<sup>12</sup> alloys has been studied in ionic liquid systems, electrodeposition of Al alloys from the DMSO<sub>2</sub> system has not been studied extensively. To the best of our knowledge, the attempted electrodeposition

of Al-Ti alloy by Legrand and co-workers is the only report on electrodeposition of Al alloys from  $DMSO_2$  based baths available in the literature.<sup>21,22</sup> Thus, little is known about the effects of secondary metal-elements on the electrodeposition of Al from the  $DMSO_2$ -AlCl<sub>3</sub> electrolytes.

In this paper, we focus on Zr, which is one of the elements known to improve the corrosion resistance of Al.<sup>23,24</sup> Our preliminary experiments confirmed that Zr can be co-deposited with Al from a DMSO<sub>2</sub> based bath containing ZrCl<sub>4</sub>. Furthermore, we found that the brightness of the electrodeposited coatings was drastically enhanced by the addition of ZrCl<sub>4</sub>. The electrodeposition of bright Al coatings from the DMSO<sub>2</sub> system has not been reported so far, although it has been studied in ionic liquid systems.<sup>25</sup> In the present study, the effect of ZrCl<sub>4</sub> addition to DMSO<sub>2</sub> baths on the brightness, surface morphologies and corrosion resistance of electrodeposited coatings was examined in detail.

## **Experimental**

#### Electrodeposition

Copper plates (1.5 cm  $\times$  3.0 cm) were used as substrates for the electrodeposition of Al and Al-Zr alloys. Prior to the electrodeposition, the substrates were polished with a SiC paper, and then cleaned by sonication in ethanol. After the cleaning, a part of each substrate was covered with PTFE tape so that a square area (1 cm  $\times$  1 cm) would be exposed. The anode was an aluminum plate (2.5 cm  $\times$  3 cm), which was polished and rinsed in water and ethanol before the electrodeposition. The Al plate works as a sacrificial anode to maintain the concentration of Al species in the

bath.<sup>13</sup>

The plating bath was prepared by mixing DMSO<sub>2</sub> (Tokyo Chemical Industry, >99.0%) and AlCl<sub>3</sub> (Fluka, anhydrous  $\geq$ 99.0%) at a mol ratio of 10 : 2, and then ZrCl<sub>4</sub> (Wako Pure Chemical) was added to the bath. The ZrCl<sub>4</sub> content in the bath was varied from 0 mol to 0.015 mol per 10 mol DMSO<sub>2</sub> (All the values of ZrCl<sub>4</sub> content in this paper describe the amount of ZrCl<sub>4</sub> per 10 mol DMSO<sub>2</sub>). Prior to use, DMSO<sub>2</sub> had been dried in a vacuum at 60 °C for more than one day. No further purification was conducted. AlCl<sub>3</sub> and ZrCl<sub>4</sub> were stored in an Ar-filled glove box with a circulation system and used as received. After mixing, they were melted at 110 °C.

A conventional two-electrode cell was employed for the electrodeposition. Al-Zr alloys were electrodeposited at constant current density of 60 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for 10 min using an electrochemical analyzer (ALS, model 660C). Assuming 100% current efficiency, an Al layer with a thickness of about 12  $\mu$ m was obtained under the deposition conditions. During the electrodeposition, the bath was stirred at 400 rpm and the temperature of the bath was kept at 110 °C with a thermostat. The preparation of the baths and the electrodeposition were carried out in the Ar-filled glove box.

## **Characterization**

Normal-incidence specular reflectance spectra for the electrodeposited coatings were measured using a multichannel photodetector (Otsuka electronics, MCPD-7700) coupled with an optical microscope (Nikon, Eclipse LV100). Spectra were taken from a 20  $\mu$ m diameter spot using a 10x objective lens with a numerical aperture of 0.3 with reference to an Al mirror with a 50 nm MgF<sub>2</sub> coating (Sigma Koki Co., Ltd., TFA-25C05-20). The measured data were converted to absolute reflectance with the use of

the simulated reflectance spectrum for the mirror. The composition of the coatings was detemined by EDX coupled with an SEM (Hitachi S-3500). XRD patterns were taken by employing a diffractometer (Panalytical, X'Pert PRO-MPD) with Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation. An FE-SEM (Hitachi, SU6600) was used to observe the surface morphology of the coatings. The roughness was measured by a surface texture measuring instrument (Surfcom 1400D, Tokyo Seimitsu). The parameters for the measurement were cutoff length of 0.8 mm, and cutoff ratio of 300. The scanned length was 3.0 mm and the scan rate was 0.15 mm s<sup>-1</sup>. The roughness was calculated based on ISO '97. The corrosion resistance of the coatings was evaluated by recording potentiodynamic anodic polarization curves in deaerated 0.1 M NaCl solution at ambient temperature, using a potentiostat (Hokuto Denko, HZ-5000) at a scan rate of 0.5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The conventional three-electrode cell was employed for the measurement. The measurement was performed for a circular area with a diameter of 5.8 mm on the surface of the coatings. A Pt coil was used as the counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl electrode (SSE) in a KCl saturated aqueous solution was used as the reference electrode.

#### **Results and Discussion**

The electrodeposition of Al from the DMSO<sub>2</sub>-AlCl<sub>3</sub> bath without any additives produces dense, uniform pure Al coatings, but the coatings are lusterless. In contrast, a bright coating was electrodeposited when 0.01 mol ZrCl<sub>4</sub> was added to the plating bath. Figure 1 presents photographs comparing the appearance of the coatings electrodeposited from baths without and with 0.01 mol ZrCl<sub>4</sub>. Whereas the coating obtained in the absence of ZrCl<sub>4</sub> is dull-white, that from the ZrCl<sub>4</sub>-containing bath has a silvery, mirror-like appearance. Normal-incidence reflectance spectra for these coatings

show more quantitatively that the coating obtained with incorporation of ZrCl<sub>4</sub> has a bright, reflective surface (Figure 2). The reflectance of the matte Al coating without ZrCl<sub>4</sub> is as small as 10-20% over the visible and near-infrared region (450-1000 nm). As for the bright coating, even the minimum reflectance is as high as 50% at 450 nm. The reflectance increases at longer wavelengths and approaches 80% in the near-infrared region (800-1000 nm), showing that the bright coating from the ZrCl<sub>4</sub>-containing bath has over 4-times higher reflectance than the pure Al coating.

In order to examine the effect of ZrCl<sub>4</sub> in more detail, electrodeposition was conducted from DMSO<sub>2</sub>-AlCl<sub>3</sub> baths containing various amounts of ZrCl<sub>4</sub> at a constant current density of 60 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. When the ZrCl<sub>4</sub> content was less than 0.005 mol, the electrodeposited coatings were matte. Bright coatings were obtained from the baths with ZrCl<sub>4</sub> contents from 0.005 to 0.015 mol. When the ZrCl<sub>4</sub> content was higher than 0.015 mol, the color of the coatings turned to dark, and adhesion of the coatings degraded. Such coatings are not suitable for corrosion protection and thus we did not carry out further characterization for the dark coatings.

The relationship between the  $ZrCl_4$  content in the plating baths and Zr content in the coatings is shown in Figure 3. The amount of Zr in the coatings varied from 0 at% to 3.5 at% in proportion to the  $ZrCl_4$  content in the plating bath, suggesting that the deposition of Zr is diffusion-controlled. The bright coatings contained 1.2-3.5 at% Zr. The EDX analysis also revealed that the coatings contained 0.2~1.4 at% of Cl and S as impurities.

The coatings were also examined by XRD (Figure 4). Diffraction peaks corresponding to Al and Cu substrates were confirmed for all the samples. Although the

Zr content of the Al-Zr alloy coatings (0.5-3.5 at% Zr) exceeded the maximum solubility of Zr in Al phase (<0.1 at%), no evidence for the formation of Al-Zr intermetallics or metallic Zr was found in the XRD patterns. If Zr is dissolved in the Al phase to form an oversaturated solid-solution, corresponding peak shift should be observed in the XRD pattern of the Al phase. However, no peak shift was observed. This is possibly because most of the Zr deposited is present separately from the Al phase. Secondary phase cannot be detected by XRD because the Zr amounts in the coatings are too small. The diffraction patterns of the pure Al (Figure 4 a) and the Al-Zr alloy electrodeposited with the addition of 0.0025 mol ZrCl<sub>4</sub> (Figure 4 b), which exhibit a matte appearance, are similar to that of an Al powder, indicating that the coatings are composed of randomly orientated Al crystal grains. The diffraction pattern significantly changes when the ZrCl<sub>4</sub> content in the bath is raised to 0.005 mol; the intensity of Al 200 diffraction becomes about 40 times greater than that for the pure Al coating (Figure 4 c), while the intensity of Al 111 diffraction remains as low as that for the pure Al coating. The strong Al 200 diffraction clearly shows that the Al-Zr alloy coating obtained with 0.005 mol ZrCl<sub>4</sub> has a preferrential orientation where (100) planes are parallel to the substrate. Similar XRD patterns are observed for the coatings obtained from the baths containing 0.01 and 0.015 mol ZrCl<sub>4</sub> (Figure 4 d and e) except that the Al 111 diffraction completely disappears and the Al 200 diffraction becomes more intense. This set of XRD patterns indicates that the Al crystals in the bright coatings have a strong <100> preferrential orientation, while those in the matte coatings have no preferred orientation.

Figure 5 presents SEM images showing typical surface morphologies of the coatings. Randomly-scattered angular grains of Al approximately 5 µm in size can be

seen on the surface of the pure Al coating (Figure 5 a). A similar morphology, except with the grain size decreased to around 1 µm, can be found on the sample obtained from the bath containing 0.0025 mol ZrCl<sub>4</sub> (Figure 5 b). In contrast, the grain size of the bright coatings obtained by the addition of of 0.005-0.015 mol ZrCl<sub>4</sub> diminished to approximately 20 nm (Figure 5 c-e). As a result, the surfaces of these coatings became smoother than that of the matte pure Al coating. Although the SEM images of the surface of the bright coatings indicated the drastic decrease in the grain size, the XRD peak of the bright coatings was not broadened. This suggests that the bright coatings consist of thin columnar grains grown perpendicularly to the substrate.

The smooth surface of the coatings was also evidenced by roughness measurement (Figure 6). The mean roughness (Ra) of the matte pure Al coating was approximately 0.1 $\mu$ m. The roughness of the coating did not change when 0.0025 mol ZrCl<sub>4</sub> was added to the plating bath, whereas the Ra dramatically decreased to 0.01  $\mu$ m when 0.005 mol or more ZrCl<sub>4</sub> was added to the bath. The maximum height (Rz) varied similarly to Ra and was 1.2-1.7  $\mu$ m and 0.3  $\mu$ m for the matte coatings and bright coatings, respectively (Figure 6 b). The variations in the surface roughness are in agreement with the surface morphologies of the coatings revealed by the SEM images (Figure 5). The Ra and Rz for the bright coatings obtained in this study are much smaller than the reported values for the bright Al coatings electrodeposited from an ionic liquid bath containing 1,10-phenantroline as a brightener (Ra=0.12  $\mu$ m, Rz=1.0  $\mu$ m),<sup>25</sup> thus showing the better brightening ability of ZrCl<sub>4</sub> in the DMSO<sub>2</sub> based bath.

The SEM images (Figure 5) and the XRD patterns (Figure 4) show that the grain size and the crystal orientation simultaneously undergo significant changes when 0.005

mol or more ZrCl<sub>4</sub> is added to the bath. The grain refinement and preferential crystal orientation are typical phenomena in bright coatings electrodeposited with the aid of brighteners.<sup>26</sup> Additionaly, we carried out cyclic voltammetry in the DMSO<sub>2</sub>-AlCl<sub>3</sub> electrolyte with and without ZrCl<sub>4</sub> and confirmed that the reduction current is suppressed when ZrCl<sub>4</sub> is present in the electrolyte. For these reasons, we presume that ZrCl<sub>4</sub> produces bright coatings by a similar mechanism to the commonly-used brighteners. The proposed mechanism is as follows: Zr(IV) species in a plating bath are preferentially adsorbed onto peaks of Al deposit and particular crystal planes. The adsorbed species suppress the crystal growth of Al in particular crystal directions at the peaks of deposit, leading to the production of preferentially oriented fine crystal grains and a leveled surface with small irregularities. The resulting surface with smaller irregularities than the wavelength of the visible light looks bright and lustrous, because light incident to the surface is reflected without being diffused.

Al-Zr alloys prepared by the electrodeposition from AlCl<sub>3</sub>-1-ethyl-3methylimidazolium chloride ionic liquid are reported to have superior corrosion resistance to that of pure Al.<sup>12</sup> In order to examine whether the corrosion resistance of the Al-Zr alloy coatings obtained from the DMSO<sub>2</sub> bath is also improved, the corrosion resistance of the pure Al and the Al-3.5% Zr alloy coatings electrodeposited from a DMSO<sub>2</sub> bath containing 0.015 mol ZrCl<sub>4</sub> was compared by potentiodynamic anodic polarization in a NaCl aqueous solution (Figure 7). The passive region of the pure Al coating lies below -0.6 V vs. SSE followed by a sudden rise in anodic current. The rise in the anodic current is explained by pitting corrosion of Al induced by chloride ions.<sup>23,24</sup> The curve for the Al-Zr alloy displays a wider passive region up to -0.5 V vs. SSE, indicating that the addition of Zr to Al by the electrodeposition from the DMSO<sub>2</sub>

bath is effective for the enhancement of the corrosion resistance of Al.The shift in the corrosion potential of 0.1 V is comparable to those for the Al-Zr alloys electrodeposited from the ionic liquid bath.<sup>12</sup>

# Conclusion

In this paper, we presented the electrodeposition of Al-Zr alloy coatings from DMSO<sub>2</sub>-based baths. Al-Zr alloy coatings containing up to 3.5 at% Zr were obtained in the presence of ZrCl<sub>4</sub> in the baths. The addition of ZrCl<sub>4</sub> had the effects of grain refining and surface leveling, resulting in the formation of bright coatings with a silvery, mirror like appearance. The bright Al-Zr alloy coating showed high reflectance of 50-80% in the visible and near-infrared region. Moreover, the addition of Zr to the coating from the DMSO<sub>2</sub> bath was confirmed to be effective for the enhancement of corrosion resistance. These results expand the potential applications of electrodeposited Al-Zr coatings to decorative coatings and coatings for optical devices as well as corrosion resistant coatings.

## Acknowledgement

The authors thank Kenji Kazumi and Teruyoshi Unesaki for their assistance in SEM observation.

#### References

- 1. W.-J. Cheng and C.-J. Wang, Surf. Coat. Tech., 205, 4726 (2011).
- M. Campo, M. Carboneras, M. D. López, B. Torres, P. Rodrigo, E. Otero and J. Rams, *Surf. Coat. Tech.*, 203, 3224 (2009).
- 3. Y. Liu, L. J. Overzet and M. J. Goeckner, Thin Solid Films, 510, 48 (2006).
- 4. M. Gálová, Surf. Technol., 11, 357 (1980).
- L. Qingfeng, H. A. Hjuler, R. W. Berg and N. J. Bjerrum, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 137, 593 (1990).
- T. Jiang, M. J. C. Brym, G. Dubé, A. Lasia and G. M. Brisard, *Surf. Coat. Tech.*, 201, 1 (2006).
- 7. Q. X. Liu, S. Z. E. Abedin and F. Endres, Surf. Coat. Tech., 201, 1352 (2006).
- 8. H. C. De Long, J. Fuller and P. C. Trulove, J. Electrochem. Soc., 145, 1598 (1998).
- T. Tsuda, C. L. Hussey, G. R. Stafford and J. E. Bonevich, J. Electrochem. Soc., 150, C234 (2003).
- 10. D. Pradhan, R. G. Reddy and A. Lahiri, Metall. Mater. Tans. B, 40B, 114 (2009).
- 11. T. Tsuda, C. L. Hussey and G. R. Stafford, J. Electrochem. Soc., 151, C379 (2004).
- T. Tsuda, C. L. Hussey, G. R. Stafford and O. Kongstein, J. Electrochem. Soc., 151, C447 (2004).
- 13. L. Legrand, A. Tranchant and R. Messina, *Electrochim. Acta*, 39, 1427 (1994).
- 14. L. Legrand, A. Tranchant and R. Messina, J. Electrochemi. Soc., 141, 378 (1994).
- 15. L. Legrand, M. Heintz, A. Tranchant and R. Messina, *Electrochim. Acta*, **40**, 1711 (1995).
- 16. L. Legrand, A. Tranchant and R. Messina, *Electrochim. Acta*, 41, 2715 (1996).
- 17. L. Legrand, A. Tranchant, R. Messina, F. Romain and A. Lautie, Inorg. Chem., 35,

1310 (1996).

- 18. T. Hirato, J. Fransaer and J.-P. Celis, J. Electrochem. Soc., 148, C280 (2001).
- 19. J. Fransaer, E. Leunis, T. Hirato and J-P. Celis, J. Appl. Electrochem., 32, 123 (2002).
- 20. T. Jiang, M. J. C. Brym, G. Dubé, A. Lasia and G. M. Brisard, *Surf. Coat. Tech.*, 201 ,6309 (2007).
- 21. L. Legrand, A. Chaussé and R. Messina, J. Electrochem. Soc., 145, 110 (1998).
- 22. L. Legrand, A. Chausse and R. Messina, Electrochim. Acta, 46, 2407 (2001).
- 23. G. D. Davis, W. C. Moshier, T. L. Frits and G. O. Cote, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **137**, 422 (1990).
- 24. Z. Szklarska-Smialowska, Corros. Sci., 41, 1743 (1999).
- L. Barchi, U. Bardi, S. Caporali, M. Fantini, Alessandro Scrivani and Andrea Scrivani, *Prog. Org. Coat.*, 67, 146 (2010).
- 26. L. Oniciu and L. Mureşan, J. Appl. Electrochem., 21, 565 (1991).

# **Figure Captions**

Figure 1 Photographs of (a) matte Al coating and (b) bright Al-Zr coating electrodeposited on Cu substrates from DMSO<sub>2</sub>-AlCl<sub>3</sub> bath containing no and 0.01 mol ZrCl<sub>4</sub>, respectively.

Figure 2 Normal-incidence reflectance spectra of (a) matte Al coating and (b) bright Al-Zr coating electrodeposited on Cu substrates from DMSO<sub>2</sub>-AlCl<sub>3</sub> bath containing no and 0.01 mol ZrCl<sub>4</sub>, respectively.

Figure 3 Relationship between ZrCl<sub>4</sub> content in the plating bath and Zr content in electrodeposited coating.

Figure 4 XRD patterns of pure Al and Al-Zr alloy coatings electrodeposited from DMSO<sub>2</sub> based baths containing various amounts of ZrCl<sub>4</sub>: (a) 0 mol (pure aluminum),
(b) 0.0025 mol, (c) 0.005 mol, (d) 0.010 mol, and (d) 0.015 mol. Diffraction peaks of Cu substrate are denoted by ●.

Figure 5 SEM images of the surfaces of pure Al and Al-Zr alloy coatings electrodeposited from DMSO<sub>2</sub> based baths containing various amounts of ZrCl<sub>4</sub>: (a) 0 mol (pure aluminum), (b) 0.0025 mol, (c) 0.005 mol, (d) 0.010 mol, and (d) 0.015 mol. Figure 6 Surface roughness of coatings electrodeposited from DMSO<sub>2</sub>-AlCl<sub>3</sub> baths as a function of ZrCl<sub>4</sub> content in the bath: (a) arithmetical mean roughness (b) maximum roughness height (ISO '97).

Figure 7 Anodic polarization curves recorded in 0.1 M NaCl aqueous solution for (a) pure aluminum coating and (b) Al-3.5% Zr alloy coating. The Al-3.5% Zr alloy coating was obtained from a bath containing 0.015 mol ZrCl<sub>4</sub>.